Metadata Working Group Meeting, December 12, 2014

Present: Mary Beth Weber (co-chair), Kalaivani Ananthan (co-chair), Isaiah Beard, Melissa De Fino, Yu-Hung Lin, Rhonda Marker (recorder), Jane Otto, Krista White (videoconference), Carla Zimmerman, Darya Zvanarova (guest)

Excused: Li Sun, Caryn Radick

1. Announcements
   KA: Release 7.5 went live on December 3. We are now working on R7.6. About half of the items in this release have been completed. Public release is scheduled for May 2015.
   > faceted browsing (there are indexing issues related to this new feature)
   > Rutgers Optimality Archive submission system
   > IP address and netID restriction capability
   > dlr/EDIT authentication and authorization: using netID, can have levels of restriction
   > WMS custom form enhancements (this will be on a future agenda of MDWG)
   > allow more flexibility with the WMS 1-to-1 file:resource policy
   > detect and reject deposited PDF files that prevent us from modifying them

2. RUcore Content Models for Dark Archives
   Grace Agnew and YHL are working on the Dark Archive metadata application profile. It will be reviewed by MDWG in our January meeting. We reviewed a draft description of the Dark Archive content model. This is for resources that are not publicly available.

3. Restrict resources by netID and IP address or range
   We will go forward with three additional options for restricting resources:
   - restrict to an IP address or range
   - restrict to users with a Rutgers netID
   - restrict to a recognized group or community within RUcore
   These options need technical specifications. With the next RUcore release, RM will draft a public document that describes all the restriction options that are technically possible in RUcore.

4. Metadata Cleanup
   This now falls within Fay Austin's area. We discussed some things that need to be done.
   - Dates: dates are irregular or missing.
   - Rights statements: We still have old and obsolete rights declarations in RUcore resources, especially our earliest entries.
   The working group discussed the proposal that we make "date" a required element. This could be part of Release 7.8, and needs a specification document.

   There was no consensus on making "date" a required element within the MODS metadata for collection objects. Some thought it should be required and system supplied in mods:dateCreated. On the other hand, it was argued that this single element is being used for two different purposes and that we should instead create a separate element for the date the collection was created, using mods:extension. There was an objection to creating an extension when there is already a MODS element that is suitable for date information. Due to time constraints, we did not further investigate, or look at the Collection Metadata Application Profile (currently under development).

5. Entries in software.libraries
   /node/2633: Start/end date not appearing in display of event cycles
   MDWG agreed that we want this applied to all dates. RM will update the issue with details of our recommendation. [This issue has been resolved through a display configuration change to the "date" construct.]
   /node/2573: Tabled
   /node/1683: Tabled

6. WMS Basics: Carried over from November 2014, and tabled.