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AUL Report
Agnew reiterated the committee’s focus on all services supported by the technical infrastructure including, but not limited to, RUcore, the bibliographic utility, web services, and the open source ILS.

Agnew reviewed the technical migration plan for the integration of the RU and UMD libraries. She outlined the major tasks and deliverables due between now and July 1, 2013. Among the immediate major goals are creating an authoritative bibliographic and holdings database, choosing and implementing a webscale discovery tool, providing UMD libraries with an integrated web presence, ensuring all UMD workstations are updated to accommodate RUL tools while complying with the Rutgers privacy policy, training UMD staff on processes and procedures, and going live with SIRSI. She said integration activities will continue past July 1, but these are the critical initial deliverables.

Additional discussion centered on the configuration of the proxy servers which must accommodate system-wide access to online materials, materials unique to RUL which need to become available to UMD, and materials unique to UMD which are only available to select UMD users. Several approaches were discussed and analysis will continue.

Jazz Oral History Project (JOHP)
White updated the committee on requirements for file security for the Jazz Oral History Project. The requirements were developed by her and Janice Pilch, the RUL Copyright and Licensing Librarian. They include both transcript and audio files. The central objective is RUL make every reasonable effort to include robust copyright notices with the delivery of each file or set of files, prevent electronic copying and/or downloading of text and/or sound files, and disallow the alteration, annotation or remixing of delivered files through electronic means. The committee discussed strategies to accommodate these requirements while also ensuring a positive user experience, including the possibility of giving researchers the ability to search for specific information embedded in transcripts. White will share the committee’s feedback with Pilch.

Persistent Citation and the Use of DOIs and ARKs in RUcore
Jantz reviewed a draft proposal for using Digital Object Identifiers (DOI) and Archival Retrieval Keys (ARK) in RUcore. As previously decided, DOIs are in the process of being implemented. They will replace all handles and require associated metadata which is easily accommodated. DOIs will be included in RUcore release 7.1
Jantz said using ARKs will provide additional flexibility when internally managing how files will be presented to users. Discussion followed whether the best approach is using ARKs or more fully leveraging the existing METS schema. Benefits and downsides were mentioned for each. Agnew encouraged Jantz to further explore the METS option and come back to the committee with a proposal which thoroughly weighing the pros and cons of both approaches. Jantz will do further analysis and present his findings at a future meeting.

The next meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, February 13 at 10:00 in the Technical Services Conference Room.
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