UDP-GLUCOSE:GLYCOPROTEIN GLUCOSYLTRANSFERASE (UGGT-1) AND

UPR GENES MODULATE C. ELEGANS NECROTIC CELL DEATH

Ву

YURY ORLANDO NUNEZ LOPEZ

A Dissertation Submitted to The Graduate School of New Brunswick

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey

and

The Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences

University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Graduate Program in Cell and Developmental Biology

written under the direction of

Professor Monica Driscoll, Ph.D.

and approved by

New Brunswick, New Jersey October 2009

ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION

UDP-glucose:glycoprotein Glucosyltransferase (*uggt-1*) and UPR Genes Modulate *C. elegans* Necrotic Cell Death

By YURY ORLANDO NUNEZ LOPEZ

Dissertation Director: Prof. Monica Driscoll, Ph.D.

Necrosis underlies the pathology of many neurodegenerative diseases, stroke, and traumatic injury. In the Driscoll Lab, necrotic cell death (NCD) mechanisms have been addressed for several years taking advantage of unique genetic and molecular biology tools developed in the model organism *Caenorhabditis elegans*. The necrotic paradigm we study the most involves initiation of cell death by hyperactivated ion channels expressed in six touch-sensory neurons and requires elevation of intracellular Ca²⁺, which activates calpain and cathepsin proteases.

I exploited the unique features of our model system to uncover novel genetic factors influencing this process. To this end, I conducted a high-throughput forward genetic screen to identify mutations that block or delay necrotic cell death induced by MEC-4(d) channel hyperactivation, and genetically mapped novel mutations capable of blocking or slowing the death process. I exploited an automated mutational screening capacity that allows sorting of individual animals based on detection of fluorescent signals that, in our particular case, had been engineered to indicate neuronal viability. I focused on the cloning of two novel mutant loci and dissected molecular mechanisms responsible for death suppression. In addition, I studied the impact of a major subset of calcium homeostasis genes in a *C. elegans* model of A β toxicity.

My research adds a new component to the current understanding of NCD, suggesting that inability to cope with endoplasmic reticulum stress (presumably induced by calcium depletion inside the ER, which affects chaperone functionality) plays an important role in progression through necrosis. I discovered that mild activation of an intact unfolded protein response (UPR), e.g., as induced by downregulation of UDP-glucose:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase (UGGT, an ER-resident enzyme involved in high-fidelity protein folding quality control) or mild increments in ambient temperature, can partially suppress necrosis in our *C. elegans* model, reminiscent of beneficial preconditioning effects in mammals. Additionally I found that several UPR transducers contribute to such modulation of cell death in a "tug-of-war" fashion. Our refined model of molecular mechanisms contributing and modulating necrosis in human injury and disease.

iii

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My work as a graduate student and this thesis would not be complete if I do not acknowledge the many people that, one way or the other, have contributed to my development as a scientist, a labmate, and a simple person with big dreams.

First of all, I want to express my sincere and everlasting gratitude to my advisor Professor Monica Driscoll for her important guidance, support, and understanding during these interesting and complicated years. Dr. Driscoll has been, in addition to an excellent scientific advisor, a role model, a polite listener, and a life coach for me. I owe a great deal of my success while applying to very competitive fellowships to Dr. Driscoll mentorship, and I have no words to express, again, my appreciation for her constant support and advice. I am also very grateful to the members of my Thesis Committee: Professors Mary Konsolaki, Richard Padgett, and William Wadsworth, as well as to Professors Barth Grant and Garth Patterson for important scientific advice and guidance in relation to my work, both during Committee Meetings and lab meeting exchanges.

I dedicate a special note to a great colleague, Dr. Dewey Royal, who sadly for all of us just recently passed away in a brave battle against Multiple Sclerosis. Dr. Royal introduced me to the *C. elegans* work in the Driscoll laboratory, and together with his wife, Ms. Mary Anne Royal, developed in me necessary skills to succeed as a graduate student. I will always remember Dr. Royal as an example, a model of dedicated scientist

iv

that will stop at nothing but discovering the truth behind the intricate pathways of biology, science, and life in general. I feel proud for having met Dr. Royal and with this thesis, I want to honor his memory.

Also special among my long-term interactions born here at UMDNJ and Rutgers University, is the one with Professor Michael Leibowitz, co-Director of the Molecular Biosciences Graduate Programs at Rutgers/UMDNJ and Executive Director of Graduate Academic Diversity at UMDNJ-GSBS. When I started at UMDNJ as a minority Pipeline fellow, Professor Leibowitz impressed me very much for his dedication to assisting underrepresented students. Today, I am very grateful to Professor Leibowitz for his sincere and encouraging guidance, for his effort to support and develop all of us minority as well as non-minority students, and for the multiple recommendation letters he has so willingly written for me. I am also grateful to Professor Evelyn Erenrich (Assistant Dean, Recruitment and Retention Rutgers/UMDNJ-GSBS) and Professor Jerome Langer (co-PI and Program Director for the "Initiative to Maximize Student Diversity" (IMSD) grant), for their mentorship during my initial years. I also thank Professor Charles Martin (Director of Education and the Molecular Biosciences Program, Division of Life Sciences at Rutgers) and Professor Vincent Pirrotta (Chair of the Molecular Biology and Biochemistry Department at Rutgers), as well as Graduate Program and Departmental Administrative Assistants: Mrs. Perry Dominguez, Mrs. Carolyn Ambrose, Mrs. Diane Murano, and Mrs. Barbara Nowakowski, for their efforts while supporting our graduate programs and students.

v

Very valuable to my development during this stage has also been my interaction with postdoctoral fellows Dr. Carolina Ibanez, Dr. Itzhak Mano (currently an Assistant Medical Professor at CUNY), Dr. Christopher Quinn (soon to join University of Wisconsin Milwaukee as an Assistant Professor), Dr. Peter Schmeissner (currently a Scientist at the US Department of Agriculture), Dr. Laura Bianchi (currently an Assistant Professor at University of Miami), Dr. Marton Toth, Dr. Berengere Pinan, and Dr. Brian Onken from whom I have learnt great science and received important advise and friendship. I am similarly grateful to all other research associates and student labmates in the Driscoll laboratory, as well as graduate school classmates with whom I had the privilege to interact, for their friendship and enthusiasm that made unique my graduate school experience. I am also thankful to the members of the Grant, Paterson, Konsolaki, and Barr Laboratories for providing important insights during our group meetings and seminars.

I want to express sincere gratitude to my former supervisors Professor Debra Bessen, now at the New York Medical College, and Professor Elizabeth Lacy, from Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, for giving me the opportunity to get back into science here in the USA and for encouraging and supporting my applications to graduate school and predoctoral fellowships. I am also very grateful to my many good friends, teachers, professors, and colleagues from Cuba and the USA, that one way or another have contributed to and encouraged my development as a social being, a science lover, and a freedom-seeking individual.

Last yet most importantly, I thank all my family. In a special pedestal I have my wife Diamela for her love, understanding, and dedication; for believing in me, in the good and the bad times; for being considerate and supportive during these many years of sacrifice and hard work; and for giving me two special and lovely daughters: Nicole Gabriela and Emily Camila, who make special every one of our days and give us strength to keep our dreams alive. I have no words to thank my parents Marta Elsa and Santiago Orlando, my sister Marta Belkis, and my brother Santiago Alexander for their immense love and support, and for being always by my side (no matter how much land and sea could separate us) to encourage me and revive my strengths. I am also thankful to all other family members with whom I have shared many important moments. To the great family I am lucky to have, I am forever grateful.

DEDICATION

To my lovely daughters: Emily Camila and Nicole Gabriela

To my wife, my parents, my sister, and my brother

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT OF DISSERTATIONii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSiv
DEDICATION
TABLE OF CONTENTSix
LIST OF FIGURES xiii
LIST OF TABLESxvi
THESIS SUMMARY
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION TO CELL DEATH
1.1. Cell death interplay: the cellular triple-play 4
1.1.1. Apoptosis
1.1.2. Necrosis
1.1.3. Autophagy
1.2. <i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i> as a model for studying necrosis10
1.2.1. Unique advantages of <i>C. elegans</i> as model organism10
1.2.2. Necrotic-like cell death in <i>C. elegans</i> 13
CHAPTER 2. SCREENING FOR SUPPRESSORS OF mec-4(d)-INDUCED CELL DEATH
2.1. Background and Significance19
2.1.1. A GFP-based screen for restored touch neuron viability20
2.1.2. A screen for suppression of death induced by ectopic expression of $mec-4(d)$
in the ventral nerve cord21
2.1.3. Technological advances allowing for high throughput screening (HTS)23
2.2. Material and Methods

2.2.2	.1. <i>C. elegans</i> strains and genetics	26
2.2.2	.2. EMS mutagenesis and high-throughput strategy	27
2.2.3	.3. Linkage and complementation analysis	30
2.3.	Results	32
2.3.2	.1. High throughput screening	32
2.3.2	.2. Efforts towards positional cloning of LGII-linked necrosis suppressor locu	IS,
com	nprised of alleles <i>bz100, bz180, bz181, bz200</i>	36
2.4.	Discussion and Future Prospects	45
CHAPTER	R 3. UDP-GLUCOSE:GLYCOPROTEIN GLUCOSYLTRANSFERASE 1 (UGGT-1): NO	VEL <i>C.</i>
ELEGANS	S NECROSIS SUPPRESSOR	49
3.1.2	.1. Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) quality control and UGGT	51
3.1.2	.2. The unfolded protein response (UPR) pathway	55
3.1.3	.3. ER stress: survival vs. death decisions	59
3.2.	Materials and Methods	61
3.2.2	.1. <i>C. elegans</i> strains	61
3.2.2	.2. General microscopy	62
3.2.3	.3. Touch test assay	62
3.2.4	.4. MEC-4::GFP punctae and protein level quantifications	63
3.2.5	.5. MEC-2 immunostaining	63
3.2.6	.6. Expression profiling by quantitative PCR	64
3.2.7	.7. Quantification of Q82::GFP polyglutamine aggregates in strain UA4	66
3.2.8	.8. Brood size quantification	67
3.2.9	.9. Life span determination	67

3.3. Results	.69
3.3.1. Positional cloning of X-linked necrosis suppressor locus (alleles <i>bz91</i> , <i>bz121</i> ,	
<i>bz125, bz130, bz146,</i> and <i>bz156</i>)	.69
3.3.2. Gene F48E3.3 is homologous to UDP-glucose:glycoprotein	
glucosyltransferases	.74
3.3.3. <i>uggt-1</i> is upregulated when temperature increases	.75
3.3.4. <i>uggt-1</i> mutants constitutively and mildly activate the UPR, and such activati	on
correlates with the increase in death suppression	.78
3.3.5. <i>uggt-1</i> mutation does not affect localization of MEC-4 protein or functionali	ty
of the wild type or hyperactive channels	.80
3.3.6. <i>uggt-1</i> mutation suppresses death-inducing capacity of the hyperactive <i>unc</i>	-
8(n491) mutant channel	.90
3.3.7. <i>uggt-1</i> mutation does not affect functionality (death-inducing capacity) of the	he
non-desensitizing acetylcholine receptor <i>deg-3(u662</i>) mutant channel	.91
3.3.8. UPR genes genetically interact with <i>uggt-1</i> to modulate <i>mec-4(d)</i> -induced	
necrosis	.94
3.3.9. Calcium release from the ER appears to be downregulated by loss of <i>uggt-1</i>	
function	.99
3.3.10. Differential gene regulation in F48E3.3 mutants1	.02
3.3.11. Knockdown of <i>uggt-1</i> by RNAi reduces the number and size of	
polyglutamine aggregates in a <i>C. elegans</i> model for Huntington Disease1	.09
3.3.12. Knockdown of <i>uggt-1</i> by RNAi partially suppresses paralysis in a <i>C. elegan</i>	S
model for Alzheimer's Disease1	.11

3.3.13.	Health and life spans are enhanced in partial loss-of-	-function mutant uggt-
1(bz130)		
3.3.14.	Brood size is affected in uggt-1 mutants	
3.4. Discus	ssion	
3.5. Summ	nary and Future Prospects	
Appendix 3.4	A. UGGT Sequence Alignment	
Appendix 3.	B. F48E3.3 <i>in situ</i> hybridization pattern - The Nemato	de Expression
Database (N	EXTDB 4.0)	
CHAPTER 4. RN	IAI SCREENING FOR SUPPRESSORS OF A eta TOXICITY IN	N A <i>C. ELEGANS</i> MODEL
ALZHEIMER'S D	DISEASE	
4.1. Backg	round and Significance	
4.1.1. 6	Genetics of Alzheimer's Disease	
4.1.2. C	Calcium homeostasis & Alzheimer's Disease	
4.1.3. C	C. elegans models of Alzheimer's Disease	
4.2. Mater	rials and Methods	
4.3. Result	ts and Discussion	
REFERENCES		
CURRICULUM	VITAE	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Morphological changes associated with the three modes of programmed cell
death5
Figure 2. Model for MEC-4(d)-induced necrotic-like cell death in <i>C. elegans</i> 15
Figure 3. Particle Flow Cytometer System. Diagram of the particle flow and sorting
principle
Figure 4. Flow diagram of high-throughput mutagenesis & sorting strategy
Figure 5. Scheduling diagram for high-throughput mutagenesis & sorting strategy 29
Figure 6. Example of COPAS biosorter output screen
Figure 7. Efforts towards positional cloning of LGII-linked suppressor locus
Figure 8. Zooming in the 4-cosmids area (F52H3, C18D1, ZK945, and F27E5)
Figure 9. The calnexin/calreticulin (CNX/CRT) cycle53
Figure 10. F48E3.3 (assigned name: <i>uggt-1</i>) is the death suppressor locus on LGX 72
Figure 11. F48E3.3 knockdown by RNA interference phenocopies necrosis suppression.
Figure 12. A uggt-1 GFP reporter expression pattern at 20 °C
Figure 13. A <i>uggt-1</i> GFP reporter is upregulated when temperature increases
Figure 14. UPR reporter activation levels at 20°C and 25°C
Figure 15. Punctae and fluorescence level quantification in the processes and cell bodies
(respectively) of <i>MEC-4(+)::GFP</i> -expressing touch sensory neurons
Figure 16. Gentle touch sensitivity tests for <i>uggt-1</i> alleles

Figure 17. Quantification of the MEC-2 immunostaining punctuated pattern in axonal
processes of touch sensory neurons
Figure 18. Time course scoring of vacuole phenotype in distinct <i>mec-4(d)</i> backgrounds.
Figure 19. <i>unc-8(n491)</i> locomotion capacity is improved in a <i>uggt-1</i> mutant background.
Figure 20. Quantification of <i>deg-3(u662)</i> -induced degeneration in wt and <i>uggt-1</i>
backgrounds94
Figure 21. Genetic evidence for a role of unfolded protein response (UPR) genes in the
suppression of <i>mec-4(d)</i> -induced necrotic cell death
Figure 22. Genetic evidence for a role of unfolded protein response (UPR) genes in the
transduction of <i>uggt-1</i> mutation-induced suppression of necrotic cell death 98
Figure 23. Ca ²⁺ release upregulation by the gain-of-function <i>itr-1(sy290)</i> allele modestly
increases necrotic cell death in the <i>uggt-1</i> background
Figure 24. RT-PCR expression profiling of UPR-inducible and ER-related genes 105
Figure 25. Highly upregulated UPR-inducible genes
Figure 26. RT-PCR expression profiling of UPR-inducible and housekeeping genes 107
Figure 27. Loss of uggt-1 and UPR transducers reduces the number and size of
polyglutamine aggregates110
Figure 28. Knockdown expression (by RNAi) of <i>uggt-1</i> can suppress A β -induced
paralysis
Figure 29. Lifespan is extended in mutant uggt-1(bz130)114

Figure 30. Brood size at 20 °C is reduced in <i>uggt-1</i> mutants	.6
Figure 31. Proposed model of <i>mec-4(d)</i> -induced Necrotic Cell Death. See text for details	5.
	23
Figure 32. Phenotypes of strain CL417614	5
Figure 33. Knockdown expression (by RNAi) of selected EF-hand proteins can suppress	
A eta -induced paralysis in strain CL417614	9

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Summary of mec-4(d)-induced death suppressor mutants isolated. 34
Table 2. Results from three-factor crosses while mapping allele bz200. 37
Table 3. Results from deficiency mapping performed on mutant strain carrying allele
<i>bz200</i>
Table 4. Open reading frames (ORF) contained in genetic interval II:1.86 to II:2.12
(genetic interval as described in WormBase.org release WS201)
Table 5. Primers used for RT-PCR 65
Table 6. Results from three-factor crosses while mapping allele <i>bz130</i>
Table 7. Specific nucleotide sequence changes in F48E3.3 mutants 71
Table 8. Genes targeted for expression profiling
Table 9. EF-hand motif-containing RNAi clones scored in the screen for suppressors of
A β -induced paralysis147
Table 10. RNAi clones identified as suppressors of A β -induced paralysis

THESIS SUMMARY

In this thesis I document studies of molecular mechanisms of neurodegeneration conducted as PhD research in the Joint Graduate Program in Molecular Biosciences and the Cell and Developmental Biology Program from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey and Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey.

In Chapter 1, I offer a short introduction to cell death mainly focusing on recent advances that underscore the continuity of the cell death space and the intricate crosscommunication and interdependent regulation of processes governing the eventual death outcome. I also introduce the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans as a powerful and amenable model organism and describe how we have used this model to study necrotic cell death (NCD) in the laboratory.

In Chapter 2, I describe the implementation of a high throughput strategy for chemical mutagenesis and fluorescent screening of suppressors of *mec-4(d)*-induced necrotic-like cell death. Using an automatic sorting capability recently installed in the laboratory (the so-called worm sorter), I screened more than 56,000 haploid genomes, identified 107 mutant lines, and assigned them to 7 loci, 4 of which represented novel genes. In this chapter I also describe my initial mapping efforts regarding positional cloning of LGII-linked novel death suppressor locus (reference allele bz200).

Chapter 3 describes my work on mapping, positional cloning, and characterization of novel LGX-linked suppressor locus *uggt-1*. This gene encodes a UDPglucose:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase (UGGT), which is an endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-resident enzyme highly conserved in the animal kingdom and a major sensor of protein folding quality. I show that loss or downregulation of *uggt-1* in *C. elegans* induces mild, chronic activation of the unfolded protein response (UPR) and general reprogramming of transcriptional networks and cellular homeostasis, with an overall protective effect reminiscent of preconditioned cellular states. I discuss my supporting data and relevant recent reports implicating ER calcium signaling, ER-stress preconditioning, and differential regulation of pro-survival versus death-inducing factors in cellular adaptation.

Lastly, chapter 4 describes a reverse genetic screen for calcium-binding, EF-hand motifcontaining suppressors of A β -dependent paralysis in *C. elegans*, which I conducted in addition to my work on *mec-4(d)*-induced necrosis. Here I describe and discuss the identification of ten *C. elegans* genes, nine of which have human homologs, which could potentially be involved in the development and/or progression of Alzheimer's disease (AD). The identification of genes already well known for their involvement in AD, Huntington disease (HD), and bipolar disorder validates this approach and suggests that the interactions discovered in our simple model may hold pertinent value in the study of the etiology of the human disease. The composite of multiple mutagenesis screens conducted in our lab during the last decade underscores a crucial role for the ER in the activation of necrosis in *C. elegans*, reminiscent of the fundamental role played by the mitochondria in apoptosis. In this view, I recommend that additional efforts be dedicated to better understand the basic roles that ER-to-nucleus signaling and ER-mitochondria crosstalk may be playing during NCD progression. Such studies will likely contribute insights into other degenerative diseases as well, such as those involving toxic protein aggregation.

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION TO CELL DEATH

1.1. Cell death interplay: the cellular triple-play

In multicellular organisms, cell death plays an essential role paradoxically necessary for life of the organism as a whole. This is well evidenced, for example, by the fact that cell death is indispensable to selectively eliminate excess cells and to sculpt tissues and organs during development. In other instances throughout life, aging cells are sacrificed and contents recycled, in harmony with the proliferation of new cells that take over the needed functions. Such cellular homeostasis has marvelously evolved into a tightly regulated and highly orchestrated process, where "live or die" decisions, among others, are constantly implemented. Dysregulation of the control mechanisms ensuring cell death contributes to a variety of disease states such as neurodegenerative and inflammatory diseases (due to excess cell death), and cancer and autoimmune diseases (due to reduced cell death and excessive proliferation) (Henriquez et al., 2008).

Multiple types of cell death have been described in the scientific literature, but there is a main core of three mechanisms that includes: apoptosis, autophagy, and necrosis (Festjens et al., 2006; Hengartner and Bryant, 2000; Levine and Klionsky, 2004). These mechanisms could be understood as the more distant or independent outcomes in the cell death continuum, although accumulating evidence indicates considerable crosstalk and switchability between the three processes (Henriquez et al., 2008; Thorburn, 2008). Figure 1 summarizes the more notable morphological features distinguishing apoptosis, necrosis, and autophagy.





In the upper part of the figure a normal cell is shown; lower panels summarize schematically the morphological features associated with execution of apoptosis, necrosis and autophagy (Henriquez et al., 2008). Reproduced with permission from Dr. Andrew F.G. Quest, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile).

1.1.1. Apoptosis

Apoptotic mechanisms have been intensely studied in the last few decades because of their involvement in development and cancer, and a well understood picture has emerged of the classical phenomenon, originally known as programmed cell death (PCD) but now more accurately referred to as PCD type I (PCD I). The term PCD referring only to apoptotic cell death arose as a logical consequence from an initial understanding of necrosis as an uncontrolled, chaotic series of events induced by acute cell injury. Nowadays evidence has accumulated and demonstrated that autophagy (PCD II) and necrosis (PCD III) are also highly regulated processes (Henriquez et al., 2008; Klionsky, 2007; Thorburn, 2008; Vanlangenakker et al., 2008).

A major contribution to the fundamental understanding of the basic apoptotic mechanism came from studies in the nematode *C. elegans*, pioneering work for which Dr. R.H. Horvitz was awarded a share of the 2002 Nobel Prize in Physiology and Medicine. In brief, the apoptotic pathway elaborated in nematodes involves the action of BH3 domain protein EGL-1, which influences globally-acting death suppressor CED-9 (a BCL-2 family member) and an executor caspase, CED-3 (Horvitz, 1999; Metzstein et al., 1998). Apoptotic death regulation, execution, and corpse removal mechanisms are strikingly conserved from nematodes to humans (Metzstein et al., 1998), though more simple and genetically tractable in nematodes.

Apoptosis can be executed through two different pathways. One pathway is denominated intrinsic or mitochondrial because is activated in response to intracellular stimuli that lead to permeabilization of the mitochondrial outer membrane (MOMP) and the release of cytochrome c and other apoptogenic proteins (Green and Kroemer, 2004). Released cytochrome c activates the apoptosome, which process pro-caspase-9 into activated caspase-9 (initiator caspase), which then activates executioner caspases (e.g. caspase-3, casapase-7, etcetera). The other pathway, denominated extrinsic or death receptor pathway, involves the activation of cell surface receptors upon ligand binding. Ligand-activated death receptors induce the formation of a multi-protein complex denominated the Death-Inducing Signaling Complex (DISC) that recruits and process pro-caspase-8 or pro-caspase-10 into the respective activated caspase (differently from the intrinsic pathway caspase-8 or caspase-10 are the initiator caspases in this case), which subsequently activates executioner caspases such as caspase-3 (Bredesen, 2008; Thorburn, 2004). Both, the intrinsic and extrinsic pathways converge on the activation of executioner caspases by initiator caspases.

1.1.2. Necrosis

Although researchers had traditionally considered necrosis as a chaotic, non-regulated type of death caused by overwhelming stress, growing evidence suggest the existence of an alternative programmed cell death mechanism characterized by necrotic morphology and specific biochemistry (Golstein and Kroemer, 2007; Yuan, 2009). A concept of programmed course and programmed occurrence is supported, for example, by reports

describing the occurrence of necrosis during development (Chautan et al., 1999; Roach and Clarke, 2000) and in adult tissue homeostasis (Barkla and Gibson, 1999).

Necrosis is typically associated with early signs of mitochondrial dysfunction (such as the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by mitochondria and swelling of mitochondria), ATP depletion, general disruption of ionic and internal homeostasis, cellular edema (swelling), perinuclear clustering of organelles, activation of calpain and cathepsin proteases, lysosomal rupture, and ultimately plasma membrane rupture (Golstein and Kroemer, 2007).

The release of the cytoplasmic content into the extracellular space due to the cell lysis causes a characteristic and potentially damaging inflammatory response from neighboring tissues (Orrenius et al., 2003). Interestingly, it has been suggested that the release of some intracellular molecules such as hepatoma-derived growth factor (HDGF) can function to activate signaling pathways that promote axonal sprouting and neurite outgrowth in the damage area, as well as cell migration and tumor cell metastasis from necrotic areas in solid tumors (Zhou et al., 2004; Zong and Thompson, 2006).

In contrast to apoptosis, which is recognized as the predominant form of cell death in immature neurons, necrosis appears to be the cell death of choice as neurons mature (Liu et al., 2004; Yuan, 2009). Mature neurons are one of the most precious cell types in

an organism because of their limited ability to regenerate, yet devastating neuronal losses can occur in pathologic conditions such as stroke, for which there is not direct therapy available yet.

1.1.3. Autophagy

Some authors refer to autophagy as a degradation pathway that complements the proteasomal pathway by degrading long-live proteins, protein aggregates, and organelles. The autophagic process recycles cellular material and produces energy and amino acids, and is therefore usually understood as a protective mechanism, as evidenced during nutrient starvation, for example (Bredesen, 2008). A role of autophagy in PCD is more controversial but direct and indirect observations have led to the concept of autophagy as a non-apoptotic form of PCD (Levine and Yuan, 2005; Shimizu et al., 2004; Yue et al., 2003).

Autophagy is normally mediated by the induction and nucleation of autophagic vesicles that expand and fuse with lysosomes. More than 30 genes have been implicated in autophagy in yeast, and at least 11 have orthologs in mammals (ATG1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, and 16) (Thorburn, 2008; Yorimitsu and Klionsky, 2005). Multiple upstream signaling pathways are able to modulate autophagy and most of them work through mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin), which is a potent inhibitor of autophagy. However, there are also mTOR-independent mechanisms that activate autophagy, e.g. trehalose-induced enhancement of autophagy (Sarkar et al., 2007; Thorburn, 2008).

Recently, it has been shown that direct molecular connections could simultaneously regulate autophagy and apoptosis. For example, apoptosis activator p53 can also activate autophagy through induction of DRAM, while activation of the PI3 kinase/Akt pathway can inhibit both apoptosis and autophagy (Arico et al., 2001; Crighton et al., 2006; Thorburn, 2008). While the apoptosis-autophagy crosstalk can sometimes result in the combined occurrence of both processes, in many other instances, the cell just switches between the two responses in a rather mutually exclusive manner, which is suggested to depend on variable activation thresholds (Maiuri et al., 2007).

1.2. Caenorhabditis elegans as a model for studying necrosis

1.2.1. Unique advantages of *C. elegans* as model organism

C. elegans is a microscopic, free-living, soil nematode highly amenable to genetic studies. Some key features of this model are a rapid, hermaphroditic life cycle of approximately 3 days at 20°C (progressing from a fertilized embryo through four larval stages to become an egg-laying adult), small size of approximately 1.5 mm long when adult, and transparent body that makes it ideal for *in vivo* differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy. In addition, this nematode has a very simple and constant anatomical layout of only 959 cells in the adult hermaphrodite, of which exactly 302 are

neurons (Riddle et al., 1997). The constant cell number allowed researchers to develop a complete map of cell lineages from fertilized egg to adult (Sulston et al., 1983) and also the reconstruction of the whole nematode body from electron micrographs (White et al., 1976). All neuronal connections in *C. elegans* have been mapped, which makes it the only animal with a completely known wiring diagram (White et al., 1986).

Researchers easily and cheaply maintain *C. elegans* in the laboratory, on small agar plates (or in liquid cultures in multi-well plates), using *Escherichia coli* bacteria as a food source. Mutations can also be easily generated thanks to the fact that several mutagens work very efficiently in the worm, particularly ethyl methane sulphonate (EMS) (Hodgkin, 2005). The ability of *C. elegans* to reproduce by self-fertilization greatly simplifies the production of mutants, since homozygous F2 mutants segregate from mutagenized parents without requiring genetic crosses. In addition, the occurrence of some males in the population (males are generated by nondisjunction of chromosome X during meiosis) allows for the transfer of mutant alleles by mating, which makes complementation tests and construction of compound mutants straightforward.

C. elegans has a small genome of approximately 97 megabases, which is completely sequenced, and well annotated. The information on its approximately 19,800 predicted genes is publicly accessible through WormBase.org (Chen et al., 2005b). Remarkably, depending on the particular bioinformatics approach used, putative *C. elegans*

homologs have been identified for 60-80% of human genes (Kaletta and Hengartner, 2006). The vast amount of genomic information and molecular biology tools available are very useful, for example, to perform reverse genetics (Bargmann, 2001; Plasterk, 1992), mutation mapping (Fay, 2006; van der Linden and Plasterk, 2004), cloning and characterization studies (Nakamura et al., 2008; Wei et al., 2005), *etcetera*.

Reverse genetics has particularly gained popularity in the *C. elegans* field due to the remarkable simplicity with which double stranded RNA (dsRNA) can be introduced into nematodes. RNA interference (RNAi) is induced by simply feeding *C. elegans* with bacteria transformed to inducibly transcribe a gene of interest in both directions, hence producing sense and antisense RNA sequences that hybridize to make dsRNA (Timmons et al., 2001). Once the bacteria is eaten, the long dsRNA is absorbed through the intestine and endonucleolytically cleaved into 20-25 nucleotide-long small interfering RNA pieces (siRNA), which trigger a systemic and heritable gene knockdown effects (Grishok, 2005).

Production of transgenic *C. elegans* is also simple and cost-effective, mainly requiring good micromanipulation skills to obtain transformed lines just a few days after microinjecting the DNA of interest into the worm gonads. Biolistic transformation (also known as microparticle bombardment) is an alternative, very efficient method to

produce low copy, integrated transgenes (Evans, 2006; Praitis et al., 2001; Wilm et al., 1999).

Taken together, the broad range of genetic and molecular techniques applicable in the *C. elegans* model system allows unique lines of investigation into fundamental biological problems such as neuronal injury and cell death.

1.2.2. Necrotic-like cell death in C. elegans

Multiple genetic and environmental insults can trigger necrosis in *C. elegans*. Gain-of-function mutations in several genes, such as degenerin ion channels *mec-4(u231)*, *deg-1(u38)*, and *unc-8(n491)* (Chalfie and Wolinsky, 1990; Driscoll and Chalfie, 1991); nicotinic acetylcholine receptor *deg-3(u662)* (Treinin and Chalfie, 1995); and stimulatory α -subunit of trimeric G protein (Berger et al., 1998; Korswagen et al., 1997) can induce cell death that is morphologically similar to mammalian necrosis.

In the Driscoll lab, an elegant necrosis model (Figure 2) has been developed that involves death initiation by hyperactivation of Na⁺/Ca²⁺ ion channel *mec-4(u231)* [gainof-function mutant, commonly referred to as *mec-4(d)*]. As noted above, MEC-4 is a member of the *C. elegans* degenerin protein family, a group of ion channel subunits with homology to mammalian epithelial Na⁺ ion channels (ENaC's), and is involved in mechanosensory transduction, being exclusively expressed in six touch sensory neurons.

Dr. Driscoll and collaborators have characterized in great detail this necrotic process at the cellular level by conducting an EM time course correlated with stages distinguished by light microscopic observation (Hall et al., 1997). Touch neurons initially develop normally and start to express toxic mec-4(d) about 4 hours after the neuron is born. About 4 hours later, first signs of necrosis are evident; necrotic corpses are eliminated by about 8 hours. mec-4(d)-induced necrosis involves initial formation of multilamellar whorl-like structures near the plasma membrane that appear to coalesce and internalize, later intracellular vacuolation that may correspond to mobilization of lysosomes, nuclear distortion associated with chromatin clumping, and eventual degradation of intracellular contents (Hall et al., 1997). Finally, neurons may lyse or be phagocytosed (Chung et al., 2000; Hall et al., 1997). This series of morphological changes resemble experimental excitotoxicity in rats (Rothstein, 1996). Channel hyperactivating mutations in flies and mice have also been found to induce neurodegeneration (Heintz and Zoghbi, 2000). The presence of unusual intracellular inclusions is also a common theme in many distinct human neurodegenerative disorders (Driscoll and Gerstbrein, 2003; Zoghbi and Orr, 2000). In the case of mec-4(d), multilamellar inclusions are strikingly reminiscent of those found in lipid storage disorders such as neural ceroid lipofuscinoses (Driscoll and Gerstbrein, 2003).



Figure 2. Model for MEC-4(d)-induced necrotic-like cell death in *C. elegans*. Hyperactivated MEC-4(d) channels induce elevation of intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration that activates calpain proteases CLP-1 and TRA-3, which in turn activates the cathepsin proteases ASP-3 and ASP-4, and eventually lead to death (Bianchi et al., 2004b; Driscoll and Gerstbrein, 2003; Hall et al., 1997; Syntichaki et al., 2002; Xu et al., 2001), consistent with the calpain-cathepsin hypothesis (Yamashima, 2004).

In our *C. elegans* NCD model, the toxic *mec-4(d)* subunit carries a large sidechain amino acid instead of a highly conserved small residue near the channel pore (AA713), which allows increased ion conductance through the channel (Adams et al., 1998; Driscoll and

Chalfie, 1991; Goodman et al., 2002; Hong and Driscoll, 1994). Recently, colleagues from our laboratory have demonstrated that, in addition to Na⁺, hyperactive *mec-4(d)* channels conduct Ca²⁺, and this may be the causative initial insult triggering Endoplasmic Reticulum Ca²⁺ release (CICR: calcium-induced calcium release) (Bianchi et al., 2004a). Interestingly, related mammalian family member ASIC1a (an acid-sensing ion channel predominantly expressed in the nervous central system) has been recently reported to conduct Ca²⁺ and to be largely responsible for acidosis-mediated, glutamate receptor-independent, neuronal injury (Xiong et al., 2006; Xiong et al., 2004; Yermolaieva et al., 2004).

It has also been reported that lysosomes contribute to necrosis execution in *C. elegans* by acidifying the cytoplasm and releasing hydrolytic enzymes into it. Such events were dependent on the action of vacuolar H⁺-ATPase and lysosomal rupture (Artal-Sanz et al., 2006; Syntichaki et al., 2005). Coincidently, intracellular acidosis has been observed in ischemic astrocytes (Chesler, 2005).

Detrimental environmental conditions such as hypoxia, oxidative stress, and heat stress also induce necrosis in *C. elegans* (Hirsch et al., 2006; Luke et al., 2007; Tawe et al., 1998). These insults apparently activate necrosis through a related process involving calpain-induced lysosomal injury with consequent leakage of lysosomal peptidases into the cytoplasm, as inferred from recent findings that uncovered a pro-survival role for *srp-6* serpin (Luke et al., 2007). Such a role for *srp-6* was dependent on its ability to neutralize calpains and lysosomal cysteine peptidases. Provided sufficient levels within the cell, such inhibitory, anti-peptidase activity proved to counteract even massive lysosomal rupture, suggesting valuable strategies to halt necrosis. As Luke and collaborators conclude, serpin SRP-6 appears critically positioned at the center of the relevant stress response pathway (the one that mediates either adaptation or death by necrosis) by regulating both the induction and outcome of excessive lysosomal permeability.

Autophagy has also recently been implicated in *C. elegans* neuronal necrosis. In 2007, Toth and colleagues showed that three autophagy genes named *unc-51*, *bec-1*, and *lgg-1*, which are involved in cytoplasmic self-degradation, membrane trafficking, and cellular response to starvation, partially suppressed neurodegeneration induced by hyperactive ion channels (Toth et al., 2007). These authors also showed that TOR signaling, which normally downregulates autophagy in response to nutrient availability, protects *C. elegans* neurons from undergoing necrotic cell death, while the contrary expectedly takes place when animals are subjected to starvation (nutrient deprivation upregulates autophagy). Supporting this hypothesis, Samara and collaborators later showed that autophagosome formation occurs early during necrotic cell death in *C. elegans* and that, indeed, autophagy is required for necrosis. These authors additionally demonstrated that autophagy synergizes with lysosomal proteolytic mechanisms, and that calpains may also be implicated in induction of the autophagic response (Samara et

al., 2008). These results somehow contrast with well-known protective effects of autophagy, which, for example, can suppress neurodegeneration in mice (Hara et al., 2006; Komatsu et al., 2006). In this respect, it has been suggested that depending on the actual cellular environment, autophagy may perform a dual role: contributing to cell survival by clearing damage organelles and recycling nutrients, and contributing to cell demise presumably after prolonged over-activation of the pathway (Takacs-Vellai et al., 2006; Toth et al., 2007).

As we can see, this complex molecular choreography is common in many experimental models of necrosis. Necrosis can no longer be considered an accidental, chaotic, and irreversible type of cell death. Instead, it has been demonstrated to involve a variety of well-organized upstream signaling events that converge on a regulatable peptidase pathway. These discoveries could hold the secrets to designing appropriate therapies to interfere with the devastating outcomes of massive necrosis, which is characteristic, for example, in thromboembolic stroke and myocardial infarction in adults, and enterocolitis in preterm infants (Luke et al., 2007).

CHAPTER 2. SCREENING FOR SUPPRESSORS OF *mec-4(d)*-INDUCED CELL DEATH

2.1. Background and Significance

Forward genetics provides a way for scientists to eliminate bias while investigating biological phenomena. By searching for alternative phenotypes of a particular phenomenon and subsequently establishing causality by positional cloning of the responsible DNA variation, researchers can overcome the need for developing a specific hypothesis, which may be influenced by preconceptions. In essence, in isolating relevant mutants, nature reveals itself in the form of heritable variation. For these reasons, forward genetics regularly delivers unexpected discoveries of protein function that are rarely erroneous, and leads to testable hypotheses that are generally better founded than those based on observation alone (Beutler et al., 2007). Another benefit is that by analyzing allelic series generated from forward genetics screens, one can gain insights into relevant structure-function relationships. Secondary screens such as suppressor or enhancer screens are additional valuable tools for identifying genetically interacting genes. However, one limitation of the forward genetic approach is redundancy, which may limit or eliminate the probability of finding transmissible phenotypes induced by single mutations in genes that encode similar functions.

In our particular case, the long-term goal of the lab is to elaborate molecular mechanisms of necrosis by identifying all the genes critical for necrosis regulation and

execution. Toward this end, Dr. Driscoll and colleagues have conducted two preliminary classical forward genetic screens for suppressors of *mec-4(d)*-induced necrosis (see 2.1.1 and 2.1.2 below). Those two previous mutagenesis screens were not conducted to saturation and were focused on isolating only the strongest suppressors of the necrotic-like death. We came to appreciate that partial/weak suppressors are also highly likely to reveal important genes involved in the basic process of necrosis and thus we had a considerable interest in conducting a near-saturation screen for all mutations that influence necrosis, including those with partial suppression effects.

2.1.1. A GFP-based screen for restored touch neuron viability

The first GFP-based screen carried out in our lab was a highly labor-intensive GFP-based screen for restored touch neuron viability. A strain was engineered to express GFP in six touch receptor neurons, driven by the *mec-4* promoter (strain $Is[p_{mec-4}GFP]$). When the toxic *mec-4(d)* mutation is introduced into that background (strain $Is[p_{mec-4}GFP]$; *mec-4(d)*), the touch neurons die and are unable to produce GFP. This "dark" line was mutagenized and the F2 generation screened for rare occurrence of restored touch neuron fluorescence, indicating death suppression (Royal et al., 2005). In this initial screen, 10,000 animals were mutagenized and candidate suppressor lines in which fluorescence was observed in all six touch neurons were selected. These strong suppressors were found to be intragenic *mec-4* mutations that eliminated MEC-4 channel function (8 isolates) and mutations in *mec-6*, which is necessary for MEC-4 channel stability (4 isolates). These particular loci affected the channel death-inducing
stimulus rather than the postulated death pathway, and were thus not of interest for our long-term goal of identifying necrosis modulators. In addition, several mild suppressors were found with partially penetrant phenotypes in which several, but not all, touch neurons survived per animal. Of these, mutant allele *bz2* was the first of a studied subgroup of intragenic mutations in *mec-4(d)*. *mec-4(d,bz2)* appears to disrupt efficient channel trafficking to, or maintenance at, the plasma membrane. This mutant proved to have highly interesting properties, including that it is a strong temperature sensitive and that at 20°C affected touch neurons initially swell, just as in the *mec-4(d)* background, but instead of dying, about 13% recover and remain viable well into adulthood. Such findings indicated that extensive swelling could occur before commitment to death, and suggested a neuronal capacity of recovering after swelling (Royal et al., 2005).

2.1.2. A screen for suppression of death induced by ectopic expression of mec-4(d) in the ventral nerve cord

After finding that ectopic expression of the *mec-4(d)* channel can cell-autonomously kill the expressing neurons (Harbinder et al., 1997), colleagues in our lab designed a more efficient screen based on the expression of *mec-4(d)* under the control of the *unc-8* promoter in the ventral nerve cord. Cord neurons consequently swell and die and animals become fully paralyzed. However, animals are still able to self-fertilize and eat. After mutagenizing this strain, Xu and coworkers (Xu et al., 2001) looked for animals that could move as well as wild type, which again narrowed the search to strong death suppressors. In this screen (45,000 haploid genomes) our lab identified many *mec-6* alleles (*mec-6* influences *mec-4* channel activity in ectopic sites as well as in touch neurons), four calreticulin (*crt-1*) alleles, and two other loci on chromosome I that are under analysis in other work. Since the focus at the time was on strong suppressors, weak ones were not saved, although they were definitely noticed.

From this "ectopic" suppressor screen, the analysis of strong death suppressor crt-1 revealed several interesting findings. Null alleles of ER Ca²⁺-binding chaperone calreticulin, which plays a major role in maintenance of intracellular Ca²⁺ stores (Michalak et al., 1999), are strong suppressors of death induced by several tested hyperactive degenerin channels and are also partial suppressors of $G\alpha_{s}$ -induced death (Xu et al., 2001) and glutamate-induced excitotoxic death (Mano and Driscoll, 2009). Although effects on folding/assembly of the toxic MEC-4(d) channel appear to contribute to death suppression, calreticulin's function as a regulator of intracellular Ca²⁺ stores also appears critical. Mutations in ER Ca²⁺ release channels IP3 receptor *itr-1* and ryanodine receptor unc-68 can also significantly suppress death, as can pharmacological manipulations that block ER Ca²⁺ release, such as dantrolene. Conversely, treatment with thapsigargin, which elevates intracellular Ca²⁺, can restore degeneration even when calreticulin is absent. The implication is that for mec-4(d)induced cell death, the intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration must rise to critical levels for progression through necrosis, similar to what occurs in mammalian excitotoxicity. Our findings in nematodes implicate the ER as an important source or modulator of the extreme Ca²⁺ elevations required for necrotic cell death. Similar lines of evidence have been obtained using a mammalian model of traumatic spinal cord injury. Both dantrolene and ryanodine (inhibitors of the ryanodine sensitive receptor RyR) and 2APB (an inhibitor of ER Ca²⁺ release IP3 receptor) were shown to be significantly neuroprotective, suggesting a critical role for ER Ca²⁺ stores (Thorell et al., 2002).

2.1.3. Technological advances allowing for high throughput screening (HTS)

Until recently, fluorescent screening of transgenic *C. elegans* expressing GFP protein, for example, had to be done manually under the dissecting or compound microscopes. Such microscopic examination proved to be time consuming and not suitable for large saturation mutagenesis efforts focused on touch cells. With the advent of automatic COPAS Large Particle Flow Cytometers (Figure 3, Union Biometrica, Massachusetts), the tasks of in-flow analysis, sorting, and collection of objects and biological materials ranging in size from 20 to 1500 microns in diameter became possible. Since these instruments have been designed to accommodate larger objects while maintaining viability, their use is appropriate to screen and sort *C. elegans* specimens of all stages.

As stated in Union Biometrica website (<u>http://unionbiometrica.com/products/</u> <u>overview.html</u>), the patented COPAS technology platforms "are the only fullyautomated systems for high throughput analysis, sorting, and dispensing of small model organisms, seeds, pollen, as well as large particles such as combinatorial chemistry beads. The COPAS instrument enables researchers to measure objects by optical signatures including density and size, and by the presence of fluorescent markers".



Figure 3. Particle Flow Cytometer System. Diagram of the particle flow and sorting principle.

COPAS stands for Complex Object Parametric Analyzer and Sorter. Objects flow from a continuously mixed sample cup to a pre-analysis chamber, where the sample is surrounded by a "sheath" solution to produce a stabilized laminar flow and focus the objects of interest in the center of the flow stream. Objects then pass through the flow cell where four optical parameters are measured for each organism using two lasers. A red diode laser is used to measure both the size and optical density of the objects, and a multi-line argon laser is used to excite user-selected fluorophores. The COPAS instrument then measures the emission signals. The real time-analysis of these measured parameters is used to make sort decisions, and only those objects meeting the user-set sort criteria are dispensed into microtiter plates or stationary receptacles. Those organisms not meeting the sort criteria are gently sorted by a puff of air to a sample container, where they may be recovered, unharmed and viable (http://www.unionbio.com/products/copas2.html).

Although slower than I expected and often requiring attention from the user (to maintain proper liquid flow necessary for accurate sorting), this piece of equipment represents a valuable resource for those genetics laboratories engaging in, or willing to perform, high-throughput screens using small model organism such as *C. elegans*, *Danio rerio*, *Drosophila melanogaster*, and *Arabidopsis Thaliana* (seeds) among others.

In particular, using this automated sorting system I was able to rapidly conduct a nearsaturation screen covering more than 56,000 genomes. I isolated 107 mutant lines that were assigned to seven loci, four of which represented novel genes.

2.2. Material and Methods

2.2.1. C. elegans strains and genetics

I followed standard procedures for *C. elegans* strain maintenance, crosses, and other genetic manipulations (Brenner, 1974). Nematodes were grown on standard nematode growth medium (NGM) plates seeded with *Escherichia coli* strain OP-50 as food source and incubated at 20°C.

Wild type worms were N2 strain Bristol isolate and CB4856 Hawaiian. Other strains used were: SK4005: *zdls5[pmec-4::GFP] I*, TU253: *mec-4(u253) X*, ZB1028: *crt-1(bz29) V*, ZB1585: *mec-6(u450) unc-11(e47) I*, JT73: *itr-1(sa73) IV*, CB540: *unc-68(e540) V*, and mutagenesis strain ZB1259: *zdls5 I*; *mec-4(u231) X*. Strains ZB2906: *zdls5*; *rol-6(su1006) unc-4(e120) II*; *mec-4(d)* and ZB2972: *zdls5*; *unc-4(e120) bli-1(e769) II*; *mec-4(d)* were used for three factor crosses, while SP619: *mnDf57/mnC1 dpy-10(e128) unc-52(e444) II*, SP542: *mnDf29/mnC1 dpy-10(e128) unc-52(e444) II*, SP645: *mnDf63/mnC1 dpy-10(e128) unc-52(e444) II*, SP541: *mnDf28 / mnC1 dpy-10(e128) unc-52(e444) II unc-52(e444) II*, SP541: *mnDf28 / mnC1 dpy-10(e128) unc-52(e444) II unc-52(e444) II*, SP541: *mnDf28 unc-52(e444) II*, SP541:

Lines generated for transgenic rescue experiments were constructed by microinjecting purified cosmid DNA and co-injection marker pRF4[*rol-6(su1006)*] into mutant *zdIs5; des(bz200) mec-4(d)* background (cosmid DNA concentration ranged from 1-10 ng/ μ l, while total DNA concentration was always adjusted to 125 ng/ μ l). Roller transformants

able to pass the extra-chromosomal array to the progeny were selected and used for further phenotypic characterization.

2.2.2. EMS mutagenesis and high-throughput strategy

I used strain ZB1259: zdls5[pmec-4GFP]; mec-4(d) for mutagenesis. In this strain, GFP signal is at a minimum since the majority of touch receptor neurons die (the average number of surviving, GFP-expressing neurons is 0.69 of 6 possible). L4/young adults were mutagenized using ethane methyl sulfonate (EMS) according to standard protocols (Brenner, 1974). This parental mutagenized population was allowed to lay eggs for three consecutive days at 20°C, and was transferred to fresh plates each day to generate synchronized F1 populations. F1 animals were allowed to grow for 3 days and were sorted by size through the COPAS Biosort (Complex Object Parametric Analyzer and Sorter, Union Biometrica, MA). Fifty L4 worms were sorted to each 100mm NGM plates for a total of 20-30 plates per run/day, depending on availability of L4 larvae. Four days later, sorted F1 animals had self-fertilized and produced the F2 generation, which was then subjected to an additional sorting run through the COPAS Biosort, this time gated to detect 3 or more fluorescent neurons. Stocks of candidate homozygous suppressor mutants with most animals harboring ≥ 3 fluorescent touch cells were generated for further study. The presence of at least 3 fluorescent neurons was chosen as primary criteria for automated sorting of suppressor mutants because 2 fluorescent, surviving touch cells can be found with a relatively high frequency in the mutagenesis strain, establishing the practical background.

To ensure independence of isolated mutants, I sorted only one F2 population (all the animals contained in a single 100mm plate) per run, hence 20-30 runs/day were needed. In addition, once a potential suppressor mutant was sorted, the siblings were cloned out to individual small plates and only one plate was kept later, ensuring the homogeneity of the population selected for further characterization. In this way (see flow diagram below in Figure 4), I screened 56,600 haploid genomes in 8 biweekly staggered runs as diagramed in Figure 5. I isolated 107 independent mutant death-suppressor lines that were furthered characterized by linkage assignment, complementation, and three factor crosses.





Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
	- MO	• Transfer RoisM	O• Transfer BisMO:	Transfer bis MO.	· 10-12 Sout F1-N	· Chunk Mut:
-	• 12-8 Saren M	2012-8 Sovern MD	3 • 12-8 Screen M ≥ •	12-8 Screen M		
	• M D • 12-8 Sacen M C	• Transfer Bis Md) • Iz-8 Sercen MO	• Transfer Pois MQ • 10-12 Soit F1 MQ • 12-8 Screen MO	> 10-12 Sort F1A	· Chunk Mut. 100-12 Sof F1
	• M ()	• Transfer Pois MC)•Transker BisMO	• Transfer Rus MQ • 10-12 Sort F1MQ	• 10-12 Seaf Fir	• Chunk Hut • Chunk Hut
	• 12-8 Sween MQ	>=12-85creen M	D•12-8 Screen M	Screen M.D		
	• M 🛆	· Transfer Poistk	1. Transfer BisMD	• Transfer Pois M () • 10-12 Sof F1 M ()	-10-12 Sort M/	· Chunk Mut.) · 10-12 Sart M.
	12-8 Scilen M	D = 12-8 Screen MC) • 12-8 Serien MOD.	•12-8Seven M.O		
	• M 🔯	• Transfer Bis MD	Z. Tanje BisMQ	• Transfer Boss M (2) • 10-12 Sout PI M (2)	. 10-12 Stat P1 M	• Chunk Mut • Soft FM ME
	. 12-8 Secter MI	1.12-8 Saeen M/	$1 \cdot 12 - 8$ Schen M Δ	\sim 12-8 Scien MA		
ickNot	es®					
Intagen	ressis order:	T MI	Z->MC	D. m)	Margh	1) \$ (2.2
		MÁ		MQ		
		/	MAK			

Figure 5. Scheduling diagram for high-throughput mutagenesis & sorting strategy.

2.2.3. Linkage and complementation analysis

First, I determined the dominance pattern of mutants and linkage to chromosome X. For this, mutant L4 hermaphrodites were crossed to males from the original "mutagenesis strain" $zdIs5[p_{mec-4}GFP]$; mec-4(d) ("non-glowing" phenotype, non-suppressed death, herein referred to as zdIs5; mec-4(d)). Hermaphrodite and male progeny were separately scored under the fluorescent microscope. The dominance pattern for the specific suppressor can be inferred by analyzing the glowing pattern of the heterozygous hermaphrodite progeny. On the other hand, by analyzing the glowing pattern of hemizygous male progeny, it can be inferred whether recessive suppressors are located on chromosome X (recessive mutations will only show a death-suppressing phenotype in male progeny if they localize to chromosome X). This is a helpful consequence of male hemizygocity in the nematode.

Many intragenic suppressors (2^{nd} site mutations in *mec-4(d)* that render the mutant hyperactive channel non-functional, and thus unable to insult neurons to death) were expected as an inherent outcome of this particular screen. Since the *mec-4* gene is located on chromosome X, all recessive suppressors assigned to chromosome X were subjected to an initial round of complementation with the null allele *mec-4(u253)*. If the mutant suppressor happens to be an allele of *mec-4* (intragenic 2^{nd} site mutation on the original *mec-4(d)* gene), the resulting heteroallelic hermaphrodite progeny *mec-4(new-mutant-allele)/mec-4(u253)* will fail to complement and the progeny will maintain the death-suppressing phenotype.

Some autosomal mutations were expected to fall into known suppressor categories such as: *mec-6* (strong suppressor located on LGI encoding a gene needed for *mec-4* channel function), *crt-1* (strong suppressor located on LGV encoding a ER chaperone that is also involved in ER Ca²⁺ storage), *itr-1* (partial suppressor located on LGIV encoding the ER IP₃ receptor Ca²⁺ release channel), and *unc-68* (another partial suppressor located on LGV encoding to the ER interval on LGV encoding the ER ryanodine receptor Ca²⁺ release channel). Four additional rounds of complementation tests were therefore performed with the 16 suppressor lines that were not linked to chromosome X. Test alleles used in these cases were likely-null *mec-6(u450)*, null *crt-1(bz29)*, and partial loss-of-function alleles of *itr-1(sa73)* and *unc-68(e540)* (Mary Ann Royal and Mike Lizzio performed these crosses).

Additional rounds of complementation were performed between the novel mutations themselves, to define which ones represented alleles of a single locus and which ones defined distinct loci.

2.3. Results

2.3.1. High throughput screening

Fifty six thousand six hundred haploid genomes were screened, at approximately 8,000 (maximum) mutagenized haploid genomes per week, during a period of 8 "sorting-weeks". An example of the COPAS Biosort output screen from a detected and sorted suppressor line is shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Example of COPAS biosorter output screen.

I isolated 107 mutant death-suppressors (hence loci designation *des*) and maintained them as individual strains. For each suppressor line, homozygocity of the population was ensured by cloning out individual worms with the highest number of fluorescent neurons and observing the "glowing" pattern of their respective descendants. Plates where all progeny had a consistent death-suppressed phenotype were maintained and considered homozygous, once the possibility of dominancy was eliminated (by analyzing the progeny from respective backcrosses to mutagenesis strain ZB1259: *zdls5 l; mec-*4(u231) X).

Ninety one out of the 107 mutants appeared linked to the sex chromosome and recessive while 16 appeared autosomal. Out of those 16 autosomal alleles, 15 were recessive and one (*bz178*) was semi-dominant. Out of the 91 X-linked suppressors, 85 failed to complement null *mec-4* and presumably represent alleles of *mec-4*. The other six X-linked suppressors failed to complement each other, which suggested that they were alleles of the same gene and defined a novel suppressor locus on LGX. Alleles represent valuable and potentially informative reagents because their sequence analysis can help confirm gene identity, and because we can obtain functional information about putative domains in the specific protein. Alleles are also useful for comparisons between complete and partial loss-of-function phenotypes.

Eight autosomal mutants were alleles of *mec-6*, two were alleles of *crt-1*, and none were alleles of either *itr-1* or *unc-68*. The remaining six autosomal mutant alleles complemented the four known suppressors; therefore, they presumably represent previously unknown suppressor loci. After additional rounds of inter-complementation tests among the six novel mutants, four of them (*bz100, bz148, bz180, bz181,* and *bz200*) appeared to be alleles of the same gene (they failed to complement in the heteroallelic combination), which I later mapped to LGII. Allele *bz200* was chosen as reference for this locus because the strain had a tightly linked secondary roller phenotype that facilitated its initial linkage mapping. Semidominant allele *bz178* appeared unique and later mapped to LGIV. Allele *bz199* also appeared unique and later mapped to LGI. Table 1 summarizes the chromosomal allocation for all isolated mutants.

	# of mutants	# of alleles		eles	complementation groups	allele designations
X linked	91	mec-4	85		1	(Royal et al., 2005)
		novel	6		1	bz91, bz121, bz125, bz130, bz146, bz156
Autosomal	16	mec-6		8	1	bz88, bz92, bz140, bz142, bz152, bz166, bz169, bz194
		crt-1		2	1	bz190, bz197
		novel		4 in LGII	1	bz100, bz180, bz181, bz200
			6	1 in LGIV	1	bz178
				1 in LGI	1	bz199
Total	107					

Table 1. Summary of *mec-4(d)*-induced death suppressor mutants isolated.

In summary, twelve novel suppressor lines that defined at least four suppressor loci were revealed by the complementation tests. Ten other lines failed to complement already known suppressor loci *crt-1* (2 lines) and *mec-6* (8 lines), and the remaining 85 were intragenic mutations in *mec-4(d)*. A brief description of this group of intragenic suppressors can be found in reference (Royal et al., 2005), on which I was an author.

This distribution indicates that this particular screen may be approaching saturation, since multiple alleles have been found for many of the loci apparently involved in the mechanism. Other important loci may still be missing from our list and may never be found following this particular strategy for a number of reasons, including cases where the suppressor mutant may be lethal and cases where redundancy may mask the effect of single gene mutations. Alternatively, non-overlapping expression patterns of genes may still hide novel necrosis suppressors from detection in our system (which follows necrosis in only 6 touch sensory neurons). For example, Luke and collaborators discovered that serpin *spr-6* is an important pro-survival regulator and suppressor of intestinal necrosis. However, *srp-6* (which appears able to suppress *mec-4(d)*-induced death in transgenic lines) could not be detected in our screen involving endogenous expression of *mec-4(d)* because *srp-6* is not expressed in the touch sensory neurons (Luke et al., 2007).

2.3.2. Efforts towards positional cloning of LGII-linked necrosis suppressor locus, comprised of alleles *bz100*, *bz180*, *bz181*, *bz200*

Mutant alleles of the LGII-linked locus behave as weak suppressor of necrotic cell death. Strain zdls5; des(bz200); mec-4(d), chosen as reference for the novel locus, has an average of 2.36 fluorescent neurons, which survived the necrotic insult by the L4 stage (mutagenesis strain zdls5; mec-4(d) has an average of only 0.61 living neurons; maximum death suppression would allow the 6 touch sensory neurons to survive). Mutant allele *bz200* was initially isolated with a tightly linked secondary roller phenotype. This original bz200 roller line was a useful reagent because it allowed me to quickly perform a first round of SNP mapping against CB4856 Hawaiian strain by following the secondary roller phenotype, then assessing selected polymorphic SNP markers on all chromosomes to determine linkage. This strategy was feasible due to the tight linkage between bz200 and the roller marker. After conducting such initial SNP strategy and analyzing 23 recombinants, which all contained bz200 as expected, the bz200 mutation was mapped to the center of chromosome II, between genetic coordinates II:-1.80 and II:+3.34. By using complementation tests against roller genes around the center of chromosome II, I determined that the roller mutation was allelic to rol-8 at position II:+0.50.

In order to narrow down the position of the *bz200* mutant gene, I conducted additional 3-factor crosses. I first constructed compound mutant strains *zdIs5; rol-6(II:+0.80) unc-4(II:+1.75); mec-4(d)* and *zdIs5; unc-4(II:+1.75) bli-1(II:+2.80); mec-4(d)* by crossing the

respective double mutants (obtained from CGC) into the *Is5* and *mec-4(d)* backgrounds. Then, I crossed these strains with zd*Is5; bz200; mec-4(d)* males (note that this is the outcrossed non-roller *bz200* strain) and recombinants of both types were isolated (from the F2 generation) and made homozygous by the F3 generation. Table 2 summarizes the results from these crosses and their implications while narrowing down the location of allele *bz200* to the interval between *unc-4* (II:+1.75) and *bli-1* (II:+2.80). Attempts to construct a compound mutant carrying the 2 visible markers and *bz200* (to be used in a fine SNP mapping strategy), using both kinds of recombinants from the *unc-4 bli-1* three-factor cross, were unsuccessful so I employed alternate mapping strategies in order to continue narrowing down the location of the mutant locus before proceeding with transgenic rescue experiments (wt cosmids injections), which could be difficult to interpret sometimes.

Table 2. Results from three-factor crosses while mapping allele bz200.

	II:+0.80	II:+1.75		II:+1.75	II:+2.80
	rol-6 non-unc-4	unc-4 non-rol-6		<i>unc-4</i> non- <i>bli</i>	<i>bli-1</i> non- <i>unc-4</i>
	recombinants	recombinants		recombinants	recombinants
bz200	13/13 (100%)	0/11 (0%)		3/5 (60%)	1/6 (16.7%)
conclusion	<i>bz200</i> is to the right of <i>unc-4</i>			bz200 betweer	n unc-4 and bli-1

Deficiency mapping results shown in Table 3 suggested that *bz200* was located in the genetic interval II:+1.86..+2.12 (0.26 m.u.), which is covered by 5 cosmids (Figure 7).

 Table 3. Results from deficiency mapping performed on mutant strain carrying allele

 bz200.

SP619 [mnDf57/mnC1 dpy-10(e128) unc-52(e444)II] (II:1.67-2.92) (failed to complement) SP542 [mnDf29/mnC1 dpy-10(e128) unc-52(e444)II] (II:1.61-2.44) (failed to complement) SP645 [mnDf63/mnC1 dpy-10(e128) unc-52(e444)II] (II:0.82-2.12) (failed to complement) CB4077 [mnDf21/mnC1 dpy-10(e128) unc-52(e444)II] (II: 1.67-1.86) - (complemented) SP541 [mnDf28/mnC1 dpy-10(e128) unc-52(e444)II] (II: 1.72-1.84) - (complemented)

Highlighted numbers in blue indicates location to the right of the specific position; highlighted numbers in red indicates location to the left of the specific position; underlined and **bold** numbers define the interval where *bz200* appeared to be located

Having narrowed down the location of allele *bz200* to a relatively short interval on chromosome II, covered by a handful of cosmids, transgenic rescue experiments were the next logical step. Initially, a pool containing five cosmids (F52H3, C18D1, ZK945, F27E5, and F33H1) covering the above-described interval and surrounding areas were injected as a means to corroborate previous mapping data and test the feasibility of procedure. After obtaining two transformed lines which demonstrated partial rescue-of-death, one pool of two and single cosmids were injected.

Cosmid F33H1 consistently showed partial rescue-of-death in the majority of several lines obtained, which was very encouraging. Nevertheless, not a single mutation was found after completely sequencing the genomic region from *bz200* covered by the cosmid. One possible explanation could be that the real mutation resides in a nearby regulatory sequence that regulates the expression of a gene contained in cosmid F33H1.

Alternatively, overexpression of a gene contained in that cosmid could induce death on its own, independently of the LGII suppressor locus. Interestingly, RFX-transcription factor *daf-19* is contained in cosmid F33H1, and this gene has been recently reported to induce degeneration when overexpressed (Yu et al., 2003). Discarding then cosmid F33H1, my results suggest that the LGII-linked suppressor locus may be located in one of the four remaining cosmids covering the above mentioned interval and comprised of 26 genes reported by WormBase curators (Figure 8, Table 4).

The fact that no transgenic rescue was achieved with the four remaining cosmids may indicate that a higher number of transgenic lines should be produced in order to find the elusive transformants. Another issue to consider is that cosmids have some tendency to rearrange and lose pieces of DNA, preferentially those with certain levels of homology. In such a case, one could be testing a cosmid thinking that it is complete but in fact, relevant DNA information may be missing. To address this issue, I checked restriction patterns of purified cosmid DNA before injection and compared them to theoretically expected ones, but there is still the possibility that small deletions in the cosmid sequence could have gone undetected, due to a lack of resolution inherent to agarose electrophoresis techniques.



Figure 7. Efforts towards positional cloning of LGII-linked suppressor locus.

Initial SNP mapping strategy located allele bz200 on LGII and between genetic coordinates II:-1.80 and II:+3.34. Three-factor mapping additionally narrowed down the location of the mutant locus to area between genetic markers unc-4 (II:+1.75) and bli-1 (II:+2.80). Deficiency mapping further reduced the area of interested to the interval between II:+1.86 and II:+2.12, which is covered by 5 cosmids (F52H3, C18D1, ZK945, F27E5, and F33H1), one of which harbors no mutation (for cosmid F33H1, I sequenced the entire genomic regions from *bz200* and did not identify any sequence polymorphism).



Figure 8. Zooming in the 4-cosmids area (F52H3, C18D1, ZK945, and F27E5).

WormBase.org, release WS201. A list of the 26 genes covered in this genomic interval is presented in Table 4.

Name	Pos	Туре	Description
<u>let-242</u>	1.8603	mapped mutant	Molecular identity unknown. Lethal.
<u>21ur-14969</u>	1.8712	mapped mutant	Molecular identity unknown. 21U RNA class.
<u>evl-3</u>	1.8714	mapped mutant	Molecular identity unknown. Abnormal eversion of the vulva.
<u>C18D1.1</u> / <u>die-1</u>	1.8723	named gene	die-1 encodes a C2H2 zinc finger protein containing four fingers, homologous to CG18265-PA in Drosophila; DIE-1 is autonomously required in the posterior dorsal hypodermis for intercalation, for morphogenesis in other embryonic tissues, and for normal postembryonic growth and vulval development.
<u>ZK945.1</u> / <u>lact-2</u>	1.8861	named gene	lact-2 encodes a beta-lactamase domain- containing protein that contains a predicted transmembrane domain in its N terminus.
<u>ZK945.2</u> / <u>pas-7</u>	1.8873	named gene	pas-7 encodes a proteasome alpha-type three subunit of the core 20S proteasome subcomplex; loss of pas-7 activity via RNAi results in several defects including embryonic and larval lethality, sterility, and abnormal meiotic progression.
ZK945.3 / puf-12	1.8885	named gene	Pumilio/FBF domain-containing protein. RNA-binding protein
<u>ZK945.4</u>	1.8898	predicted gene	62% similarity to paralog <i>C. elegans</i> ADP- ribosylation factors (Arf family) (contains ATP/GTP binding P-loop), Zinc finger, C3HC4 type (RING finger)
<u>ZK945.6</u>	1.8908	predicted gene	Nematode specific gene. A protein complex that forms part of a proton-transporting two-sector ATPase complex.
<u>ZK945.7</u>	1.8915	predicted gene	No molecular description available. Nematode specific gene. Spermatogenesis enriched expression.
<u>ZK945.8</u>	1.8919	predicted gene	Protein phosphatase inhibitor domain.

			Homolog of human Protein phosphatase 1 regulatory subunit 11
<u>ZK945.9</u> / <u>lov-1</u>	1.8951	named gene	lov-1 encodes an ortholog of human PKD1 (OMIM:601313; mutated in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease) that is expressed in the ciliated sensory endings of three types of male-specific neurons and that is required for two aspects of male mating behavior: response to hermaphrodite contact and vulva location; LOV-1 acts with PKD-2; EGL-44 and EGL-46 regulate cell-specific expression of lov-1 and pkd-2 to specify the behavioral function of the HOB neuron; <i>in vitro</i> , LOV-1 interacts, via its conserved PLAT domain, with the N- terminus of ATP-2, the beta subunit of ATP synthase that also localizes to cilia, suggesting that ATP synthase may play a role in C. elegans polycystin signaling.
<u>F27E5.7</u>	1.9185	predicted gene	No molecular description available. Nematode specific gene.
<u>F27E5.8</u>	1.9262	predicted gene	GPCR, chemoreceptor. 88% similarity to F27E5.5
<u>F27E5.5</u>	1.9357	predicted gene	GPCR, chemoreceptor. 82% similarity to F27E5.8
F27E5.4 / phg-1	1.9555	named gene	Pharynx-associated GAS (growth arrest protein) related. 45% similarity to human growth arrest-specific protein 1.
<u>F27E5.1</u>	1.9717	predicted gene	Acid ceramidase. 88% similarity to human N-acylsphingosine amidohydrolase 1 isoform b.
<u>F27E5.3</u>	1.9829	predicted gene	48% similarity to human isoform 1 of cell division cycle 2-related protein kinase 7.
<u>mel-30</u>	1.9891	mapped mutant	Molecular identity unknown. Maternal- effect lethality.
<u>evl-4</u>	2.0000	mapped mutant	Molecular identity unknown. Abnormal eversion of vulva.
<u>mig-19</u>	2.0000	mapped mutant	Molecular identity unknown. Abnormal cell migration.
let-243	2.0086	mapped mutant	Molecular identity unknown. Lethal.

<u>let-244</u>	2.0086	mapped mutant	Molecular identity unknown. Lethal.
<u>F27E5.2</u> / <u>pax-3</u>	2.0154	named gene	pax-3 encodes a divergent paired-like homeodomain protein that does not belong to the Q50, K50, or S50 classes; PAX-3 is required for locomotion and vulval development; pax-3(RNAi) animals have consistent PvI and Unc phenotypes (as well as less consistent Bmd, Rup, and Stp phenotypes).
<u>stu-2</u>	2.0394	mapped mutant	Molecular identity unknown. Sterile and uncoordinated.
<u>F33H1.6</u>	2.0682	predicted gene	No molecular description available. Integral to membrane.

2.4. Discussion and Future Prospects

In general terms, this high-throughput mutagenesis screen successfully identified multiple novel loci that are able to suppress necrotic cell death in *C. elegans*. Currently, two of these loci have been cloned and characterized (my work on X-linked locus *uggt-1* discussed in Chapter 3, and work of colleagues Dewey Royal and collaborators on LGIV-linked locus Y57G11C.15, a Sec61-homolog).

Most of the suppressor alleles detected by this particular screening strategy represent intragenic *mec-4(d)* mutations that disrupt the function of the hyperactive channel (85 out of 107, which account for 79% of the total). This result was expected based on previous smaller screen described in epigraph 2.1.1. Dr. Driscoll and collaborators have previously reported that EMS-induced nonsense mutations that disrupt MEC-4 channel function are generally dispersed along the length of the *mec-4* coding sequence, a 2307 nucleotide sequence that codes for a 768 aminoacid protein (Hong et al., 2000). Since EMS preferentially causes C to T and G to A transitions (Coulondre and Miller, 1977), it has the potential to affect 548 of the 768 amino acids in the MEC-4 protein (Hong et al., 2000). This supports the idea that EMS mutagenesis can generate an ample variety of intragenic, second site mutations in the *mec-4(d)* mutant gene that could potentially eliminate its function, hence the toxic insult and consequent activation of necrotic death. This type of suppressor directly and dramatically affects the channel function, so its effect in death suppression is generally strong, making its detection extremely efficient in our fluorescence-based screening strategies. In addition, since *mec-4* is not an essential gene, all mutations could in principle be detected.

Some expected alleles were not identified in my screen. More precisely, we did not isolate *itr-1* or *unc-68* suppressor alleles, although existing partial loss-of-function alleles *itr-1(sa73)* and *unc-68(e540)* have previously been reported to mildly suppress necrosis (Xu et al., 2001). An explanation for this could be that weak alleles of these genes may not be detected by our screen strategy, while strong loss-of-function alleles could not be detected either, due to their detrimental pleiotropic effects (Kamath et al., 2003; Sonnichsen et al., 2005) that cause either embryonic lethality, or sterility, or slow growth, *etc*.

Further efforts are needed to identify the remaining two novel loci (LGI- and LGIIlinked). Royal and collaborators are already working on positionally cloning the LGIlinked suppressor (unique allele *bz199*). I advanced related work on the LGII-linked locus as to mapping the responsible mutation to a 140 kb genomic interval comprised of 26 open reading frames (Figure 8, Table 4). With the advent of next generation sequencing (NGS) technologies, the sequencing of the above mentioned interval is already economically feasible. Identification of the relevant mutation would be straightforward, akin to a candidate gene sequencing approach. A caveat to consider in my *bz200* mapping strategy is the fact that deficiency mapping may be at times problematic, since it is known that deficiencies may contain non-mapped alterations that might interfere with particular phenotypes. I would still consider that this is not a major problem in the *bz200* mapping case, since I obtained consistent results from the analysis of 5 distinct deficiencies (3 failing to complement and 2 complementing the *bz200* mutant phenotype), which make the possible effect of a specific unsuspected alteration less probable to affect the final conclusion.

In any case, if a strategy using NGS technologies were subsequently employed to identify this locus, it might be advisable to include a longer genomic interval containing the above referenced one, which is not at all a problem since NGS technologies are applicable to massive genomic areas (including full genomes) and we are targeting here just a very small portion of the *C. elegans* genome (e.g. 140 KB of sequence represents only 0.14% of the *C. elegans* genome).

Overall, this particular nearly-saturated screening approach indicates that there are about nine genes that can mutate to induce suppression of *mec-4(d)*-induced necroticlike cell death in *C. elegans* touch neurons. Two of these genes, *mec-4(d)* and *mec-6*, do not directly affect the death pathway, but the functionality and availability, respectively, of the initiator toxic insult. Two other loci, linked to LGI and LGII remain to be identified and characterized, whereas the remaining five (*crt-1*, *itr-1*, *unc-68*, *uggt-1*, and Y57G11C.15 –a Sec61 homolog) are all relevant to ER function. The latter observation suggests that the ER plays a crucial role in the activation of necrosis in *C. elegans*, akin to the fundamental role played by the mitochondria in apoptosis. I recommend therefore, that additional efforts be dedicated to better understand the basic roles that ER-to-nucleus signaling and ER-mitochondria crosstalk may be playing during NCD progression. Such studies will likely contribute insights into other degenerative disease models as well, such as those involving toxic protein aggregation.

CHAPTER 3. UDP-GLUCOSE:GLYCOPROTEIN GLUCOSYLTRANSFERASE 1 (UGGT-1): NOVEL *C. ELEGANS* NECROSIS SUPPRESSOR

The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is a dynamic organelle that controls a wide variety of cellular processes including folding of newly synthesized proteins, sterol biosynthesis, Ca^{2+} storage, and signaling processes such as Ca^{2+} release, arachidonic acid release, and apoptosis regulation, *etc.* The ER structural organization is extremely varied: appearing as flattened sacks mainly when engaged in protein synthesis or existing as an interconnected meshwork of tubules, which are constantly remodeled and may contribute to microlocalized Ca^{2+} signaling inside the cell (Berridge, 2002). Such capacity to divide into autonomous signaling units enables the ER to create modular signaling systems such as those found in neurons and involved in memory, e.g. ER that enters individual spines can release Ca^{2+} independently of neighboring spines (Rose and Konnerth, 2001; Wang et al., 2000).

It is important to point out that ER functioning is tightly coupled to the mitochondria, which assist during Ca²⁺ signaling with the recovery phase by recycling Ca²⁺ back to the ER. In a normal equilibrium, the bulk of intracellular Ca²⁺ resides in the ER (millimolar concentration), where it also contributes to maintaining the activity of chaperones responsible for optimal protein folding.

As mentioned above, the ER is a major compartment where folding and sorting of newly synthesized secretory proteins takes place. This process is subject to a strict quality control surveillance that retains and eventually disposes of misfolded proteins before they can exit the ER. Central to this surveillance mechanism is the calnexin/calreticulin (CNX/CRT) cycle and ER-associated proteasomal degradation (ERAD). The CNX/CRT cycle functions as a kinetic trap that retains intermediates that are not properly folded while allowing native folded proteins to proceed along the secretory pathway (Dejgaard et al., 2004). ERAD on the other hand, plays a central clearance role inside the cell: terminally misfolded proteins are trimmed by ER α 1,2-mannosidases and transported to the cytosol, via the Sec61p translocon complex, where they are poly-ubiquitinated and degraded by the proteasome (Fewell et al., 2001; Hampton, 2002).

Perturbations of ER functions can provoke dramatic consequences to the cells and the organism. For example, secretion defects of particular secretory proteins can cause severe diseases in humans, such as hemophilia, diabetes, phenylketonuria, alpha-1-antitrypsin deficiency, short-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency, and neurodegenerative diseases such as Parkinson's, *etc*. (Delepine et al., 2000; Gregersen et al., 2006; Nichols et al., 1998; Teckman and Perlmutter, 2000). Although each of these disorders has its own specific features, the common framework based on the cellular effects of protein misfolding contributes important insights into disease pathogenesis and development of clinical interventions.

3.1.1. Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) quality control and UGGT

When nascent peptide chains emerge in the ER lumen, they are covalently modified by olygosaccharyl transferase, which attaches a preassembled carbohydrate moiety onto specific asparagines in the nascent chains. The original glycan core contains three terminal glucose residues, two of which are quickly removed by the action of ER-resident α -glucosidases I and II. The monoglucosylated glycoprotein generated is then recognized by lectin chaperones calnexin (CNX) and calreticulin (CRT), which assist in creating a proper microenvironment for correct folding. Once the glycoprotein is released from CNX/CRT, the terminal glucose is removed by α -glucosidase II to avoid reassociation of the protein with the lectins and to trigger exportation.

To ensure maximum fidelity of this folding process, cells evolved a folding sensor (UDPglucose:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase, UGGT) that ignores native proteins but selectively recognizes and reglucosylates nearly native folding intermediates that were prematurely released from CNX/CRT. The monoglucosylated intermediate is then resequestered by CNX/CRT and engaged in additional folding efforts (Caramelo et al., 2004; Solda et al., 2007) This is known as the Calnexin/Calreticulum Cycle, which is depicted in Figure 9. It should be noted here that UGGT also ignores terminally misfolded proteins as they will be recognized and handed off for degradation by BiP chaperone and ERAD systems (Cabral et al., 2002; Molinari et al., 2002; Solda et al., 2007). UGGT is the only known component of the CNX/CRT cycle that can distinguish between optimally and suboptimally folded glycoproteins, ensuring high-fidelity ER quality control (Dejgaard et al., 2004; Jin et al., 2007; Parodi, 2000). It is also reported that UGGT recognizes folding defects at the level of individual domains and only reglucosylates glycans in the misfolded domains, which would allow CNX/CRT to only interact with such misfolded regions (Dejgaard et al., 2004; Ritter and Helenius, 2000; Ritter et al., 2005). Jin and collaborators recently discovered that mutations in the *Arabidopsis* UGGT homolog gene (*EBS1*) suppressed the growth defects of a brassinosteroid (BR) receptor mutant (bri1-9) in an allele-specific manner by restoring its BR sensitivity (Jin et al., 2007). These authors demonstrated that "*ebs1* mutations significantly reduce the stringency of the retention-based ER quality control, allowing export of the structurally imperfect yet biochemically competent bri1-9 to the cell surface for BR perception". These results strongly support a physiological role for UGGT in high-fidelity ER quality control.

As Jin and coworkers point out, overcoming such an overzealous retention-based ER quality control mechanism could lead, for example, to correct targeting of mutated yet functional Δ F508-CFTR to the apical membrane of epithelial cells, hence providing a promising cure for fatal genetic disease cystic fibrosis (Jin et al., 2007).



Figure 9. The calnexin/calreticulin (CNX/CRT) cycle.

In the ER, the action of two enzymes, glucosidase II and UGGT, regulate the release and binding of glycoproteins to CNX/CRT providing a unique quality control mechanism known as the CNX/CRT cycle for glycoprotein folding. (a) The precursor glycan (Glc3Man5-9GlcNAc2) linked to the lipid molecule, dolichol, is transferred to the NH2 group on the side chain of asparagine residues positioned in a consensus sequence Asn-X-Ser/Thr (where X is any amino acid except proline) in the growing, nascent polypeptide chain, as soon as it enters the ER lumen via the Sec61p translocon complex. The transfer is catalyzed by membrane-bound glycosyl transferases, which recognizes a specific conformation of Asn-X-Ser/Thr sequences. (b) Glucosidase I and II successively

trim two of the glucose residues leaving the Glc1Man9GlcNAc2 core oligosaccharide. (c) Calnexin and its lumenal paralogue calreticulin are lectins that specifically bind monoglucosylated oligosaccharides, and present them to the glycoprotein-specific thiol oxidoreductase, ERp57, which also bound to CNX/CRT. If the complete deglucosylation of glycoproteins occurs before the CNX-glycoprotein interaction, the monoglucosylated glycoproteins are also generated by reglucosylation through the action of UGGT. Trimming of the last glucose residue by glucosidase II terminates the calnexinglycoprotein interaction. If the proteins are correctly folded (d) they proceed further into the secretory pathway, whereas incompletely folded proteins (e) are recognized by UGGT. (f) UGGT reglucosylates incompletely folded proteins by readdition of a single glucose residue from UDP-Glc thereby generating a substrate for the calnexin cycle. UDP-Glc is transported into the ER lumen from the cytosol and is exchanged to uridine monophoshate (UMP) by uridine diphosphatase (UDPase), and UMP is transported back to cytosol. (g) The CNX/CRT cycle continues until the proteins are correctly folded or directed to ER-associated degradation (ERAD) after trimming by ER α 1,2-mannosidases. (h) An enzymatically inactive member of this protein family, ER Degradation Enhancing α -Mannosidase-like protein (EDEM) and the yeast homologue Mn11p (mannosidase-like protein) or Htm 1p (homologous to mannosidase I, shown as HTM 1) may participate as lectins and promote ERAD of incorrectly folded proteins that are then transported to the cytosol, via the Sec61p translocon complex, where they are proteolytically degraded by the proteasome system, in most cases following polyubiquitination (Dejgaard et al., 2004). Reproduced with permission of Dr. John Bergeron.

3.1.2. The unfolded protein response (UPR) pathway

The unfolded protein response (UPR) is an intracellular signaling cascade that is activated when misfolded proteins accumulate in the ER lumen. In these terms, the UPR is an adaptive, protective response to ER stress, but the UPR can also trigger apoptosis when the stress levels transcend putative unmanageable thresholds that exceed folding capacity of the ER. In a broad sense, activation of apoptosis by the UPR can also be considered a protective response, although at the organismal level. As Rutskowski and collaborators put it, this "ability to sense and respond to the accumulation of misfolded proteins is a central component of the cellular defense against environmental insult" (Rutkowski et al., 2006). Multiple insults such as nutrient deprivation, redox imbalances, changes in Ca²⁺ concentration, impaired post-translational modifications, or just augmented production of secretory protein, can lead to protein misfolding and ER stress (Rutkowski and Kaufman, 2004).

There are three main parallel subpathways involved in this cellular response, which are mediated by inositol-requiring protein-1 (IRE1/*ire-1*), activating transcription factor-6 (ATF6/*atf-6*), or double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase (PKR)-like ER kinase (PERK/*pek-1*) respectively. These subpathways/branches regulate the transcription and/or translation of numerous genes that assist not only in protein folding, degradation, and secretion, but also influence broad aspects of metabolism and cell fate (Ron and Walter, 2007).

Central to the activation of the three UPR branches is BiP (*hsp-3/hsp-4*), a member of the heat-shock protein (Hsp70) family. BiP binds the luminal portions of each of the transmembrane-located UPR transducers (IRE1, ATF-6, and PERK), inhibiting their respective activities unless unfolded proteins accumulates in the ER. When the latter occurs, BiP engages in its chaperonic functions and dissociates from its interaction with the UPR transducers, which can then dimerize and activate themselves and the respective signaling cascades (Bertolotti et al., 2000; Wu and Kaufman, 2006).

Although the three UPR branches are simultaneously activated upon severe ER stress, the immediate response occurs through the PERK/eIF2 α branch (Wu and Kaufman, 2006), which is logical from a cellular viewpoint because activation of chaperone expression and synthesis would require a longer time span (20-30 minutes), so its stressreducing effect will not be exercisable until later. Conversely, inhibition of translation occurs instantly, as in a just-in-time approach, preventing system overload with additional misfolded protein.

Recently, Trusina and collaborators applied a modeling approach to the study of translation attenuation (TA) in the context of the unfolded protein response and proposed that TA mechanisms allow for tighter adjustment of new peptide translation to chaperone levels in the ER, mainly due to the fact that the TA response is fast compared with chaperone up-regulation (Trusina et al., 2008). They also pointed out the
benefit of minimizing the amount of chaperones needed to deal with transient stress, and predicted that the activation of TA mechanisms will be particularly effective in (i) professional secretory cells where ER load and TA buffering capacity are high, and (ii) during acute stresses, where the fast timescale of the TA response is beneficial.

Upon dissociation from BiP, PERK dimerizes and auto-phosphorylates, activating its kinase activity on target eIF2 α and inducing attenuation of general translation initiation (Harding et al., 1999). Interestingly and paradoxically, phosphorylation of eIF2 α allows for preferential translation of less abundant mRNAs that contain inhibitory upstream open reading frames (uORF) within their 5' untranslated region. The cell, "intelligently" taking advantage of this finesse, evolved a subset of ER-stress responsive targets, the activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) mRNA being the best-studied example in mammalian cells (Lu et al., 2004a).

Similarly to PERK, IRE1 also dimerizes and auto-phosphorylates after dissociation from BiP, which activates its site-specific endoribonuclease (RNAse) activity and splices a 26base intron from XBP1 mRNA. This nonconventional splicing reaction creates a translational frameshift in the XBP1 mRNA that produces a potent transcription factor (Tirasophon et al., 1998). ATF6, on the other hand, is transported (also after BiP release) to the *cis*-Golgi compartment where it is cleaved by site-1 and site-2 proteases (S1P and S2P respectively), producing a cytosolic N-terminal fragment that also becomes an active transcription factor (Haze et al., 1999; Yoshida et al., 2000).

Even when IRE1, PERK, and ATF6 are independently activated from each other, the three UPR branches communicate extensively with each other, and their transcriptional outputs overlap significantly (partly achieved through mutual positive reinforcement: e.g., XBP1 is transcriptionally activated by ATF6 and PERK signaling (Ron and Walter, 2007)).

The combinatorial transcriptional program activated by XBP1, ATF6, and ATF4 target genes that encode ER chaperones (e.g. BiP, calnexin, and calreticulin), protein-folding catalyzers such as disulfide isomerases (e.g. PDI, ERP57, and ERP72), and other proteins that stimulate ER expansion (e.g. choline phosphotransferase activity (Sriburi et al., 2004)) and ER-associated degradation (e.g. EDEM (Hosokawa et al., 2001) and Derlin (Oda et al., 2006)).

Regarding ER expansion, Ron and Hampton suggest that this phenomenon should be reserved by the cell "for situations in which the burden of client proteins heralds a longterm commitment to increased ER function" (Ron and Hampton, 2004). Sriburi and collaborators reported a key role for XBP1 in promoting the ER biogenesis by increasing the activity of key enzymes involved in phospholipid biosynthesis while maintaining cholesterols levels unaffected (Sriburi et al., 2004), which is consistent with the cholesterol-deficient nature of ER membranes.

Translocation through the ER translocon is also reprogrammed under ER stress, as to keep proteins with weaker signal peptides preferentially excluded from the ER. This reduces the protein load in the stressed ER and clears the way for translocation of newly synthesized UPR target proteins (Kang et al., 2006; Ron and Walter, 2007).

3.1.3. ER stress: survival vs. death decisions

When the cell is incapable of handling the ER-stress through activation of the UPR, suicidal mechanisms are activated. It has been suggested that both mitochondrial-dependent and mitochondrial-independent apoptotic pathways are involved in response to uncontrolled ER stress. Evidence shows that proapoptotic Bcl2-related proteins Bak and Bax undergo conformational alterations in the ER membrane that induce Ca²⁺ efflux into the cytosol (Scorrano et al., 2003). The increase in cytosolic Ca²⁺ concentration, from micromolar to millimolar levels, leads to depolarization and permeabilization of the mitochondrial inner membrane, cytochrome *c* release, and activation of Apaf-1/procaspase-9-dependent apoptosis (Boya et al., 2002).

Apoptosis could also be triggered through transcriptional activation of CHOP (CEBP homolog protein), which is downstream of both ATF6 and PERK/eIF2 α /ATF4 and works

by inhibiting the expression of Bcl-2 (Ma et al., 2002). IRE1 on the other hand, interacts with TRAF2 (TNF receptor-associated factor-2) and ASK1 leading to activation of ASK1 and JNK and subsequent cell death (Nishitoh and Ichijo, 2004; Nishitoh et al., 2002). The fact that the UPR activates effectors of cell death in addition to other factors involved in cell survival, highlights the complexity of these relationships.

A *C. elegans* homolog for CHOP has not been yet reported, neither have I been successful in identifying one while applying a variety of bioinformatic approaches in its quest. This absence may indicate that CHOP is a more recent evolutionary adaptation in metazoans. This reasoning is supported by observations of Zinszner and collaborators, recently reviewed by Ron and Walter (Ron and Walter, 2007; Zinszner et al., 1998). Based on the observation that activation of a CHOP-dependent process of programmed cell death appears to promote tissue regeneration in mice, these authors suggest that fitness of complex metazoans (where CHOP appears to have first evolved) is improved by the death of ER stress-damaged cells because the cleared cells are replaced through novel regenerative programs developed in long-lived higher eukaryotes.

3.2. Materials and Methods

3.2.1. *C. elegans* strains

I followed standard procedures for *C. elegans* strain maintenance, crosses, and other genetic manipulations (Brenner, 1974). Nematodes were grown on standard nematode growth medium (NGM) plates seeded with *Escherichia coli* strain OP-50 as food source and incubated at 20°C, unless otherwise specified (e.g. 25°C was required for specific experimental procedures).

Wild type worms were N2 strain Bristol isolate and CB4856 Hawaiian. Other strains used were: SK4005 *zdls5[pmec-4::GFP] I*, ZB1259: *zdls5 I; mec-4(u231) X*, *uls22(pmec-3::GFP]*; *eri-1(mg366) IV; lin-15B(n744) mec-4(d) X*, SP66: *dpy-8(e130) unc-6(e78) X*, DR1290: *dpy-6(e14) unc-3(e151) X*, BC13719: *dpy-5(e907) I; sls13291 [rCes F48E3.3::GFP + pCeh361] (McKay et al., 2003)*, SP64: *unc-6(e78) dpy-6(e14) X*, *SJ4005 zcls4[hsp-4::GFP]*, V, TU1747: *deg-3(u662)V*, SJ30: *ire-1(zc14); zcls4[hsp-4::GFP]*, RB772: *atf-6(ok551)*, RB545: *pek-1(ok275)*, MT1672: *unc-8(n491) dpy-4(e1166)IV*, PS1631: *itr-1(sy290) dpy-20(e1282)*, UA4: *baln4[punc-54::Q82::GFP]* (Caldwell et al., 2003), CL4176: *smg-1(cc546ts) I; dvls27 [pAF29(myo-3/AB1-42-long3'UTR)+pRF4] X*. Following standard genetic approaches, I constructed compound mutant strains: ZB1388: *zdls5; unc-6(e78) dpy-6(e14) mec-4(d)*, ZB2922: *zdls5; unc-6(e78) uggt-1(bz130) dpy-6(e14) mec-4(d)*, ZB1392: *zdls5; unc-6(e78) uggt-1(bz146)*, ZB2938: *zdls5; deg-3(u662); uggt-1(bz146)*, ZB2938: *zdls5; deg-3(u662); uggt-1(bz146)*, ZB2938: *zdls5;*

ire-1(zc14); uggt-1(bz130) mec-4(d), ZB2933: *zdls5; unc-6(e78) uggt-1(bz130) pek-*1(*ok275) mec-4(d),* ZB2988: *zdls5; unc-6(e78) uggt-1(bz130) atf-6(ok551) mec-4(d),* ZB3004: *zdls5; atf-6(ok551) mec-4(d),* ZB2996: *zdls5; atf-6(ok551),* ZB3012: *zdls5; pek-*1(*ok255) mec-4(d),* ZB3014: *zdls5; pek-1(ok255),* ZB2992: *zcls4; uggt-1(bz130),* ZB3022: *zcls4; uggt-1(bz146),* ZB3020: *zdls5; unc-8(n491) dpy-4(e1166); uggt-1(bz146),* ZB3025: *zdls5; itr-1(sy290) dpy-20(e1282); uggt-1(bz146) mec-4(d),* ZB3024: *zdls5; itr-1(sy290) dpy-20(e1282); mec-4(d),* ZB3018: *zdls5; itr-1(sy290) dpy-20(e1282).*

3.2.2. General microscopy

I scored for touch receptor neuronal survival by observing GFP signals driven by *mec-4* promoter in L4 stage larvae, using epifluorescence capability installed on a Zeiss Stemi V6 stereomicroscope. I scored for degenerating (swollen, vacuole-like) PLM touch neurons by examining tails of L1 stage larvae with DIC optics installed on a Zeiss Axiovert 2, as previously described (Driscoll, 1995). Staged animals were immobilized using 10mM sodium azide.

3.2.3. Touch test assay

I performed touch test by gently stroking individual animals at anterior and posterior positions with an eyelash as previously described (Chalfie and Sulston 1981). Mean values were determined by averaging response ratios from all worms tested.

3.2.4. MEC-4::GFP punctae and protein level quantifications

For these experiments I constructed strain ZB2968: *pmec-4MEC-4::GFP; In30[rol-6(su1006)]; uggt-1(bz146)* and compared it to control strain *pmec-4MEC-4::GFP; In30[rol-6(su1006)]*. Pictures were taken using epifluorescence capabilities installed on a Zeiss Axiovert 2 microscope, using a 63x objective. I quantified the number of fluorescent punctae using the Particle Analysis capability (a multi-region detection and analysis routine) of NIH ImageJ software (Collins, 2007; Papadopulos et al., 2007). Previous to particle analysis, all pictures were subjected to automatic background subtraction and threshold adjustment to transform raw pictures into required binary format.

I estimated the relative protein levels using ImageJ's Integrated Density measurement capability (Multiple Measurements) after manually selecting the region of interest (ROI), in this case the cell bodies of fluorescent neurons, on background-subtracted images. I assumed protein levels were proportional to fluorescence intensity as measured in the cell bodies.

3.2.5. MEC-2 immunostaining

Goodman and collaborators showed, via GST (glutathione S-transferase) pulldown experiments, that the MEC-2 stomatin-like domain (AA141-361) interacts *in vitro* with

the N-terminus of MEC-4 (Goodman et al., 2002) and the Chalfie group later reported that the stomatin-like domain of MEC-2 is responsible for recruiting MEC-2 to MEC-4 (Zhang et al., 2004). I used MEC-2 immunostaining to reveal MEC-2 punctae in touch neuron processes, hence indirectly revealing the localization of MEC-4(+) and MEC-4(d) proteins. Pictures were taken by epifluorescent microscopy using a Zeiss Axiovert 2 and 63x objective. Punctae distribution patterns were visually analyzed.

3.2.6. Expression profiling by quantitative PCR

For this experiment I grew animals to the L4 stage as a synchronized population after bleaching gravid adults, then purified total RNA using MasterPure[™] Complete RNA Purification Kit and synthesized cDNA using MonsterScript[™] 1st strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Epicentre Technologies, Madison, WI). I accounted for growth developmental differences between wild type N2 and mutant *uggt-1(bz146)* strain by allowing *uggt-1(bz146)* animals to grow for eight additional hours before animals were processed for RNA extraction. Appropriate population stage was confirmed by microscopic evaluation. Transcript levels were quantified by RT-PCR using QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Kits (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and ABI PRISM 7900 cycler. Two independent samples per strain and four replicates per RT-PCR reaction were used. Data was analyzed using SDS2.2 software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Primers used for RT-PCR are described in Table 5 and were designed using PrimerSelect software from the DNASTAR package (DNASTAR, Inc., Madison, WI).

uggt-1.qPCRf	ACTTCACCCAGATTCCGTAGATGC	uggt-1.qPCRr	CTGCGCTGCTTGGTAACTGAGAT
pek-1.qPCRf	AATTGGCGAGCGGTCTTGA	pek-1.qPCRr	TGGGAGCTCCATCAGTTTTAGTTG
atf-6.qPCRf	TGTTCGCCAAAAGGGAGATACACT	atf-6.qPCRr	GAACACTAGGCAGGAGCAACGATA
ire-1.qPCRf	AGGGTTGGATGGCAGTTGGTAG	ire-1.qPCRr	TCGTAATAAATCCGCTTCTCTGTGA
xbp-1.qPCRf	GGATCGCCGTGCCTTTGAAT	xbp-1.qPCRr	ATACGACGGAGTTGGTTGCTGATG
crt-1.qPCRf	AGCGACGAACTCACTCATCTCTACAC	crt-1.qPCRr	AGTTTGGGCGCTTTCTCCGT
cnx-1.qPCRf	TATCGACGCAACAAATGAAAAACCA	cnx-1.qPCRr	TCCGAAGCAAAATACTCCGATAGCA
hsp-4.qPCRf	GTCGAAAATACCGGAGATGTTGTC	hsp-4.qPCRr	TATCGGCAGCGGTAGAGAAAA
dnj-7.qPCRf	ACATTGCGGCCGCTAAAG	dnj-7.qPCRr	ACCGCCGCCAAAATGAT
ero-1.qPCRf	AATCGCCGCAAAGAGTGTGG	ero-1.qPCRr	GCCGAGTGAAGTCCCGAAATC
pdi-1.qPCRf	GTTGCCGGTTCTGCTGAGGTTG	pdi-1.qPCRr	CTTAAGAGCGATGGTGTTGGTGATTTC
itr-1.qPCRf	TCGAGGGCATTGTGGGAAGTA	itr-1.qPCRr	TGTGATGGCTCTGCGGAAAGGTA
unc-68.qPCRf	GCGTGGCAACTTTCCCTCTACC	unc-68.qPCRr	TTTTTCCATGCTTGCGACAGTTG
sca-1.qPCRf	CCGCCGAAGAGGGAAAATC	sca-1.qPCRr	AACGCCGTCACTGCTTCTGTC
ckb-2.qPCRf	ATCGGTGCAATCTTCCCACTCTATC	ckb-2.qPCRr	CACCACCTCCAAGACTTTCGTATCC
ckb-4.qPCRf	ACTCCGCCAGGTCTCGTCATT	ckb-4.qPCRr	CTTCGGGTTAGCGGTTTTCATC
ubc-13.qPCRf	TGGTCGCCGGCTCTTCAAAT	ubc-13.qPCRr	TGCTCGGCGACATCAGTTGC
sel-1.qPCRf	CTGGAGGCACCGACAAAATGATA	sel-1.qPCRr	TAGACCCGAGTAGGCTGATGTGAATA
C47E12.3.f	CCTCCTACTCCGCCATCTCAATA	C47E12.3.r	TGAAGAACCGAATCAACTCCAATAACT
erd-2.qPCRf	CGCCATCGCCATCGTTGTT	erd-2.qPCRr	GGTAGAAGATCTTCATCGCAGTGTTGTA
unc-51.qPCRf	TCGTCGCCGGTGGTTCAG	unc-51.qPCRr	GTTGTTCGGCGATGGTTTTGT
bec-1.qPCRf	TTGGGTTGATGGAATTGTTGGTG	bec-1.qPCRr	TGGCGACGGGCATCAGTT
lgg-1.qPCRf	GACCGTATTCCAGTGATTGTTGAG	lgg-1.qPCRr	GGATGCGTTTTCTGATGAGGA
lgg-2.qPCRf	GAAATCCGCAGCCAACAACC	lgg-2.qPCRr	TGGAGGCGTCGTCTAACAAT
nsf-1.qPCRf	TCCGCTTACCGCTCAATCATCAAC	nsf-1.qPCRr	CGAAGGCACGGCGGAAAATA
C33D9.8.f	CGAGAAATCAACAAATCTGCAACTAATG	C33D9.8. r	TGATAACGCGCTTCCAATCCAT
rab-11.2.f	GAGGCCAAAATCTACGCTGAAAG	rab-11.2.r	TGGACGCTGTCGAAGGAATGAT
T28H10.3.f	GATCGCGTTTTTGTTTACTTCACTG	T28H10.3.r	GCTTCCAGATTCACAGGCTTCA
nas-38.qPCRf	GCGCCAATCGAAGGAAGAGTG	nas-38.qPCRr	TGGAAGCAGCGAGCAACAGTATC
cht-1.qPCRf	CTTCTTGTAACCGCCGCTGTC	cht-1.qPCRr	GCCCATGCTCCGAAGAAATC
F55C5.2.f	CATACAGCGATGATCACAGAAAAAGTC	F55C5.2.r	CACATCAACTCCTAGAAATCTGGGTAAA
lips-11.qPCRf	GGAGGAAACTGCGTGGATACTAATGA	lips-1.qPCRr	GTCGCACAGAATACCTCCGTTTTG
gst-1.qPCRf	ATCCGTCATCTCGCTCGTCTT	gst-1.qPCRr	TTGCCGTCTTCGTAGTTTCTGTAG

T20D4.7.f	TGGCTGGAATGAAACTTGAAAAACT	T20D4.7.r	CCGCGGCATGGTGGACA
nlp-28.qPCRf	GTCTTCCTTCTCGCTTGCTTCAT	nlp-28.qPCRr	CTATGGACGCGGAATGTATGGT
srp-7.qPCRf	CTATTGGCGGCTGAAAGAGGA	srp-7.qPCRr	TTGCGCCAGCGTTGTAAAGT
F40F12.7.f	TTTATGTCATTTCGCTCATTGTGC	F40F12.7.r	TCCGTTGGCGCCTATCAGAC
Y113G7B.14.f	GATGAAACCGGTGAAAAAGTGAGC	Y113G7B.14.r	GGAATGGTGCGGCGGTAAC
R02D3.8.f	TCGACGGCTGATTGGAGTGA	R02D3.8.r	TTGTGGAAGCGTCGGTTTTT
let-607.f	TTTGCAGTAGCGGACCTCTTCTTG	let-607.r	AGGACTCTCGGTTGGCTGGATTTA
rrf-2.qPCRf	GTCGCCGGTGCTGGTTG	rrf-2.qPCRr	TGCCTCATCCGTCATCTGCT
clec-67.f	GGATGCCACTACGCGAAAGATA	clec-67.r	GTGGCCGATTGAACATTGCTAA
tbb-6.qPCRf	CAAACTGACGGGACATACAAAGGAG	TBB-6.qPCRr	TACCAAAATCGCACGAGGAACATA
fipr-24.qPCRf	GTCACCACCACCAAAATGAAGATG	fipr-24.qPCRr	ACCGTATCCACCGTATCCTCCAT
col-89.qPCRf	CACCGGGACCACCAGGAGAG	col-89.qPCRr	GGGCACGTGATAGGAGCAAATGTA
act-1.qPCRf	GCCCCAGAAGAGCACCCAGT	act-1.qPCRr	CCGGAAGCGTAGAGGGAGAGG
act-3.qPCRf	CGCCGGAATCCACGAGACTT	act-3.qPCRr	ATGGGGCAAGAGCGGTGATT
ama-1.qPCRf	GCCGACGATGAAGCCCAATAAT	ama-1.qPCRr	GCGCACAACGTCTTCCGAGTAG
rrn-1.1.qPCRf	ACCGGGGGGCATTCGTATCAT	rrn-1.1.qPCRr	ACGGTCAGAACTAGGGCGGTATC
Y105E8B.5.f	CAAGGGGAAAAGTGTGCTCGTT	Y105E8B.5.r	AGGGCGGTCCAGGTCTTCTC
gpd-2.qPCRf	TTCCGTGTCCCAACCCCAGAT	gpd-2.qPCRr	CAGCGGCAGCCTTGATAACTTTCT
ctl-1.qPCRf	AATGCGCTCTTCGATTTCTGGA	ctl-1.qPCRr	TTTCCCTCCTTGTTGACCATCTTG
ctl-2.qPCRf	GTCCGGATGTCAAGGATACCAC	ctl-2.qPCRr	CGGCCCTGCAAAGTTCTG
ctl-3.qPCRf	AACGCGATGGTGCAATGGCTTAT	ctl-3.qPCRr	CGTGGCTGCTCGTAGTTGTGAT

3.2.7. Quantification of Q82::GFP polyglutamine aggregates in strain UA4

For reverse genetics experiments I used feeding RNAi following standard protocols (Kamath et al., 2001), on strain UA4: *baln4[punc-54::Q82::GFP]* (Caldwell et al., 2003). This transgenic strain (better known as Q82::GFP) expresses a track of 82 glutamine residues fused to GFP and driven by the *unc-54* promoter. Fluorescent aggregates are readily detectable in body wall muscles of this animals. I took pictures using epifluorescence capabilities installed on Zeiss Axiovert 2 microscope and quantified the

number and size of aggregates using the Particle Analysis capability (a multi-region detection and analysis routine) of NIH ImageJ software (Collins, 2007; Papadopulos et al., 2007). Previous to particle analysis, all pictures were subjected to automatic background subtraction and threshold adjustment to transform raw pictures into required binary format.

3.2.8. Brood size quantification

Synchronized L4-stage animals were transferred to individual plates and the number of progeny produced by each scored over a period of 6 days with daily transfer of the parent. The total number of progeny was calculated for each individual and averaged over the total number of animals tested. This average measurement was considered the brood size for the strain.

3.2.9. Life span determination

Young adult animals used for life span experiments were picked from well-fed synchronized populations. About 20-25 young adults were distributed per plate, for a total of 75-100 per experiment. Although *uggt-1* mutants have a slight developmental delay, about 8 additional hours to reach young adulthood, animals have reached this stage by day 3 after egg deposition. Since the young adult stage is a short one (lasting only a few hours), selections of this stage for life span experiments ensures well-synchronized and comparable populations. Plates were maintained at 20°C and the

3.3.1. Positional cloning of X-linked necrosis suppressor locus (alleles *bz91*, *bz121*, *bz125*, *bz130*, *bz146*, and *bz156*)

Mutant alleles of the LGX-linked locus behave as moderate suppressors of necrotic cell death. Strain *zdls5; des(bz130) mec-4(d)*, which represent the series' mildest allele, has an average of 3.86 surviving neurons by the L4 stage, while *zdls5; des(bz146) mec-4(d)*, the strongest allele, has an average of 4.61 fluorescent neurons of a maximum of six. I observed that, although not always, the AVM and PVM neurons survive most of the time. ALMR/L and PLMR/L neurons die randomly and preferentially (as compared to AVM and PVM).

Three-factor cross between *zdls5; des(bz130) mec-4(d)* and strain SP66: *dpy-8(e130) unc-6(e78)* produced 16/16 *ls5/?; dpy-8/dpy-8 mec-4(d)/mec-4(d)* (Dpy non-Unc) recombinants carrying allele *bz130*, which suggested that *bz130* is to the right of *unc-6*. A three-factor cross between *zdls5; des(bz130) mec-4(d)* and strain DR1290: *dpy-6(e14) unc-3(e151)* produced 20/20 *ls5/?; dpy-6/dpy-6 mec-4(d)/mec-4(d)* (Dpy non-Unc) recombinants that did not carry allele *bz130*, which suggested that *bz130* is to the left of *dpy-6*. These results (see Table 6) indicated that the suppressor locus on LGX maps between *unc-6* and *dpy-6*. To confirm the mapping results, gather additional data, and produce necessary reagents for the construction of a triple mutant for fine SNP mapping, I performed an additional 3-factor cross between *Is5; des(bz130); mec-4(d)* and *Is5; unc-6(e78) dpy-6(e14) mec-4(d)*. Note that this time the Unc Dpy double mutant was transferred into the *Is5; mec-4(d)* background to be able to extract information from both kind of recombinants. 6/18 (33%) *unc-6 non-dpy-6* recombinants and 14/24 (58%) *dpy-6 non-unc-6* recombinants carried allele *bz130*, indicating that the novel suppressor on LGX was indeed located between *unc-6* and *dpy-6*, approximately in between -1.13 and -1.35 m.u. (Table 6).

Table 6. Results from three-factor crosses while mapping allele *bz130*.

	X:-6.19 <i>(dpy-8)</i>	X:-2.00 (unc-6)	X:0.00 (dpy-6)	X:+21.34 (unc-3)
	dpy-8 non-unc-6	unc-6 non-dpy-8	dpy-6 non-unc-3	unc-3 non-dpy-6
	recombinants	recombinants	recombinants	recombinants
bz130	16/16 (100%)	Not scored because they did not carry <i>mec-4(d)</i>	0/20 (0%)	Not scored because they did not carry <i>mec-4(d)</i>
conclusion	<i>bz130</i> to the <mark>right</mark>	of unc-6(X:-2.00)	<i>bz130</i> to the left o	of dpy-6(X:0.00)

	X:-2.00 (unc-6)	X:0.00 (dpy-6)	
	unc-6 non-dpy-6 recombinants	<i>dpy-6</i> non- <i>unc-6</i> recombinants	
bz130	6/18 (33%)	14/24 (58%)	
conclusion	<i>bz130</i> is to the between <i>unc-6</i> & <i>dpy-6</i> (on interval X:-1.13 & -1.35 m.u.)		

In order to precisely map the position of the mutant gene, I performed fine SNP mapping. For this purpose, I constructed compound mutant strain *Is5; unc-6(e78) des(bz130) dpy-6(e14) mec-4(d)* and used it against a derivative *zdIs5; mec-4(d)* which

had been backcrossed 10 times into the Hawaiian background. After two rounds of fine SNP mapping (comprised of 90 recombinants analyzed for 10 SNPs covering the *unc-6-dpy-6* interval), the map location of allele *bz130* was narrowed down to 0.05 m.u., a small genomic region covering about 72 kb of sequence between SNPs pkP6129 and pkP6134. Only 10 ORFs were annotated in the interest area in WormBase.

Next, I amplified long PCR products from candidate ORFs (the products from 3 independent amplification replicas were pooled to reduce the effect of polymeraseinduced errors, which could be confused with original mutations otherwise) and used them for DNA sequencing. ORF F48E3.3 was found to carry mutations in all alleles (see Table 7 and Figure 10). The F48E3.3 ORF from each allele was sequenced in its entirety.

Allele designation	Nucleotide change	Amino acid change	
bz91	C4024T	R1342OPA	
bz121	G206A	W69AMB	
bz130	81 nt [33193400] deletion	27 AA [11071137] in-frame-deletion	
bz125	G3111A	W1037OPA	
bz146	G848A	W2830PA	
bz156	C2875T	Q959AMB	
Stop codons are referred to by their respective names: UAG is amber (AMB), UGA is opal (OPA), and UAA is ochre (OCH).			

 Table 7. Specific nucleotide sequence changes in F48E3.3 mutants



Figure 10. F48E3.3 (assigned name: uggt-1) is the death suppressor locus on LGX.

(A) Genetic and physical map of *uggt-1*. (B) Amino acid changes in six isolated alleles and gene domain architecture as represented by NCBI Conserved Domain Database (CDD). UDP-g_GGTase: UDP-glucose:Glycoprotein Glucosyltransferase domain (pfam06427); Glyco_transf_8: Glycosyl transferase family 8 domain (pfam01501).

Necrosis-suppressing effects were phenocopied by F48E3.3 RNAi on RNAi hypersensitive strain *uls22[pmec-3::gfp]*; *eri-1(mg366)*; *lin-15B(n744) mec-4(d)*, which corroborated the identity of the F48E3.3 as the gene responsible for the death-suppressing phenotype (Figure 11) and suggested reduction-of-function as responsible for the phenotype. Since death suppression was not observable when RNAi was performed on strain *zdls5; mec-4(d)*, which neurons have little sensitivity to RNA interference, we can infer that the

necrosis-suppressing effect of F48E3.3 downregulation is most likely due to a neurondependent process, most likely acting cell autonomously in the touch receptor neurons.



Figure 11. F48E3.3 knockdown by RNA interference phenocopies necrosis suppression. F48E3.3 knockdown phenocopies necrosis suppression observed in mutant F48E3.3 when RNAi is performed on hypersensitive strain *uls22; eri-1(mg366); lin-15B(n744) mec-4(d)* (A) but not when RNAi is performed in *zdls5; mec-4(d)*. Animals scored are: for RNAi on hypersensitive *uls22; eri-1(mg366); lin-15B(n744) mec-4(d)*: 99 L4440, 95 *crt-1,* 111 *F48E3.3* and for RNAi on regular *zdls5; mec-4(d)*: 98 L4440, 92 *crt-1,* and 103 *F48E3.3*. Error bars represent the standard error. Student's t-Test used to evaluate statistical significance: P(*eri-1; lin-15B mec-4(d)_L4440vs.F48E3.3*)= 7.93x10⁻⁰⁵, P(*zdls5; mec-4(d)_L4440vs.F48E3.3*)= 0.5679.

3.3.2. Gene F48E3.3 is homologous to UDP-glucose:glycoprotein glucosyltransferases

F48E3.3 codes for a 1493 aminoacid protein that has high homology to UDPglucose:glycoprotein glucosyltransferases (UGGTs). The alignment of the complete protein sequence using Vector NTI AlignX capability showed a 63.6% sequence similarity among the group, which is impressive considering that it covers organisms from protozoa to humans (see Appendix 3.A for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree). Furthermore, there is 90% similarity between the *C. elegans* and the human homologs. There is a closely related paralog in C. elegans, gene F26H9.8 (with 90% homology to F48E3.3), which appears to be only expressed in a tissue-specific manner and at low levels, as reported in AceView (Thierry-Mieg and Thierry-Mieg, 2006), a comprehensive cDNA-supported gene and transcript annotation database. It has been suggested that higher eukaryotes such as worms, rodents, and humans, have evolved two UGGT genes with only one predicted to be catalytically active (Dejgaard et al., 2004). Interestingly, colleagues in the Driscoll Lab have recently identified a candidate missense mutation in ORF F26H9.8 in our parental strain zdls5; mec-4(d) used for the mutagenesis (personal communication). Such secondary mutation appears closely linked to the transgene zdls5 on LGI and most likely for that reason, it was not removed by outcrossing. Dr. Royal and coworkers showed this mutation does not modify necrosis on its own and I observed that removal from the zdls5; bz146 mec-4(d) background does not affect F48E3.3 death suppression either.

Sequence analysis of F48E3.3 reveals a signal peptide sequence but no transmembrane domain and thus the protein would be predicted to localize to the secretory pathway as indicated by CBS Prediction Server bioinformatic softwares: SignalP v3.0, TMHMM v2.0, and TargetP v1.1. In addition, F48E3.3 contains an HTEL C-terminal sequence closely related to the characteristic H/KDEL endoplasmic reticulum retrieval sequence. The fact that the putative ER retrieval sequence does not perfectly match the consensus sequence might indicate that the protein might also localize to other cellular compartments of the secretory system. Since the primary sequence information suggests that F48E3.3 may indeed function as an ER-resident UDP-glucose:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase we assign the new name *uggt-1* to this *C. elegans* gene (hence UGGT-1 the respective protein). By the same token, paralog F26H9.8 will be designated as *uggt-2*.

3.3.3. *uggt-1* is upregulated when temperature increases

The role that the UGGT enzyme could exert on ER protein-folding quality control prompted us to address its expression pattern under different folding stress levels, as induced by a temperature increase. As shown in Figure 12, *uggt-1* fluorescent reporter (strain BC13719: *dpy-5(e907)I; sIs13291 [rCes F48E3.3::GFP + pCeh361] (McKay et al., 2003)*) expression appears to be ubiquitous at all larval and adult stages, although present at very low or undetectable (by epifluorescent microscopy) levels in early stage embryos. This is consistent with *in-situ* hybridization data reported online by the Kohara Laboratory in the Nematode Expression Pattern Database (NEXTDB 4.0, see Appendix

3.B). Activity of the *uggt-1* reporter is upregulated when temperature is increased (Figure 13), which is expected for a protein with a role as a folding sensor.



Figure 12. A *uggt-1* GFP reporter expression pattern at 20 °C.

Strain BC13719: *dpy-5(e907)I; sIs13291 [rCesF48E3.3::GFP + pCeh361]* carries an F48E3.3::GFP transcriptional fusion reporter, which had been stably integrated by X-ray irradiation (*McKay et al., 2003*).



F48E3.3::GFP, 20°C F48E3.3::GFP, 25°C



L4 stage animals grown at 20°C and 25°C (n=28 & n=24 respectively) were anesthetized with 10mM sodium azide and photographed using epifluorescent optics in a Zeiss Axiovert 2 compound microscope (5x objective, long exposure time of 5.38 seconds). Quantification was performed using NIH ImageJ's integrated density calculation for the target areas (whole animals). Integrated density is a densitometric measurement, which in this case, describes the intensity of GFP fluorescence. Absolute integrated density values were made relative to the average F48E3.3::GFP integrated density at 20°C and referred to as Relative GFP fluorescence intensity. Animals analyzed were 28 at 20°C

and 24 at 25°C. Error bars represent standard error. Student's t-test was used to evaluate statistical significance: P(20°vs.25°C)= 8.60E-10.

3.3.4. *uggt-1* mutants constitutively and mildly activate the UPR, and such activation correlates with the increase in death suppression

The role that UGGT could exert on the unfolded protein response (UPR) pathway prompted us to address whether downregulation of *uggt-1* could activate UPR genes and whether such activation could play a role in the transduction of the death-suppressing effect in our mutants. As shown in Figure 14, we found that indeed *uggt-1* alleles *bz130* and *bz146* activate the UPR reporter *zcls4(hsp-4::gfp)*. Note the higher intensity levels of reporter activation in mutant *uggt-1* backgrounds as compared to the *zcls4* background strain. The increase in death suppression given the increase in temperature (from 20°C to 25°C) strongly correlates with the corresponding increase in UPR activation levels (R^2 =0.97), which suggest an associative relationship between these two phenomena.

The differences in UPR activation levels between alleles *bz130* and *bz146* were expected and supported by my interpretation that allele *bz130* (carrying an in-frame deletion and behaving as a weaker death suppressor) may represent a partial-loss-of-function allele, while *bz146* (carrying a premature stop codon and inducing stronger death suppression) may behave closer to a complete loss-of-function allele (highly reduced *uggt-1* mRNA levels in strain carrying allele *bz146* suggest that this is indeed the case, see Figure 24). Additional *uggt-1* RNAi experiments could be performed on *uggt-1* mutant strains in order to evaluate this interpretation. Transcript knockdown by RNAi should enhance any *uggt-1*-dependent phenotype in those cases where the specific mutation only partially eliminates *uggt-1* function.



Figure 14. UPR reporter activation levels at 20°C and 25°C.

Representative animals were grown at the respective temperatures and pictures were taken at L4 stage using Zeiss Axiovert 2 compound microscope (A); *zcls4(hsp::GFP)* transcriptional reporter was used to detect activation of the Unfolded Protein Response (UPR). Quantification of reporter fluorescent intensity (measurements performed using NIH ImageJ software) is shown in (B), while correlation between such UPR reporter activation levels and respective necrosis-suppressing levels are shown in (C). Error bars represent standard error. Student's t-test was used to evaluate statistical significance: P(*Is4;bz130vs.Is4_20°C*)= 5.01×10^{-13} , P(*Is4;bz146vs.Is4_20°C*)= 3.48×10^{-19} , P(*Is4; bz146 vs. Is4; bz130_20°C*)= 0.0006, P(*Is4; bz130_vs. Is4_25°C*)= 3.15×10^{-16} , P(*Is4;bz146vs.Is4_25°C*)= 3.28×10^{-25} , P(*Is4;bz146vs.Is4;bz130_25°C*)= 0.0211.

3.3.5. *uggt-1* mutation does not affect localization of MEC-4 protein or functionality of the wild type or hyperactive channels

To address the possibility that uggt-1 mutants may be suppressing mec-4(d)-induced death by impairing the proper folding and/or functionality of MEC-4 channels, I used four different approaches, which were: (1) determination of localization pattern of a MEC-4(+)::GFP fusion protein reporter in wt and uggt-1 backgrounds; (2) localization pattern of MEC-2 protein by MEC-2 immunostaining in both mec-4(+) and mec-4(d) backgrounds when uggt-1 is wt or mutant; (3) comparative touch sensitivity assays on mec-4(+) background when uggt-1 is wt or mutant; and (4) presence of vacuoles in mec-4(d) background when uggt-1 is wt or mutant.

One possibility for death suppression is that the MEC-4 channel is not expressed and distributed to punctae when *uggt-1* is mutant. To address this possibility, I first counted the number of MEC-4(+)::GFP punctae along axonal processes in wild type and *uggt-1*

mutant backgrounds, as well as fluorescence intensity in the cell body (an indicator of protein expression levels). My analysis did not show significant differences between MEC-4(+)::GFP wild type and *uggt-1* mutant backgrounds (Figure 15), indicating that the general localization pattern and protein levels of a MEC-4(+)::GFP fusion protein are not grossly altered in the *uggt-1* mutant background.

This conclusion was further supported by functional touch assays (Figure 16). Regarding touch sensitivity behavior, *uggt-1* mutants remain sensitive to gentle touch, which is an indication of the proper functioning of the touch-transducing channel in this mutant background. This suggests that impairment of *uggt-1* function does not significantly affect the proper folding and/or trafficking to the membrane (hence function) of the wild type MEC-4 protein.

I also considered a complementary test for MEC-4 expression at the proper sites in *uggt-1* mutant neurons, MEC-2 distribution. MEC-2 is a stomatin-like channel subunit that interacts with the MEC-4 subunit for proper localization to the plasma membrane (Zhang et al., 2004). MEC-2 distribution in punctae along the touch receptor process thus depends on *mec-4* activity. As another indicator of whether MEC-4 appears to reach its proper localization when *uggt-1* is mutant, I used MEC-2 antibody staining and quantitated signals +/- *mec-4*. The MEC-2 immunostaining pattern quantification (used here as an indirect reporter for MEC-4 localization) did not significantly change either in

the mec-4(+) vs. mec-4(+) uggt-1(bz146) (Figure 17a), further suggesting that uggt-1 does not affect general expression level or localization of the MEC-4(+) channel.

One must keep in mind however, that the MEC-4(d) subunit is different from wt, and could interact with UGGT-1 in a different manner. A key question is what happens to the MEC-4(d) channel, which has substitution A713V, a change that could present an added folding challenge. Testing in a MEC-4(d) background is somewhat challenging, since touch cells normally die. To address potential differences in MEC-4(d) mutants, I also examined MEC-2 protein distribution in animals that were mec-4(d) +/- uggt-1(bz146) (Figure 17b). Although the distribution of MEC-2 punctae is more dispersed in surviving mec-4(d) neurons as compared to mec-4(+); the presence of uggt-1 mutation did not significantly change the pattern in death-suppressed mec-4(d) neurons (and the pattern is distinct from that seen in mec-4 null mutants (Figure 17c)). This suggests that uggt-1 does not markedly change the distribution and expression level of the mec-4(d) channel—possibly suppression is not attributed to a major change in abundance or position of mutant channel complexes.



(A) MEC-4(+)::GFP Punctae Quantification (ImageJ Processing)







(B) MEC-4(+)::GFP Punctae & Fluorescence Expression Level Quantifications



Strains used were *Is(pmec-4::MEC(+)::GFP)* vs. *Is(pmec-4::mec-4(+)::GFP); uggt-1(bz146)*. Pictures were taken using epifluorescence capabilities installed on Zeiss Axiovert 2 microscope. Punctae quantification was done by automatic Particle Analysis using ImageJ (shown in A, from top to bottom: raw, then subtracted background and adjusted threshold, followed by analysis of particle images). Estimation of relative protein levels was performed using Integrated Density measurement capability of ImageJ software (protein levels assumed proportional to fluorescence intensity as measured in the cell bodies). Shown in B, quantification charts for both relative number of punctae and fluorescence levels in cell body. Scored are, for punctae quantification: 24 *Is(pmec-4::MEC(+)::GFP)* processes accounting for total length of 990 µm and 15 *Is(pmec-4::mec-4(+)::GFP); uggt-1(bz146)* processes accounting for a total length of 840 µm; for protein level quantification: 33 *Is(pmec-4::MEC(+)::GFP)* and 15 *Is(pmec-4::mec-4(+)::GFP); uggt-* *1(bz146)* cell bodies. No significant difference was found for either of the quantifications performed. Error bars represent standard error.



Figure 16. Gentle touch sensitivity tests for *uggt-1* alleles.

Scores were calculated as the frequency of response to 6 gentle touches (3 in the anterior and 3 in the posterior parts of the body). Animals scored are: 89 N2, 80 *uggt-1(bz130)*, 48 *uggt-1(bz146)*, 86 *zdls5*, 31 *zdls5*; *uggt-1(bz130)*, 61 *zdls5*; *mec-4(d)*, 61 *zdls5*; *uggt-1(bz130)* mec4(d). Error bars represent standard error. t-Test probabilities are P(*uggt-1(bz130)* vs. N2)=0.119413; P(*uggt-1(bz130)* vs. *uggt-1(bz146)*)=0.088763, P(*zdls5* vs. N2)=0.001025; P(*zdls5* vs. *zdls5*;

mec4(d))=3.7187x10⁻¹⁶; P(zdis5; uggt-1(bz130) mec4(d) vs. zdis5; mec4(d)) = 0.332599; P(zdis5; uggt-1(bz146) mec4(d) vs. zdis5; mec4(d)) = 0.187956.

If necrosis is not initiated in the uggt-1 mec-4(d) mutant, we would not expect to find the necrotic vacuoles that are typical of mec-4(d) touch neurons. To address whether necrosis initiation is impacted by uggt-1, I examined early larvae for the presence of vacuolar touch neurons. I found that swollen neurons (vacuolated appearance under DIC optics) are found during the L1 larval stage in the uggt-1 mutant backgrounds (Figure 18), suggesting that the death-initiating competency of MEC-4(d) channels persist in the uggt-1 mutant background. Notably, although the total number of swollen neurons at L1 is reduced compared to wild type, there is not a significant difference between the two uggt-1 mutant backgrounds (allele bz130 vs. allele bz146), which indicates that the different suppression levels observed in those strains might be due to different capacities to cope with and survive the initial insult, rather than eliminating the insult itself. Indeed, we can notice that by L2 stage there is a significantly higher number of swollen neurons remaining in the bz146 background (the stronger suppressor) as compared to bz130, which may be indicative of an improved capacity of bz146 strain to deal with the ionic imbalance and swelling. I reason that the reduced number of swollen neurons in uggt-1 mutant larvae might be the consequence of an early interference with the death pathway (presumably before swelling takes place) rather than a consequence of elimination of the initial insult (see additional lines of reasoning in next paragraphs).

A mec-4(+)	mec-4(+)
background	
B mec-4(d)	mec-4(d)
background	
mec-4(u253) null background C	mec-4(+) pattern mec-4(d) pattern mec-4(null) pattern

Figure 17. Quantification of the MEC-2 immunostaining punctuated pattern in axonal

processes of touch sensory neurons.

Cartooned patterns to the right of the representative picture example indicate the percentage of pictures matching the specific pattern (absolute number of observations is given in the parenthesis: 30 pictures analyzed for mec-4(+) strain, 55 for uggt-1(bz146) mec-4(+), 38 for mec-4(d), and 27 for uggt-1(bz146) mec-4(d)). The Chi-squared test was used to test significance between pattern frequency differences between mutant uggt-1 and control background.



Figure 18. Time course scoring of vacuole phenotype in distinct *mec-4(d)* backgrounds. Average number of tail vacuoles at 12h L1, 20h L1, 25h L1/L2, 30h L2, Temp. 20°C. Vacuoles were scored using the Oblique Coherence Contrast (OCC) capability of Nikon SMZ1500 stereomicroscope. Maximum number of swollen neurons (vacuoles) in the tail is two. Developmental timing is slightly delayed in *uggt-1* mutants, taking about 8 additional hours for embryos to develop up to the L4 stage (this would be equivalent to

an approximate delay of 480min/48hrs= 10 min./developmental hour during larval stages). Animals scored are: 670 *zdls5; mec-4(d)*, 698 *zdls5; uggt-1(bz130) mec-4(d)*, and 761 *zdls5; uggt-1(bz146) mec-4(d)*. Error bars represent standard error. Student t-test was used to evaluate statistical significance: P(*bz130vs.bz146*,L1,12h)= 1.0, P(*bz130vs.bz146*,L1,20h)= 0.5966, P(*N2vs.bz130*,L2,30h)= 0.4798, P(all other pairwise comparisons)< 0.0001.

These results might have been expected because UDP-glucose:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase appears to be involved only in "fine tuning" optimal protein folding in the ER, intervening in only the latest stages of the process. In these terms, it is expected that in the mutant *uggt-1* background, proteins would only harbor minor folding defects, if at all, which may not dramatically affect their respective functionalities. We also need to keep in mind that alternative chaperonic activity could compensate for an altered CNX/CRT cycle (Lee et al., 2006).

Supporting this line of reasoning is evidence that mutations in the *Arabidopsis* UGGT homolog gene suppressed the growth defects of a brassinosteroid (BR) receptor mutant by allowing the export of the structurally sub-optimal yet biochemically competent mutant receptor (Jin et al., 2007). Extrapolating to our *mec-4(d)* mutant scenario, I would expect that *uggt-1* mutations (e.g. *zdls5; uggt-1(bz146) mec-4(d)*) would allow equal or even higher amounts (but not less) of MEC-4(d) protein to effectively reach the

plasma membrane, hence inducing a similar or even stronger necrotic insult. Equivalently, I would also expect that export of hyperactive MEC-4(d) channels would be in any case, reduced in an intact wild type UGGT-1 background (e.g. strain *zdls5; mec-4(d)*), since the overzealous, intact ER-quality control mechanism would retain and possibly dispose of a certain amount of MEC-4(d) channel subunits. To highlight the relevance of this interpretation, I would like to refer again to its implications: it is reasonably expected that MEC-4(d) hyperactive channels were more lethal in the *uggt-1* background because a higher amount of functional protein would reach the plasma membrane. This may be the reason why the *uggt-1* suppressors cannot achieve maximum inhibition of necrosis. It seems unlikely then, that a MEC-4(d)-specific folding challenge could render these channels nonfunctional (non-hyperactive in such case).

3.3.6. *uggt-1* mutation suppresses death-inducing capacity of the hyperactive *unc-8(n491)* mutant channel

An important question is whether *uggt-1* mutations generally suppress against other known necrotic insults, or are rather specific to *mec-4(d)* mutations. Gene *unc-8* encodes another member of the amiloride-sensitive DEG/ENaC family of cation-selective channels. Allele *n491* encodes substitution G387E situated within an extracellular domain previously implicated in channel closing (Garcia-Anoveros et al., 1995; Tavernarakis et al., 1997). This mutation renders the *unc-8* channel hyperactive and the nematode becomes severely uncoordinated. In this background, ventral nerve cord motorneurons swell and disrupt function, although they do not extensively

degenerate (Shreffler et al., 1995; Tavernarakis et al., 1997). As observed in Figure 19, *uggt-1* mutation dramatically improves the uncoordinated phenotype, supporting that *uggt-1* can affect multiple hyperactivated degenerin channel subunits, in multiple cell types.

3.3.7. *uggt-1* mutation does not affect functionality (death-inducing capacity) of the non-desensitizing acetylcholine receptor *deg-3(u662*) mutant channel

I also tested for potential death-suppressing effects of *uggt-1(bz146)* in a nondesensitizing AChR mutant background *deg-3(u662)* that causes degeneration of a small set of neurons in the *C. elegans* (Treinin and Chalfie, 1995). I found that the *uggt-1* mutation does not suppress necrotic death induced by the non-desensitizing mutation in the nicotinic receptor *deg-3(u662)* (Figure 20). On the contrary, the *uggt-1* mutation appears to enhance *deg-3(u662)*-induced necrotic death (although not statistically significantly), as indicated by the consistently higher average number of vacuolated neurons found in the head and tail of the animals. This result indirectly supports my previous reasoning, discussed in Section 3.3.5, that *uggt-1* mutations might allow equal or even higher amounts of hyperactive channel protein to effectively reach the plasma membrane, hence inducing a similar or even stronger necrotic insult (as a consequence of a less overzealous ER quality control surveillance).



Figure 19. *unc-8(n491)* locomotion capacity is improved in a *uggt-1* mutant background. L4 stage worms were transferred to the center of a freshly seeded plate and left to wander for 1 hour, at which time pictures were taken under a stereo microscope.
Furthermore, this indicates that the *uggt-1* mutation does not interfere with the function, or consequences of, the *deg-3* mutant channel. Since *deg-3(u662)* conducts an excess of Ca²⁺ ions inside the cell, it may bypass any required contribution for calcium release from the ER, hence necrotic death could proceed independently from any endoplasmic reticulum calcium contribution.

These results support the idea that uggt-1 mutations do not dramatically affect the general function and expression of membrane proteins (as we also observed in the case of MEC-4(+) and MEC-4(d) in previous section 3.3.5), which was a reasonable assumption based on its role in quality control of only the latest stages in folding and on the basic viability of the mutant strains. Data on deg-3(gf) do not rule out that uggt-1 could change the nature of the ER calcium signal involved in mec-4(d)-induced necrosis.



Figure 20. Quantification of *deg-3(u662)*-induced degeneration in wt and *uggt-1 backgrounds*.

Number of tail vacuoles at L1 stage was quantified by observation in Zeiss Axiovert 2 compound microscope using DIC optics. Scored were 48 *deg-3* animals and 47 *deg-3; uggt-1*. Error bars represent standard error. Student's t-test was used to evaluate statistical significance: P(*wt.vs.bz146.head*)= 0.3990, P(*wt.vs.bz146.tail*)= 0.3604.

3.3.8. UPR genes genetically interact with *uggt-1* to modulate *mec-4(d)*-induced necrosis

My observations that uggt-1 mutation activates the UPR lead me to question the role of UPR genes in necrosis. First, I tested the effects of each major player in each downstream UPR branch for potential effects on mec-4(d)-induced necrosis. When

individually put into the *mec-4(d)* background, *pek-1(ok275)* and *ire-1(zc14)* alleles did not show any enhancement of necrotic death at 20°C (though necrosis already transpires at a very high rate), but mutations in these genes increased *mec-4(d)*-induced death at 25°C (Figure 21). The temperature-dependent death suppression effect induced when worms are grown at 25°C is dramatically impaired in both *pek-1* and *ire-1* mutant backgrounds, which corroborates important roles for *pek-1* and *ire-1* in transducing necrosis-suppressing signals when temperature is high. On the other hand, *atf-6(ok551)* suppressed necrotic death by itself in the *mec-4(d)* background, which suggests that *atf-6* may normally transduce necrosis-promoting signals.

To assess then suspected genetic interactions between *uggt-1* and members of the UPR pathway, I constructed triple mutants for *mec-4(d)* carrying allele *uggt-1(bz130)* and UPR genes *ire-1(zc14)*, *pek-1(ok275)*, or *atf-6(ok551)*. I was unable to construct compound mutants carrying the stronger death suppressor allele *bz146* because progeny from such crosses were not viable (which is evidence itself of genetic interaction between *uggt-1* and the UPR transducers).





Red arrows highlight changes in neuronal survival levels in compound mutant strains as compared to control strain *zdls5; mec-4(d)* at specified temperatures. The purple arrow highlights the increase in death suppression induced by an upshift in temperature from 20°C to 25°C. Scored at 20°C are: 192 Is5 animals, 316 *Is5; mec-4(d)*, 118 *Is5; pek-1(ok275) mec-4(d)*, 268 *Is5; ire-1(zc14) mec-4(d)*, 273 *Is5; atf-6(ok551) mec-4(d)*, 342 *Is5; uggt-1(bz130) mec-4(d)*, and 198 *Is5; uggt-1(bz146) mec-4(d)*. Scored at 25°C: 140 Is5 animals, 158 *Is5; mec-4(d)*, 222 *Is5; pek-1(ok275) mec-4(d)*, 225 *Is5; ire-1(zc14) mec-4(d)*,

150 *Is5; atf-6(ok551) mec-4(d),* 171 *Is5; uggt-1(bz130) mec-4(d),* and 165 *Is5; uggt-1(bz146) mec-4(d).* Error bars represent standard error. Student's t-test was used to evaluate statistical significance: P(*Is5; pek-1(ok275) mec-4(d) vs. Is5; mec-4(d)_20°C*)= 1.02×10^{-02} , P(*Is5; ire-1(zc14); mec-4(d) vs. Is5; mec-4(d)_20°C*)= 1.92×10^{-03} , P(*Is5; atf-6(ok551) mec-4(d) vs. Is5; mec-4(d)_20°C*)= 8.62×10^{-07} , P(*Is5; pek-1(ok275) mec-4(d) vs. Is5; mec-4(d) vs. Is5; mec-4(d)_25°C*)= 1.73×10^{-05} , P(*Is5; ire-1(zc14) mec-4(d) vs. Is5; mec-4(d)_25°C*)= 5.70×10^{-04} , and P(*Is5; atf-6(ok551) mec-4(d) vs. Is5; mec-4(d)_25°C*)= 0.4766.

Figure 22 shows that *ire-1(zc14) uggt-1(bz130)* and *uggt-1(bz130) pek-1(ok275)* restore *mec-4(d)*-induced death. That I found reduced levels of *uggt-1* suppression of *mec-4(d)*-induced death when *ire-1* and *pek-1* are mutant suggests that *ire-1* and *pek-1* act downstream of *uggt-1*. On the other hand, the triple *mec-4(d)* mutant carrying *uggt-1(bz130)* and *atf-6(ok551)* showed enhancement of suppression of *mec-4(d)*-induced death, compared to the level of necrosis when *atf-6* only is present. In addition, the above-mentioned triple mutant strains exhibited additional phenotypes such as reduced brood size, slow growth, and partial developmental arrest during larval stages (data not shown).

Altogether, this data supports that the UPR gene activities are capable of modulating the transduction of mec-4(d)-induced death signals, and that death-suppression induced by loss/reduction of uggt-1 function may be mediated by activation of the unfolded

protein response and its respective signaling cascades (this might be direct or indirect, such as by influencing levels of molecules that participate in neurotoxicity). In this regard, *pek-1* and *ire-1* appear to transduce necrosis-inhibiting signals, while *atf-6* counteracts by activating necrosis-promoting ones.



Figure 22. Genetic evidence for a role of unfolded protein response (UPR) genes in the transduction of *uqqt-1* mutation-induced suppression of necrotic cell death.

Red arrows highlight changes in neuronal survival levels in compound mutant strains as compared to respective control strain. Scored under Zeiss SV11 epifluorescence optics: 102 Is5 animals, 316 *Is5; mec-4(d)*, 342 *Is5; uggt-1(bz130) mec-4(d)*, 287 *Is5; ire-1(zc14); uggt-1(bz130) mec-4(d)*, 220 *Is5; unc-6(e78) uggt-1(bz130) mec-4(d)*, 508 *Is5; unc-6(e78) uggt-1(bz130) pek-1(ok275) mec-4(d)*, and 149 *Is5; unc-6(e78) uggt-1(bz130) atf-6(ok551) mec-4(d)*. Error bars represent standard error. Student's t-test was used to evaluate statistical significance: $P(ire-1; uggt-1 vs. uggt-1) = 1.35 \times 10^{-04}$, $P(pek-1; uggt-1 vs. uggt-1) = 3.22 \times 10^{-11}$, $P(atf-6; uggt-1 vs. uggt-1) = 1.75 \times 10^{-08}$.

From a molecular perspective, the question of how the different branches of the UPR pathway modulate *mec-4(d)*-induced necrotic death remains intriguing with no obvious answer from published literature. The fact that opposite UPR contributions (*atf-6* vs. *ire-1* and *pek-1* in this case) modulate the final adaptation/survival vs. necrotic death outcome is consistent with the well-known properties of the pathway, which commonly perform in tug-of-war activities among its transducers.

3.3.9. Calcium release from the ER appears to be downregulated by loss of *uggt-1* function

I reasoned that the availability of substrate for calreticulin and calnexin (monoglucosylated glycoproteins) should be dramatically reduced in *uggt-1* mutant backgrounds; hence, the expression of these lectins might be downregulated by putative feedback mechanisms. Such downregulation of *crt-1* for example, could result in a reduction in calcium release from the ER, hence slower increases of intracellular

calcium concentrations that would delay and/or suppress necrotic death. To assess this potential contribution from calcium signaling downregulation, I constructed compound mutant strain *zdIs5; itr-1(sy290) dpy-20(e1282); uggt-1(bz146) mec-4(d)* carrying a gain of function mutation in the *itr-1* gene, the IP3R channel gene in *C. elegans*. The *itr-1(gf)* mutation is thought to increase ER calcium release.

Interestingly, the gain-of-function *itr-1* mutation rescued cell death to a statistically significant extent at 20°C in the *uggt-1* background, but not in an otherwise wild type or *mec-4(d)*-only backgrounds (Figure 23), which suggests that *uggt-1* deficiency can downregulate calcium release from the ER. Therefore, *uggt-1* deficiency can contribute to death suppression in part through that mechanism.

Although this effect cannot be readily detected at 25°C, it does not rule out my previous conclusion based on the 20°C experimental data. I reason that even when the *itr-1(gf)* mutation appears to increase touch neuron death in the *mec-4(d)* background at 25°C (as indicated by increased degeneration in the *mec-4(d)*-only background, Figure 23), the enhancement of *uggt-1*-induced suppression at 25°C could be such that the *itr-1* effect is not perceptible in the *uggt-1* background.



Figure 23. Ca²⁺ release upregulation by the gain-of-function *itr-1(sy290)* allele modestly increases necrotic cell death in the *uggt-1* background.

Fluorescent neurons were scored under a Zeiss SV11 stereomicroscope. Scored under Zeiss SV11 epifluorescence optics are 192 *zdls5*; 166 *zdls5*, *itr-1(sy290) dpy-20(e1282)*, 234 *zdls5*; *dpy-20(e1282)*; *uggt-1(bz146) mec-4(d)*, 403 *zdls5*; *itr-1(sy290) dpy20(e1282)*; *uggt-1(bz146) mec-4(d)*, 182 *zdls5*; *itr-1(sy290) dpy20(e1282)*; *mec-4(d)*, 122 *zdls5*; *dpy20(e1282)*; *mec-4(d)*, and 316 *zdls5*; *mec-4(d)*. Error bars represent standard error.

Student's t-test was used to evaluate statistical significance: P(*itr-1; uggt-1 vs. uggt-1_20°C*)= 0.00025, P(*itr-1; uggt-1 vs. uggt-1_25°C*)= 0.0155.

These data suggest that mutations in uggt-1 may suppress mec-4(d)-induced death, in part, due to a reduction in calcium release from the ER. Alternatively, *itr-1* gain of function mutation may indirectly (in parallel) promote death while uggt-1 mutation promotes survival. In that case, the compounded effect would be subtractive, i.e. a reduction in uggt-1-induced death suppression as observed, but this seems unlikely since *itr-1(sy290)* mutation on its own neither induce death of the touch sensory neurons nor does it enhance mec-4(d)-induced death in an otherwise wild type background.

3.3.10. Differential gene regulation in F48E3.3 mutants

In order to assess alterations in expression levels of UPR inducible genes that might modulate necrosis outcome, I created a qPCR array comprising 54 genes of interest (Table 8) and performed expression profiling by real-time PCR in our *uggt-1* mutant and control backgrounds.

Table 8. Genes targeted for expression profiling

PROCESS	GENE NAME	NOTE ON FUNCTION
Protein Folding QC	uggt-1	UGGT enzyme
UPR signaling	pek-1	PERK pathway
	atf-6	ATF6 pathway
	ire-1	IRE1 pathway
	xbp-1	
protein folding	crt-1	chaperone/calcium
	cnx-1	chaperone
	hsp-4 (§)	chaperone
	dnj-7 (§)	Р58ІРК
	ero-1 (§)	oxidoreductase
	pdi-1 (§)	proline di-isomerase
ER calcium signaling	itr-1	IP3R channel
	unc-68 (§)	RyR channel
	sca-1 (§)	SERCA
membrane biogenesis	ckb-2 (§)	choline kinase
(choline synthesis)	ckb-4 (§)	choline kinase
ubiquitination	ubc-13 (§)	Ub ligase
	sel-1 (§)	HRD complex
QC & ERAD	C47E12.3 (§)	EDEM-1 glycosyl hydrolase
	erd-2 (§)	ER retention-signal receptor
	unc-51	Ser/Thr kinase
autophagy	bec-1	beclin1
	lgg-1	MAP-LC3 subunit
	lgg-2	MAP-LC3 subunit
secretory pathway	nsf-1 (§)	membrane & vesicle fusion
	C33D9.8 (§§)	vesicle docking
	rab-11.2 (§§)	RAS GTPase
protein degradation	T28H10.3 (§§)	endopeptidase
	NAS-38 (§§)	metalloprotease
metabolism	cht-1 (§§§)	hydrolase
	F55C5.2 (§§)	P-diesterase
	lips-11 (§§§§)	lipase class 2
oxidative stress	gst-1 (§)	GST
	T20D4.7 (§§)	thioredoxin
host defence	nlp-28 (§§§§)	antimicrobial peptide/osmotic stress response
	srp-7 (§)	serpin, protease
cuticle synthesis	fipr-24 (§§§§)	fungus induced
	col-89 (§§§§)	collagen

Gene Expression:	F40F12.7 (§§)	CBP/p300
	Y113G7B.14 (§§)	transcription termination factor
	R02D3.8 (§§)	exonuclease
	let-607 (§)	CREBH
	rrf-2 (§)	RNA pol
cytoskeleton	clec-67 (§§§§§)	C-type lectin
	tbb-6 (§§)	tubulin β
housekeeping controls	act-1	structural
	act-3	structural
	ama-1	RNA pol II
	rrn-1.1	ribosomal RNA
	Y105E8B.5	HPRT
	gpd-2	GAPDH major isoform
	ctl-1	cytosolic catalase
	ctl-2	peroxisomal catalase
	ctl-3	neuronal catalase

(§) expression of these genes was previously reported to be positively regulated by *ire-1* only; (§§) expression of these genes was previously reported to be negatively regulated by *ire-1* but positively regulated by *pek-1*; (§§§) expression of this gene was previously reported to be positively regulated by *atf-6* only; (§§§§) expression of these genes was previously reported to be negatively regulated by *pek-1* but positively regulated by *ire-1*; (§§§§) expression of these genes was previously reported to be negatively regulated by *pek-1* but positively regulated by *ire-1*; (§§§§§) expression of these genes was previously reported to be negatively regulated by *atf-6* but positively regulated by *ire-1* (Shen et al., 2005). Housekeeping genes were used as control group for RT-PCR reactions: *ctl-1* was chosen to normalize the Ct data because it was one of the few housekeeping genes maintaining an invariable level of expression independent of the genetic background under scrutiny.

I found that expression of the UPR transducers is mildly but significantly upregulated (Figure 24), as is the expression of distinct ER chaperones and other genes involved in

the adaptative UPR response. My data support a role for the activation of this protective, anti-ER stress response in the *uggt-1* mutant background. Another important observation was that expression of genes involved in Ca²⁺ release from the ER is significantly downregulated in the *uggt-1* mutant background (Figure 24). Since ER Ca²⁺ release plays a central role in necrosis activation, these results implicate Ca²⁺ signaling downregulation as an additional factor contributing to death suppression in the *uggt-1* mutant, in accordance with genetic interaction data reported in the previous section.





Transcript levels were quantified by RT-PCR using QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Kits (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and ABI PRISM 7900 cycler. Two independent samples per strain and four replicates per RT-PCR reaction were used. Data was analyzed using SDS2.2 software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Error bars represent standard error.

Additional expression profiling results shown in Figure 25 and Figure 26 document high upregulation of membrane biogenesis-related gene *ckb-2* (a choline kinase), tubulin β gene *tbb-*6, and T20D4.7 thioredoxin homolog (possibly involved in anti-oxidative stress responses), as well as milder but significant downregulation of metabolism-related genes (*lips-11, cht-1, nas-38, T28H10.3,* and *F55C5.2*) and general transcription activity (RNA polymerase II gene *ama-1* and transcription cofactor CBP/p300 homolog F40F12.7 were downregulated, whereas transcription termination factor *Y113G7B.14* and exonuclease *R02D3.2* were upregulated), among others.



Figure 25. Highly upregulated UPR-inducible genes.

Choline kinase *ckb-2* was 3-fold (200% in the graph) upregulated, thioredoxin homolog T20D4.7 was upregulated more than 3-fold, and tubulin β gene *tbb-6* was upregulated more than 4-fold (>300% in the graph). Experiment performed as described in Figure 24 (and material and methods). Error bars represent standard error.



Figure 26. RT-PCR expression profiling of UPR-inducible and housekeeping genes. Experiment performed as described in Figure 24 (and material and methods). Error bars represent standard error.

Autophagy genes *bec-1*, *unc-51*, *lgg-1*, and *lgg-2*, were also mildly upregulated (Figure 26). This result appears contradictory at first sight, since autophagy has been implicated in necrosis progression in *C. elegans*. Nevertheless, if we consider that autophagy is primarily an adaptive cellular mechanism, we could reason that the observed mild levels of activation of these genes might only favor a protective autophagic response.

Expression of *nsf-1*, the nematode homologue of N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor (NSF), which is a key component of the vesicular fusion machinery on early endosomes (Haas, 1998; Haas and Wickner, 1996), was downregulated in the *uggt-1* mutant (refer to Figure 26 again), in contrast to the upregulation of C33D9.8 and *rab-11.2*, which are presumably involved in vesicle docking (Shen et al., 2005) and vesicle budding (Chen et al., 1998), respectively. Interestingly, *nsf-1* was recently implicated in phagosome maturation during engulfment of apoptotic cells (Kinchen et al., 2008), a function that might also play a role in autophagosome formation and/or maturation, hence be relevant to our necrotic paradigm, in which autophagy contributes to cell death. Impairing or delaying autophagosome formation and/or maturation, could directly interfere with necrosis progression.

In addition, expression of genes involved in host defense (*nlp-28* and *srp-7*), osmoticstress response (*nlp-28*), and cuticle synthesis (*col-89*) were mildly upregulated in the *uggt-1* mutant background (Figure 26).

Overall, my transcriptional analysis suggests a potential role for general reprogramming of cellular homeostasis in the *uggt-1* mutant background. Interestingly, a picture emerges reminiscent of a preconditioned cellular state where the selective regulation of stress-responsive, pro-survival genes allows for cellular adaptation to chronic or recurrent insult. Coincidently, ER stress preconditioning has been recently documented and associated with improved resistance to ischemia (Lehotsky et al., 2009).

3.3.11. Knockdown of *uggt-1* by RNAi reduces the number and size of polyglutamine aggregates in a *C. elegans* model for Huntington Disease

Given the role of *uggt-1* in protein folding quality control, I was curious as to its role in neurodgeneration associated with protein aggregation, such as polyglutamine expansion models. When tested in an aggregation-prone model for Huntington Disease (polyQ82 peptide expressed in body wall muscles), knockdown of *uggt-1* activity by RNAi significantly reduced the number of poly-Q aggregates as well as the size of aggregates (Figure 27). Similarly, downregulation of UPR transducers *pek-1*, *ire-1*, and *atf-6* also reduced the number and size of aggregates. Interestingly, such effects are not conferred by *crt-1* RNAi, which might be due to the high concentration of calreticulin or might reflect different mechanisms of action.



Figure 27. Loss of *uggt-1* and UPR transducers reduces the number and size of polyglutamine aggregates.

Pictures were taken using epifluorescence capabilities installed on Zeiss Axiovert 2 microscope. Number and size of aggregates was quantified using the Particle Analysis capability of NIH ImageJ software (shown in figure: raw, then subtracted background

and adjusted threshold, followed by analysis of particle images). Strains *age-1* and *hsf-1* are used as controls. Fifteen to twenty five pictures were analyzed per category. Error bars represent standard error. Statistical significant differences in aggregate count and size between L4440 control and *uggt-1*, *pek-1*, *ire-1*, and *atf-6* were demonstrated by Student's t-test (P<0.01).

3.3.12. Knockdown of *uggt-1* by RNAi partially suppresses paralysis in a *C. elegans* model for Alzheimer's Disease

Downregulation of uggt-1 message by feeding RNAi on strain CL4176: smg-1(cc546ts) *I*; $dvIs27[pAF29(myo-3/human A\beta1-42/long3'UTR) + pRF4] X$ (which induces A β peptide synthesis and aggregation) partially suppressed the paralysis phenotype observed 24 hours after the worms are switched to the non-permissive temperature (Figure 28). Together with the results from previous section 3.3.11, this data suggests that downregulation of uggt-1 induces a protective response that can suppress detrimental effects of toxic protein aggregates.



Figure 28. Knockdown expression (by RNAi) of *uggt-1* can suppress A β -induced paralysis.

Strain used was CL4176. Error bars represent standard error. Student's t-test used to evaluate statistical significance: P(crt-1 vs. L4440) = 0.0245 and P(uggt-1 vs. L4440) = 0.0315. Protocol used for paralysis quantification is described in section 4.2 of this thesis.

3.3.13. Health and life spans are enhanced in partial loss-of-function mutant uggt-

1(bz130)

Exposure to a variety of mild stressors, including caloric restriction, thermal stress, or hyperbaric oxygen can induce an adaptive cellular and organismal response that increases lifespan in eukaryotes (Gems and Partridge, 2008; Saunders and Verdin, 2009; Westerheide et al., 2009). Recently, several authors described a novel role for ER signaling, via IRE-1 and PERK-1, in aging and dietary restriction-dependent lifespan extension in *C. elegans* and yeast (Chen et al., 2009; Steffen et al., 2008). It has also been reported that with age, many of the key components of the UPR such as chaperones and enzymes display reduced expression and activity, which results in a dysfunctional ER (Naidoo, 2009a; Naidoo, 2009b). Given that the UPR appears mildly activated in the *uggt-1* mutant backgrounds, I wondered if the lifespan of these strains could consequently be affected.

As noted in Figure 29, healthspan as well as lifespan of the *uggt-1(bz130)* mutant is significantly enhanced compared to control N2 Bristol strain. The *uggt-1(bz146)* mutant, on the other hand, did not show any improvement in the respective measurements. These results suggest that the mildly enhanced levels of UPR activation observed in mutant strain *uggt-1(bz130)* are beneficial to the general well-being of the nematode, while the higher UPR activation levels induced in *uggt-1(bz146)* have probably surpassed the putative beneficial threshold level and, on the contrary, had gotten close to become detrimental. Although it is unlikely that opportunistic mutations other than *uggt-1* could be responsible for this phenotype (because these *uggt-1* strains had been outcrossed four times into the N2 Bristol background), I cannot rule out that possibility until transformation rescue experiments confirm the *uggt-1* role.

Our *uggt-1* mutant lines could represent valuable reagents for further studies aiming to better understand the differential effects of stress responses (the UPR in particular) in the nematode healthspan.



Figure 29. Lifespan is extended in mutant *uggt-1(bz130)*.

Young adult animals grown at 20 °C were followed daily during their respective life spans. About 20-25 young adults were distributed per plate, for a total of 75-100 per experiment. The experiment was repeated twice and an average percentage of surviving animals per day calculated.

3.3.14. Brood size is affected in *uggt-1* mutants

I found that brood size, as measured by the total amount of progeny produced in 6 days, is significantly reduced in both *uggt-1(bz130)* and *uggt-1(bz146)* mutant backgrounds (19% and 26% respectively) compared to wild type N2 Bristol strain (Figure 30). Since the UPR plays an important role during embryonic development, as indicated by the non-viability of UPR mutants in multiple species including C. elegans, this may indicate that the UPR activation levels in both the *uggt-1* mutants is detrimental to embryo development. This is in contrast to the case of mutant *uggt-1(bz130)*, with the beneficial effects on health and life span described in previous section, and may indicate that differential fine-tuning of stress responses play pivotal roles in organismal homeostasis.

Contrasting with these relatively mild effects of uggt-1 mutations on brood size at 20 °C, a highly detrimental effect on fertilization or germline viability was observed at 25 °C, at which temperature only five generations can be produced in the uggt-1 mutant backgrounds. Such detrimental effect is not noticeable at 20 °C. This reinforces the idea of putative threshold stress levels that need not to be surpassed in order to maintain organismal homeostasis and health.



Figure 30. Brood size at 20 °C is reduced in *uggt-1* mutants.

Progeny from 14 isolated animals was scored and averaged for each strain. Significant differences in brood size were detected for both *uggt-1* mutants when compared to N2 strain (Student's t-test P<0.0005). No significant difference between the two uggt-1 alleles. L4-stage animals were transferred to individual plates and the number of progeny produced by each scored over a period of 6 days with daily transfer of the parent. Error bars represent standard error.

3.4. Discussion

My results indicate that *uggt-1* mutations can suppress necrotic cell death in *C. elegans*. The *uggt-1* mutations may induce suppression of necrosis by interfering with the death pathway rather than by affecting localization/trafficking or functionality of MEC-4 and MEC-4(d) channels. Such interference appears to be mediated in part by activation of the unfolded protein response (UPR) and downregulation of endoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺ release. These results highlight the important contribution of ER homeostasis to cellular health. Interestingly, downregulation of *uggt-1* can counteract multiple degenerative insults, including hyperactivation of DEG/ENaC channels, A β toxicity, and aggregation of poly-glutamine containing proteins. Expression profiling experiments suggest that downregulation of *uggt-1* function induces a general reprogramming of cellular homeostasis, which may contribute to making the cells and the organism more resistant to stress.

Specific functions of the *uggt-1* gene in *C. elegans* remain to be determined, but the high sequence homology across kingdoms suggests an important and basic role for this protein in cellular function. Indeed, UDP-glucose:Glycoprotein Glucosyltransferases (UGGTs) have a conserved role in protein folding quality control in many organisms such as plasmodium, yeast, fruit fly, and humans (Guerin and Parodi, 2003; Parodi, 1999; Parodi, 2000; Tessier et al., 2000). This enzyme recognizes sub-optimally folded glycoproteins in the ER and adds a single glucose residue to a terminal mannose of their

asparagine-linked oligosaccharides (which have been previously and sequentially deglucosylated by the action of ER-resident glucosidase I and II in order for the glycoprotein to exit the ER and continue its transport through Golgi). The monoglucosylated glycoproteins serve then as substrate for the ER-resident lectins calnexin and calreticulin, which function as chaperones that help retain such misfolded proteins in the ER until they completely and correctly fold into their more stable conformations.

UGGTs transferase activity localizes to the highly conserved C-terminal region (catalytic domain), which represents about 20% of the protein (Taylor et al., 2004). The less conserved N-terminal region on the other hand, represents about 80% of the protein and is required to activate the catalytic domain and to sense folding defects on the substrates (Arnold and Kaufman, 2003; Taylor et al., 2004). It has been reported that UGGTs recognize clusters of surface-exposed hydrophobic residues that allow the enzyme to sense subtle conformational changes within structurally compact substrates (Caramelo et al., 2003; Ritter and Helenius, 2000; Ritter et al., 2005; Sousa and Parodi, 1995; Taylor et al., 2003; Totani et al., 2009).

Another potential issue to consider is that *C. elegans* UGGT-1, like its yeast relative, could be glycosylating proteins other than suboptimally folded ones (like a regular glycosyltransferase, independently from its folding quality control function) therefore

playing a more direct/specific role in the induction of cell death by directly modifying the structure of proteins involved in the death mechanism. Although such functional glycosylation has only been described for the yeast homolog (not for higher eukaryotes), this possibility should not be overlooked but addressed in future *C. elegans* UGGT-1 research. Most *uggt-1* alleles generated in this screen for suppressors of necrosis encode missense mutations that presumably produce truncated proteins that have lost the putative domains responsible for the enzymatic activity. Allele *bz130* appears to be the least affected, harboring an in frame deletion of 27 amino acids (Figure 10) right after the UDP-glucose:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase domain (the deletion removes the last amino acid of this domain). Even when the putative domains are still present in *bz130*, my suspicion is that the deletion dramatically affects the domain structure and consequently the function of the protein.

An adaptive state associated with *uggt-1* deficiency? In seminal work on cellular adaptation to ER stress, Rutkowski and collaborators showed that adaptation is mediated by activation of all proximal UPR sensors and is determined by the differential stabilities of pro-survival and pro-apoptotic mRNAs and proteins (Rutkowski et al., 2006). They found that despite eliciting UPR activation and ER perturbation, mild ER stress (either pharmacologically or genetically induced) allowed for net growth of cell populations, albeit initially slower, and for induction of resistance to the perturbing effects of mild disruption of protein folding in the ER. Cell survival did not require selective activation of the proximal UPR stress sensors but differential expression of downstream proteins. Such differential expression was demonstrated to be the consequence of substantially different stabilities of mRNAs and proteins involved in either adaptative or apoptotic responses.

Rutkowski and colleagues also developed a highly relevant and informative $Uggt1^{-/-}$ knockout cell line that they used as a genetic paradigm for chronic stress. They demonstrated that in this knockout mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) line, the UPR is mildly activated and that these cells are able to adapt to the ER stress burden, mediated by UPR-dependent upregulation of ER chaperones. They found that as in pharmacologically adapted cells, $Uggt-1^{-/-}$ cells showed selective up-regulation of prosurvival proteins such as ER chaperones but not pro-apoptotic proteins (Rutkowski et al., 2006). The authors concluded that "while it might have been expected a priori that $Uggt1^{-/-}$ cells would be more sensitive to ER stress because of a compromised quality control system, the resistance of these cells is consistent with their having achieved an adapted state that parallels the state achieved in cells adapted to pharmacological stress", and supported a protective role for the upregulation of ER chaperones consequent to exposure to chronic stress.

In our mutant *uggt-1* genetic paradigm, now at the level of organismal physiology, I found evidence for a seemingly protective role for the activation of the UPR. My results show that this overall protective effect in *C. elegans* is partially mediated by two of the

proximal UPR transducers, *pek-1* and *ire-1*, which appear to promote necrosissuppressing signals, while *atf-6* appears to promote necrosis-inducing ones (loss of *atf-6* function can, by itself, mildly suppress neuronal death in *C. elegans*). Expression profiling experiments indicated that the three UPR transducers were upregulated in the *uggt-1* mutant background, and epistasis analysis showed that there is genetic interaction among *pek-1*, *ire-1*, *atf-6*, and *uggt-1*. These results support and highlight an important role for the UPR in this case of necrosis suppression.

Interesting and puzzling is the fact that the effect of the UPR transducers in *C. elegans* necrosis appears to be contrary to their equivalent effects in mammalian apoptosis. For example, in mammalian apoptosis ATF6 α is thought to be a main player controlling the transcriptional regulation of BiP, GRP94, calreticulin, and other chaperones, hence portraying a protective effect against stress-induced apoptotic death, while PERK signaling is thought to be the principal driver of CHOP expression, hence the main inducer of apoptosis. As described earlier, in our *mec-4(d)* channel hyperactivation necrosis paradigm, *atf-6* appears to promote necrosis-inducing signals, while *pek-1* appears to transduce death-suppressing ones. Although counterintuitive a priori, this could readily fit a model in which the UPR transducers have evolved opposed capacities to cope with extreme and opposed cellular events, as apoptosis and necrosis are. This would represent logical molecular multi-functionality and cellular economy, since apoptosis and necrosis are counteractive in nature.

An influence of *uggt-1* on intracellular calcium homeostasis? Observation of additional epistatic, necrosis-rescuing effects by upregulation of ER calcium release (induced by gain-of-function mutation in IP₃ receptor channel *itr-1*) in the *uggt-1* mutant background suggests that downregulation of ER calcium release could be another contributor to death-suppression. This idea is further supported by my expression profiling data indicating that both *itr-1* and *unc-68* transcripts are significantly downregulated in the *uggt-1* mutant background. Additional experiments with Ca²⁺-activated chameleon reporters (Palmer et al., 2004; Rudolf et al., 2003) to profile ER and cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ concentration changes could be conducted in order to directly demonstrate modulation of Ca²⁺ signaling during necrosis induction in the *uggt-1* background.

These results fit well into our current understanding of *C. elegans* necrosis and contribute consolidating insights by bringing together the calpain/cathepsin and the autophagic branches of the death pathway into a congruent mechanism centered on a main event that is the induction of Ca²⁺ release from the endoplasmic reticulum (see proposed working model in Figure 31).



Figure 31. Proposed model of mec-4(d)-induced Necrotic Cell Death. See text for details.

It is well known that ER stress occurs under various physiological and pathological conditions (Berridge, 2002; Hoyer-Hansen and Jaattela, 2007; Huang et al., 2006), including those when the ER folding capacity becomes saturated or when too much Ca²⁺ is released across the ER membrane, increasing cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ concentration at the expense of lowering physiological ER Ca²⁺ concentration to levels that render ER chaperones and folding systems non-functional. The latter scenario is relevant to our necrotic model, where Ca²⁺ release from the ER and consequent activation of calpain/cathepsin proteases have been demonstrated (Xu et al., 2001). In addition,

autophagy has recently been implicated as a player in *C. elegans* necrosis (Samara et al., 2008; Toth et al., 2007). Since ER stress is a potent trigger of autophagy (Bernales et al., 2006; Bernales et al., 2007; Criollo et al., 2007; Fujita et al., 2007; Hoyer-Hansen and Jaattela, 2007; Ogata et al., 2006; Yorimitsu et al., 2006), it seems plausible that the initial Ca²⁺ release from the ER, in addition to activating the calpain/cathepsin cascade and lysosomal rupture, also induces ER stress, which consequently triggers autophagy and contributes to the necrotic demise.

It has been reported that during UPR-induced autophagy, ER membranes are selectively sequestered and tightly packed into autophagosomes, which do not fuse with the vacuole (in yeast) until the stress is eliminated (Bernales et al., 2006; Bernales et al., 2007). These observations suggest that sequestration of damaged ER is more important than its eventual degradation (Ron and Walter, 2007). I reason that the whorls observed in ultrastructural studies of necrotic neurons in *C. elegans* (Hall et al., 1997) may well represent ER stress-induced "sequestering" autophagosomes, which would provide additional support for our hypothesis suggesting that ER stress is contributing to necrosis in our model. The fact that *nsf-1*, an ATPase involved in vesicle fusion, is downregulated in the *uggt-1* background fits well into this model. NSF-1 was recently implicated in phagosome maturation during apoptotic corpse engulfment (Kinchen et al., 2008). It is interesting to speculate that *nsf-1* might play a similar role in autophagosome maturation during an autophagic response, which would have high relevance to our necrosis paradigm. Impairing or delaying autophagosome maturation

could directly interfere with necrosis progression while still allowing the formation of "sequestering" autophagosomes.

ER stress preconditioning as a necrosis-suppressing factor? My results suggest that early activation of an intact unfolded protein response can keep ER stress under control by directly maintaining ER homeostasis and interfering with Ca²⁺ release from the ER, hence keeping necrosis signaling under check. My expression profiling study in the *uggt-1* mutant background indicates that selective activation of pro-survival factors and general reprogramming of multiple transcriptional programs occur at the expense of metabolic functions. Recently, Dominguez-Cuevas and coworkers have shown that the induction of stress-related functions in *Pseudomonas putida* was accompanied by the inhibition of motility and repression of enzymes involved in metabolism, which appeared a tradeoff for activating stress tolerance genes at a minimal cost in terms of energy (Dominguez-Cuevas et al., 2006). Overall, the chronic mild activation of the UPR in *uggt-1* mutants and its associated necrosis-suppressing effects are reminiscent of preconditioned cellular states.

Referring to ischemic preconditioning, Dr. Gidday wrote: "The overall implication is that diverse families of pro-survival genes are activated and, in turn, encode proteins that serve to enhance the brain's resistance to ischemia. Protection is achieved by the attenuation of broad categories of injury-inducing mechanisms, including excitotoxicity, ion/pH imbalance, oxidative and nitrosative stress, metabolic dysfunction, inflammation and, ultimately, necrotic and apoptotic cell death" (Gidday, 2006).

Interestingly, several authors have recently described protective effects of ER stress preconditioning in multiple paradigms (Hayashi et al., 2003; Hung et al., 2003; Inagi et al., 2008; Lehotsky et al., 2009; Peyrou and Cribb, 2007; Zhu et al., 2006). Lehotsky and collaborators, for example, found that higher proteins levels of GRP78 in preischemic animals were associated with improved resistance to ischemia/reperfusion. They also reported that ER stress preconditioning induced remarkable changes in the levels of ATF6 protein, which appear to underlie the neuroprotective effect by attenuating the ER stress response after acute ischemic/reperfusion insult (Lehotsky et al., 2009).

Other authors have reported that manipulations of the PERK/eIF2 α subpathway such as pre-emptive phosphorylation of eIF2 α (Lu et al., 2004b), selective chemical inhibition of eIF2 α dephosphorylation (Boyce et al., 2005), and genetic manipulations that reduce the expression of eIF2 α (therefore mimicking its phosphorylation) (Tan et al., 2001) can protect cells against subsequent exposure to ER stress, akin of preconditioning effects. On this same line of thought, Lu and collaborators found that "eIF2 α phosphorylation can initiate signaling in a cytoprotective gene expression pathway independently of other parallel stress-induced signals and that activation of this pathway can singlehandedly promote a stress-resistant preconditioned state" (Lu et al., 2004b). Tan and colleagues, on the other hand, found that $eIF2\alpha$ is a "critical regulatory factor in the response of nerve cells to oxidative stress and in the control of the major intracellular antioxidant, GSH, and may play a central role in the many neurodegenerative diseases associated with oxidative stress" (Tan et al., 2001).

Mild UPR activation, as a preconditioning tool, may be relevant to general health and neurodegeneration in particular. As I have shown in this study, small increases in temperature could activate a protective response against neuronal necrosis, at least partially mediated by UPR transducers. Interestingly, epidemiological studies have reported that colder temperatures, as well as temperature changes, are associated with the onset of ischemic stroke, being the risk with temperature change greater in the winter than in summer (Chang et al., 2004; Hong et al., 2003). Although blood viscosity and coagulation may contribute to temperature effects in stroke, the possibility that protective cellular events such as benign, mild activation of the UPR (e.g. during summer time) could be additionally actuating at the cellular level and preconditioning tissues, should not be discarded.

To finish, I would like to call attention to the important implications that partial loss of *uggt-1* function could have in extending the health and life spans of the organism under controlled optimal ambient conditions (as inferred from lifespan experiments described in Figure 29). Such an approach could open new venues while addressing therapeutic

strategies for age-related conditions in general, provided that an appropriate UGGT inhibitor could be developed.

3.5. Summary and Future Prospects

Similar to mammalian excitotoxicity, *mec-4(d)*-dependent neuronal necrosis in *C. elegans* displays strikingly characteristic morphological and molecular events, which includes: increased cation influx, rise in cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ concentration, cell swelling, calpain and cathepsin activation, lysosomal rupture, degradation of cellular contents and eventual cell demise.

Our work in the Driscoll Lab over the last decade has implicated the endoplasmic reticulum as an important source of necrosis-inducing signaling and my more recent discoveries, additionally point towards an important role that the same compartment could play in eliciting a protective response (the UPR), allowing death suppression as a consequence of adaptation to chronic mild stress.

Recent implication of mammalian ASIC1a channels (mammalian homologs of *C. elegans* MEC-4) as main culprits in ischemic neuronal injury (Xiong et al., 2006; Xiong et al., 2004; Yermolaieva et al., 2004) underscores the utility that studies in simpler model organisms could have, and how they can dramatically impact our understanding of more complex biological phenomena. It is likely that our description of this neuroprotective
guiding new efforts in the development of novel therapeutic strategies that target, for

example, partial or total inhibition of this particular UGGT enzyme.

Appendix 3.A. UGGT Sequence Alignment



										— Section 7
	(457)	457	470	480	49	90	500	510	520	532
EhUGT NCBLXP 654498	(329)	ISSLVSKENK	ETIRFL	PSEHIIK	NSLDS-Y	L <mark>y</mark> er <mark>ff</mark> ko <mark>l</mark>	ESFFOYNP	YR <mark>lfr</mark> ytsoi	LYTFIAT	r <mark>ld</mark> fndlso
ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281	(391)	ANEDSDFKFV	KFHCQDDI	DWKAIHW	7 <mark>neie</mark> sne	KYDN <mark>WP</mark> K <mark>S</mark> I	QILL <mark>K</mark> PI <mark>Y</mark>	PGQLHMLG <mark>K</mark>	LHTVIYE	P <mark>IF</mark> PSSP
F26H9.8-WB	(402)	IPKM <mark>I</mark> T <mark>I</mark> VDL:	SSVKL <mark>S</mark> EHA	AFDY <mark>SI</mark> AEI	PVY <mark>I</mark> NDLE	STR <mark>S</mark> PYK <mark>S</mark> L	ML <mark>MLQP</mark> FP	PGQIRPIS <mark>R</mark> N	IIF <mark>NL</mark> IME	F <mark>LDP</mark> FDS
F48E3.3-WB	(397)	I <mark>LV</mark> G <mark>M</mark> DTSDD1	EKTT <mark>YA</mark> VD	I <mark>R</mark> EGYPF <mark>F</mark>	NNLDTDK	KYKQ <mark>W</mark> GN <mark>S</mark> V	KL <mark>ML</mark> QPY <mark>Y</mark>	PG <mark>MIRPIAR</mark> N	ILFSLVF	VVDPSTS
DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151	(424)	S <mark>LLAL</mark> DLTAS:	SKKE <mark>FAID</mark>	RDTAVQW	7ND <mark>IE</mark> NDV	Q <mark>y</mark> rr <mark>wp</mark> ssv	MD <mark>LL<mark>R</mark>PT<mark>F</mark></mark>	PG <mark>ML</mark> RNIRKN	VFNLVL	VV <mark>D</mark> ALQP
HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505	(436)	N <mark>VL</mark> KLN <mark>I</mark> QPS [.]	-EAD <mark>YA</mark> VDI	RSPAISW	<mark>/NNLE</mark> VDS	RYNSWPSSL	QELL <mark>R</mark> PTF	PG <mark>V</mark> IR <mark>Q</mark> IRKN	JLHN <mark>M</mark> VF	IVDPAHE
HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506	(422)	KF <mark>L</mark> KLNSHIW	-EYT <mark>Y</mark> V <mark>LD</mark> I	RHS <mark>SIMW</mark>	NDLENDD	L <mark>YITWPTS</mark> C	QKLL <mark>K</mark> PVF:	PGS <mark>V</mark> PSIR <mark>R</mark> I	FHNLVLI	F <mark>IDP</mark> AQE
RUGT_NCBI.Q9JLA3	(412)	N <mark>IL</mark> K <mark>L</mark> N <mark>I</mark> QPS [.]	-ETD <mark>YA</mark> VDI	RSPAISW	<mark>7NNLE</mark> VDS	RYNSWPSSL	QELL <mark>R</mark> PTF:	PG <mark>V</mark> IRQIRKN	ILHN <mark>M</mark> VF	I <mark>VDP</mark> VHE
ZebUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002	(413)) D <mark>IL</mark> K <mark>L</mark> N <mark>V</mark> QPS [.]	-DSD <mark>YA<mark>V</mark>DI</mark>	RNP <mark>A</mark> VHW	INNLETDG	RYAS <mark>WP</mark> SNV	QE <mark>LL<mark>R</mark>PT<mark>F</mark></mark>	PG <mark>V</mark> IR <mark>Q</mark> IRKN	IF <mark>HNLV</mark> M	IL <mark>DP</mark> THE
Consensus	(457)	ILLI	YAVDI	R AI WY	7N LE D	RY SWPSSL	Q LLRP F	PGVIR IRKN	ILHNLV I	IVDP
										— Section 8
	(533)	533 540	55	0	560	570	<u>580</u>	590		608
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498	(402)	TLNS <mark>LKVI</mark> QTI	FMFRYMA <mark>PI</mark>	QF <mark>GIIPI</mark> 1	NIPTN <mark>EI</mark> G	K <mark>I</mark> LL <mark>S</mark> S <mark>I</mark> ID	INNKWGTD	GLMKFIEKVI	DE <mark>L</mark> QINS	VSQI <mark>T</mark> DFF <mark>B</mark>
ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281	(465)	SSLPLISELI	Q <mark>F</mark> SRRPS <mark>P</mark>	QT <mark>G</mark> MVCA	A <mark>ND</mark> D <mark>DE</mark> FA	QTVCKSFFY	ISKES <mark>G</mark>	TDS <mark>A</mark> LF	KF <mark>L</mark> YKCL	SDS <mark>S</mark> ADLY
F26H9.8-WB	(476)	DDRVF <u>D</u> D <mark>V</mark> IRI	N <mark>FQ</mark> TG-IH	RF <mark>GFV</mark> PII	LD <mark>EA</mark> KYGK	S <mark>IEEA</mark> VD <mark>S</mark> M	IVPPT			<mark>-</mark> <mark>K</mark>
F48E3.3-WB	(471)	EGRKF <mark>LRI</mark> GQ	F <mark>FNS</mark> HD IA	IRI <mark>GYIF</mark> A	/NQDTKAS	GET DLGVAL	LNL <mark>FNFV</mark> S:	I <mark>D</mark> SSNAD <mark>A</mark> LF	(V <mark>L</mark> NNFLI	DDYR <mark>S</mark> KD
DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151	(498)	TARS <mark>VI</mark> KLSE:	S <mark>F</mark> VIHQAP <mark>I</mark>	RLGLVFD2	AR <mark>D</mark> AN <mark>E</mark> DN	LADYV <mark>AI</mark> TC:	AYN <mark>Y</mark> VSQK:	K <mark>d</mark> araal <mark>sf</mark> i	JTDIYAAV	VGETKVVT <mark>K</mark>
HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505	(509)	TTAELMNTAE	MFL <mark>SNHIP</mark> I	BRI <mark>gfi</mark> fvi	7 <mark>nds</mark> edvd	G <mark>MQD</mark> AGVA <mark>V</mark>	LRA <mark>YN</mark> YVA	Q <mark>e</mark> vddyh <mark>af</mark> ç	QT <mark>I</mark> THIY	KVR <mark>T</mark> G-E <mark>K</mark>
HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506	(495)	YTLDFIKLAD	V <mark>F</mark> YSHE <mark>V</mark> PI	JRI <mark>GF</mark> VF I I	LNTDDEVD	GAND <mark>AGVA</mark> L	WRAFNYIA	E <mark>E</mark> FDISE <mark>AF</mark> I	IS <mark>I</mark> VHMY	KAKK DÖNI
RUGT_NCBI.Q9JLA3	(485)	TTAELVSIAE	MFLSNHIPI	RI <mark>GFI</mark> FV	7 <mark>nd</mark> sedvd	G <mark>mqdagva</mark> v:	LRAY <mark>N</mark> YVG	Q <mark>e</mark> vdgyh <mark>af</mark> ç	QT <mark>I</mark> TQIY	NKVR <mark>T</mark> G-E <mark>K</mark>
ZebUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002	(486)	NTAELLGVAE	MEYSNNIPI	RI <mark>G</mark> VVF <mark>V</mark>	/ <mark>ND</mark> SDDVD	GMQDPGVAL	LRA <mark>FN</mark> YIA	D <mark>D</mark> VDGQM <mark>AF</mark> I	DA <mark>V</mark> ISIM	RIP <mark>S</mark> G-D <mark>K</mark>
Consensus	(533)	TT LL IAE	F S IPI	GRIGEVEI	/NDSDEV	GM DAGVAL	L FNYVA	D AF	LI	NSK
										— Section 9
	(609)	609	620	630	640	650		660	670	684
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498	(478)) KFYRLR <mark>VS</mark> TF	TNINNYL1	INTK <mark>I</mark> LNT:	ENYMNN	н	GFKFNA	FYLNGVFINE	PE	– – – KMV <mark>S</mark> EF
ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281	(535)	SL <mark>LE</mark> EH <mark>L</mark> PLS	HDDDTLAN	ILKKDLSS	F <mark>FD</mark> HYMS	KSNS <mark>W</mark> VNRL	GIDSS-AS	E <mark>VI</mark> VNGRIIS	8HI	D <mark>ENYD</mark> RSMY
F26H9.8-WB	(524)	KVFWK <mark>S</mark> KD	SLINALKKO	SRF <mark>VA</mark> EA	SLTQ			L <mark>VL</mark> LNGY <mark>PL</mark> I	DVTSI-	-ERFESSLT
F48E3.3-WB	(545)) PT <mark>IE</mark> D <mark>I</mark> KEFF	AK <mark>F</mark> SDASH	rsd <mark>v</mark> f <mark>g</mark> vn	3 D Y D K G <mark>R K</mark>	H <mark>gfefvqk</mark> t	GLNSAP	K <mark>VL</mark> LNGFI <mark>L</mark> I	D – <mark>E</mark> G <mark>V</mark> R(GDNIEETIM
DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151	(574)	KD <mark>I</mark> VKQ LT KE	FTSLSFAKA	AEEFLEED	STYDYG <mark>R</mark> E	L <mark>A</mark> AE <mark>F</mark> IQRL	G F G D K G Q P	QA <mark>llng</mark> vp <mark>m</mark> e	SNVVTAI	DSDF <mark>E</mark> EAIF
HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505	(584)) VK <mark>VE</mark> HVVSVL	SKKA BAAE!	NS <mark>ILG</mark> ID	SAYDRNRK	E <mark>A</mark> RG <mark>Y</mark> YEQ <mark>T</mark>	G <mark>A</mark> GbTb.	V <mark>VLFNGMP</mark> FE	ER- <mark>EQL</mark> DI	PDEL <mark>E</mark> TITM
HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506	(571)) LTVDNVKSVL	2NT <mark>F</mark> PHANI	WD <mark>ILG</mark> IH	SKYDEERK	A <mark>G</mark> ASFYKMT	G <mark>LG</mark> PLP	QA <mark>L</mark> Y <mark>NGEP</mark> FF	(H – <mark>E</mark> EMN I	IKELKMAVL
RUGT_NCBI.Q9JLA3	(560)	VKVEHVVSVL	KKYPYVE1	NS <mark>ILG</mark> ID	5AYDQNRK	E <mark>A</mark> RG <mark>Y</mark> YEQ <mark>T</mark>	G <mark>VG</mark> PLP	VVL FNGMPFE	EK- <mark>E</mark> Q <mark>L</mark> DI	PDELETITM
Zebugi_NCBLNP_001071002	(561)	LK <mark>VE</mark> H <mark>VV</mark> GVL	KRYPYVEI	SS <mark>ILG</mark> PD	AYDNNRK	ECKAYYEQT	G <mark>N</mark> GPLP	V <mark>VL</mark> Y <mark>NGMPL</mark> Ç	QR- <mark>EQL</mark> DI	PDELETVVM
Consensus	(609)	VE VVSV I	E Y	ILG S	5 YD RK	A F T	GVG LP	VL NG PL	EL	DELE SIM
										Castian 10
	(605)	695 600	700	71	0	720	720	740	750	- Section 10
	(685)	685 690	,700	71	0	720	730	740	750	— Section 10 760
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498	(685) (534)	685 <u>690</u> S <mark>KI</mark> YSSDIPI	700 LQE <mark>L</mark> IRTK	71 2LH <mark>D</mark> G <mark>NI</mark> YI	0 K <mark>QLQN</mark> KF <mark>Q</mark>	720 W <mark>i</mark> ky <mark>i</mark> dnd <mark>i</mark>	730	<u>,</u> 740 - N	,750 S <mark>i</mark> an <mark>w</mark> kei	— Section 10 760 PFN <mark>1</mark> S <mark>K</mark>
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281	(685) (534) (605)	685 690 S <mark>KI</mark> YSSDIPI GIF <mark>LE</mark> DIPEV	.700 LQE <mark>L</mark> IRTKÇ QIAVAEGK	71 2LH <mark>D</mark> GNIYH SEDDNLLI	0 KQ <mark>LQN</mark> KF <mark>Q</mark> DFILR	720 WIKYIDND DASITRNP	730 MTIKQ LVYPSAKS	740 -Ns s <mark>i</mark> k <mark>s</mark> idikru		Section 10 760 PFN <mark>I</mark> S <mark>K</mark> LNHEDILLI
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB	(685) (534) (605) (576)	685 690 SKIYSSDIPI GIF LE DIPEV QNIQKQTERL	700 LQE <mark>L</mark> IRTKO QIAVAEGK QLALLHGL	71 2LHDGNIYI SEDDNLLI EDSVQIDI	0 KQLQ <mark>N</mark> KF <mark>Q</mark> DFILR RWWFEKKT	720 W <mark>I</mark> KYIDND <mark>U</mark> DASITRNP	730 MTIKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE	740 -Ns S <mark>I</mark> K <mark>S</mark> IDIKRV QFVFRRSIQE	750 IAN <mark>W</mark> KEP IENV <mark>G</mark> SJ IKNVHY	
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB	(685) (534) (605) (576) (618)	685 690 SKIYSSDIPI GIFLEDIPEV QNIQKQTERL MEVNKISPKI	700 LQELIRTKO QIAVAEGK QLALLHGL QRALMEGK	71 21HDGNIYI SEDDNLLI EDSVQIDI TDRMNYGI	0 KQIQNKFQ DFIIR WWFEKKT WWVIEQKD	720 MIKYIDND DASITRNP NPDIICRLM VMPRINKRI	730 MTIKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE APSKKT	740 -N	750 LANMKEI LENVCSI LKNVHY CKSLKDV	
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F2649.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUCT_1_NCBI.NP_5424151	(685) (534) (605) (576) (618) (650)	685 690 SKIYSSDIPI GIFLEDIPEV QNIQKQTERI MEVMKISPKI TEIMTHTSNI	.700 LQELIRTK IAVAEGK QLALINGL QRAIMEGK QRAVYKGE	71 2LHDGNIY SEDDNLLI EDSVQID TDNDVA TDNDVA	0 KQIQNKFQ DFIIR WWFEKKT WVIEQKD DYIMNQPN	720 - DASITRNP NPDII <mark>C</mark> RLM VMPRINKRI VMPRINCRI	730 MTIKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE SAPSKKT LSQEDVKYI	740 -NS S <mark>IKS</mark> IDIKRV QFVFRRSIQE V <mark>V</mark> EILGS-MI LDINGVAYKN	750 SIANMKER VLENVGSI FLKNVHY OCKSLKDV ILGNVGVI	
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064505	(685) (534) (605) (576) (618) (650) (657)	685 690 SKIYSSDIPI GIFLEDIPE QNIQKQTTRL MEVMKISPKI TEIMTHTSNL HKILETTFF	700 LQELIRTK LALALGK QLALLHGL QRALMEGK QRAVYKGE QRAVYKGE	71 SEDDNLLI EDSVQIDA TDRMNVGI TDNDVAII PHDQNVV	0 KQIQNKFQ DFIIR WWFEKKT WWVIEQKD DYIMNQPH YIMNQPH	720 WIKYIDNDL DASITRNP NPDIICRLN VMPRINKRI VMPRINCRI VVPRINSRI	730 MTIKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE LSAPSKKT LSQEDVKY LTAERDYL	740 -N	750 IANWKEI VLENVGSJ ILKNVHY OCKSLKDV VLGNVGVJ VLDVAR	
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064506 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064506	(685) (534) (605) (576) (618) (650) (657) (644) (622)	685 690 SEIYSSDIPI GIFLEDIPEV ON CKOTTRU MEYMKISPKI TEIMTHSNI HEILETTUFF CRMMDASVYI	700 LQELIRTKQ IAVAEGK LALLHGL RAIMEGKI KAVYKGEI QRAVYLGEI QRAVYLGEI	71 2LHD GNIYI SEDDNLLI EDSVQID: TDRMNVGI TDNDVAI PHDQDVVI NDRTNAI	0 CLONKFC DFILR WVIEQKD VVIEQKD VIMNQPH CTIMNQPN DFIMDRNN	720 WIKYIDNDI DASITRNE NPDIIQRIN VMPRINRI VVPRINS <mark>R</mark> I VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI	730 VYPSAKS QRFTKILE SAPSKKT SQEDVKY LTAERDYL RTNQQYLI	740 -N	750 IANNKER IENVGSJ IENVHY OCKSLKDV IGNVGVI VDDYAR VEDEST	
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064505 RUGT_NCBI.NP_010121002 ZobUGT_NCBI.QP01021002	(685) (534) (605) (576) (618) (650) (657) (644) (633)	685 690 SETYSSDIPI GIFLEDIPEV MEVKIPKI HEILETTEFF CRIMINASVY HEILETTEFF	700 LQE IRTKÇ IAVAEGK QLALHGL QRAVMKGEI QRAVMKGEI QRAVMLGEI QRAVMLGEI QRAVMLGEI QRAVMLGEI	71 2 H D GNI YH S ED DNL I T DRMAY G T DRMAY G PHD QD YH NDRTNA I SHD QD YH ND RTNA I SHD QD YH	0 FILR WWFEKKT WWIEQKD YIMNQPH YIMNQPH FIMDRNN FIMDRNN YIMNQPN	720 WIKYIDNDL -DASITRNP NPDIICRIN WPRINKRI VPRINSRI VPRINSRI VPRINSRI VVPRINSRI	730 TIKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE LSAPSKKT LSQEDVKY LTAERDYLL LTTNQQYLL LTAKREYLL	740 	750 LENVGS LENVGS CKSLKDV CKSLKDV JGNVGVI VDDYAR VDDYAR VDDFAR	Section 10 760 PFNISK LNHEDILLI SEES VENISDSDK LNRISNRDM FTILDSQGK FFLDSQDK FFLDSQDK FFLDSQDK FFLDSQDK
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLQ9JLA3 ZebUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus	(685) (534) (605) (576) (618) (650) (657) (644) (633) (634) (685)	685 690 SEIYSSDIPI GIFLEDIPEV QNIQKQTIRL MEVMISPKI TEIMTHISPKI HEILETTIFF QRMUBSVI HEILETTIFF HEILETTIFF	700 LQELIRTK LALLHGL RALLHGL RAVIKGEI RAVILGE QRAVILGE QRAVILGE QRAVILGE QRAVILGE	71 21 H 0 GNIY SED DNLL EDSVQIDE T DRMMVGI T DNDVAIT PHOQUVY NDRTNAII SHDQDVY NS DHOVYI NS DNVY	0 FILR WFEKKT WVIEQKD YIMNQPN FIMDRNN YIMNQPN YIMNQPN YIMNQPN	720 WIKYIDND -DASITRNP NPDIIGRIN WPRINKRI WPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI	730 MTIKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE LSAPSKKT LSQEDVKYJ LTAERDYL LTAKREYL LS	740 -N	750 LENVGS KNVHY CKSLKDV GNVGVI VDDYAR DVEDFST VDDFAR LDFA	- Section 10 760 PFN S
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064506 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.Q9JLA3 ZebUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus	(685) (534) (605) (576) (618) (650) (657) (644) (633) (634) (685)	685 690 SET YSSDIP GIFLE DIPEV QNICXUTRI MEVAKSPKI MEVAKSPKI TEINTHISNI HEINTHISNI HEINTHISNI HEILE TIPF HKILE TIFF HKILE TIFF KILE TIFF	700 LQE IRTKG LADIHGL QRAIMEGK QRAVMEGK QRAVVIGE QREVVIGE QREVVIGE QREVVIGE QRVVIGE QRAVVIGE QRAVVIGE	71 21HD GNIY SED DNIII EDSVQIDI TDRMMVGD TDRMVGU PHDQDVU NBRTRAI SHDQDVU NSDHDVVU	0 FILR WFEKKT WVIEQKD VIMNQPN FIMDRNN YIMNQPN YIMNQPN YIMNQPN YIMNQPN	720 -DASLTRNP NPDIIQRIN WMPRINKRI VMPRINKRI VWPRINSII VVPRINSII VVPRINSII VVPRINSI	730 MTIKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE LSAPSKKT LSQEDVKY LTAREDYL LTAKREYL LSTSRNYL LS	740 -NS SER IDIKR GFVFRSIQE VEILGS-MI LDINGVAYKN DER SNN-FF NEISTSVTAI DER SNN-FF DES TNN-HH L A	750 SIANWKE VLENVGSJ SIKNVHY OCKSIKOV SVDDYAR OVEDFST VDDYAR SVDDFAR SIDEYAR LDFA I	- Section 10 760 PFNSSK LNHPDILLI SEES VENLSDSDK LNRLSNRDM TILDSQGK FFLDSQGK SALDSRGK LFLLAKDK FLD K Section 11 Section 11
EhUGT_NCB.LXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCB.I.NP_595281 F26.H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064506 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 ZebUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus	(685) (534) (605) (576) (618) (650) (657) (644) (633) (634) (685)	685 690 SETYSSDIPE GIFLEDIPEV ONTCRUTEL METHINEN VI HATLETTIFF KILETTIK VI 761	700 LQE IRTKQ IAVAEGK LALHGL RAULHGL RAVILGE RAVILGE QRAVILGE QRAVILGE QRAVY G I	71 2LH CNIYH S DDNII S DDNII S DDNII S DDNII TONNYAI PHOQDVVI S HDQDVVI S HDQDVVI S HDQDVVI 780	0 KQLQNKFQ FILR WVFEKT WVLEQKD YLMNQPH YLMNQPH YLMNQPN YLMNQN YLMNQN YLMNQN	720 - DAS TRNP - DAS TRNP NPDIT CRLN VMPRINKRI VMPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRIN RI 800	730 MTIKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE LSAPSKKT LSAPSKKT LSAPSKKT LSAPSKKT LSAPSKKT LSAPSKKT LSAPSKKT LSTSRNYL LS	740 -NS SKEIDIKR QEVFRSIQ VEILGS-MI LDINGVAYK DETSNN-FY DETSNN-FY DESTNN-HI L A 82	750 TANMKEH TENVESI KNVHY CKSLKDV CKSLKDV CVD JAR VD DAR TD EXA LD FA H	- Section 10 760 PFNSSK SEES VENSDSDE LNRLSDSDE FFLDSQDE FFLDSQDE FFLDSQDE FLDSE FLDSE FLDK FLDK Section 11 836
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLQ9JLA3 ZebUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus	(685) (534) (605) (576) (618) (650) (657) (644) (633) (634) (685) (685) (761)	685 690 SETYSSDIP GIFLEDIPEV QNTQKQTTRL MEVMKISPKI TEINTHISNU MEILETTFFF MEILETTFFF HEILETTFFF HEILETTSFF KILE TT 761 77	700 LQE IRTKG IAULHGL RAIMEGU RAVMKGE RAVMKGE RAVMLGE RAVMLGE RAVMLGE RAVMLGE RAVMLGE RAVMLGE RAVMLGE RAVMLGE	71 21 H GNT YH SED DNLL EDSVQID DRMM GI TDRMAM GI TDRMAM GI PHD QDWY NBRTNAI SHD QDWY NS DHDWY NS DHDWY 780	0 FILER WYZEQKD YWWZEQKD YWWZEQKD YUMNQPH YUMNQPH YUMNQPN YUMNQPN YUMNQN YUMNQN YUMNQN	720 - DAS TRNP NDDIIGRIN VMPRINKRI VMPRINKRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 0	730 LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE LSQEVKY LSQEVKY LTAREQYL LTAKREYL LSTSRNYL LS 810 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	740 -NS SUK JIDIKK GVFRSIQE VJEILGS-MI LDINGVAYKN DTT SNN-FY DTT SNN-FY DTT SNN-FY DTS TNN-HF L A 82	750 TANWKE LENVES CKNVHY CKNVHY CKNVHY JCKNVEV SUCO SUCO SUCO SUCO SUCO SUCO SUCO SUCO	
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLQ9JLA3 ZebUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPembel/CT_NCBLXP_654498	(685) (534) (605) (576) (618) (650) (657) (644) (633) (634) (685) (761) (596) (577)	685 690 SETYSSDIP GIFLEDIPEV QNIQKQTERI MEVMISPHI TEIMTHINH HEILETTIFF QRMUASVY HEILETTIFF HEILETTIFF KILETTIFF KILETTIFF 761	700 LQE IRTKG LAUHGL RAIMEGK RAVMKGEI RAVMLGEI RAVMLGEI RAVMLGEI QRAVMLGEI QRAVMLGEI QRAVMLGEI QRAVMLGEI QRAVMLGEI QRAVMLGEI	71 2LHD GNI YH SED DNLLI E SYQID TORMAY G TORMAY G TORMAY G TORMAY SHOOVYI SHOOVYI NERTARI SHOOVYI 780	0 V VIEQ KD V VIEQ KD V VIEQ KD V MNQ PK V MNQ PN V MNQ PN V MNQ PN V MNQ N V MNQ N 790 D INHITI	720 -DAS TRNP -DAS TRNP VMPRINKRI VMPRINKRI VMPRINKRI VMPRINSRI VMPRINSRI VMPRINSRI VMPRINSRI 000 CODE EVKM	730 VYPSAKS: QRFTKILE ISAPSKKT ISQEDVKY: ITAERDYLL ITAKREYLL STSRNYL IS 810 NQ IEKLY I	740 -N	750 LANWKEE LENVESI CKSLKDV CKSLKDV CKSLKDV VDDYAR VDDYAR LDEST LDEST LDFAR LDFAR LDFAR	
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064506 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_00171002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 	(685) (534) (605) (576) (618) (650) (657) (644) (633) (634) (633) (685) (761) (596) (677) (649)	685 690 SET XS SDIPE GIFLEDIPEV WETKSTER METKSTER METKSTER METKSTER METKSTER METKSTER METKSTER METKSTER METLETSNE HETLETTFF KILETTF KILETTG 761	700 LQE IRTK IAVAEGK LALLHGI RAIMEGK RAVMIGE REVILGE QREVILGE QREVILGE QRAVY G 1 0	71 DIHOGNIYI SEDVIDI TORMMI TORMMI TORMMI SHOONIN SHOONIN DONVVI 780 	0 KQLQNKFQ FIR WVIEQKD VINNQPN STIMDRNN STIMDRNN STIMDRNN STIMDRNN STIMDRNN STIMDRNN STIMDRNN STIMDRNN STIMDRNN STIMDRNN STIMDRNN STIMDRNN STIMDRNN STIMDRNN STIMDRNN STIMDRNN STIMDRNN STIMDRN S	720 WIKYIDND - DAS TRNP NPDIGRLN WPRINKRI WPRINSRI WPRINSRI WPRINSRI VVPRIN RI 800 CBDGEVKM VAPREKES	730 TIKQ VYPSAKS QRFTKILE SAPSKKT SQEDVKYI TAERDYL LTAERDYL LS 810 NQTEKLY LE S	740 -N	750 IANMKER LENVSS CKSLKDY IGSVGVI IGSVGVI IDSA IDSA IDSA IDFA IDFA IDFA IDFA IDFA	
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB E48E3 3-WB	(685) (534) (605) (576) (657) (657) (657) (644) (633) (633) (634) (685) (761) (596) (596) (677) (643)	685 690 SITYSSDIPI GIFLEDIPEV QNTQKQTTRL MEVKISPKI TEIMTHTSNU HAILETTTFF HAILETTTFFF HAILETTTFFF GOTTON	700 LQE IRTKG IAVAE GKI CRAIMEGKI CRAIMEGKI CRAVILGE CRAVILGE CRAVILGE CRAVILGE CRAVILGE CRAVILGE CRAVICE CRAVICE CR	71 2LH CNTYH SED DNLL TDRMMYGH TDRMMYGH TDRMMYGH NBRTGAI SHDQDWY NSHDQDWY NSHDQDWY NSHDQDWY 780 	0 FINTER	720 WIKYIDND -DASITRN NPDIIGRIN WPRINSRI WPRINSRI WPRINSRI WPRINSRI WPRINSRI S00 CDODEVIM VADENEKE IAPENESS	730 I I KQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE SAPSKKT I SAPSKKT I SAPSKKT I SAPSKKT I SAPSKKT I SAPSKKT I SAPSKI SAPSKI SAPSKI I SAPSKI I SAPSKI	740 -N	750 ANMKEF IENVGSI KNVHY CKSLKDV CKSLKDV VEDFAR VEDFAR IENAR IDFAR IDFAR IDFAR IDFAR IDFAR IDFAR IDFAR	- Section 10 760 PFN S R SEES VEN SDSDR TILL SQGR FFLD SQDR SALD SRGR SALD SRGR SALD SRGR SALD SRGR SCHORN 11 836 K
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB H48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_59451	(685) (534) (605) (576) (618) (657) (644) (633) (634) (633) (634) (685) (761) (596) (677) (648) (693) (726)	685 690 SEIYSSDIP GIFLEDIPEV QNIQKQTRL MEVMKISPKI TEIMTHISNI HRILETTIFF QNILETTIFF KILE TTIFF KILE TTIFF GEI	700 LQE IRTK(IAVAEGK LALHGL RAUMEGK RAVMKGE 2RAVMKGE 2RAVMLGE 2RAVN	71 2LH GNI YI SED NNLLI E SVQIDE SNDVAI TORMAVGI TORMAVGI SHDQDWVI NSCHDVVI NSCHDVVI 780 780 	0 VQ VIERCE	720 WEXYIDND -DASE TRNP NPDIIGNN WPRINKRI WPRINKRI WPRINSRI WPRINSRI WPRINSRI S00 CECEEVKM VADENEKES ADEENESN VADENEKES ENDENDO	730 VYPSAKS QRFTKILS QRFTKILS LSQEDVKY LTAREDYL LTAKREYL LTAKREYL LSTSRNYL LS 810 NQLEKLY RLFATKAL REFLYNSL	740 -N	750 LANKEP LENVS KNVHY KNVHY KNVSV VENSV VEDFAR LD	Section 10 760 FN S R SHESS R SEESS VEN SDSDK UNI SDSDK UNI SDSDK TID SQGR SALD SRGR SALD SRGR FID R Section 11 836
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_004506 ZebUGT_NCBI.NP_004506 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.NP_55281 F26H9.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT_NCBI.NP_064505	(685) (534) (605) (618) (650) (657) (644) (633) (634) (633) (685) (761) (596) (677) (648) (693) (722)	685 690 SET YSSDIPE GIFEDIPE WETKRTRING METKRTF METKRTF METKRTF QRMDASVY HKILETTFF KILETTFF KILETTFF G 761 77 G QRECLQTKY ASCILQTKY TATMONEKY	700 LQE IRTK INVAECK LALLHCL RAIMEGK RAVMICE REVILCT 2REVILCT 2RTVMLE 2RTVM	71 DIHOGNIY SED VI TORMAN	0 KQLQNKFQ FIR WVIEQKD VINNQPN FIMDRNN VINNQPN VINNQN VINNQN 790 DINHIITL VAKYFWI VAKYFWI VALVFFWI TIPVTWY QFTIWY QFTIWFFWI	720 WIKYIDND - DAS TRNP NPDIIGRIN WPRINKRI WPRINSRI WPRINSRI WVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI	730 MTIKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE SAPSKKT ISQEDVKJ ITAERDYL LTAERDYL LSTSRNYL LS 810 NQIIEKLY LEISLAA REFINEL	740 -N	750 ANWKES KNVHVS KNVHVS KNVHVS KNVHVS KNVHVS KNVHVS TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	Section 10 760 PFN 3 K LNHED ILLI SEES VENLSDSDK FFLD SQDK FFLD SQDK FFLD SQDK FLD SQDK FLD SQDK FLD SQDK FLD K SECTON 11 836 K ESVEK ACE FESSEXS SESSEX
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_542151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUIGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505	(685) (534) (605) (576) (618) (650) (648) (633) (633) (634) (685) (761) (596) (677) (648) (693) (726) (722) (720)	685 690 SITYSSDPE GIFEDIPE WEYKSDPE MERKER MERKER MERKER MERKER MERKER MERKER SITE MERKER MERKER GE 761 77 G AGCILQTER TATENDAR TATENDAR	700 LQE IRTKO IAVAE GK LALIHGL RATMEGK RAVELGE REVELGE QRAVELGE QRAVELGE QRAVELGE QRAVELGE QRAVE G I 0 	71 2LHDGNTYH SEDNUL TDRMNYGH TDRMNYGH TDRMNYGH TDRMNYGH SHOQDWYH NSDHDWYH NSDHDWYH 780 	0 FIR WFEKT WFEKT WFEKT WFEKT WFMNQPN FIMDRNN	720 WIKYIDND DAS TRND VDPIT QRINKRI VMPRINKRI VMPRINSRI VMPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VDPRINSRI VDPRINSRI CDCDCDEVEM ADDENEKES IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	730 MTIKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE LSAPSKKT LSAPSKKT LSAPSKKT LSAPSKKT LSAPSKKT LSAPSK 810 NQIIEKLY LEISLA RLFATKAI RCIIVSI RQLIVSI RQLIVSI	740 -N	750 XANMKEH TENVG33 KNVHY KNVHY KNVHY VEDFAR TEMA TEMA VEDFAR 10 VEDFAR 10 VEDFAR 10 VEFAR 10 VEFPN 15 KNVHY 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F48E3.3-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064505 RUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064505	(685) (534) (565) (576) (618) (657) (643) (633) (633) (643) (648) (648) (761) (596) (677) (648) (693) (726) (722) (720)	685 690 SI YSSDIP GIFLEDIPEV QNTQKQTTRL MEVMKISPKI TEIMTHTSNJ HEILETTFFF HEILETTFFF HEILETTFFF HEILETTFFF GGILQTTKM AGCILQTTKM TAMONIKM SAVAANSMNY	 LQE_IRTKG IAULHGL RAIMEGKI RAVMKGE RAVMKGE RAVMLGE RAVMLGE RAVMLGE RAVMLGE RAVMLGE RAVMLGE CRAVMLGE CRAVMLGE CRAVKGNS CRAVKGMSSE	71 2LHD GNT YH SED NULDI EDSVQID DNUDAI TDRMAWGI TDRMAWGI TDRMAWGI SHDQDWVI NSCHOWVI SHDQDWVI NSCHOWVI 780 	0 KQLQNKFQ FILR WVLEQKD VWVLEQKD VIMNQPN VIMNQPN VIMNQPN VIMNQN VIM	720 WEXYIDND -DAS TRNP NDDIIGRIN WPRINKRI WPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI COCCUC COCCUC COCCUC COCCUC COCCUC COCUC C	730 IKQ LYYPSAKS QRFTKILE LSAPSKT LSQEVKY: LTARRQYL LTAKREYL LTAKREYL LS 810 NQIIEKLY LEISLA RLFATKAI RRFINAI RQLIMDAI RKLIFNAL RCLIMDAI	740 -N	750 LANKEP LENVGSJ KNVHVGSJ KNVHVGSJ KNVHVGSJ VEDEST VEDFAR VEDFAR VEDFAR UD	Section 10 760 FN 3 R SHE 3 R SHE 3 R SHE 3 R SHE 3 S S SHE 3 S S SHE 3 S S SHE 3
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_55281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 HUGT_2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_0040506	(685) (534) (605) (576) (657) (644) (683) (685) (644) (685) (685) (644) (685) (644) (685) (647) (648) (693) (726) (726) (726) (720) (728)	685 690 SITYSSDIPI GIFLEDIPE QNTCKQTTRL MEINKISPKI MEINKISPKI MEINKISPKI MEINTSNI HKILETTTFF QHMDASVYI HKILETTFFF KILETTFFF G	700 LQE IRTK INVECK INVECK RATMEGK RAVMIGE RAVMIGE RAVMIGE 2RAVMIGE 2RAVMIGE 2RAVY G I 0 0 CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO	71 CHUGNIY SED CHI TORMING	0 KQLQNKFC FIR WFEKKT WFEKKT WFWEKT WWFEKKT WWFW FIMDRNN FIMDRN	720 WIKWIDNDI -DASITRNP NPDIIGRIN WPRINKII WPRINSRI WPRINSRI WVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VADGEVEN ADGEVEN ADGEVEN ADGEVEN VADGEVEN ADGEVEN VADGEVEN	730 MTIKQ LYYPSAKS QRFTKILE SAPSKKT LSQEDVKYJ LTAREDYL LTAREDYL LSTSRNYL LS 810 NQIIEKLY LS 810 NQIIEKLY REISINSL REISINSL REINSL	740 -NS REIDIRN CFVFRSIQ VEILGS-MI LDINGVAYM DINSNN-FY DINSNN-FY DISSNN-FK LDINGVAYM ELHSKE DISSNN-FK SCASQCKNM QIIKNSANS DVQ GESV KHQRSSNNV KHQRSSNNV KHQRSNNV	750 TANMKE VIENVGSJ KRVHVGSJ CKSLKD CKSLKD CKSLKD VEDEST VEDES	Section 10 760 PFN 3 K SES VEN 3 DSD K SALD SRGK FFLD SQDK FLD SQDK FLD SQDK FLD KK FLD KK SALD SRGK FLD K SALD SRGK FLD K SSS SS SSS SSS SS SSS SSS SS SSS SSS SSS SSS SSS SSS SSS SSS SS
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F2649.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBLNP_545151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLNP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBLNP_054505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_06106 RUGT_NCBLNP_061071002 Consensus	(685) (534) (605) (576) (618) (657) (644) (633) (633) (634) (648) (648) (761) (596) (648) (693) (761) (596) (648) (726) (726) (720) (708) (708) (708)	685 690 SITYSSDIPI GIFEDIPY GIFEDIPY MEXAURA MEYAKISPKI TEIMTHTSNU HAILETTIFF KILETTIFF KILETTIFF GIELETTIFF GIELETTIFF ACLLQTKW TATEMONIK# TANANNNW SATANNNW NAANNNW NAANNNW NAANNNW ALANNNW ALANNNW	700 LQE IRTKO IAVAEGK LALLHGL RAIMEGK RAVILGE QRAVILGE QRAVILGE QRAVILGE QRAVIGI QRAVIGI QRAVIGI QRAVIGI QRAVIGI TKKGMSSF TKK	71 2LHD GNT YH SED DNI TDRMMY GH TDRMMY GH TDRMY GH TDRMY GH TDRMY NBRT A II SHO QD NVVI NBRT A II SHO QD NVVI 780 	0 KQLQNKFQ WFEKT WVIEQKD VVIEQKD VVIEQKD PIMDRNN VIMNQPN OTIMNQPN OTIMNQN VIMNQPN OTINHITI NAKYSFWI NAVTWI QFITIWV QFITIWV RPVTFWI RPVTFWI RPVTFWI RPVTFWI	720 WIKYIDNDI DAS TRND VDPIN RINKI VMPRINKI VMPRINSI VVPRINSI VVPRINSI S00 CODCDEVKM VADENESS ADFENESS VODEDSPSS VEDDSPSS VEDDSPSS VEDDSPSS VEDDSPSS VEDDSPSS VEDDSPSS VEDDSPSS VEDDSPSS VEDDSPSS VEDDSPSS VEDDSPSS VEDDSPSS VEDDSPSS VEDDSPSS VEDDSPSS VEDDSPSS VEDSPSS VEDSPSS VEDSPSS VEDSPSS VEDSPSS VEDSPSS VEDSPSS VEDSPSS VEDSP	730 I I KQ LYYPSAKS QRFTKILE APSKKT I APSKKT I ARTOQYLI I TAKREYLI I TAKREYLI I TAKREYLI I TAKREYLI I TAKREYLI I SI I I SILA RUI I SI	740 -NS SK I DIKA CVFRSIQ VEILGS-MI LDINGVAYM I DIN SNN-FY DIN SNN-FY I A ELHSKE DLSENKDAN SLA SLA QUINNANS SLA CUINNANS SKHORTSVN KHORTSVN KHORTSVN KHORTSNN CUINNANS SASS MY SCONT SCON	750 XANMKE VENUS KNUY KNUY VEDFST VEDFAR VEDFAR VEDFAR VEDFAR VEDFAR VEDFAR VEDFAR ISMINN LOFA ISMINN LGIIN LGIIN LGIIN	
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F48E3.3-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064505 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus	(6855) (534) (605) (576) (650) (657) (644) (633) (634) (685) (648) (693) (720) (742) (720) (708) (720) (709) (761)	685 690 SITYSSDIP GIFLEDIPEV QNTQKQTTRL MEVMKISPKI TEINTHTSNU HRILETTIFF CRIMIASVYE HRILETTIFF KILE TT 761 761 771 GGOLQTTKM TRAUNNENWY TRAUNNENWY NAANSMNY A INY	700 LQE IRTKO IAU HG L RAUMEG KI RAUMEG KI RAVMEG E RAVMEG E	71 2LH GNT YH SED NULL ED SVQID TDRMMM GI TDRMMM GI TDRMVAI PH QDWVI SHD QDWVI SHD QDWVI SHD QDWVI ABD NVVI 780 	0 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	720 WIKYIDND DAS TRND VDDIIGRINK VMPRINKRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI S00 CDC CDC EVKM VADENEKEG IADENEKEG IADENEKEG IADENEKEG IADENEKEG IADENEKEG IADENEKEG IADENEKEG IADENEKEG IADENEKEG IADENEKEG IADENESPS VCDPISPS VC	730 I I KQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE LSAPSKKT LSQEVKY: LTAKREYLL LTAKREYLL LTAKREYL LS 810 NQIIEKLY: LEI ISLA RLFATKAI RCLIMDAI RKLIFNAL RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI R LLY AI	740 -N	750 IANMKEF IENVGSI KNVHY CKSLKD CKSLKD CKSLKD VEDFAL VEDFAL VEDFAL IDFAL IDFAL IDFAL IDFAL ISFINIA ISMIN	
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT_2_NCBI.NP_004506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_59281 F48E3.3-WB PUGT_NCBI.NP_264506 HUGT_2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_01071002 Consensus	(685) (534) (605) (576) (668) (650) (663) (633) (633) (633) (633) (633) (633) (633) (633) (633) (633) (761) (726) (728)	685 690 SETYSSDIPE GIFEDIPE QNTCKQTTRL METHTSN HEILTTTFF QPMUASVY HKILETTTFF KILETTFF KILETTFF ACCLQTKY TALANSMNY SAVIANSMY ALANSMY YAANSMY YAANSMY YAANSMY YANSMY	700 LQE IRTK INVECTOR LALLHCL RAUMEGK RAVMICE REVILET RAVMICE REVILET RAVMICE RAVMICE RAVMICE RTWILET RAVMICE TRKGMSST TRQD	71 LHU GNI YI SED VILDI TDRMM GN TDRMM GN GN TDRMM GN GN TDRMM GN GN TDRMM GN GN TDRMM GN GN TDRMM GN GN TDRMM GN GN GN TDRMM GN GN GN TDRMM GN GN TDRMM GN GN GN TDRMM GN GN GN TDRMM GN GN TDRMM GN GN GN TDRMM GN GN TDRMM GN GN GN TDRMM GN GN GN TDRMM GN GN TDRMM GN GN GN TDRMM GN GN GN TDRMM GN GN TDRMM GN TDRMM	0 KQLQNKFC FIR WFEKT WFEKT WFEKT WFEKT WFE FINDENN FIN	720 WIKWIDNDI -DSS TRNP NPDIIGRLN WPRINKI WPRINSRI WPRINSRI WPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VADGEVENS S00 CBGEVEN S00 CB	730 MTIKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE ISAPSKKT ISQEDVKYJ ITAREDYLI ITAREDYLI ISTSRNYL ISTSRNYL ISTSRNYL ISTSRNYL ISTSRNYL RELISLA RELISLA RELISLA RELISLA RELINAL RQLIVDAI RQLIVDAI RQLIVDAI RQLIVDAI RCLIVAI	740 -NS R I DIKR CFVFRSIQ VIELLGS-MI LDINGVAYKN DINSNN-FY DINSNN-FY DINSNN-FY DISSNN-FK SSASQCKN QIKNNANS DVQ SGSSV KHQKISNNV KHQKISNNV KHQKISNNV KHQKISNNV KHQKISNNV KHQKISNNV KHQKISNNV KHQKISNNV	750 TANWKE KNVHV KNVHV CKSLKD CKSLKD CKSLKD CKSLKD VEDEST VED	
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBLNP_542151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_004506 RUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLNP_55281 F26H9.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBLNP_064506 HUGT_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus	(685) (534) (605) (576) (648) (657) (643) (633) (633) (648) (633) (648) (761) (596) (648) (726) (726) (720) (720) (720) (720) (720) (720) (709) (761) (761) (761) (761) (720) (761) (720) (761) (720) (761) (761) (761) (762) (76) (762) (685 690 GIFLEDIPE GIFLEDIPE MECKQTIRL MECKQTIRL MECKQTIRL METHURSN HALLETTIFF KILETTIFF KILETTIFF ACCILQTKW TATAMANUKW SAVIAKNYW ALANNMY NAMANSMNY A I MY	700 LQE IRTK IAVAEGK IAVAEGK RAVMIGE RAVMIGE 2REVILGE 2REVILGE 2REVILGE 2RAVVIGI 2 A AVAVIGI 2 AVAVIGI 2 AVAVIGI 2 AVAVIGI 2 AVAVIGI 2 AVAVIGI 2 AVAVIGI 2 AVAVIGI 2 AVAVIGI 2 AVAVIGI 2 AVAVIGI 2 AVAVIGI 2 AVAVIGI 2 AVAVIGI	71 21H0 GNT YI SED DN TORMMY GI TORMMY GI TORMMY GI TORMY GI	0 KQLQNKFQ PILR WVFEKT WVFEKT WVFEQKD VIMNQPN PILNNQPN PILNNQPN PILNNQPN OYIMNQN OYIMNQN QFILITI NADVTFWI RPVTFWI RPVTFWI RPVTFWI RPVTFWI RPVTFWI RPVTFWI	720 WIKYIDND DAS TRND NPDITGRLN WPRINSRI WPRINSRI WPRINSRI WPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINSRI VVPRINRI 800 CDCI ADELSPSG VDDISPSG VDDISPSG VADAFASS FADISPSG VDDISPSG VADAFDSG	730 MTIKQ VYPSAKS VYPSAKS VQRTKILE QRTKILE LSAPSKKT LSQUVKJI LTAREVLI LTAREVLI LTAKREYL LS 810 NQIIEKLY LS 810 NQIIEKLY RCIIMAI RQIIMAI RQIIMAI RQIIMAI RQIIMAI RQIIMAI RQIIMAI RQIIMAI RQIIMAI RQIIMAI RQIIMAI RCIIMAI	740 -N	750 ANMKE IENVG KNVHV CKSLKD VEDFST VEDFAR VEDFAR O IENICA VETFAR ISMINN ISMINN ISMINN RIGLINN RIGLINN	
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064505 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064505 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 ELUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus	(685) (534) (605) (576) (618) (650) (644) (683) (633) (634) (596) (648) (667) (648) (761) (597) (648) (761) (597) (709) (701) (709) (701) (701) (701) (702) (701) (702) (70) (702) (685 690 SITYSSDIPI GIFLEDIPEV QNTQKQTRL MEVMKIPEN HATLETTTFF CAMDASVY HATLETTTFF KILETT 761 761 77 GOULQTTKM TALANSMNY XAVANNY XAVANNY NAANSMNY ALINSMNY ALINSMINY	700 LQE IRTKG IAUHE RAIMEGKI RAVMKGE RAVMLG	71 2LH GNT YH SED NULL TDRMMGH TDRMMGH PHQ QDWN NS HD WN NS HD WN NS HD WN 780 	0 KQLQNKFQ FIR WVLEQKD VVVEQKD VVVEQKD VVVEQKD VVVEQKD VIMNQPN VIMNQPN VIMNQPN VIMNQPN VIMNQPN VIMNQPN VINN VIN	720 WIKYIDNDI -DASITRNEN VPDIIGRINK VMPRINKRI VMPRINKRI VMPRINSRI VMPRINSRI VMPRINSRI VMPRINSRI VMPRINSRI OF DE VKM VADENEKEG IAPENESS VEDEISPSG VEDEI	730 IKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE RAPSKKT LSQEDKKY LSQEDKKY LSQEDKY LETSRNYL LS 810 NQLIEKLY LS 810 NQLIEKLY LS 810 NQLIEKLY REFINNEL REFINNEL RCLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI	740 -N	750 IANMKE IENVGSI KNVHY CKSLKD CKSLKD CKSLKD VEDFST VEDFAR IDFAR IDFAR IDFAR ISFINI ISFINI ISFINI ISFINI ISFINI ISFINI ISFINI ISFINI	
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLXP_6595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_55281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506	(685) (534) (605) (576) (618) (650) (644) (683) (761) (596) (647) (643) (761) (596) (726) (726) (720) (720) (720) (720) (721) (633) (721) (633) (721) (635) (721) (635) (721) (635) (721) (635) (721) (635) (721) (635) (721) (635) (721) (635) (721) (635) (721) (721) (635) (721) (722) (721) (722) (72) (7	685 690 ST YSSDIPI QN QKQTTRL QN QKQTTRL ME IL TIFF QN QKQTTRL ME IL TIFF QN QKQTTFL ME IL TIFF QN QKQTTFL QN QKQTTRL ME IL TIFF QN QKQT ME IL TIFF QC LQTTKY TA YANSMNY XA QANSMNY A A LANSMNY XA A NSMNY XA A NSMNY XA I ANSMNY	700 LQE IRTKAPG K IAULHEL RAUMEG K RAUMEG K RAUMEG K RAUMEG K REVELGT RAUMEG	71 LHU GNT Y: SED DNL EDSVQID: TERMING: TENDVAIT NERTRAIS SHUCVY DD NVVI 780	0	720 WIKYIDNDI -DAS TRNP NDDIIGRLN WPRINKI WPRINKI WPRINKI WPRINKI WPRINKI WPRINKI 00 CDC COC ADTRNESS 00 CDC COC ADTRNESS ADTRNESS ADTRNESS ADTRNESS ADTRNESS ADTRNESS ADTRNESS ADTRNESS COC COC COC COC COC COC COC C	730 MTIKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE ISAPSKKT ISQEDVKYJ ITAERDYL ITAERDYL LSTSRNYL LS 810 NQIIEKLY LS RITISLA RCIIEKLY	740	750 TANWKE KNVHY KNVHY CKSLKD CKS	
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLNP_55281 F26H9.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_55281 F26H9.8*WB F48F3.3*WB	(685) (534) (605) (576) (618) (653) (634) (633) (634) (635) (637) (648) (648) (648) (648) (732) (720) (720) (708) (721) (708) (761) (709) (761) (722) (761) (722) (72) (7	685 690 SET YSSDIPE GIFLEDIPE WETKRTRING METKTTF METKTTF METKTTF QRMDASVYE HKILETTTFF KILETTFF KILETTFF ACCLQTKY TAAWANSMNY TAAWANSMNY ALANSMNY ALANSMNY AIANSMNY	700 QEJIRTK IAVAERK IAVAERK CAAVEKEE RAVEKEE 2RAVELGE 3RAVELGE 3RAVE	71 DIHUGNIYI SEDUN SEDUN TORMMG TORMMG TORMMG TORMMG SHQCDVV NORTNAI SHQCDVV NORTNAI SHQCDVV NORTNAI SHCOM SELIGRAS SELI	0 KQLQNKFQ FIRT	720 WIKYIDND DAS TRNP NPDIGRLN WPRINKRI WPRINSRI WPRINSRI WPRINSRI WPRINSRI WPRINSRI VVPRIN RI 800 CBCIEVKM VADEAASG FADIETDQG VDDESPSG VDDESPSG VADEDSPSG VADEAASG FADIETDQG VDDESPSG VADEDSPSG VADESSC	730 MTIKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE SAPSKKT ISQEDVKVT ISQEDVKVT ISTARDYL ITAERDYL ISTARNYL LS 810 NQIIEKLY LS 810 NQIIEKLY REITINSI RCIIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI RQLIMDAI	740	750 ANMKE IENVGSJ KNVHVGSJ KNVHVGSJ KNVHVGSJ VEDEST VEDEST VEDFAR IDFAR IDFAR IDFAR IDFAR ISMINN	Section 10 760 PFN S K LNHED ILLI SEES VENLSDSDK LNRLSNRDM FILDSQCK FFLDSQCK FFLDSQCK FFLDSQCK FLDSK Section 11 8366 ESVEKACE FLSSSLSS -AKEISYE -SREISDS -SREISDS -SREISDS -SREISDS SECTION 12 912 KKSKAVV
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F2649.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_545151 HUGT_1_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F2649.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_5454151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064505	(685) (534) (605) (618) (657) (644) (633) (644) (633) (761) (596) (677) (648) (726) (720) (720) (761) (721) (633) (722) (695) (721) (695)	685 690 SITYSSDPE GIFEDIPE QNEQKQTRL MEYMKISPKI TEIMTHTSNU HATLETTTFF HATLETTTFF KILETTTFF GGELQTTKW AGCLLQTTKW TATANSMNY AGCLLQTTKW TATANSMNY AGTLQTKW NAVANSMNY AINSMNY AINSMNY NAVANSMNY AINSNNY NAVANSMNY AINSNNY NAVANSMNY	700 LQE IRTKO IAU HGL LAU HGL RAVM KGE RAVM KGE 2RAVM LGE 2RAVM LGE 2	71 2LH CAN YH SED DNLL TDRMNY TDRMNY TDRMNY TDRMNY HQ QDWV NS HDWV NS HDWV 780 	0 KQ QNKFQ FIR WVIEQKD VVIEQKD VVIEQKD VVIMNQPN DFIMDRNN VVIMNQPN DFIMDRNNQN VVIMNQPN DVIMNQPN DVIMNQPN DVIMNQPN QFINITIN RPVTFWI RPVTFWI RPVTFWI RPVTFWI RPVTFWI RPVTFWI RPVTFWI RPVTFWI RPVTFWI RPVTFWI RPVTFWI RPVTFWI	720 WIKYIDNDI DAS TRNPI VMPRINKRI VMPRINKRI VMPRINSRI VMPRIN	730 I I KQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE APSKKT I SAPSKKT I SAPSKKT I SAPSKKT I SAPSKKT I SAPSK A SAPSKA I SAPS	740 -N	750 ANMKE IENVG33 KNVHY CKSLKD CKSLKD VEDFAT VEDFAT UEFAT 0 0 1 MIGIINN KIGIINN RIGINN RIGINN RIGINN RIGINN RIGLINN	- Section 10 760 PFN S R SES VEN SDSD VEN SDSD FILD SQGR FFD SQGR FFD SQGR FFD SQF SALD SRGR FFD SQF SALD SRGR FFD SQF SECTON 11 8366
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLXP_6595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_55281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_55281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_5245151 HUGT_1 NCBLNP_054555	(685) (534) (605) (618) (657) (644) (633) (634) (633) (643) (647) (648) (726) (726) (726) (726) (726) (726) (726) (727) (728) (726) (729) (729) (721) (630) (722) (635) (721) (632) (726) (632) (726) (726) (726) (727) (727) (728)	685 690 SITYSSDIPI QNTQKQTTRL QNTQKQTTRL METLETTFF QNTQKQTTFF METLETTFF QNTQKQTTFF QNTQKQTFF GOTTFF QNTQKQTFF QNTQKQTF QNTQKQT QNTQKQT QNTQKQT QNTQKQT QNTQKQT QNTQKQT QNTQKQT QNTQKQT	700 LQE IRTKA IAULHCL RAUMEGK RAVMIGE RAVMI	71	0	720 WIKYIDNDI -DAS TRNP NPDIIGRIN WPRINKI WPRINKI WPRINKI WPRINKI WPRINKI WPRINKI 00 CDC COC ADC NEXES 00 CDC COC ADC NEXES ADC NEXES ADC NEXES ADC NEXES 00 CDC SPS ADC NEXES 00 CDC SPS ADC NEXES 00 CDC SPS 00 CDC SPS 00 0	730 MTIKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE ISAPSKKT ISQEDVKYJ ITAERDYL ITAERDYL ISTSRNYL LS 810 NQIIEKLY LS RITIKAI RFINNI RCIITAI RCI	740	750 TANWKE KNVHY KNVHY CKSLKD CKSLKD CKSLKD CKSLKD CKSLKD CKSLKD CKSLKD CKSLKD CKSLKS CKS	
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLNP_55281 F26H9.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBLNP_544151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_55281 F26H9.8*WB F48E3.3*WB EhUGT_NCBLNP_55281 F26H9.8*WB F26H9.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBLNP_55281 F26H9.8*WB F48E3.3*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT_NCBLNP_064505	(685) (534) (605) (618) (657) (644) (657) (644) (657) (648) (657) (648) (657) (648) (726) (726) (726) (726) (726) (726) (726) (726) (726) (726) (726) (726) (726) (727)	685 690 SET YSSDIPE GIFEDIPE QNCKQTRL METMKISPKI METMKISPKI METMKISPKI METMKISPKI METMKISPKI METMKISPKI METHTSNI GO ACTLQTTKY TAAVANSMNY SAVIAKNMYY TAAVANSMNY AIKNMYY AIKNMYY AIKNMYY AIKNMYY AIKNMYY AINNNN AINNNNN AINNNNNNN AINNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN	700 QEJIRTK IAVADGK IAVADGK IAVADGK QAAVWIGE QRAVWIGE QRAVWIGE QRAVWIGE QRAVVIGE QRAV Q	71 21H0 GNT YI SED DNI TORMMY TORMMY TORMAN PHOQUYI NORTNAI SHOONY TORMAN SHOONY TORMAN SHOONY TORMAN SHOONY TORMAN SHOONY TORMAN SHOONY TORMAN SHOONY SH	0 KQLQNKFQ WFEKT WVIEQKD VINTEQKD VINTQPN PIMDRN PIMDRNN P	720 WIKYIDND DASITRNP DASITRNP VMPRINSRI VMRRINV VMPRINSRI VMPRINS	730 MTIKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE SAPSKKT ISQEDVKYI ISQEDVKYI ITAERDYL ITAERDYL LTAERDYL LTAKREYL LTAKREYL LS 810 NQIIEKLY REFINSI RQLIMDAI RQ	740	750 ANMKE IENVGSJ KNVHVSJ KNVHVSJ KNVHVSJ KNVHVSJ IENVGJ VEDEST VEDEST VEDEST VEDEST VEDEST VEDEST IJENS ISMINN	Section 10 760 PFN S K LNHE ILLI SEES VENLSDSDK TILDSQCK FFLDSQCK FFLDSQCK FFLDSQCK FLDSK Section 11 8366
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F2649.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBLNP_54151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064050 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F2649.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLNP_064505 EhUGT_NCBLNP_064505 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_064505 F48E3.3*WB EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F2649.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_544505 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064505	(685) (534) (605) (618) (657) (644) (633) (634) (565) (644) (663) (761) (506) (677) (648) (761) (763) (726) (709) (772) (709) (701) (701) (702) (701) (702) (70) (702) (685 690 SITYSSDPE GIFEDIPE GIFEDIPE MERCHARD MERCHARD MERCHARD MERCHARD MERCHARD MERCHARD SITTE GIFEDIPE MERCHARD GIFEDIPE SUBARD MANNMY A MANNMY A SUBARD SUBARD SUBARD SUBARD SUBARD SUBARD SUBARD SUBARD SUBARD <td>700 LQE IRTK(IAVAEGK LALLHGL RAJMEGK RAVMKGE QRAVMLGE QRAVMLGE QRAVMLGE QRAVMLGE QRAVMCGI RAVMLGE QRAVMGI CRAMMING CONTINUE S50 KUIGGMKNI KUIGGMKNI KUIGGMKNI KUIGGMKNI KUIGGMKNI KUIGGMKNI KUIGGMKNI CONTSM</td> <td>71 21H GNT YH SED NULT TDRMMY GH TDRMMY GH TDRMY GH TDRMY GH TDRMY GH TDRMY GH TDRMY GH TDRMY GH TDRMY GH SH CONTON SH CONTON</td> <td>0 KQ QNKFQ FIR WVIEQKD VVIEQKD VVIEQKD VVIMNQPN PIMDRNN VIMNQPN OTINHITI NAKYSFWI NAVYFWI UPVTIWU QFITIWV QFITIWV QFITIWV QFITIWV QFITIWV RPVTFWI RPVTFW</td> <td>720 WIKYIDND DASS TRND VMPRINSTINST VMPRINSTINSTINSTINSTINSTINSTINSTINSTINSTINST</td> <td>730 MTIKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE LSAPSKKT LSQEDVKVT LRTNQQYL LTAERDYL LS 810 NQTIEKLY LE RCTISLA RLFATKAT RQLIMDAT RQLIMDAT RQLIMDAT RQLIMDAT RQLIMDAT RQLIMDAT RQLIMDAT RQLIMDAT RQLIMDAT RQLIMDAT RCTISLA 880 DDLSVGGM</td> <td>740 -NS STR IDIRA CFVFRSIQ VEILGS-MI LDINGVAYM IDIN SNN-FY DIN SNN-FY I A 2 ELHSKE DLSENKDAN SAAQ KNN-F SAAQ KNN-F</td> <td>750 XANMKE LENVG KNVHU KNVHU KNVHU KNVHU KNU</td> <td></td>	700 LQE IRTK(IAVAEGK LALLHGL RAJMEGK RAVMKGE QRAVMLGE QRAVMLGE QRAVMLGE QRAVMLGE QRAVMCGI RAVMLGE QRAVMGI CRAMMING CONTINUE S50 KUIGGMKNI KUIGGMKNI KUIGGMKNI KUIGGMKNI KUIGGMKNI KUIGGMKNI KUIGGMKNI CONTSM	71 21H GNT YH SED NULT TDRMMY GH TDRMMY GH TDRMY GH TDRMY GH TDRMY GH TDRMY GH TDRMY GH TDRMY GH TDRMY GH SH CONTON SH CONTON	0 KQ QNKFQ FIR WVIEQKD VVIEQKD VVIEQKD VVIMNQPN PIMDRNN VIMNQPN OTINHITI NAKYSFWI NAVYFWI UPVTIWU QFITIWV QFITIWV QFITIWV QFITIWV QFITIWV RPVTFWI RPVTFW	720 WIKYIDND DASS TRND VMPRINSTINST VMPRINSTINSTINSTINSTINSTINSTINSTINSTINSTINST	730 MTIKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE LSAPSKKT LSQEDVKVT LRTNQQYL LTAERDYL LS 810 NQTIEKLY LE RCTISLA RLFATKAT RQLIMDAT RQLIMDAT RQLIMDAT RQLIMDAT RQLIMDAT RQLIMDAT RQLIMDAT RQLIMDAT RQLIMDAT RQLIMDAT RCTISLA 880 DDLSVGGM	740 -NS STR IDIRA CFVFRSIQ VEILGS-MI LDINGVAYM IDIN SNN-FY DIN SNN-FY I A 2 ELHSKE DLSENKDAN SAAQ KNN-F SAAQ KNN-F	750 XANMKE LENVG KNVHU KNVHU KNVHU KNVHU KNU	
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8~WB F48E3.3~WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8~WB F48E3.3~WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 DUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_52211 HUGT_1_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_0047100	(685) (534) (605) (618) (657) (643) (663) (663) (663) (663) (596) (648) (693) (726) (648) (693) (726) (732) (720) (708) (720) (709) (701) (709) (761) (709) (761) (709) (707) (709) (707)	685 690 SET YSSDIPE QN QKQTTRL QN QKQTTRL ME TM KISPKI TEINTHTSNI HEILETTTFF QMMIASVI MEILETTTFF KILETTTFF KILETTTFF AGCILQTTKW TATANNSMY AAGCILQTTKW TAAVANSMY NAAANSMNY AAINNSMY AAINNSMY STAXNSMY AINSISSYARAN NAAANSMY AINSISSYARAN NAAANNY AINSISSYARAN SNSISSYARAN SNSISSYARAN SNSISSYARAN NNCISSAN NSISSAN NSISSAN NSISSAN	700 LQE IRTKAPGKI IAVAPGKI IAVAPGKI RAVMKGE RAVMKGE RAVMIGE RAVMIGE RAVMIGE RAVMIGE RAVMIGE RAVMIGE RESERVIG RE	71	0	720 WIRVIDND -DAS TRNP NPDIIGRLN WPRINKI WPRINKI WPRINKI WPRINKI WPRINKI WPRINKI OUPRINKI OUP	730 MTIKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE LSAPSKKT LSQEDVKY LSQEDVKY LTAREPYL LTAREPYL LSTSRNYL LS 810 NQIIEKLY LS RUFITKAI RCIIFAL RCIIFAL RCIIFAL RCIIFAL RCIIFAL RQLIVDAI R LLY AI 880 	740	TALIPA CALL OF A STATE CALL OF A STATE	- Section 10 760 PFNISS VENISSPE VENISSPE VENISSPE TILUSQCR FFLDSQDF SALDSRGK FFLDSQDF SALDSRGK FLDSQF FLDK SACON ESVEKACE -SESSASS -SENS SESSES -SELS SESSES -SELS SESSES SESSES -SELS SESSES SESSES -SELS SESSES SES
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8*WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.NP_55281 F26H9.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_55281 F26H9.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_55281 F26H9.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_55281 F26H9.8*WB F48E3.3*WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT_2_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT_2_NCBI.NP_064505 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 RUGT_NCBI.NP_0171002 Consensus	(685) (534) (576) (618) (657) (644) (685) (648) (685) (761) (596) (648) (761) (596) (722) (703) (704) (702) (703) (704) (704) (709) (807) (787) (783) (778) (783) (778) (783)	685 690 SET YSSDIPE GIFLEDIPE WEINKISPKI MEINKISPKI MEINKISPKI MEINTIFF KILE TTIFF KILE TTIFF KILE TTIFF KILE TTIFF ACCLLQTKM TANANSMNY TANANSMNY AACLLQTKM TANANSMNY AANSMNY AANSMNY KANANSMNY ANN VPFER SNSISSYIRA RRNINFLYMA NTAISSTYA NTAISSTYA NTAISGILA STPVSRAIMA SINFISSINA	700 LQE IRTK INVACK INVECT RATHEGE RAVMICE RAVMICE RAVMICE RAVMICE RAVMICE QRAVY G I 0 CO QRAVY G I 0 QRAVY G I 0 QRAVY G I 0 C QRAVY G I 0 C QRAVY G I 0 C C RAVMICE QRAVY G I 0 C C C C C C C C C C C C C	71 21H0 GNI YI SED ONIDUA I SED ONIDUA I DNDVA II TORMAM GP TORDVA II SHO QOVIN NERTAII SHO QOVIN NERTAII SHOWN OD NVVI 780	0	720 VIEW DND VIEW TNN VIEW TNN VIENNEN	730 WI IKQ LVYPSAKS QRFTKILE SAPSKKT ISQEDVKYI ITAERDYL ITAERDYL ITAERDYL ISTSRNYL	740	750 TANMKE KNVHY KNVHY KNVHY KNVHY KNVHY KNVHY TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	- Section 10 760 PFN S VEN SDSD VEN SDSD VEN SDSD FILDSQD FFLDSQD FLD SQD FLD SQD

											— Section 13
	(012)	913 92	0	930	940		950	960	97	n	98
ENUCT NORT VR 654408	(913)	V T V E CNVI			VTRONEN.				TCTNET C-	.	
ScPomboliCT_NCBI_NP_505291	(039)	RECERCION			RIKGNEN.		AUTOR ADVICE	VT OVT ONT	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	MODA TOPT	THANK
SCF0110E0G1_NCb1.NF_393281	(776)	IDICIDICI		GRMICSI	CDEPILS:		NOMETON NOMETON	THO <mark>RH</mark> ONI	AGSSRRDP	IN ORAL OF LC	DUV DUT TO
F2019.0-WD	(/30)				AGRIELL	AT DENII			ATKAATE-		
P48E3.3-VVB	(837)		BRVVVGN	ALQVGPI	ESSERFE.	AADEKLLE	SMLLSR	GARVISSH	LKKWEPD-	SNG	VGSNTVFS
DUGI_NCBI.NP_524151	(859)	RVIGINKS	2RHVIGN	GR <mark>h</mark> ygpi	SSDESED	SADFALLA	ARFSSLQ	YS <mark>DKVR</mark> QV	LKESAQD-		PNSDTLLK
HUG1-1_NCBI.NP_064505	(882)) <mark>dvlkl</mark> kk <mark>g</mark> (2RAVISN	GR <mark>I</mark> IGPI	EDSELFN	S <mark>ddlhtte</mark>	ENTITKT:	S <mark>gökik</mark> sh	IQQLR	<mark>V</mark> E <mark>E</mark> D1	VASDLVMK
HUG1-2_NCB1.NP_064506	(862)) <mark>DVLKL</mark> RP <mark>G</mark> H	EMGIVSN	GR <mark>FL</mark> GPI	DEDFY	AEDFYLLE	KTTFSN:	L <mark>G<mark>E</mark>KIKGI</mark>	ENMG	<mark>I</mark> NANI	MASDFIMK
RUGT_NCBI.Q9JLA3	(858)) <mark>DVLKL</mark> KK <mark>G</mark> (2R <mark>V</mark> VISN	GR <mark>I</mark> IGPI	EDSELFN	2 <mark>DDFHLLE</mark>	INTI IK T:	S <mark>GQKIK</mark> SH	I <mark>I</mark> QQLR	<mark>vee</mark> di	VA <mark>SD</mark> L <mark>VMK</mark>
ZebUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002	(851)	DVLKLQK <mark>G</mark> (2RA <mark>VI</mark> SN	GR <mark>I</mark> IGPI	EREVEN	2 <mark>DDFLLLE</mark>	SIIKT	S <mark>GERIK</mark> GF	IQQMG	MVEDI	RA <mark>SD</mark> L <mark>VMK</mark>
Consensus	(913)	DVLKL G	RVVISN	GRIIGPI	LE E F	DDF LLE	E I LK	GEKIK	I	VE	SD VMK
											— Section 14
	(989)	989	1000	1	010	1020	103	0	1040	1050	106
EhUGT NCBLXP 654498	(725)	DSLTTNRVY	ZDNNO		sp-lt <mark>v</mark>	OVVNDVN	NSIONI	KL <mark>LIDPI</mark> M	IREA <mark>OK</mark> VS	MLOILEEL	YPNOINTE
ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281	(853)	TPMSTSSP	FREEKLF	PRDFINN	IKLGVGNA	FETDDFS	SKAYYOF	VAVLDPLS	KDS <mark>O</mark> KWSZ	TLEAVSKL	NGVGVRTH
F26H9.8-WB	(801)	YCSTAKKE	E DOORM	DEDEEME	SGNGNT	TEPTDST	TNSTIT <mark>V</mark>	TWTANPVS	REACOTIS		NSRTET T
F48F3 3-W/B	(908)	ACHWGKHAS	SOK	- TWV STO	GDEHSVW		NPRAV	TAVVDDT	MEAOKICS	THTTKKV	NCETKT V
DUCT NORI NP 524151	(030)	VAST LPPOT		- 81.00019	VU2000		UT. DHEDU		PAROKITE		
HUCT-1 NCBI NP 064505	(051)	DATISAODI			RIDHOVT.						
HUCT-2 NCRI NR 064506	(020)	DALMAQUDI			DENNOUT		JDM FENT	TATUDDI	ID PA OVMA		
RUGT NCBI.NF_004300	(929)	DALMSSVPI	RADR	TUVTEI					RLAURAL		
RUGI_NCBLQ9JLA3	(927)	DALLSAGPI	GEAR		EDANSAL		SETTIDU	VAVVDEVI	REAURIAE	TTTT VTAQT	INMSLEVE
Zebugi_NCBLNP_001071002	(920)	DALLSSOP	GEAR		AEDRYSAV		SEVYEDV		RDA <mark>QKLA</mark> F		DVNLRVE
Consensus	(989)	DALLSS PI	K E R	D F	D HS I	КШ Р Е	X F.DV	VAVVDPV1	REAGKLA		INM IRIF
											— Section 15
	(1065)	1065 1070		1080	1090	11	00	1110	,1120	113	0 114
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498	(790)	ILIKTSGKO	GGD FPCE	Y <mark>Y</mark> YSNIF	FKPIFNN	NQRKDQDI	LIIQS <mark>IP</mark>	KNI <mark>M</mark> FQ <mark>L</mark> F	IIMQATI	TLLTN <mark>T</mark> TV <mark>I</mark>	DIDNFKNN
ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281	(929)) <mark>N</mark> PKQT <mark>LS</mark> EI	LPLTRFY:	RYS <mark>I</mark> SA <mark>B</mark>	PEFDALG	H-LEESYV	VE <mark>F</mark> DN <mark>LP</mark>	ADT <mark>LLT</mark> MI	IEAR <mark>DAW</mark> I	. <mark>V</mark> MQKDVDI <mark>I</mark>	DLF <mark>NI</mark> KLE
F26H9.8-WB	(877)	NPSAD <mark>IQ</mark> EN	1 P I KRFY	R <mark>FV</mark> ANEF	LL <mark>F</mark> NEDG:	S-MENHSV	VVFSNLP	QKQ <mark>LLT</mark> MS	LETNDAW	IIEVKKAE <mark>y</mark> i	DLDNILLE
F48E3.3-WB	(981)	NPKDKHSEI	LPLKRFY:	RYAAAS	LSFDHNG	N-LNTN <mark>V</mark> V	VRFDNLP	SKQLLTLS	LQAPDSWI	VEAVSAKYI	DLD <mark>N</mark> IK <mark>M</mark> E
DUGT NCBI.NP 524151	(1003)	IPVPOHSDN	1 PVKNEY	RYV <mark>V</mark> EPE	VOFEANG	GR <mark>SDGPL</mark> Z	AKFSGLP	ANPLLTO	LOVPENWI	VEAVRAVY	OLDNIKLT
HUGT-1 NCBI.NP 064505	(1023)	NCOSKLSDN	IPLKSFY	RYV <mark>l</mark> epe	ISPTSON:	SFAKGPIZ	AKFLDMP	OSPLETL	LNTPESWN		LDNIYLE
HUGT-2 NCBI NP 064506	(1001)	NCRGRUSE	PLESEY	REVIERS	TMSGAND	VSST.GPVZ		FSPITIN	MTTPFGMT	VETWHSNC	
RUCT NORLOGILAS	(000)	NCOSKLED	DT.KSFV	DVUT.FDF		SEAKCPT7	K FLDMD		TNTDESMA		
Zebuct NCBINE 001071002	(002)	NCOSKISDI	DT.KSFV	DVV <mark>T.</mark> FDF	TVELTDS	SFARCEM		OSPIETIN	T.NTPPSMN	UFSUH TDVI	TUDNIYLE
Consensus	(1065)	NI KISDA	ADT.K FV	DVVI.FDF		A GDTA	VKE TO		T.NEDESMA	WEGV TO VI	DT.DNT T.F
Conscisuo	(1000)					A OLIA		1 22 1 20			
											- Section 16
	(1141)	1141	1150	1160) 1	170	1180	119	10	1200	 — Section 16 121
ENUCT NORLYP 654408	(1141)	1141 TTM	1150			170	,1180	119		1200	- Section 16
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498	(1141) (866) (1004)	1141 TIM	1150	1160	1 Atsoskvi	170 /IGNEYRY	1180	,119 GD <mark>N</mark> G-F <mark>I</mark> N	0 QG <mark>VLS</mark> KD <mark>G</mark>	1200 YFQTLVPPG	- Section 16 121 INSTYS-
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281	(1141) (866) (1004)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS	1150 IEYSI SHTAIYEI	1160 TNLVIE KNILVQ	1 Atsoskvy Gyso e eff	170 /IGNEYRY RKS <mark>PPRGM</mark>	1180 NIINVI QLKLGNI	119 3 d <mark>n</mark> g-f <mark>i</mark> n L tnsh <mark>w</mark> td	0 QG <mark>VLS</mark> KD <mark>G TIVLS</mark> NLG	1200 YFQTLVPPG YFQLKANPG	- Section 16 121 I <mark>Y</mark> STYS VWTLEPMI
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB	(1141) (866) (1004) (952)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI	1150 IEYSI SHTAIYE VEAVYSI	1160 LTNLVIE LKNILVQ LEHILVE	1 Atsoskvi Gtsrkms- Gtsrkms-	170 /igneyry Rks <mark>pprgm</mark> Geasdgl	1180 NIINVT QLKLGNI EVELS <mark>S</mark>	119 3DNG-FIN LTNSH <mark>V</mark> TD 3GKNYD	0 QG <mark>VLS</mark> KDG TIVLSNIG TIVMLNIG	1200 YFQTLVPPG YFQ <mark>LKAP</mark> PG YFQLKAEPG	- Section 16 121 IVSTYS VWTLEPMI
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI ANGI	1150 IEYS SHTAIYE VEAVYS VTAEFA	1160 LTNLVIE LKNILVQ LEHILVE	1 Atsqskvy Gysq <mark>e</mark> eff Gtsrkms- Gq cf deve	170 (IGNEYRY RKS <mark>PPRGM</mark> GEASDGL	,1180 NIINVT QLKLGNI EVELSE QFTLGTI	119 3DNG-FIN LTNSHVTD 3GKNYD DKNPKQFD	0 QG <mark>VLS</mark> KDG TIVLSNLG TIVMLNLG	1200 YFQTLVPPG YFQLKANPG YFQLKAEPG YFQLKANPG	- Section 16 121 IYSTYS VWTLEPMI VWNLHLR AWKLEIR
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056) (1079)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI ANGI IGGF	1150 IEYSI SHTAIYE VEAVYS VTAEFAI VH <mark>SEFD</mark>	1160 TNLVIE LKNILVQ LEHILVE LQHLLLE	ATSQSKVI GMSQEFF GTSRKMS- GQCFDEVS GHCFDAA	170 /IGNEYRY RKS <mark>PPRGM</mark> GEASDGL GQPPRGL GAPPRGL	1180 NIINVI QLKLGNI EVELS <mark>S</mark> QFTLGTI QLVLGT	119 3DNG-FIN LTNSHVTD 3GKNYD DKNFKQFD 2SQFTLVD	0 QG <mark>VLS</mark> KDG TIVLSNLG TIVMLNLG TIVMANLG	1200 YFCILVPPC YFCILAPPC YFCILAPPC YFCILANPC	- Section 16 121 IVSTYS VWTLEPMI VWNLHLR AWKLEIRI AWSLRLR
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056) (1079) (1099)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASED ANGD IGGF VDSV	1150 EYS	1160 LTNLVIE LKNILVQ LEHILVE LQHLLLE LEYLLLE	ATSQSKVY GMSQEEFF GTSRKMS- GQCFDEVS GHCFDAAS GHCYDITT	170 XIGNEYRY RKS <mark>PPRGM</mark> GEASDGL GQPPRGL GQPPRGL	,1180 NIINVI QLKLGNI EVELS <mark>8</mark> QFTLGTI QLVLGT QFTLGTS	119 3DNG-FIN LTNSHVTD 3GKNYD DKNPKQFD QSQPTLVD SANPVIVD	0 QG <mark>VLS</mark> KDG TIVLSNLG TIVMLNLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG	1200 YFQILVPPG YFQIKANPG YFQIKAPG YFQIKANPG YFQIKANPG	- Section 16 121 IVSTYS- VWTLEPMI VWNLHLR AWKLETRI AWSLRLR AWILRLR
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056) (1079) (1099) (1077)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI ANGI IGGF VDSV TEKT	1150 EYS SHTAIYE VEAVYS VTAEFA VHSEFD VAAEYE VTAEYE	1160 LTNLVIE LKNILVQ LEHILVE LQHLLLE LEYLLLE LEYLLLE	1 ATSQSKVY CMSQEEFF GTSRKMS- GQCFDEVS GHCFDAAS GHCFDAAS GHCYDIT GQCFDKV	170 XIGNEYRY RKS <mark>PPRGM</mark> GEASDGL GQPPRGL GQPPRGL EQPPRGL	1180 NIINVT QLKLGNI EVELS QFTLGT QLVLGT QFTLGT QFTLGT	119 GDNG-FIN LTNSHUTD GGK-NYD CKNFKQFD QSQFTLVD SANPVIVD KNK <mark>PAV</mark> VD	0 QG <mark>VLS</mark> KDG TIVLSNLG TIVMLNLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG	1200 YFQILAP YFQIKAP YFQIKAP YFQIKAP YFQIKAP YFQIKAP YFQIKAP	- Section 16 1210 ISTYS VWTLEPMI VWNLHLR AWKLETRI AWSLRLR AWILRLR AWILRLR
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.Q9JLA3	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056) (1079) (1077) (1077) (1075)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI ANGI IGGV VDSV TEKI VDSI	1150 IEYS SHTAIYE VEAVYS VTAEFA VHSEFD VAAEYE VTAEYE VAAEYE	1160 LTNLVIE LKNTLVQ LEHTLVE LQHLLLE LEYLLE LEYLLE LEYLLE	ATSQSKVY GYSQEEFF GTSRKMS- GQCFDEVS GHCFDAAS GHCFDAAS GHCFDAAS GHCYDITT	170 XIGNEYRY RKS <mark>PPRGN</mark> GEASDGI GQPPRGI GQPPRGI EQPPRGI GQPPRGI	1180 JIINU LUKLGNI UEVELS QFTLGT QFTLGT QFTLGT QFTLGT	,119 GDNG-FIN LTNSH <mark>M</mark> TD GGKVD DKNPKQFD DKNPKQFD QS <mark>Q</mark> PTLVD SANPVIVD KNKPAVVD SA <mark>NPTTVD</mark>	0 QG <mark>VLS</mark> KDG TIVLSNLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG	1200 YFQIKANPG YFQIKANPG YFQIKANPG YFQIKANPG YFQIKANPG YFQIKANPG	- Section 16 1210 VMTLEPMI VWTLEPMI VWNLHERI AWSLRIR AWSLRIR AWILRIR BAWILRIR AWILRIR
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_001071002	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056) (1079) (1077) (1077) (1075) (1068)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI IGGF VDSF VDST VDSI VDSV	1150 EYS	1160 TNLVIE LKNTLVQ LEHILVE LQHLLIE LEYLLE LEYLLE LEYLLE LEYLLE	1 A TSQSKVY GYSQEFF GTSRKMS- GCCFDEV GHCFDAA GHCYDIT GHCYDIT GHCPDVT	170 KIGNEYRY REASDGL GEASDGL GQPPRGL GQPPRGL GQPPRGL GQPPRGL	_1180 VNIINVTO QLKLGNI EVELSSO QFTLGTI QLVLGTO QFTLGTS QFTLGTS QFTLGTS	119 3DNG-FIN LTNSHVTD 3GKNYD CKNFRQFD 2SQPTLVD SANPVIVD KNKFRAVIVD SANPTTVD SANPTTVD ASDPVIVD	0 QGV LS KDG TIV LS NLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG	1200 YFQIKANPG YFQIKAPPG YFQIKAPPG YFQIKANPG YFQIKANPG YFQIKANPG YFQIKANPG	- Section 16 121 INSTYS VWILEPMI VWNLHER AWKLEER AWKLER AWILRER AWILRER AWILRER AWILRER
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.Q9LA3 ZebUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056) (1079) (1077) (1075) (1068) (1141)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI ANGI IGGF VDSV TEKI VDSV	1150 EYS	1160	A TSQSKVY GYSQ € EFF GTSRKMS- GECTORA GHCTDAA GHCTDAA GHCTDIT GQCTDKVT GHCTDIT GHCTDVT GHCTDVT	170 KIGNEYRY RSPRGM GEASDGL GQPPRGL GQPPRGL GQPPRGL GQPPRGL GQPPRGL	_1180 VIINVIC VLKLGNI EVELSIC VFTLGTI VTLGT VFTLGTI VFTLGTI VFTLGTI VFTLGTI		0 QGVLSKLG TIVLSLLS TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG	1200 YFQILXAP 90 YFQIKAP 90 YFQIKAP 90 YFQIKAP 90 YFQIKAP 90 YFQIKAP 90 YFQIKAP 90 YFQIKAP 90 YFQIKAP 90 YFQIKAP 90	- Section 16 121 IMSTYS VUTLEPM VUTLEPM VUTLEPM AUKLER AUKLER AUKLER AUKLER AUKLER AUKLER AUKLER AUKLER AUKLER AUKLER AUKLER
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.Q91LA3 ZebUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056) (1079) (1077) (1077) (1075) (1068) (1141)	1141 TIM ASEI ANGI IGGF VDSV VDSI VDSV	1150 EYS SHTAIYE VTAIYE VHSEFD VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAEYEJ	1160	1 TSQSKV GYSQEFF GTSRKMS- GCFDEV GHCFDAAS GHCFDAAS GCFDAAS GHCFDAAS GHCFD GHCFD GHCFD	170 (IGNEYRY SEASDGL GQPPRGL GQPPRGL GQPPRGL GQPPRGL GQPPRGL	1180 ULKLGNI ULKLGNI UQFTLGT UQFTLGT UQFTLGT UQFTLGT UQFTLGT UQFTLGT	119 GDNG-FIN LTNSHWTD GGKNYD DKNFKQFD DKNFKQFU SANFVIVD KNKPAWVD SANFTVD NF IVD	0 QGVLSKDG TIVMLNLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG	1200 YFQILKAPG YFQILKAPG YFQILKAPG YFQILKAPG YFQILKAPG YFQILKAPG YFQILKAPG YFQILKAPG	- Section 16 121 VWTLEPM VWTLEPM AWTLEPM AWTLEPM AWTLEPM AWTLREP AWTLREP AWTLREP AWTLREP AWMLREP AWMLREP AWTLREP AWTLREP AWTLREP AWTLREP
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056) (1079) (1077) (1075) (1068) (1141) (1217)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI IGGI VDSV TEKI VDSV VDSV 1217	1150 EYS SHTAIYE VTAEFA VHSEFD VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE	1160 LTNL VIE LKNTLVQ LEHILVE LQHLLE LEYLLE LEYLLE LEYLLE LEYLLE LEYLLE 0	1 ATSQSKVY GSQCPF GSRKMS- GCFTEV GCFTEV GCFTEV GCCFTEV GCCFTVT GCCFTVT GCCFTVT GCCFTVT GCCFTVT GCCFTVT	170 XIGNEYRY RESPECT GOPPRGL GOPPRGL GOPPRGL GOPPRGL GOPPRGL 1250	1180 INVI IQLKLGNI IQEVELS QETLGT QETLGT QETLGT QETLGT QETLGT	119 3DNG-FIN LTNSHWTD 3GKNYD DKNFKQND 2SQPTLVD SANPVIVD SANPTVD ASDPVIVD NF IVD 1260	0 QGVLSKDG TIVLSNLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG	1200 YFQILXAP YFQLKAP YFQLKAP YFQLKAP YFQLKAP YFQLKAP YFQLKAP YFQLKAP YFQLKAP YFQLKAP YFQLKAP YFQLKAP	- Section 16 1211 STYS- WITEPMI AVITEPMI AVITEPMI AVITEPMI AVITEPMI AVITEPMI AVITEPMI AVITEPMI AVITERMI
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056) (1079) (1077) (1075) (1068) (1141) (1217) (931)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI IGGI IGGI VDSI VDSI VDSV 1217 -NP MYN	1150 TEYS SHTAIYE VEAVYS VTAEFA VHSEPD VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAEYEI 123 TINLNOF	1160 LTNLVI LKNLVE LKNLVE LEHILVE LEYLLE LEYLLE LEYLLE LEYLLE D PEVLNFK		170 XKSPPRGN GEASDGL GOPPRGL GOPPRGL GOPPRGL GOPPRGL GOPPRGL GOPPRGL TGOPPRGL 1250 HELSFOS	1180	119 3DNG-FIN 3GK-NYD 3GK-NYD 3GK-NYD 3GK-NYD 3GK-NYD 3GK-NYD 3GK-NYD 3AN PTIVD NP IVD NP IVD 1260	0 QGV LS KDG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG 1270 LNTNKPS-	1200 YFQ TLVP PG YFQ LKAN PG 1280 TENS	- Section 16 121 STYS VINTLEPMIN VINTLEPMIN AN SLELBI AN SLESS AN SLESS
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.XP_595781	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056) (1079) (1077) (1077) (1068) (1141) (1217) (931) (1080)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI ANGI IGGI VDSI VDSI VDSI VDSV I217 -NP MY ME E AND MY ME	1150 EYS HTAIYE VEAVYS VTAEFA VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAEYE VAEYE VAEYE VAEYE VAEYE VAEYE	1160 TNUYIE UNUYU UNUYUU UNUU UNUUUUU UNUUUUUUUUUU	1 TSQSKVY GYSQEFF GTSRKMS- GQCFDEVS GHCYDITT GQCFDKV GHCYDITT GHCFD 1240	170 /IGNEYRY KSBPRGM GQPPRGL GQPPRGL CQPPRGL CQPPRGL CQPPRGL CQPPRGL 1250 HELSFQS DSFEGVT	1180 LINVE LLE CETLGT CETLGT CETLGT CETLGT CETLGT CETLGT CETLGT	119 3DNG-FIN LTNSHWTD 3GKNYD 0KNPKQFD 2SQPTLVD 3ANPTVD 3ANPTVD NP IVD 1260 EKNKIMNE KPGFESA	0 QGVLSKDG TIVLSNLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG 1270 LNTNKPS- DINDEDIS	1200 YFQTLVPPG YFQTKAPPG YFQTKAPPG YFQTKAPPG YFQTKAPPG YFQTKAPPG YFQTKAPPG YFQTKAPPG YFQTKAPPG YFQTKAPPG YFQTFAPG	- Section 16 1211 STYS- VUTLEPMI VUNLER AWKLER AWKLER AWKLER AWILRLE AWILRLE AWILRLE AWILRLE AWILRLE AWILRLE Section 17 129 FFSSNLFGI
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLXP_654498	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056) (1079) (1077) (1075) (1068) (1141) (1217) (931) (1080) (1020)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI IGGI UDSV VDSV VDSV 1217 -NPSMYME CHSSQFE CHSSQFE	1150 IFYS VHAIVE VFAVVSS VTAEPA VHAEPE VAAEVE VAAEVE VAAEVE 123 ITLNQPI ISLNKKI VTIEVSI	1160 TINKUI SHILW SHILW SHILW SHILW SHILE SHI SHI SHI SHI SHI SHI SHI SHI SHI SHI		170 IGNEYRY SEASDGL GOPPRGL GOPPRGL GOPPRGL GOPPRGL GOPPRGL 1250 HELSFQS DSESCED	1180 NINVE QLKLGNI QVLIST QFTLGTI	119 3DNG-NYD 3GK-NYD 3GK-NYD 0KNFRQFD 2SQFTLYD SANFYTVD SANFTVD SANFTVD NP IVD 1260 2KNKLMNE 2KNKLMNE 2KNKLMNE 2KNKLMNE	0 QGVLSKDG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG DIMOEDLS 1270 LNTNKPS- DIMOEDLS	1200 YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG 1280 TSNS SHKFFDKIF	- Section 16 121 STYS VINTE PMI VINTE PMI VINTE PMI ANKLERN ANKLERN ANKLERN ANKLERN ANKLERN ANKLERN ANKLERN SAWLRLR SAWLRLR SAWLRLR SAWLRLR SAWLRLR SAWLRLR SAWLRLR SAWLRLR SAWLRLR SAWLRLR
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB H48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT_2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.Q9JLA3 ZebUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F26H9.8-WB	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056) (1079) (1077) (1077) (1075) (1068) (1141) (1217) (931) (1080) (1020) (1127)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI IGGI VDSI VDSI VDSI VDSV 1217 -NPSMYVE GRSACTE SQFE GRSALENT	1150 EYS	1160 INNIX UENIIN CULLI CULLE VILLE VILLE EVILLE LEVILLE LEVILLE D O O VEFEVINFK PQEVINFK		170 IGNEYRY IGNEYRY GAPPROL GAPPROL GQPPROL GQPPROL GQPPROL ICQPPROL SQPPROL DSFSSS DSFSSS DSFSSS DSFSSS	1180 QLKLGN QLKLGN QFTLGT QFTLGT QFTLGT QFTLGT QFTLGT QFTLGT QFTLGT QFTLGT QFTLGT	119 3DNG-FIN SGK-NYD 3GK-NYD 3GK-NYD 3GK-NYD 3ANPTYD XNKRAYD XNKRAYD XNFTVD NPIVD 1260 XNKIMNE XPGFESA 2LTEP E GMFFP	0 QGVLSKDG TIVMINLG TIVMINLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG INTINANLG 1270 LNTINKPS- DIMDEDLS -KESDELS	1200 YFQ TLVP PG YFQ LKAN PG XFQ LKAN PG XFQ LKAN PG XFQ LKAN PG YFQ LKAN PG	- Section 16 121 VINTLEPMU VINTLEPMU VINTLEPMU AN SLELEN AN
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBL.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBL.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBL.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBLQ9LA3 ZebUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLXP_654498 F48E3.3-WB F48E3.3-WB	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056) (1079) (1077) (1075) (1068) (1141) (1217) (931) (1080) (1020) (1127) (1127)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI ANGI UDSV VDSV VDSV VDSV 1217 -NPSMYVE GRSQFFF GRSQFFF GRSQFFF GRSQFFF CRSSFTFF	1150 HTAINE VEAVS VHSEA VAEVS VAEVE VAAEVE VAAEVE VAAEVE VAAEVE VAAEVE VAAEVE VAAEVE VAEVE VAEVE VAEVE VAEVE VAEVE	1160 ITN VIE CHILV CHILLE CHILLE CHILLE VILLE LEVILLE LEVILLE LEVILLE O O CHILLEVILLE CULLE VILLE VILLE VILLE CULE	1240	170 IGNEYRY RSPERCI GAPPRCI GAPPRCI GOPPRCI GOPPRCI GOPPRCI 1250 HELSFCS DSFSCFW DSFSCFW DSFSCFW	1180 QIRLGN QUELGN QUELGN QUELGN QUELGN QUELGN QUELGN QUELGN QUELSN QUEL		0 QGVLSKDG TIVMENLG TIVMENLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG 1270 LNTNKPS- IMD ED EL NLSD EE TILSD EE TILSD EE	1200 YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG 1280 	- Section 16 121 I STYS VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI AUKLE IN AUKLE IN AUKL
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLXP_654498 F48E3.3-WB DUGT_1CCBLNP_524151 HUGT_1_CCBLNP_064515	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056) (1079) (1077) (1075) (1068) (1141) (1217) (931) (1080) (1020) (1127) (1150)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASE	1150 EYS HTAIYE VEAVYS VHAEFA VHAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAEYE VAEYE USLNKK VIIISLNKK VIIS	1160 TNLVI CINIL CULLI C		170 IGNEYRY RSPPRI GAPPCI GQPPRI GQPPRI GQPPRI GQPPRI HELSFQS DSFEGT DSFCS SFCS TSL GPRI HELSFQS DSFCS TSL GPRI SFCS TSL SFCS SFCS TSL SFCS SFCS TSL TSCS TSL TSCS TSL TSCS	1180 CIKLGNI CUKLGNI CUKLGNI CUKLGNI CUKLGNI CUTLGTI C	119 3DNG-PIN 3GK-NYD 3GK-NYD 0CNFRQFD 2SQFTVD SANFYVD SANFTVD SANFTVD 260 2KNKIMNE 2F0FESA 2LTEP 2REGMEER XEPGMQA	0 QGVLSKDG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG DIMADEDLS LNTNKPS- DIMEDLS LNTNKPS- ELLSDEE	1200 YFQILXAP 90 YFQILXAP 90 YFQILXAP 90 YFQILXAP 90 YFQILXAP 90 YFQILXAP 90 YFQILXAP 90 YFQILXAP 90 YFQILXAP 90 YFQIXAP 90 YFQ	- Section 16 121 VINTE PMI VINTE PMI VINTE PMI VINTE PMI AUXELE
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB H48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT_2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.Q9JLA3 ZebUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_55413 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_54151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_UCPI ND_064505	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056) (1079) (1077) (1075) (1068) (1141) (1217) (931) (1080) (1020) (1127) (1150) (1140)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI ANGI IGGV VDSV VDSV VDSV 1217 -NPSMYME CRSSQFWEI CRSSQFWEI CRSSDIVAI CRSSDIVAI CRSSDIVAI CRSSDIVAI	1150 HTAIME VEAVYS VEAVYS VAEYE VAEYE VAA	1160 ITNLYI INNLYI INNLIYI INNLIYI INNLIYI INNLIYI IVILLE IVILE IVILE IVILE IVILLE IVILLE IVILLE IVI	1 TSQSKV3 GSQEFF GTSRKMS- GQCFDEV5 GCFDEV5 GCFDEV5 GCFDV7 GFCFDV7 GFCFDV7 1240 	170 IIGNEYRY RSPPR GAPPCI GAPPCI GQPPRI GQPPRI CQPPRI 1250 HELSFCS DSFCT SFCTS SFCTS SFCTS SFCTS	1180 (QLKLGN) (QLKLGN) (QLKLGN) (QFTLGT)	119 3DNG-FIN 3GK-NYD 3GK-NYD 3GK-NYD 3GK-NYD 3AN PTIVD XNKRAYVD XNKRAYVD XNKRAYVD XNFIVD 1260 2KNKIMNE 2KFGFESA 2LTEP 2LT	0 QGVLSKDG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG DIVMANLG DIVMANLG 1270 LNTNKPS- DINDEDLS -KESDDEL ELLSDDNE DLLSDGTS	1200 YFQ TLVP PG YFQ LKAN PG 1280 TSNS SHKFFDKIK S TSNS SHKFFDKIK S GVNS QAAQS GMNN -ENESGEN	- Section 16 121 VINTLEPMU VINTLEPMU VINTLEPMU AN SLELE AN SLELE AN SLELE AN SLELE AN SLELE AN SLELE AN SLELE AN SLELE AN SLELE SAN SLELE SAN SLELE SAN SLELE SAN SLELE SAN SLELE SLENE S
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_54151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBL09LA3 ZebUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLNP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064506 DUGT_2_NCBLNP_064506	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056) (1079) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1068) (1141) (1217) (931) (1080) (1020) (1120) (1120) (1170) (1146)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI IGG UDS VDS VDS VDS VDS VDS VDS VDS CHSALEN GRSEIVE GR	1150 EYS HTAIME YEAVYS VHSEDA VHSED VAREYE VAREYE VAREYE VAREYE ISLNKK VILSUNKK VILSUNKK VILSUNKK VILSUNKK VILSUNKK VILSUNKK VILSUNKK VILSUNKK VILSUNKK VILSUNKK VILSUNKK VILSUNKK	1160 THEVIE CHILMEIX CHILLE CHILLE CHILLE VILLE VILLE VILLE VILLE VILLE VILLE VILLE VILLE VILLE VILLE VILLE VILLE CONTRACTOR VI HEAG SPDALE		170 IGNEYPY RSPERCI GOPPRCI GOPPRCI GOPPRCI GOPPRCI GOPPRCI COPPRCI SOPPRCI	1180 QIRLGN QURLGN QUIS QUIS QUIS QUIS QUIS QUIS QUIS QUIS	119 3DNG-FIN LTNSHWTD 3GK-NYD 0KNFRQFD 2SQ PTLYD SANPTVV NPIVD NPIVD 1260 2KNKIMNE 2LTEP KEGMEER KEGMEER KEGMEER KEGMEUR	0 QGVLSKDG TIVMINLG TIVMINLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG DINDEDLS LISDDEL ELLSDDEE ELLSDDEE DILSDGTS DILSDGTS	1200 YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG SHKFFDKIK SHKFFDKK SHKFFDKIK SHKFFDKK SHKFFD	- Section 16 121 VITLE PM VITLE PM VITLE PM VITLE PM AW ILE LE AW ILE AW ILE LE AW ILE LE AW ILE AW I
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_59281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_54151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT_2_NCBLNP_064505	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056) (1079) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1068) (1141) (1217) (1080) (1020) (1127) (1150) (1170) (1148) (1146)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI ANGI IGGV TEKI VDSV VDSV I217 -NPSMYME GRSSQFEEI GRSSQFEEI GRSSLIVAI GRSDIVAI GRSDIVAI GRSDIVAI	1150 EYS HTAIYE VEAVYS VHAEFA VHSFD VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE SAEVE VAEYES SHIGGE YSHIGGT YSHIGT	1160 TNUYI CINIIV CULHIIVE CULLE CUL		170 IGNEYRY RSPPRI GAPPCI GQPPRI GQPPRI GQPPRI GQPPRI CQPPRI TSQPPRI 1250 HELSFQS DSFCS SSS SSS SSS SSS SSS SSS SSS	1180 CIKICUL CURICUL C	119 3DNG-FIN 3GK-NYD 3GK-NYD 3GK-NYD 3GK-NYD 3GK-YIVD 3GK-Y	0 QGVLSKDG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG DINDEDLS DINTNKPS- DINTDEDLS DLLSDEE DLLSDES DILTDED- DILTDED-	1200 YFQ ILXAP 90 YFQ IKAP 90	- Section 16 121 VINTL E PMI VINTL E PMI VINTL E PMI VINTL E PMI AUKLE E RI AUKLE E
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.NP_54151 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_54151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_061502 HUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056) (1079) (1077) (107	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI ANGI IGGV VDSV VDSV VDSV 1217 -NPSMYWE CHSADEHN CHSADEHN CHSADENN CHS	1150 HTAIVE VEAVUS VEAVUS VAREPA VAREPE	1160 TINITI LINITI		170 170 GAPPGI GAPPGI GQPPGI GQPPGI GQPPGI 120 FELSFCS DSFCGT NSFCSI	1180 CLKLGNI CVELS CVELS CVELS CVELS CFTLGT CFT	119 3DNG-FIN LTNSHJTD 3GK-NYD DKNFKQFD 2SQPTLVD XNKFAJVD SANPTTVD XNKFAJVD XNFTVD ASDPVIVD VNFIVD 2KNKIMNE 2FGFESA 2LTEP 2EGMQQA XKADMVNE KEGMQQA KKADMVNE KETOKIKE KADMANE	0 QGVLSKDG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG 1270 LNTNKPS- DIMDEDLS -KESDDEL NILSDDEE ELLSDCTS DILSDGTM ELLSDGTM	1200 YFQ TLVP PG YFQ LKAN PG SHSFFD IF SIESLIN SHSFFD IF SIESLIN SHSFFD IF SHSFFD IF	- Section 16 121 I STYS VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI AUTLE AU
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_54151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBL09LA3 ZebUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLNP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_55281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1056) (1077) (1075) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (117	1141 TIM TSEAEADDS ASEI IGG UDSV TEKI VDSV VDSV 1217 -NPSMYNE GRSQFME GRSQFME GRSDIVE	1150 EYS HTAIVE VEAVYS VHSED VHSED VHSED VHSED VHSED VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VSAEGT VSHUGT VSHUGT VSHUGT	1160 TILVII CINIII COLLIN COLI		170 IGNEYPY RSPPRI GAPPRCI GOPPRCI GOPPRCI GOPPRCI GOPPRCI SOPPRCI	1180 QIRLGN QURLGN QURLGN QUISS QUIS	119 3DNG-FIN 3GK NYD 3GK	0 QGVLSKDG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG DIVMANLG TIVMANLG ELSD DE ELSD DE DILSD GTS DILSD GTH DLLSD GTH DLLSD D	1200 YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG YFQILKAP PG SHKFFDKIK SHKFFDKIK SHKFFDKIK SHKFFDKIK SHKFFDKIK 	- Section 16 121 STYS VITLE FMI VITLE FMI VITLE FMI AUTLE FMI AUTLE FMI AUTLE FMI AUTLE FMI AUTLE FMI AUTLE FMI STSNL CONSTRUCTOR STSNL CONSTRUCTOR STSNL SCONSTRUCTOR STSNL SCONSTRUCTOR ST
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB H48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT_2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.Q9JLA3 ZebUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064505 RUGT_NCBI.NP_061071002 Consensus	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1079) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1080) (1041) (1080) (1020) (1127) (1140) (1127) (1141)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI ANGI IGGV VDSV VDSV VDSV I217 -NFSMYME GRSQFEI GRSQLVAI GRSDLVAI GRSDLVAI	1150 EYS HTAIYE VEAVYS VHAEFA VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE VAAEYE SAEVE VAAEYE	1160 TNLVIE CHILL CHILL CHILL CHILL CHILL CYILC CYIC CYI		170 GEASD GEASD GE GEASD GE GEASD GE GEASD GE GEASD GEAS GEASD GEAS GEASD GEAS GEASD GEAS GEASD GEAS GEASD GEAS TELES FOR SEGAN NN FRANT NN FRANT NN FRANT NN FRANT NN FRANT NN FRANT NN FRANT NN FRANT	1180 IIIVI CLKICUL CLKICUL CLKICUL CLICUL CFTLGT CFTLGT CFTLGT CFTLGT CFTLGT VI SVI SVI SVI SVI SVI SVI SVI	119 3DNG-FIN 3GK-NYD 3GK-NYD 3GK-NYD 2SQ PTLVD 2SQ PTLVD 2SAN PVIVD XNKEAMVD AND PVIVD 260 2KNKIMNE 2C0 2C0 2C0 2C0 2C0 2C0 2C0 2C0	0 QGVLSKDG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG DIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG DIVMANLG DIVANLG	1200 YFQ TLYP PG YFQ LKAN PG	- Section 16 121 VWNTLEPM VWNTLEPM VWNTLEPM AUKLER AUKLE
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_54151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1079) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (112	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI ANGI UDSV VDSV VDSV VDSV 1217 -NPSMYWE CHSADEN	1150 HTAIVE VEAVUS VAEVE V	1160 TNLVIC CHLIN		170 IGNEYRY RSPEC GAPPRCI GAPPRCI COPPRCI COPPRCI COPPRCI COPPRCI ISO SECTOR	1180 QIRLGN QURLGN QURLGN QURLGN QURLGN QURLGN QURLGN QURLGN QURLGN QURLGN VELSUE VEVEV VEVEV VEVEV VEVEV VEVEV VEVEV VEVEV		0 QGVLSKDG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG DIVMANLG DILSDES - KESDDEL NLSDES DILSDE	1200 YFQ ILKAN PG YFQ ILKAN	Section 16 121 13 STYS VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI AUKLE AN AUKLE
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_541151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064505 RUGT_NCBL09LA3 ZebUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_064506 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1079) (1077) (1073) (1079) (1077) (1073) (1079) (1077) (1073) (1080) (1160) (1127) (1170) (117	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI IGGI UDSV TEKI VDSV 1217 -NPSMYNE CRSQFME CRSQFME CRSDIN CRSDINE CRSDINE C	1150 EYS HTAIVE VASEA VHSEA VHSEA VASEA VASEY VASEY VASEY VASEY VASEY VASEY VSASUS VSHUGT VSHUGT VSHUGT SHUGT	1160 TNUYI CINTIQUE CULLANCE CULLANCE CULLANCE CULLE C		170 IGNEYPY RSPPRI GOPPRI GOPPRI GOPPRI GOPPRI COPPRI SOUPRI SOUPRI SOUPRE SOUPRE SOUPRE SOUPRE SOUPRE SOUPRE SOUPRE SOUP	1180 IINUM EVELS QFTLGT QFTLGT QFTLGT QFTLGT QFTLGT VELSUE VELSUE VELSUE VELSUE VELSUE INVELS		0 QGVLSKDG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG DIVMANLG TIVMANLG DIVMANLG DIVMANLG DIVMANLG DIVMANLG DIVMANLG DIVMANLG DIVMANLG DIVMANLG DIVMANLG DIVMANLG 1270	1200 YFQILKAN PG YFQILKAN PG YFQILKAN PG YFQILKAN PG YFQILKAN PG YFQILKAN PG YFQILKAN PG YFQILKAN PG 1280 TSNS SHKFFDKIK SHKFFDKIK GVW QAAQS GMWN ESILN GVW QAAQS GMWN GW GW 0 IK KEY GW	- Section 16 121 VITLE PM VITLE PM VITLE PM VITLE PM AN FLE IN AN FLE
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT_2.NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.Q9JLA3 ZebUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.NP_554151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_54151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064505 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.NP_0654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_654498	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1005) (1079) (1079) (1077) (1070) (1070) (1070) (1070) (1070) (1080) (1020) (1127) (1120) (1127) (1127) (1129) (112	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI ANGI IGGV VDSV VDSV VDSV VDSV 1217 -NESMYME GRSSCFEI GRSSCFEI GRSSDIVEI GRSSDIVEI GRSSDIVEI GRSSDIVEI GRSSDIVEI GRSSDIVEI CRSSDIVEI GRSSDIVEI CRSSDIVEI	1150 EYS HTAIYE VEAVYS VALEYA VALEYE V	1160 ITNLYE INNLYE	1 T S Q S Q E FF G T S R M S - G Q P D E VI G C F C D A A G Q C F D E VI G C F C D A VI G C F C D A VI G C F C D VI G C F D VI G C F D VI 	170 170 GAPPACI GAPPACI GAPPACI GAPPACI GQPPACI GQPPACI GQPPACI GQPPACI GQPPACI GQPPACI SCOPACI SC	1180 CLRLGN CLRLGN CLRLGN CLRLGN CLLGT CFTLG		0 QGVLSKDG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG DILTANLG 1270 LNTNKPS- DIMDEDLS .KSDDLLS DLLSDGTS DLLSDGTN DLLSDGTN DLLSDGTN DLLSDGTN DLLSPGTS	1200 YFQ IKAN PG YFQ IKAN YG YFQ IKAN YG	- Section 16 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 1
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLNP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLXP_654498	(1141) (866) (1004) (952) (1005) (1075) (1075) (1075) (1075) (1075) (1075) (1075) (1075) (1075) (1075) (1080) (1127) (1127) (1127) (1129) (1293) (995) (1085)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI ANGI UDSV VDSV VDSV 1217 -NPSMYVE GHSADEMIN CHSSQFWE CHSSQFWE CHSADEMIN CHSADEMIN CHSADEMIN CHSADEMIN CHSADINN CHSADINN CHSDINN CHSSDINN	1150 EYS HTAINE YEAVYS VHSEED VAREPE VAREPE VAREPE VAREPE VAREPE VAREPE VAREPE VAREPE VAREPE VAREPE SHIEGT YSHDGT SHOTI SHOTI SHOTI SHOTI	1160 THEVIE CHILINELY CHILINE CHILINE CHILINE CHILINE CHILINE CHILINE CHILINE CHILINE CONTRACTOR SCIENT SCI		170 IGNEYRY KSPPRI GAPPRI GAPPRI GQPPRI GQPPRI GQPPRI GQPPRI GQPPRI SGPRI SGPRI SGPRI SGPRI SGPRI SFSRI SFSRI NFKSRI NFKSRI NFKSRI NFKSRI SFSRI SFSRI	1180 IINVEX VIINTER VIISTON CTULOT CTULOT CTULOT CTULOT CTULOT CTULOT CTULOT CTULOT CTULOT VIISTER	119 3DNG-FIN TDNSHWTD 3GKNYD DKNERQFU SAN PVIVD KNKEAVVO NP IVD 1260 2KNKIMNE KKEGMEER KEGMEER KEGMEER KKADMANE KKADMANE KKADMANE 1340 2-KKEWIN	0 QGVLSKDG TIVMINIG TIVMINIG TIVMANIG TIVMANIG TIVMANIG TIVMANIG TIVMANIG TIVMANIG 1270 LNTNKPS- DINDEDIS DISDES ELSDEN LISDEN 133 EDFLSPEG ENTLSPE	1200 YFQIKAN PG YFQIKAN PG	- Section 16 121 VITLE PM VITLE PM VITLE PM VITLE PM AUTLE PM AUTLE PM AUTLE
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB H48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F26H9.8-WB	(1141) (1004) (1004) (9522) (1056) (1079) (1077) (1068) (1147) (1077) (1	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI IGGI UDSV TEKI VDSV 1217 -NPSMYWS GRSQFWEI GRSDIVEI GRSDIVEI GRSDIVEI GRSDIVEI GRSDIVEI GRSDIVEI GRSDIVEI GRSDIVEI CRS	1150 EYS HTAIVE VEAVYS VHSED VHSED VAAEVE VAAEVE VAAEVE VAAEVE VAAEVE VAAEVE VAAEVE VAEVE VSAEVE VSHDGT VSHDGT VSHDGT SHDGT SHDGT SHDGT	1160 TNLVI CLEHILME CHILLME CHILLME CHILLE CULLE		170 IGNEYRY RSPERI GOPPRI GOPPRI GOPPRI GOPPRI COPPRI COPPRI ISO SEC SEC SEC SEC SEC SEC SEC SEC	1180 IIIVUA CULIGU CULIGU CULIGU CULIGU CULIGU CULIGU CULIGU CULIGU CULIGU CULIGU CULIGU CULISIE		0 QGVLSKDG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG DIVANLG TIVMANLG DIVANLG DILSD DLLSD DLLSDGTN DLLSD DLLSDGTN DLLSDGTN DLLSDGTN DLLSDGTN CLSSC ENFLSPG KNYLSSC	1200 YFQILKAP 90 YFQILKAP 90	- Section 16 121 VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI AUKLE FIN AUKLE FIN AUK
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_504506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 EhUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 GRUGT_NCBI.NP_0654508 RUGT_NCBI.NP_0654508 RUGT_NCBI.NP_0654508 RUGT_NCBI.NP_0654508 RUGT_NCBI.NP_0654508 RUGT_NCBI.NP_0654508 RUGT_NCBI.NP_0654508 RUGT_NCBI.NP_0654508 RUGT_NCBI.NP_0654508 RUGT_NCBI.NP_0654508 RUGT_NCBI.NP_0654508 RUGT_NCBI.NP_052431 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB	(1141) (1866) (1004) (952) (1079) (1077) (1070) (1077) (1070) (1077) (1070) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1141) (1150) (1151) (1151) (1127) (1128) (1127)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI ANGI IGGV VDSV VDSV VDSV 1217 -NPSMYWE CRSPVE	1150 EYS HTAIYE YEAVYS YAEYE YAEYE YAAEYE YAAEYE YAAEYE YAAEYE YAAEYE YAEYE YAEYE YAEYE YAEYE YSHIGT	1160 TIL VE LINIL VE LINIL VE LEVILLE LEVILLE LEVILLE LEVILLE LEVILLE VILE VILE VILE VILE SOPPAN	1240 	170 170 16 DEYRY 16 DEYRG 17 DEY	1180 IINVE VELS OFTLGT OFTLGT OFTLGT OFTLGT OFTLGT VEVS V		0 QGVLSKDG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG DIVDED DIDED DIDED DIDED DISDEG DLSDEG DLSDEG DLSPEG ENFLSPEF ENFLSPEF ENFLSPEF ENFLSPEF	1200 YFQ ILKAN PG YFQ ILKAN PG 1280 	- Section 16 121 I STYS VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI AUTLELS
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLQ9LA3 ZebUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLNP_064506 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 F48E3.3-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT_1_NCBLNP_5654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_654498 F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_5654198-WB F48E3.3-WB	(1141) (1141) (1686) (1004) (1072) (1056) (1077) (1007) (1007) (1077) (1007) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1080) (1127) (1150) (1129) (1151) (1293) (1195) (1293) (1195) (1197) (1	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI ANGI UDSV TEKI VDSV 1217 -NPBMYWE CSSIVE CSSI	1150 EYS HTAINE YEAVYS VHSEPO VAREPO VAREYE VAREYE VAREYE VAREYE VAREYE VAREYE VAREYE VAREYE SHIEGT YSHOGT YSHOGT SHIEGT SHIEGT SHIEGT SHIEGT SHIEGT SHIEGT	1160 TILVIE CANTINCE CHILLE CHILLE CYLLE C		170 IGNEYRY RSPERCI GOPPROI GOPPROI GOPPROI GOPPROI GOPPROI COPPROI	1180 IINUM QIRLGU QFTLGI QFTLGI QFTLGI QFTLGI QFTLGI QFTLGI QFTLGI INUM I	119 3DNG-FIN 3DNG-FINTD 3GKNYD 3GKNYD 3GKNYD 3GKNYD 3GK-FINTD 3GKNYD 3GK-FINTD	0 QGVLSKDG TIVMANLG T	1200 YFQIKAN PG YFQIKAN PG	- Section 16 121 VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI AN ILELAN AN
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.Q9JLA3 ZebUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064505 RUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT_NCBI.NP_55281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_55281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_54151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_54151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505	(1141) (1866) (1004) (1056) (1079) (1077) (1075) (1077) (1075) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1080) (1141) (1120) (1127) (1150) (1151) (1151) (1151) (1152) (1151) (1152) (1151) (11226) (11226) (1226) (1226)	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI ANGI IGG VDSV TEKV VDSV VDSV I217 -NPSMYWF CRSDIVE	1150 FYS HTAIME VARYS VA	1160 TNLVI CNNIN CLEHILNE CHILLE CHILLE CVIL	1 T SQ SQ EFF G SQ EFF G C F D EV G C F D EV 1240 	170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170	1180 IINVG CLRLGN CULIGT CULIGT CULIGT CFTLGT C		0 QGVLSKDG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG DILTDED LLSD DLLSD DLLSDGTN DLLSDGTN DLLSDGTN DLLSDGTN DLLSDGTN DLLSDGTN LLSDGTN CLSSDG ENFLSPGF KNYLSPGF KNYLSPGF	1200 YFQILXAP YFQILXAP YFQILXAP YFQILXAP YFQILXAP YFQILXAP YFQILXAP YFQILXAP YFQILXAP YFQILXAP YFQILXAP YFQILXAP YFQIXAP YFQ	- Section 16 121 VINTLE PMI VINTLE PMI VINTLE PMI ANKLE PMI
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.NP_54151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_542151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_55281 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_55281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_552815 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_552815 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064506 PUGT_NCBI.NP_064506 BUGT_2_COBI.NP_064506 PUCT 1_NCBI.NP_064506	(1141) (1866) (1004) (952) (1077) (1079) (1077) (1007) (1077) (10	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI ANGI IGG VDSV VDSV VDSV 1217 -NPBMYWE GRSOFTED GRSOFTE	1150 FYS HTAIVE VEAVYS VAEYE VAEYE VAEYE VAEYE VAEYE VAEYE VAEYE VAEYE VAEYE VAEYE VAEYE VAEYE VAEYE VAEYE VSHIGT YSHIGT YSHIGT YSHIGT YSHIGT YSHIGT YSHIGT YSHIGT SHIGT YSHIGT	1160 TNLVIC TNLVIC CHILINE	1 T S Q S V C S Q E FF G T S R KMS- G Q E P E V G C F D E V G Q E P E V G Q E P E V G Q E P E V G C F D E V 1 C H C F D F D F D F D V 1 C H C F D F D F D F D V 1 C H C F D F D F D F D F D F D F D F D F D F	170 (IGNEYRY RSPEC) GAPPRCI GAPPRCI COPPRCI COPPRCI COPPRCI COPPRCI COPPRCI COPPRCI SCOPRCI	1180 CIRLENIE CIRLENIE CIRLENIE CFTLGT CF		0 QGVLSKDG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG TIVMANLG DILSDGTS DILSDGTS DILSDGTS DILSDGTS DILSDGTS DILSDGTS CHSSPS KNYLSPS KNYLSPS KNYLSPT KN	1200 YFQ ILKAN PG YFQ ILKAN	- Section 16 121 12 STYS VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI VITLE PMI AUXILLE AU
EhUGT_NCBLXP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLQ9LA3 ZebUGT_NCBLNP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLNP_064506 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_01071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBLNP_064506 RUGT_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT_2_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT_2_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT_2_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT_2_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT_2_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT_2_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT_2_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT_2_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT_2_NCBLNP_064505 HUGT_2_NCBLNP_064505	(1141) (1686) (1004) (1056) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1078) (1077) (1	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI IGGI UDSV VDSV VDSV VDSV 1217 -NPSMYME GRSOLVET	1150 EYS HTAINE YEAVYS YAEYE YAEYE YAAEYE YAAEYE YAAEYE YAAEYE YAAEYE YAAEYE YAAEYE YAAEYE YAAEYE YAAEYE YAAEYE SHIZOT YSHOGI SHIZOT YSHOGI SHIZOT YSHOGI SHIZOT YSHOGI SHIZOT YSHOGI SHIZOT YSHOGI SHIZOT YSHOGI SHIZOT YSHOGI SHIZOT YSHOGI SHIZOT YSHOGI SHIZOT YSHOGI SHIZOT YSHOGI SHIZOT YSHOGI SHIZOT SHIZOT SHIZOT YSHOGI SHIZOT SHI	1160 TILVIE ULLE ULLE ULLE ULLE ULLE ULLE ULLE UL		170 IGNEYPY RSPPRI GAPPRCI GOPPRCI GOPPRCI GOPPRCI GOPPRCI GOPPRCI GOPPRCI SOPPRCI	1180 IINUM EVELS QFTLGT QFTLGT QFTLGT QFTLGT QFTLGT QFTLGT INUM VELSUE VELSUE VELSUE INUM	119 3DNG-FIN 3GKNYD 3GKNYD 3GKNYD 3GK-YD 3GK-YD 3GK-YD 3GK-YD 3GKNYD	0 QGVLSKDG TIVMANLG T	1200 YFQIKAN PG YFQIKAN PG	- Section 16 121 VITLE FMI VITLE FMI VITLE FMI VITLE FMI AUKLE TAL AUKLE TAL AUK
EhUGT_NCBI.XP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_595281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT-1_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064506 RUGT_NCBI.Q9JLA3 ZebUGT_NCBI.NP_001071002 Consensus EhUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_64505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 BUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 GRUGT_NCBI.NP_524151 HUGT_NCBI.NP_654498 ScPombeUGT_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT_NCBI.NP_5245151 HUGT_NCBI.NP_5245151 HUGT_NCBI.NP_55281 F26H9.8-WB F48E3.3-WB DUGT_NCBI.NP_55281 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_55281 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064505 HUGT-2_NCBI.NP_064505	(1141) (1866) (1004) (1056) (1079) (1077) (1075) (1077) (1075) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1077) (1080) (1080) (1141) (1080) (1141) (1150) (1151) (1152) (1151) (1152) (1151) (1122) (1151) (1122) (1	1141 TIM TSEAEALDS ASEI IGGF VDSV VDSV VDSV VDSV I217 -NFSMYMF GRSQFWEI GRSQFWEI GRSDIVAI GRS	1150 EYS HTAIYE VEAVYS VAREPA VAREPA VAREPE V	1160 ITNLYI INNLY IN	1 T S Q S Q E FF G T S R KM S - G Q C P D E VI G H C P D A A G Q C P D E VI G H C P D K VI G H C P D V VI G H C P D V VI 	170 170 GAPPACI GAPPACI GAPPACI GAPPACI GAPPACI GAPPACI GAPPACI GAPPACI GAPPACI GAPPACI SA	1180 CLRLGN CLRLGN CLRLGN CLRLGN CLLGT CLLGT CFTLGT CF	119 3DNG-FIN 3GKNYD 3GKNYD 3GKNYD 2SQ PTUYD 2SQ PTUYD 2SQ PTUYD 2SAN PYTYD 2SAN PYTYD 2SAN PYTYD 2SAN PYTYD 2SAN PTTYD 2SAN PTTYD	0 QGVLSKDG TIVMANLG T	1200 YFQ ILKAN PG YFQ ILKAN PG QFQ ILKAN PG PG ILKAN PG YFQ ILKAN PG QFQ ILKAN PG YFQ ILKAN PG QFQ ILKAN PG NESSI PA ENESGENI -ENESGENI -ENESGENI -ENESGENI -ENESGENI -ENESGENI -ENESGENI -ENESGENI -ENESGENI -ENESGENI -ENESGENI -ENESGENI -ENESGENI -ENESGENI KESI PALAA KEFI PALAA KEFI PALAA	- Section 16 121 VINTL E PMI VINTL E PMI VINTL E PMI VINTL E PMI VINTL E PMI ANKLE E R ANKLE E R SAKNY PA STANS G SAKNY PA STANS G STANS





Sequence alignment was performed using AlignX (BLOSUM64 Matrix) from the Vector NTI Suit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). EhUGT: *Entamoeba hystolitica* UGGT, ScPombeUGT: *Saccharomyses Pombe* UGGT, DUGT: *Drosophila melanogaster* UGGT, HUGT: human UGGT, RUGT: rat UGGT, ZebUGT: *Danio Rerio* UGGT, F48E3.3 and F26H9.8: *C. elegans* UGGTs. Highlighted in yellow with red letters are identical residues; highlighted in blue are conserved residues; and highlighted in green are similar residues.

Appendix 3.B. F48E3.3 in situ hybridization pattern - The Nematode

Expression Database (NEXTDB 4.0)

Cluster: CELK00454 - Clone : 112d12



Reproduced with permission of Dr. Yuji Kohara, National Institute of Genetics, Japan

CHAPTER 4. RNAI SCREENING FOR SUPPRESSORS OF Aβ TOXICITY IN A *C. ELEGANS* MODEL ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE

4.1. Background and Significance

I want to start this chapter with a quote from the World Health Organization that highlights the importance of addressing the Alzheimer's Disease problem from all possible angles: "Alzheimer's Disease (AD) is a degenerative brain syndrome characterized by a progressive decline in memory, thinking, comprehension, calculation, language, learning capacity and judgement sufficient to impair personal activities of daily living. The rate of occurrence of AD doubles every five years for those between the 65 and 85 years of age, but if onset were delayed by five years, the number of cases worldwide would be halved. Thus serious attention needs to be paid to the risk factors and preventive measures that may be taken to postpone the onset, if not prevent the appearance of AD" (WHO, 2009).

The Alzheimer's Association (AA) has recently reported in its 2009 AD facts and figures that the number of deaths attributable to AD has been rising dramatically while deaths due to other major causes are decreasing. AD has become the sixth leading cause of all deaths in the United States, and the fifth leading cause of death in Americans 65 years of age and older (Association, 2009). The AA also reports that AD is the most common cause of age-related dementia, accounting for 60-80% of all cases.

The causes of AD are not well understood yet, but most experts agree that AD develops because of the influence of multiple factors rather than a single one. Most efforts in AD research have been focused on the genetic etiology of the disease, but recent epidemiological studies suggest that additional gene-environment interactions contribute to disease causation (WHO, 2009).

4.1.1. Genetics of Alzheimer's Disease

Two key pathological characteristics of AD are that nerve cells in the brain fill up with dense intracellular neurofibrillary tangles composed of hyperphosphorylated tau protein and with extracellular amyloid plaques composed of aggregated amyloid-beta peptide. Although the accumulation and aggregation of A β -42 is considered the central event in the pathogenesis of AD (Bu, 2009; Williamson et al., 2009), other important copathologies (such as Tau pathologies, diabetes, neuroinflamation, *etc.*) contribute to disease progression. Many of these co-pathologies appear in early phases of the disease, even preceding large-scale amyloidosis (Jakob-Roetne and Jacobsen, 2009).

Three autosomal human genes, mutations in which are dominantly inherited, have been associated with early-onset familial AD (FAD or EOAD): presenilin 1 (PSEN1), presenilin 2 (PSEN2), and amyloid precursor protein (APP), which are essential components of a protein complex responsible for γ -secretase activity (Bu, 2009; Selkoe and Kopan, 2003). γ -secretase is a key enzyme involved in the production of the so-called A β peptide,

which is in fact a group of peptides slightly differing in lengths (Jakob-Roetne and Jacobsen, 2009). From these, A β -40 (ca. 80 to 90%) and A β -42 (ca. 5 to 10%) represent the two major species, and A β -42 in particular readily aggregates and forms the seed for larger oligomers and fibrils that eventually produce the macroscopic amyloid plaques (Jakob-Roetne and Jacobsen, 2009). The above-mentioned mutations increase the amount of A β -42 at the expense of A β -40 peptide, raising the amount of aggregation-prone A β -42 peptide to up to 50% of the total A β (Citron et al., 1997).

On the other hand, only one gene (apolipoprotein E, APOE) has been confirmed as a major susceptibility/risk factor for late onset AD (LOAD). This type of AD is, however, responsible for the vast majority of AD cases. APOE is a major apolipoprotein and a cholesterol carrier in the brain, and has been shown to bind A β in the cerebrospinal fluid (Mahley, 1988; Strittmatter et al., 1993). Identifying additional genes involved in this complex disease has proven challenging, but several other susceptibility genes have been suggested to play a role in LOAD (Bertram et al., 2007; Gatz et al., 2006).

The amyloid hypothesis was introduced in the early 90's, and since then it has shaped the field to a large extent. This hypothesis basically states that the accumulation of amyloid β peptides in the CNS is the primary initiator of the pathogenic cascade of events conducing to AD. This proposal is founded on several lines of evidence including: disease pathology and genetics, cell biology of amyloid β peptides, and the more recent introduction of transgenic animal models that recapitulate some important aspects of the disease.

There is much dispute in the AD field, however, regarding the key events underlying the disease. Some scientists say that "despite many unexplained aspects, there is a disproportionate amount of attention paid to the amyloid hypothesis that has prevented other ideas from flourishing". These investigators warn about the need for new movements and new ideas in the field, to avoid focusing exclusively on one theory, which could be particularly imprudent in cases of complex diseases (Mandavilli, 2006).

Although there is a high correlation between amyloid pathology and disease, a major criticism of the amyloid hypothesis is the lack of a quantitative correlation between amyloid plaque load in the CNS and disease severity. Pathologists, for example, distinguish a sequence of five phases of A β deposition in the whole brain, yet there is no correlation between the degree of amyloid β -peptide deposition and the severity of dementia, and deposition of A β has also been observed in nondemented individuals (Jakob-Roetne and Jacobsen, 2009). As highlighted by Jakob-Roetne and Jacobsen, neuronal swelling occurs long before detectable A β deposition, which points to disturbances in axonal transport as potential role player in A β deposition. One of three classes of axonal defects distinguishable in AD, is neither spatially associated with amyloid nor with tangles, and shows focal axonal swelling as a result of abnormal

accumulation of axonally transported cargo (Stokin and Goldstein, 2006a; Stokin and Goldstein, 2006b; Stokin et al., 2005). Other hypotheses suggest that oxidative stress, inflammation, long-term response to injury or infection, and defects in normal brain maintenance such as clearance of defective proteins could be among the culprits triggering the disease (Mandavilli, 2006). Amid the criticisms, the A β amyloid hypothesis has undergone some revisions and, differently from the initial belief that the plaques were causing the disease, the emphasis is nowadays on oligomers and smaller aggregates of amyloid β proteins (Mandavilli, 2006).

4.1.2. Calcium homeostasis & Alzheimer's Disease

One of calcium's main functions inside the cell is to act as a second messenger to regulate many cellular processes. In neurons, for example, Ca²⁺ is used to control membrane excitability, trigger release of neurotransmitters, mediate activity-dependent changes in gene expression, and modulate growth, differentiation, and programmed cell death (Bezprozvanny and Mattson, 2008).

More than 20 years ago, it was suggested that Ca²⁺ dysregulation might play a role in AD and multiple studies have validated this hypothesis since then (Bezprozvanny and Mattson, 2008; Khachaturian, 1984; Thibault et al., 2007; Thibault et al., 1998). Studies of AD brain samples, for example, have revealed significant alterations in levels of genes and proteins directly involved with Ca²⁺ signaling (Emilsson et al., 2006). Others have demonstrated that interaction of A β oligomers with the plasma membrane (PM) results in elevated cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ concentration and increased susceptibility to neuronal excitotoxicity, possibly due to insertion of the A β oligomers into the PM and formation of ion-conducting pores (Demuro et al., 2005; Haass and Selkoe, 2007; Mattson et al., 1992). A β can also perturb neuronal Ca²⁺ homeostasis by inducing membrane lipid peroxidation, which results in production of toxic lipid aldehydes that interfere with the functioning of ion-conducting ATPases and glutamate transporters, hence inducing Ca²⁺ overload, synaptic dysfunction, and neuronal degeneration (Mattson, 2004).

More recently, researchers found that a polymorphism in CALHM1 (presumably an essential component of a previously uncharacterized cerebral Ca²⁺ channel) influences Ca²⁺ homeostasis, A β levels, and susceptibility to late-onset AD (Dreses-Werringloer et al., 2008). Growing amounts of evidence suggest that Ca²⁺ might be the upstream factor inducing changes during aging and AD, which result in overproduction and aggregation of A β and additional alterations that induce differential neuronal vulnerability to degeneration (Bezprozvanny and Mattson, 2008).

4.1.3. C. elegans models of Alzheimer's Disease

Transgenic *C. elegans* models of Alzheimer's Disease, which recapitulate relevant disease features, have been engineered in order to exploit experimental approaches not possible or difficult to undertake in mammalian systems. These transgenic models

typically involve tissue-specific or inducible expression of human A β peptide (the worm does not produce an equivalent endogenous species) including an artificial signal peptide immediately upstream of the A β sequence to allow for secretion of the amyloid fragment and toxic accumulation of extracellular A β (Link, 2006).

Several transgenic lines expressing the A β minigene in *C. elegans* muscles showed a clear phenotype of progressive paralysis associated with extensive accumulation of A β deposits; nevertheless, the detectable A β aggregates were found in the muscle cytoplasm and not in the extracellular space, presumably because the AB peptide is recognized as an abnormal protein and retrotranslocated by the ER quality control machinery (Fonte et al., 2002; Link, 1995; Link et al., 2001). Notably, there was no consistent correlation between amyloid load and paralysis rates, which suggested that fibrillar amyloid was not the key toxic species (Fay et al., 1998). These models also allowed for the identification of cellular proteins, such as HSP70-related and HSP-16related proteins, that directly interact with A β and could partially suppress A β -induced paralysis when overexpressed (Fonte et al., 2002; Link, 2006). Using transgenic lines with inducible expression of A β , Link and collaborators were also able to identify gene expression changes associated with the initial stages of A β toxicity, which are not detectable in postmortem brain material or in transgenic AD mouse models due to the fact that the assay point may be quite distant from the initial A β -dependent insults triggering the pathological cascade (Link et al., 2003).

Although these studies have relied mostly on transgenic worms with muscle-specific expression of A β peptide, the authors understand they are relevant to human disease because some human myopathies, such as Inclusion Body Miositis (IBM) for example, are characterized by intramuscular accumulation of A β . They also consider that many cell types are sensitive to A β accumulation and that "the most parsimonious explanation is that this is due to the same cell toxic mechanism" (Link, 2006). Dr. Link has noted that observations in human samples corroborate some of his findings, such as increased expression of α B-crystallin (a mammalian homolog of HSP-16) in AD brains (Link et al., 2003) and muscle tissue from IBM patients (Banwell and Engel, 2000).

Since a Ca²⁺ hypothesis of AD has recently gained support and attention from the scientific community (Bezprozvanny and Mattson, 2008; Thibault et al., 2007), I conducted an RNAi screen for Ca²⁺-binding, EF-hand motif-containing suppressors of Aβ-dependent paralysis in *C. elegans*, in an effort to identify additional genes potentially involved in the development of AD.

The rationale for this approach relies in the fact that the Ca^{2+} that flows into the cytoplasm typically becomes bound to Ca^{2+} -binding proteins, which often contain a characteristic helix–loop–helix structural motif called the EF-hand motif (Grabarek, 2006). EF-hand motif-containing proteins can function as Ca^{2+} sensors (transducing signals in response to changes in Ca^{2+} concentration) or as Ca^{2+} buffers (removing

potentially harmful Ca²⁺ ions or helping to store it for subsequent signaling), and have been recognized as key players in all aspect of cellular function. Both sensor and buffering activities of calcium-binding EF-hand proteins could be important modulators of AD progression.

Interestingly, I found a handful of suppressors that on one hand validate this approach (since relevant homologs of human genes already known to play a role in AD were identified) and on the other, suggest interesting new gene interactions that could contribute fresh ideas to the field.

4.2. Materials and Methods

Strain CL4176: *smg-1(cc546ts) I; dvIs27 [pAF29(myo-3/AB1-42-long3'UTR)+pRF4] X*, which induces A β peptide synthesis and aggregation when temperature is up-shifted to 23°C or higher during larval stages (*myo-3* promoter expressed during development only), was used.

I used feeding RNAi following standard protocols (Kamath et al., 2001) for screening the EF-hand motif-containing clones available in the Ahringer Library (HGMP Resource Center, Cambridge, UK). EF-hand motif-containing genes were identified and previously reported by Wenying Zhang, a former graduate student in our laboratory (Zhang, 2009).

Gravid adults worms were bleached and eggs aliquoted on RNAi seeded plates (~100 eggs/plate, 3 replicates). Plates were maintained at 15°C for 3 days to generate a synchronized population of L3 larvae, at which time, plates were transferred to a 25°C incubator (non-permissive temperature that induces accumulation of A β aggregates in strain CL4176). Worms were maintained at the non-permissive temperature for 24 hours, then scored for suppression of paralysis. The percentage of non-paralyzed worms in each experiment was calculated as the average of at least two replica plate scores.

Paralysis scoring was straightforward, as affected worms cannot move their bodies and lay down in the agar in a straight position (paralyzed worms can move the head slowly and slightly, but the phenotype is self-evident; paralyzed worms do not make eggs either; see Figure 32a). Non-paralyzed worms can be found either sinusoidally moving or rolling, and often assuming a C shape position as they lay down in the agar (due to the presence of the *rol-6* coinjection marker in the transgenic background; see Figure 32b). I should point at here that response of CL4176 to the RNAi testing as performed in this screen on F1 generation exhibited high variance, hence required five repetitions of the screening methodology to attain statistical significance for the majority of the initially detected suppressor clones. RNAi clone for *crt-1* gene was used as positive control for the suppression of paralysis phenotype, while L4440 empty vector was used as negative control. RNAi clone for *bub-1* gene was used for RNAi quality control (*bub-1* knockdown induces sterility).





CL4176: *smg-1(cc546ts) I; dvIs27 [pAF29(myo-3/AB1-42-long3'UTR)+pRF4] X* induces paralysis when temperature is up-shifted to 23°C or higher (A). Non-paralyzed worms and laid eggs are shown in (B).

4.3. Results and Discussion

Using strain CL4176: *smg-1(cc546ts) I; dvIs27[pAF29(myo-3/human Aβ1-42/long3'UTR)* + *pRF4] X*, I tested 129 RNAi clones that target known and putative *C. elegans* EF-hand motif-containing genes for knockdown. I induced RNA interference by feeding L1 larvae on agar plates and scored for suppression of the paralysis phenotype (induced by temperature upshift to the non-permissive temperature 25°C). Out of the 129 RNAi clones are listed in Table 9. A caveat of using strain CL4176 for this screening strategy is that suppression of paralysis may simply be caused by reduced transgene expression, e.g. by increasing mRNA surveillance, hence levels of general transgene expression should be monitored in order to determine which suppressor clones are directly interfering with the toxic Aβ pathway.

Ten clones appeared to dramatically suppress the A β -induced paralysis phenotype, but only six of them achieved statistical significance after five trials (P<0.05, Figure 33, Table 9). Those six genes were *ncs-3* (which codes for a muscular calcium sensor with neuronal paralogs), *tag-312* (which codes for a homolog of human Rab45), ORF C16H3.1 (which codes for a potassium channel interacting protein), *cna-1* (which is the worm homolog of phosphatase Calcineurin A), *letm-1* (which codes for a mitochondrial K⁺/H⁺ antiporter), and ORF T09B4.4 (which codes for a calmodulin-like protein). Since the t-Test calculations generated P values that decreased with each additional trial considered (due to the incremental sample size effect), I believe the 4 clones that did not achieved statistical significance after five trials, might well achieve significance provided that enough additional trials are conducted to account for the high variance of the phenotype as assayed. For this reason, I included them and respective relevant information in Table 9.

Table 9. EF-hand motif-containing RNAi clones scored in the screen for suppressors of $A\beta$ -induced paralysis.

EF #	gene name	EF #	gene name	EF #	gene name	EF #	gene name
1	T03F1.11	39	R08F11.1	76	B0511.1	121	Y45F10A.6
5	F30A10.1	41	ZK856.8	77	F25H2.2	122	Y37A1B.1a
7	F10G8.5	44	T04F3.2	78	W02B9.1	124	C47A4.3
8	B0511.1	46	C13C12.1	82	T02G5.2	125	B0348.4
11	F23F1.2	47	E02A10.3	83	B0252.3b	126	K04F1.10
12	W09G10.3	48	C56A3.6	84	DH11.1	127	C03A7.13
13	F19B10.1	50	T09F5.10	85	F52H3.6	128	C09H5.7
14	F12A10.5	51	C44C1.3	86	ZK938.1	129	F25B3.4
15	F56D1.6	52	K03E6.3	87	C47D12.1	130	F40F9.8
16	C56C10.9	54	C33D12.6	88	F58G1.3	131	F58E6.1
18	T09A5.1	55	F43C9.2	89	Y48B6A.6a	133	W04D2.1
19	C18E9.1	57	K03A1.4	90	K10F12.3b	134	T10G3.5
23	C50C3.5	58	C47C12.4	92	C34C12.3	135	F53F4.14
24	C50C3.2	59	F16F9.3	93	F09F7.2	136	F58G11.1
25	F54G8.2	60	B0563.7	99	R13A5.11	137	F23B12.7
26	C07A9.5	61	F21A10.1	100	ZK686.2	138	F23B12.1
27	Y43F4B.1	64	C06G1.5	101	C48B4.2	139	Y40H4A.2
31	R05G6.8	65	C16H3.1	102	R10E11.6	140	Y75B12B.6
32	T07G12.1	68	T03F1.5	103	T16G12.7	145	K03A1.2
33	F13G11.2	70	T09B4.4	107	K08E3.3	146	F31B12.1
34	Y116A8C.36	71	F26B1.5	113	F42G8.8	147	T04F8.6
35	C54E10.2	72	K07G5.4	117	C02F4.2a	148	C04B4.2
36	F53F8.1	75	C25A1.9	118	Y69E1A.4	149	F11C7.4

Clone EF-117, which knocks-down expression of *cna-1* (the *C. elegans* homolog of Calcineurin A), significantly suppressed A β -dependent paralysis in the worm, and serves as a validation point for my screening strategy. Calcineurin A has been widely reported to play an important role in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's Disease as well as other Ca²⁺-dependent disorders, presumably mediated by a role in astrogliosis (astrocyte activation) and brain neuroinflammation (Norris et al., 2005), as well as in activation of a calpain-calcineurin cascade that increases phosphatase activity and promotes caspase-mediated neuronal cell death (Wu et al., 2007). The fact that 9 out of the 10 suppressors of A β toxicity have human homologs additionally underscores the potential usefulness of the *C. elegans* model.

Clones EF-52, which targets *ncs-3* (a muscle-expressed paralog of neuronal Ca²⁺ sensors *ncs-1* and *ncs-2*, homologs of human NCS-1), and EF-65, which targets ORF C16H3.1 (a homolog of human Kv channel interacting protein 2, KChIP2) are two seemingly related novel suppressors discovered by this screen. Both genes products belong to the recoverin/frequenin protein family, which has been implicated in regulation of neuro-transmission by interacting with and modulating the activity of Kv ion channels (Guo et al., 2002; Patel et al., 2002; Ren et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2003). K⁺ channels has been implicated with normal and pathophysiological functions and various members of the family are recognized as potential therapeutic targets in the treatment of Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, multiple sclerosis, epilepsy, stroke, brain tumors, ischemia, pain and schizophrenia, migraine, *etc.* (Choi and Abbott, 2007; Etcheberrigaray et al.,

1993; Judge et al., 2007; Waters et al., 2006). In addition, interaction of human NCS-1 with IP3R channels (which increases Ca²⁺ release through the latter) has been reported an essential component of the pathological mechanism of bipolar disorder (Koh et al., 2003; Schlecker et al., 2006). The potential dual role in modulating K⁺ as well as IP3R channels makes these members of the recoverin/frequenin family interesting candidates as potential therapeutic targets, provided that their involvement in AD could be confirmed in mammalian models.





Error bars represent standard error. Statistical significance as calculated by t-Test are: P(EF52 vs. L4440)= 0.0305, P(EF54 vs. L4440)= 0.0099, P(EF65 vs. L4440)= 0.0359, P(EF70 vs. L4440)= 0.0007, P(EF102 vs. L4440)= 0.1065, P(EF107 vs. L4440)= 0.0895, P(EF117 vs. L4440)= 0.0075, P(EF136 vs. L4440)= 0.0108, P(EF138 vs. L4440)= 0.1527, P(EF146 vs. L4440)= 0.1128.

RNAi Clone	Human homolog	Notes on function
* EF-52 (ncs-3)	Neuronal Ca ²⁺ Sensor (NCS-1)	Possibly regulates IP3R by interacting w/ phosphatidyl- inositol-4OH kinase. IP3R/NCS-1 is essential to pathomechanism of <u>Bipolar Disorder</u> . Recoverin family interact with & modulates Kv channels .
* EF-54 (tag-312)	Rab45	Possibly involved in membrane trafficking
* EF-65 (<i>C16H3.1</i>)	KChIP2	Kv channel interacting protein. Belongs to Recoverin/frequenin family (like NCS-1)
* EF-70 (<i>T09B4.4</i>)	CALML4	Calmodulin like protein, only reported to be upregulated in mammalian tissue during chondrogenesis (cartilage development)
* EF-117 (cna-1)	Calcineurin A	Widely reported, important role in pathogenesis of Ca ²⁺ -dependent disorders such as hypertension, heart disease, diabetes, cerebral ischemia, and <u>Alzheimer's Disease</u> .
* EF-136 (<i>letm-1</i>)	LETM1	LETM-1 is deleted in Wolf-Hischorn Syndrome and involved in mitochondrial volume regulation. Its downregulation causes necrosis in mammalian cells.
EF-102 (<i>R10E11.6</i>)	AP1γ-binding protein 1	Possibly involved in vesicle trafficking . R10E11.6 share operon w/ vha-1 & vha-2 (vacuolar proton translocating ATPases) and may be required for lysosomal biogenesis .
EF-107 (<i>toca-2</i>)	Cdc42 interacting protein 4 (CIP4)	TOCA-2 was found to interact with Huntingtin in Y2H screen. Thereafter, mammalian homolog was also found to interact with Huntingtin and to be <u>overexpressed in HD brain</u> striatum. CIP4 knockdown impaired endocytosis . Coupling of actin cytoskeleton reorganization & membrane deformation.
EF-146 (<i>plc-1</i>)	Phospholipase C epsilon (PLC-ε)	PLC-1 acts through ITR (<i>C. elegans</i> IP3R). PLC activation involved in necrosis induced by ischemia reperfusion stress. PLC-ε links the production of second messengers & small GTPases.
EF-138 (<i>F23B12.1</i>)	none	Calcineurin-like, serine/threonine protein phosphatase

Table 10. RNAi clones identified as suppressors of A β -induced paralysis

* Statistical significance level P<0.05

Another interesting set of suppressors are EF-54 (which targets *tag-312*, a homolog of human Rab45), EF-102 (which targets ORF R10E11.6, a homolg of human AP1γ-binding protein 1, aka γ -synergin), and EF-107 (which targets toca-2, a homolog of human Cdc42-interacting protein 4), which have a common connection to membrane and vesicle trafficking, and endocytosis (Fernandez-Chacon et al., 2000; Mills et al., 2003; Shintani et al., 2007; Tsujita et al., 2006). Interestingly, TOCA-2 was found to interact with Huntingtin in a C. elegans yeast-two-hybrid screen and subsequently found overexpressed in brain tissue from Huntington's Disease (HD) patients (Holbert et al., 2003). These observations implicating vesicle trafficking and endocytosis in A β toxicity in C. elegans support the developing idea that AD could be closely associated with the entire endocytotic system, and that different stages of the system might play differential roles in the processing of the toxic A β peptide (Zhang, 2008). On the other hand, these observations are also in agreement with recent reports discussed in section 4.1.1, which implicate axonal cargo transport as an important contributor to AD (Jakob-Roetne and Jacobsen, 2009). RNAi clone EF-146, targeting *plc-1* (a homolog of human Phospholipase C epsilon, PLC- ε) could also belong to this same class of A β -toxicity suppressors, since PLC- ε links the production of second messengers and small GTPases, both of which events have particular importance to membrane trafficking and intracellular transport (Bunney et al., 2009; Bunney and Katan, 2006).

Clone EF-70, targeting ORF T09B4.4 (a homolog of human CALML4) may represent a muscle specific gene, since the human homolog has only been reported to be

upregulated in mammalian tissue during chondrogenesis (Chen et al., 2005a). Although this may not be relevant to neuronal AD, this observation might contribute to the study of human myopathies caused by β -amyloid depositions.

Suppression of A β -toxicity by clone EF-136, which targets *letm-1* (a homolog of human LETM1), came as a surprise since the human homolog is described to induce necroticlike cell death when downregulated, and a similar phenomenon was observed by Wenying Zhang, a former graduate student in our laboratory, while conducting an RNAi screen for enhancers of mec-10(d)-induced necrosis in C. elegans. LETM1 is an evolutionary conserved mitochondrial protein involved in mitochondrial volume regulation in C. elegans and mammalian cells (Hasegawa and van der Bliek, 2007; Schlickum et al., 2004). The LETM1 gene is deleted in Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome and downregulation of its expression leads to fragmentation of the mitochondrial network and activation of a caspase-independent type of cell death. LETM1 appears to work as a K^+/H^+ antiporter and, like its yeast ortholog Mdm38p, plays a role in the regulation of the expulsion of K^{\dagger} from the mitochondrial matrix (Dimmer et al., 2008; Nowikovsky et al., 2004). Although intriguing in this case (since induction of necrosis by LETM1 downregulation would rather be expected to enhance A β toxicity), the connection with K^{\dagger} homeostasis/signaling could be a recurrent theme relevant to suppression of A β toxicity (as suggested also in the case of RNAi clones EF-52 and EF-65).

Clone EF-138, targeting F23B12.1 (a gene coding for a calcineurin-like phosphatase) is of uncertain relevance at this time point since there is no human homolog and its significance level was the lowest of the group. However, this gene might modulate some phosphorylation event critical for toxicity; hence identifying such event will be advisable.

Future follow up of positive RNAi clones should address mutant availability at CGC and KO centers to analyze phenotype in real mutant backgrounds and conduct epistasis analysis (deletions alleles are available for tag-312, can-1, letm-1, R10E11.6, toca-2, and plc-1), and to test the effects of gene downregulation in other models of aggregationdependent degeneration (e.g. PolyQ strains). I also want to comment on the fact that this screen was not designed to detect genes that enhance A β toxicity when downregulated, which would represent another informative group of paralysis modulators. Such a screen for paralysis enhancers could be readily implemented simply by shortening the time during which the CL4176 worms are exposed to the nonpermissive temperature and screening for RNAi clones that increase the number of paralyzed animals. Additional experiments with Ca²⁺-activated chameleon reporters to profile Ca²⁺ concentration changes (Palmer et al., 2004; Rudolf et al., 2003) could be informative while evaluating the potential of Ca^{2+} signaling modulation during A β toxicity. Another yet very interesting approach would be the examination of postmortem brain samples from AD patients, in an effort to determine whether any of the novel genes suggested by this screen are overexpressed in humans with the disease.

- Adams, C.M., Snyder, P.M., Price, M.P. and Welsh, M.J. (1998) Protons activate brain Na+ channel 1 by inducing a conformational change that exposes a residue associated with neurodegeneration. *J Biol Chem*, **273**, 30204-30207.
- Arico, S., Petiot, A., Bauvy, C., Dubbelhuis, P.F., Meijer, A.J., Codogno, P. and Ogier-Denis, E. (2001) The tumor suppressor PTEN positively regulates macroautophagy by inhibiting the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/protein kinase B pathway. *J Biol Chem*, **276**, 35243-35246.
- Arnold, S.M. and Kaufman, R.J. (2003) The noncatalytic portion of human UDP-glucose: glycoprotein glucosyltransferase I confers UDP-glucose binding and transferase function to the catalytic domain. *J Biol Chem*, **278**, 43320-43328.
- Artal-Sanz, M., Samara, C., Syntichaki, P. and Tavernarakis, N. (2006) Lysosomal biogenesis and function is critical for necrotic cell death in Caenorhabditis elegans. J Cell Biol, 173, 231-239.
- Association, A.s. (2009) 2009 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimers Dement*, **5**, 234-270.
- Banwell, B.L. and Engel, A.G. (2000) AlphaB-crystallin immunolocalization yields new insights into inclusion body myositis. *Neurology*, 54, 1033-1041.
- Bargmann, C.I. (2001) High-throughput reverse genetics: RNAi screens in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genome Biol*, **2**, REVIEWS1005.
- Barkla, D.H. and Gibson, P.R. (1999) The fate of epithelial cells in the human large intestine. *Pathology*, **31**, 230-238.
- Berger, A.J., Hart, A.C. and Kaplan, J.M. (1998) G alphas-induced neurodegeneration in Caenorhabditis elegans. *J Neurosci*, **18**, 2871-2880.
- Bernales, S., McDonald, K.L. and Walter, P. (2006) Autophagy counterbalances endoplasmic reticulum expansion during the unfolded protein response. *PLoS Biol*, **4**, e423.
- Bernales, S., Schuck, S. and Walter, P. (2007) ER-phagy: selective autophagy of the endoplasmic reticulum. *Autophagy*, **3**, 285-287.
- Berridge, M.J. (2002) The endoplasmic reticulum: a multifunctional signaling organelle. *Cell Calcium*, **32**, 235-249.
- Bertolotti, A., Zhang, Y., Hendershot, L.M., Harding, H.P. and Ron, D. (2000) Dynamic interaction of BiP and ER stress transducers in the unfolded-protein response. *Nat Cell Biol*, **2**, 326-332.

- Bertram, L., McQueen, M.B., Mullin, K., Blacker, D. and Tanzi, R.E. (2007) Systematic meta-analyses of Alzheimer disease genetic association studies: the AlzGene database. *Nat Genet*, **39**, 17-23.
- Beutler, B., Du, X. and Xia, Y. (2007) Precis on forward genetics in mice. *Nat Immunol*, **8**, 659-664.
- Bezprozvanny, I. and Mattson, M.P. (2008) Neuronal calcium mishandling and the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. *Trends Neurosci*, **31**, 454-463.
- Bianchi, L., Gerstbrein, B., Frokjaer-Jensen, C., Royal, D.C., Mukherjee, G., Royal, M.A., Xue, J., Schafer, W.R. and Driscoll, M. (2004a) The neurotoxic MEC-4(d) DEG/ENaC sodium channel conducts calcium: implications for necrosis initiation. 7, 1337-1344.
- Bianchi, L., Gerstbrein, B., Frokjaer-Jensen, C., Royal, D.C., Mukherjee, G., Royal, M.A., Xue, J., Schafer, W.R. and Driscoll, M. (2004b) The neurotoxic MEC-4(d) DEG/ENaC sodium channel conducts calcium: implications for necrosis initiation. *Nat Neurosci*, 7, 1337-1344.
- Boya, P., Cohen, I., Zamzami, N., Vieira, H.L. and Kroemer, G. (2002) Endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced cell death requires mitochondrial membrane permeabilization. *Cell Death Differ*, **9**, 465-467.
- Boyce, M., Bryant, K.F., Jousse, C., Long, K., Harding, H.P., Scheuner, D., Kaufman, R.J., Ma, D., Coen, D.M., Ron, D. and Yuan, J. (2005) A selective inhibitor of eIF2alpha dephosphorylation protects cells from ER stress. *Science*, **307**, 935-939.
- Bredesen, D.E. (2008) Programmed cell death mechanisms in neurological disease. *Curr Mol Med*, **8**, 173-186.
- Brenner, S. (1974) The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics, 77, 71-94.
- Bu, G. (2009) Apolipoprotein E and its receptors in Alzheimer's disease: pathways, pathogenesis and therapy. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, **10**, 333-344.
- Bunney, T.D., Baxendale, R.W. and Katan, M. (2009) Regulatory links between PLC enzymes and Ras superfamily GTPases: signalling via PLCepsilon. *Adv Enzyme Regul*, **49**, 54-58.
- Bunney, T.D. and Katan, M. (2006) Phospholipase C epsilon: linking second messengers and small GTPases. *Trends Cell Biol*, **16**, 640-648.
- Cabral, C.M., Liu, Y., Moremen, K.W. and Sifers, R.N. (2002) Organizational diversity among distinct glycoprotein endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation programs. *Mol Biol Cell*, **13**, 2639-2650.

- Caldwell, G.A., Cao, S., Sexton, E.G., Gelwix, C.C., Bevel, J.P. and Caldwell, K.A. (2003) Suppression of polyglutamine-induced protein aggregation in Caenorhabditis elegans by torsin proteins
- 10.1093/hmg/ddg027. Hum. Mol. Genet., 12, 307-319.
- Caramelo, J.J., Castro, O.A., Alonso, L.G., De Prat-Gay, G. and Parodi, A.J. (2003) UDP-Glc:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase recognizes structured and solvent accessible hydrophobic patches in molten globule-like folding intermediates. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **100**, 86-91.
- Caramelo, J.J., Castro, O.A., de Prat-Gay, G. and Parodi, A.J. (2004) The endoplasmic reticulum glucosyltransferase recognizes nearly native glycoprotein folding intermediates. *J Biol Chem*, **279**, 46280-46285.
- Chalfie, M. and Wolinsky, E. (1990) The identification and suppression of inherited neurodegeneration in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature*, **345**, 410-416.
- Chang, C.L., Shipley, M., Marmot, M. and Poulter, N. (2004) Lower ambient temperature was associated with an increased risk of hospitalization for stroke and acute myocardial infarction in young women. *J Clin Epidemiol*, **57**, 749-757.
- Chautan, M., Chazal, G., Cecconi, F., Gruss, P. and Golstein, P. (1999) Interdigital cell death can occur through a necrotic and caspase-independent pathway. *Curr Biol*, 9, 967-970.
- Chen, D., Thomas, E.L. and Kapahi, P. (2009) HIF-1 modulates dietary restrictionmediated lifespan extension via IRE-1 in Caenorhabditis elegans. *PLoS Genet*, **5**, e1000486.
- Chen, L., Fink, T., Zhang, X.Y., Ebbesen, P. and Zachar, V. (2005a) Quantitative transcriptional profiling of ATDC5 mouse progenitor cells during chondrogenesis. *Differentiation*, **73**, 350-363.
- Chen, N., Harris, T.W., Antoshechkin, I., Bastiani, C., Bieri, T., Blasiar, D., Bradnam, K., Canaran, P., Chan, J., Chen, C.K., Chen, W.J., Cunningham, F., Davis, P., Kenny, E., Kishore, R., Lawson, D., Lee, R., Muller, H.M., Nakamura, C., Pai, S., Ozersky, P., Petcherski, A., Rogers, A., Sabo, A., Schwarz, E.M., Van Auken, K., Wang, Q., Durbin, R., Spieth, J., Sternberg, P.W. and Stein, L.D. (2005b) WormBase: a comprehensive data resource for Caenorhabditis biology and genomics. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 33, D383-389.
- Chen, W., Feng, Y., Chen, D. and Wandinger-Ness, A. (1998) Rab11 Is Required for Trans-Golgi Network-to-Plasma Membrane Transport and a Preferential Target for GDP Dissociation Inhibitor. *Mol. Biol. Cell*, **9**, 3241-3257.
- Chesler, M. (2005) Failure and function of intracellular pH regulation in acute hypoxicischemic injury of astrocytes. *Glia*, **50**, 398-406.

- Choi, E. and Abbott, G.W. (2007) The MiRP2-Kv3.4 potassium channel: muscling in on Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Pharmacol*, **72**, 499-501.
- Chung, S., Gumienny, T.L., Hengartner, M.O. and Driscoll, M. (2000) A common set of engulfment genes mediates removal of both apoptotic and necrotic cell corpses in C. elegans. *Nat Cell Biol*, 2, 931-937.
- Citron, M., Westaway, D., Xia, W., Carlson, G., Diehl, T., Levesque, G., Johnson-Wood, K., Lee, M., Seubert, P., Davis, A., Kholodenko, D., Motter, R., Sherrington, R., Perry, B., Yao, H., Strome, R., Lieberburg, I., Rommens, J., Kim, S., Schenk, D., Fraser, P., St George Hyslop, P. and Selkoe, D.J. (1997) Mutant presenilins of Alzheimer's disease increase production of 42-residue amyloid beta-protein in both transfected cells and transgenic mice. *Nat Med*, 3, 67-72.
- Collins, T.J. (2007) ImageJ for microscopy. *Biotechniques*, 43, 25-30.
- Coulondre, C. and Miller, J.H. (1977) Genetic studies of the lac repressor. III. Additional correlation of mutational sites with specific amino acid residues. *J Mol Biol*, **117**, 525-567.
- Crighton, D., Wilkinson, S., O'Prey, J., Syed, N., Smith, P., Harrison, P.R., Gasco, M., Garrone, O., Crook, T. and Ryan, K.M. (2006) DRAM, a p53-induced modulator of autophagy, is critical for apoptosis. *Cell*, **126**, 121-134.
- Criollo, A., Maiuri, M.C., Tasdemir, E., Vitale, I., Fiebig, A.A., Andrews, D., Molgo, J., Diaz, J., Lavandero, S., Harper, F., Pierron, G., di Stefano, D., Rizzuto, R., Szabadkai, G. and Kroemer, G. (2007) Regulation of autophagy by the inositol trisphosphate receptor. *Cell Death Differ*, 14, 1029-1039.
- Dejgaard, S., Nicolay, J., Taheri, M., Thomas, D.Y. and Bergeron, J.J. (2004) The ER glycoprotein quality control system. *Curr Issues Mol Biol*, **6**, 29-42.
- Delepine, M., Nicolino, M., Barrett, T., Golamaully, M., Lathrop, G.M. and Julier, C. (2000) EIF2AK3, encoding translation initiation factor 2-alpha kinase 3, is mutated in patients with Wolcott-Rallison syndrome. *Nat Genet*, 25, 406-409.
- Demuro, A., Mina, E., Kayed, R., Milton, S.C., Parker, I. and Glabe, C.G. (2005) Calcium dysregulation and membrane disruption as a ubiquitous neurotoxic mechanism of soluble amyloid oligomers. *J Biol Chem*, 280, 17294-17300.
- Dimmer, K.S., Navoni, F., Casarin, A., Trevisson, E., Endele, S., Winterpacht, A., Salviati, L. and Scorrano, L. (2008) LETM1, deleted in Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome is required for normal mitochondrial morphology and cellular viability. *Hum Mol Genet*, 17, 201-214.
- Dominguez-Cuevas, P., Gonzalez-Pastor, J.E., Marques, S., Ramos, J.L. and de Lorenzo, V. (2006) Transcriptional tradeoff between metabolic and stress-response

programs in Pseudomonas putida KT2440 cells exposed to toluene. *J Biol Chem*, **281**, 11981-11991.

- Dreses-Werringloer, U., Lambert, J.C., Vingtdeux, V., Zhao, H., Vais, H., Siebert, A., Jain, A., Koppel, J., Rovelet-Lecrux, A., Hannequin, D., Pasquier, F., Galimberti, D., Scarpini, E., Mann, D., Lendon, C., Campion, D., Amouyel, P., Davies, P., Foskett, J.K., Campagne, F. and Marambaud, P. (2008) A polymorphism in CALHM1 influences Ca2+ homeostasis, Abeta levels, and Alzheimer's disease risk. *Cell*, **133**, 1149-1161.
- Driscoll, M. (1995) Methods for the study of cell death in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. *Methods Cell Biol*, **46**, 323-353.
- Driscoll, M. and Chalfie, M. (1991) The mec-4 gene is a member of a family of Caenorhabditis elegans genes that can mutate to induce neuronal degeneration. *Nature*, **349**, 588-593.
- Driscoll, M. and Gerstbrein, B. (2003) Dying for a cause: invertebrate genetics takes on human neurodegeneration. *Nat Rev Genet*, **4**, 181-194.
- Emilsson, L., Saetre, P. and Jazin, E. (2006) Alzheimer's disease: mRNA expression profiles of multiple patients show alterations of genes involved with calcium signaling. *Neurobiol Dis*, **21**, 618-625.
- Etcheberrigaray, R., Ito, E., Oka, K., Tofel-Grehl, B., Gibson, G.E. and Alkon, D.L. (1993) Potassium channel dysfunction in fibroblasts identifies patients with Alzheimer disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci US A*, **90**, 8209-8213.
- Evans, T.C. (2006) Transformation and microinjection. WormBook, 1-16.
- Fay, D. (2006) Genetic mapping and manipulation: chapter 1--Introduction and basics. *WormBook*, 1-12.
- Fay, D.S., Fluet, A., Johnson, C.J. and Link, C.D. (1998) In vivo aggregation of betaamyloid peptide variants. J Neurochem, 71, 1616-1625.
- Fernandez-Chacon, R., Achiriloaie, M., Janz, R., Albanesi, J.P. and Sudhof, T.C. (2000) SCAMP1 function in endocytosis. *J Biol Chem*, **275**, 12752-12756.
- Festjens, N., Vanden Berghe, T. and Vandenabeele, P. (2006) Necrosis, a wellorchestrated form of cell demise: signalling cascades, important mediators and concomitant immune response. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, **1757**, 1371-1387.
- Fewell, S.W., Travers, K.J., Weissman, J.S. and Brodsky, J.L. (2001) The action of molecular chaperones in the early secretory pathway. *Annu Rev Genet*, 35, 149-191.

- Fonte, V., Kapulkin, V., Taft, A., Fluet, A., Friedman, D. and Link, C.D. (2002) Interaction of intracellular beta amyloid peptide with chaperone proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **99**, 9439-9444.
- Fujita, E., Kouroku, Y., Isoai, A., Kumagai, H., Misutani, A., Matsuda, C., Hayashi, Y.K. and Momoi, T. (2007) Two endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation (ERAD) systems for the novel variant of the mutant dysferlin: ubiquitin/proteasome ERAD(I) and autophagy/lysosome ERAD(II). *Hum Mol Genet*, 16, 618-629.
- Garcia-Anoveros, J., Ma, C. and Chalfie, M. (1995) Regulation of Caenorhabditis elegans degenerin proteins by a putative extracellular domain. *Curr Biol*, **5**, 441-448.
- Gatz, M., Reynolds, C.A., Fratiglioni, L., Johansson, B., Mortimer, J.A., Berg, S., Fiske, A. and Pedersen, N.L. (2006) Role of genes and environments for explaining Alzheimer disease. Arch Gen Psychiatry, 63, 168-174.
- Gems, D. and Partridge, L. (2008) Stress-response hormesis and aging: "that which does not kill us makes us stronger". *Cell Metab*, 7, 200-203.
- Gidday, J.M. (2006) Cerebral preconditioning and ischaemic tolerance. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, 7, 437-448.
- Golstein, P. and Kroemer, G. (2007) Cell death by necrosis: towards a molecular definition. *Trends Biochem Sci*, **32**, 37-43.
- Goodman, M.B., Ernstrom, G.G., Chelur, D.S., O'Hagan, R., Yao, C.A. and Chalfie, M. (2002) MEC-2 regulates C. elegans DEG/ENaC channels needed for mechanosensation. *Nature*, 415, 1039-1042.
- Grabarek, Z. (2006) Structural basis for diversity of the EF-hand calcium-binding proteins. *J Mol Biol*, **359**, 509-525.
- Green, D.R. and Kroemer, G. (2004) The pathophysiology of mitochondrial cell death. *Science*, **305**, 626-629.
- Gregersen, N., Bross, P., Vang, S. and Christensen, J.H. (2006) Protein misfolding and human disease. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet*, **7**, 103-124.
- Grishok, A. (2005) RNAi mechanisms in Caenorhabditis elegans. *FEBS Lett*, **579**, 5932-5939.
- Guerin, M. and Parodi, A.J. (2003) The UDP-glucose:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase is organized in at least two tightly bound domains from yeast to mammals. *J Biol Chem*, **278**, 20540-20546.

- Guo, W., Malin, S.A., Johns, D.C., Jeromin, A. and Nerbonne, J.M. (2002) Modulation of Kv4-encoded K(+) currents in the mammalian myocardium by neuronal calcium sensor-1. *J Biol Chem*, **277**, 26436-26443.
- Haas, A. (1998) NSF--fusion and beyond. Trends Cell Biol, 8, 471-473.
- Haas, A. and Wickner, W. (1996) Homotypic vacuole fusion requires Sec17p (yeast alpha-SNAP) and Sec18p (yeast NSF). *Embo J*, **15**, 3296-3305.
- Haass, C. and Selkoe, D.J. (2007) Soluble protein oligomers in neurodegeneration: lessons from the Alzheimer's amyloid beta-peptide. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, **8**, 101-112.
- Hall, D.H., Gu, G., Garcia-Anoveros, J., Gong, L., Chalfie, M. and Driscoll, M. (1997) Neuropathology of degenerative cell death in Caenorhabditis elegans. *J Neurosci*, 17, 1033-1045.
- Hampton, R.Y. (2002) ER-associated degradation in protein quality control and cellular regulation. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*, **14**, 476-482.
- Hara, T., Nakamura, K., Matsui, M., Yamamoto, A., Nakahara, Y., Suzuki-Migishima, R., Yokoyama, M., Mishima, K., Saito, I., Okano, H. and Mizushima, N. (2006) Suppression of basal autophagy in neural cells causes neurodegenerative disease in mice. *Nature*, 441, 885-889.
- Harbinder, S., Tavernarakis, N., Herndon, L.A., Kinnell, M., Xu, S.Q., Fire, A. and Driscoll, M. (1997) Genetically targeted cell disruption in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 94, 13128-13133.
- Harding, H.P., Zhang, Y. and Ron, D. (1999) Protein translation and folding are coupled by an endoplasmic-reticulum-resident kinase. *Nature*, **397**, 271-274.
- Hasegawa, A. and van der Bliek, A.M. (2007) Inverse correlation between expression of the Wolfs Hirschhorn candidate gene Letm1 and mitochondrial volume in C. elegans and in mammalian cells. *Hum Mol Genet*, **16**, 2061-2071.
- Hayashi, T., Saito, A., Okuno, S., Ferrand-Drake, M. and Chan, P.H. (2003) Induction of GRP78 by ischemic preconditioning reduces endoplasmic reticulum stress and prevents delayed neuronal cell death. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab, 23, 949-961.
- Haze, K., Yoshida, H., Yanagi, H., Yura, T. and Mori, K. (1999) Mammalian transcription factor ATF6 is synthesized as a transmembrane protein and activated by proteolysis in response to endoplasmic reticulum stress. *Mol Biol Cell*, **10**, 3787-3799.
- Heintz, N. and Zoghbi, H.Y. (2000) Insights from mouse models into the molecular basis of neurodegeneration. *Annu Rev Physiol*, **62**, 779-802.

- Hengartner, M.O. and Bryant, J.A. (2000) Apoptotic cell death: from worms to wombats ... but what about the weeds? *Symp Soc Exp Biol*, **52**, 1-12.
- Henriquez, M., Armisen, R., Stutzin, A. and Quest, A.F. (2008) Cell death by necrosis, a regulated way to go. *Curr Mol Med*, **8**, 187-206.
- Hirsch, C., Gauss, R. and Sommer, T. (2006) Coping with stress: cellular relaxation techniques. *Trends Cell Biol*, **16**, 657-663.
- Hodgkin, J. (2005) Introduction to genetics and genomics. WormBook, 1-3.
- Holbert, S., Dedeoglu, A., Humbert, S., Saudou, F., Ferrante, R.J. and Neri, C. (2003) Cdc42-interacting protein 4 binds to huntingtin: neuropathologic and biological evidence for a role in Huntington's disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **100**, 2712-2717.
- Hong, K. and Driscoll, M. (1994) A transmembrane domain of the putative channel subunit MEC-4 influences mechanotransduction and neurodegeneration in C. elegans. *Nature*, 367, 470-473.
- Hong, K., Mano, I. and Driscoll, M. (2000) In vivo structure-function analyses of Caenorhabditis elegans MEC-4, a candidate mechanosensory ion channel subunit. *J Neurosci*, **20**, 2575-2588.
- Hong, Y.C., Rha, J.H., Lee, J.T., Ha, E.H., Kwon, H.J. and Kim, H. (2003) Ischemic stroke associated with decrease in temperature. *Epidemiology*, **14**, 473-478.
- Horvitz, H.R. (1999) Genetic control of programmed cell death in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. *Cancer Res*, **59**, 1701s-1706s.
- Hosokawa, N., Wada, I., Hasegawa, K., Yorihuzi, T., Tremblay, L.O., Herscovics, A. and Nagata, K. (2001) A novel ER alpha-mannosidase-like protein accelerates ERassociated degradation. *EMBO Rep*, 2, 415-422.
- Hoyer-Hansen, M. and Jaattela, M. (2007) Connecting endoplasmic reticulum stress to autophagy by unfolded protein response and calcium. *Cell Death Differ*, 14, 1576-1582.
- Huang, G., Yao, J., Zeng, W., Mizuno, Y., Kamm, K.E., Stull, J.T., Harding, H.P., Ron, D. and Muallem, S. (2006) ER stress disrupts Ca2+-signaling complexes and Ca2+ regulation in secretory and muscle cells from PERK-knockout mice. *J Cell Sci*, 119, 153-161.
- Hung, C.C., Ichimura, T., Stevens, J.L. and Bonventre, J.V. (2003) Protection of renal epithelial cells against oxidative injury by endoplasmic reticulum stress preconditioning is mediated by ERK1/2 activation. J Biol Chem, 278, 29317-29326.

- Inagi, R., Kumagai, T., Nishi, H., Kawakami, T., Miyata, T., Fujita, T. and Nangaku, M. (2008) Preconditioning with endoplasmic reticulum stress ameliorates mesangioproliferative glomerulonephritis. J Am Soc Nephrol, 19, 915-922.
- Jakob-Roetne, R. and Jacobsen, H. (2009) Alzheimer's disease: from pathology to therapeutic approaches. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*, **48**, 3030-3059.
- Jin, H., Yan, Z., Nam, K.H. and Li, J. (2007) Allele-specific suppression of a defective brassinosteroid receptor reveals a physiological role of UGGT in ER quality control. *Mol Cell*, 26, 821-830.
- Judge, S.I., Smith, P.J., Stewart, P.E. and Bever, C.T., Jr. (2007) Potassium channel blockers and openers as CNS neurologic therapeutic agents. *Recent Pat CNS Drug Discov*, **2**, 200-228.
- Kaletta, T. and Hengartner, M.O. (2006) Finding function in novel targets: C. elegans as a model organism. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*, **5**, 387-398.
- Kamath, R.S., Fraser, A.G., Dong, Y., Poulin, G., Durbin, R., Gotta, M., Kanapin, A., Le Bot, N., Moreno, S., Sohrmann, M., Welchman, D.P., Zipperlen, P. and Ahringer, J. (2003) Systematic functional analysis of the Caenorhabditis elegans genome using RNAi. *Nature*, **421**, 231-237.
- Kamath, R.S., Martinez-Campos, M., Zipperlen, P., Fraser, A.G. and Ahringer, J. (2001) Effectiveness of specific RNA-mediated interference through ingested doublestranded RNA in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genome Biol*, **2**, RESEARCH0002.
- Kang, S.W., Rane, N.S., Kim, S.J., Garrison, J.L., Taunton, J. and Hegde, R.S. (2006) Substrate-specific translocational attenuation during ER stress defines a preemptive quality control pathway. *Cell*, **127**, 999-1013.
- Khachaturian, Z.S. (1984) Scientific challenges and opportunities related to Alzheimer's disease. *Clin Pharm*, **3**, 522-523.
- Kinchen, J.M., Doukoumetzidis, K., Almendinger, J., Stergiou, L., Tosello-Trampont, A., Sifri, C.D., Hengartner, M.O. and Ravichandran, K.S. (2008) A pathway for phagosome maturation during engulfment of apoptotic cells. *Nat Cell Biol*, 10, 556-566.
- Klionsky, D.J. (2007) Autophagy: from phenomenology to molecular understanding in less than a decade. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, **8**, 931-937.
- Koh, P.O., Undie, A.S., Kabbani, N., Levenson, R., Goldman-Rakic, P.S. and Lidow, M.S. (2003) Up-regulation of neuronal calcium sensor-1 (NCS-1) in the prefrontal cortex of schizophrenic and bipolar patients. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **100**, 313-317.

- Korswagen, H.C., Park, J.H., Ohshima, Y. and Plasterk, R.H. (1997) An activating mutation in a Caenorhabditis elegans Gs protein induces neural degeneration. *Genes Dev*, **11**, 1493-1503.
- Lee, W., Kim, K.R., Singaravelu, G., Park, B.J., Kim, D.H., Ahnn, J. and Yoo, Y.J. (2006) Alternative chaperone machinery may compensate for calreticulin/calnexin deficiency in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Proteomics*, 6, 1329-1339.
- Lehotsky, J., Urban, P., Pavlikova, M., Tatarkova, Z., Kaminska, B. and Kaplan, P. (2009) Molecular Mechanisms Leading to Neuroprotection/Ischemic Tolerance: Effect of Preconditioning on the Stress Reaction of Endoplasmic Reticulum. *Cell Mol Neurobiol.*
- Levine, B. and Klionsky, D.J. (2004) Development by self-digestion: molecular mechanisms and biological functions of autophagy. *Dev Cell*, **6**, 463-477.
- Levine, B. and Yuan, J. (2005) Autophagy in cell death: an innocent convict? J Clin Invest, 115, 2679-2688.
- Link, C.D. (1995) Expression of human beta-amyloid peptide in transgenic Caenorhabditis elegans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci US A*, **92**, 9368-9372.
- Link, C.D. (2006) C. elegans models of age-associated neurodegenerative diseases: lessons from transgenic worm models of Alzheimer's disease. *Exp Gerontol*, **41**, 1007-1013.
- Link, C.D., Johnson, C.J., Fonte, V., Paupard, M., Hall, D.H., Styren, S., Mathis, C.A. and Klunk, W.E. (2001) Visualization of fibrillar amyloid deposits in living, transgenic Caenorhabditis elegans animals using the sensitive amyloid dye, X-34. *Neurobiol Aging*, 22, 217-226.
- Link, C.D., Taft, A., Kapulkin, V., Duke, K., Kim, S., Fei, Q., Wood, D.E. and Sahagan, B.G. (2003) Gene expression analysis in a transgenic Caenorhabditis elegans Alzheimer's disease model. *Neurobiol Aging*, 24, 397-413.
- Liu, C.L., Siesjo, B.K. and Hu, B.R. (2004) Pathogenesis of hippocampal neuronal death after hypoxia-ischemia changes during brain development. *Neuroscience*, **127**, 113-123.
- Lu, P.D., Harding, H.P. and Ron, D. (2004a) Translation reinitiation at alternative open reading frames regulates gene expression in an integrated stress response. J Cell Biol, 167, 27-33.

- Lu, P.D., Jousse, C., Marciniak, S.J., Zhang, Y., Novoa, I., Scheuner, D., Kaufman, R.J., Ron, D. and Harding, H.P. (2004b) Cytoprotection by pre-emptive conditional phosphorylation of translation initiation factor 2. *Embo J*, 23, 169-179.
- Luke, C.J., Pak, S.C., Askew, Y.S., Naviglia, T.L., Askew, D.J., Nobar, S.M., Vetica, A.C., Long, O.S., Watkins, S.C., Stolz, D.B., Barstead, R.J., Moulder, G.L., Bromme, D. and Silverman, G.A. (2007) An intracellular serpin regulates necrosis by inhibiting the induction and sequelae of lysosomal injury. *Cell*, **130**, 1108-1119.
- Ma, Y., Brewer, J.W., Diehl, J.A. and Hendershot, L.M. (2002) Two distinct stress signaling pathways converge upon the CHOP promoter during the mammalian unfolded protein response. *J Mol Biol*, **318**, 1351-1365.
- Mahley, R.W. (1988) Apolipoprotein E: cholesterol transport protein with expanding role in cell biology. *Science*, 240, 622-630.
- Maiuri, M.C., Zalckvar, E., Kimchi, A. and Kroemer, G. (2007) Self-eating and selfkilling: crosstalk between autophagy and apoptosis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, **8**, 741-752.
- Mandavilli, A. (2006) The amyloid code. Nat Med, 12, 747-751.
- Mano, I. and Driscoll, M. (2009) Caenorhabditis elegans glutamate transporter deletion induces AMPA-receptor/adenylyl cyclase 9-dependent excitotoxicity. J Neurochem, 108, 1373-1384.
- Mattson, M.P. (2004) Pathways towards and away from Alzheimer's disease. *Nature*, **430**, 631-639.
- Mattson, M.P., Cheng, B., Davis, D., Bryant, K., Lieberburg, I. and Rydel, R.E. (1992) beta-Amyloid peptides destabilize calcium homeostasis and render human cortical neurons vulnerable to excitotoxicity. *J Neurosci*, **12**, 376-389.
- McKay, S.J., Johnsen, R., Khattra, J., Asano, J., Baillie, D.L., Chan, S., Dube, N., Fang, L., Goszczynski, B., Ha, E., Halfnight, E., Hollebakken, R., Huang, P., Hung, K., Jensen, V., Jones, S.J., Kai, H., Li, D., Mah, A., Marra, M., McGhee, J., Newbury, R., Pouzyrev, A., Riddle, D.L., Sonnhammer, E., Tian, H., Tu, D., Tyson, J.R., Vatcher, G., Warner, A., Wong, K., Zhao, Z. and Moerman, D.G. (2003) Gene expression profiling of cells, tissues, and developmental stages of the nematode C. elegans. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol*, 68, 159-169.
- Metzstein, M.M., Stanfield, G.M. and Horvitz, H.R. (1998) Genetics of programmed cell death in C. elegans: past, present and future. *Trends Genet*, **14**, 410-416.
- Michalak, M., Corbett, E.F., Mesaeli, N., Nakamura, K. and Opas, M. (1999) Calreticulin: one protein, one gene, many functions. *Biochem J*, **344 Pt 2**, 281-292.
- Mills, I.G., Praefcke, G.J., Vallis, Y., Peter, B.J., Olesen, L.E., Gallop, J.L., Butler, P.J., Evans, P.R. and McMahon, H.T. (2003) EpsinR: an AP1/clathrin interacting protein involved in vesicle trafficking. *J Cell Biol*, **160**, 213-222.
- Molinari, M., Galli, C., Piccaluga, V., Pieren, M. and Paganetti, P. (2002) Sequential assistance of molecular chaperones and transient formation of covalent complexes during protein degradation from the ER. *J Cell Biol*, **158**, 247-257.
- Naidoo, N. (2009a) The endoplasmic reticulum stress response and aging. *Rev Neurosci*, **20**, 23-37.
- Naidoo, N. (2009b) ER and aging-Protein folding and the ER stress response. *Ageing Res Rev*, **8**, 150-159.
- Nakamura, N., Morinaga, H., Kikuchi, M., Yonekura, S., Ishii, N., Yamamoto, K., Yonei, S. and Zhang, Q.M. (2008) Cloning and characterization of uracil-DNA glycosylase and the biological consequences of the loss of its function in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. *Mutagenesis*, 23, 407-413.
- Nichols, W.C., Seligsohn, U., Zivelin, A., Terry, V.H., Hertel, C.E., Wheatley, M.A., Moussalli, M.J., Hauri, H.P., Ciavarella, N., Kaufman, R.J. and Ginsburg, D. (1998) Mutations in the ER-Golgi intermediate compartment protein ERGIC-53 cause combined deficiency of coagulation factors V and VIII. *Cell*, **93**, 61-70.
- Nishitoh, H. and Ichijo, H. (2004) [Molecular mechanisms of ER stress-induced apoptosis]. *Tanpakushitsu Kakusan Koso*, **49**, 1006-1009.
- Nishitoh, H., Matsuzawa, A., Tobiume, K., Saegusa, K., Takeda, K., Inoue, K., Hori, S., Kakizuka, A. and Ichijo, H. (2002) ASK1 is essential for endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced neuronal cell death triggered by expanded polyglutamine repeats. *Genes Dev*, 16, 1345-1355.
- Norris, C.M., Kadish, I., Blalock, E.M., Chen, K.C., Thibault, V., Porter, N.M., Landfield, P.W. and Kraner, S.D. (2005) Calcineurin triggers reactive/inflammatory processes in astrocytes and is upregulated in aging and Alzheimer's models. *J Neurosci*, 25, 4649-4658.
- Nowikovsky, K., Froschauer, E.M., Zsurka, G., Samaj, J., Reipert, S., Kolisek, M., Wiesenberger, G. and Schweyen, R.J. (2004) The LETM1/YOL027 gene family encodes a factor of the mitochondrial K+ homeostasis with a potential role in the Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome. *J Biol Chem*, **279**, 30307-30315.
- Oda, Y., Okada, T., Yoshida, H., Kaufman, R.J., Nagata, K. and Mori, K. (2006) Derlin-2 and Derlin-3 are regulated by the mammalian unfolded protein response and are required for ER-associated degradation. *J Cell Biol*, **172**, 383-393.
- Ogata, M., Hino, S., Saito, A., Morikawa, K., Kondo, S., Kanemoto, S., Murakami, T., Taniguchi, M., Tanii, I., Yoshinaga, K., Shiosaka, S., Hammarback, J.A., Urano,

F. and Imaizumi, K. (2006) Autophagy is activated for cell survival after endoplasmic reticulum stress. *Mol Cell Biol*, **26**, 9220-9231.

- Orrenius, S., Zhivotovsky, B. and Nicotera, P. (2003) Regulation of cell death: the calcium-apoptosis link. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, **4**, 552-565.
- Palmer, A.E., Jin, C., Reed, J.C. and Tsien, R.Y. (2004) Bcl-2-mediated alterations in endoplasmic reticulum Ca2+ analyzed with an improved genetically encoded fluorescent sensor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **101**, 17404-17409.
- Papadopulos, F., Spinelli, M., Valente, S., Foroni, L., Orrico, C., Alviano, F. and Pasquinelli, G. (2007) Common tasks in microscopic and ultrastructural image analysis using ImageJ. *Ultrastruct Pathol*, **31**, 401-407.
- Parodi, A.J. (1999) Reglucosylation of glycoproteins and quality control of glycoprotein folding in the endoplasmic reticulum of yeast cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, 1426, 287-295.
- Parodi, A.J. (2000) Protein glucosylation and its role in protein folding. Annu Rev Biochem, 69, 69-93.
- Patel, S.P., Campbell, D.L. and Strauss, H.C. (2002) Elucidating KChIP effects on Kv4.3 inactivation and recovery kinetics with a minimal KChIP2 isoform. *J Physiol*, **545**, 5-11.
- Peyrou, M. and Cribb, A.E. (2007) Effect of endoplasmic reticulum stress preconditioning on cytotoxicity of clinically relevant nephrotoxins in renal cell lines. *Toxicol In Vitro*, 21, 878-886.
- Plasterk, R.H. (1992) Reverse genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. *Bioessays*, 14, 629-633.
- Praitis, V., Casey, E., Collar, D. and Austin, J. (2001) Creation of low-copy integrated transgenic lines in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics*, **157**, 1217-1226.
- Ren, X., Shand, S.H. and Takimoto, K. (2003) Effective association of Kv channelinteracting proteins with Kv4 channel is mediated with their unique core peptide. *J Biol Chem*, 278, 43564-43570.
- Riddle, D.L., Blumenthal, T., Meyer, B.J. and Priess, J.R. (1997) Introduction to C. elegans. In Riddle, D.L., Blumenthal, T., Meyer, B.J. and Priess, J.R. (eds.), *C. elegans II*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Ritter, C. and Helenius, A. (2000) Recognition of local glycoprotein misfolding by the ER folding sensor UDP-glucose:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase. *Nat Struct Biol*, 7, 278-280.

- Ritter, C., Quirin, K., Kowarik, M. and Helenius, A. (2005) Minor folding defects trigger local modification of glycoproteins by the ER folding sensor GT. *Embo J*, **24**, 1730-1738.
- Roach, H.I. and Clarke, N.M. (2000) Physiological cell death of chondrocytes in vivo is not confined to apoptosis. New observations on the mammalian growth plate. J Bone Joint Surg Br, 82, 601-613.
- Ron, D. and Hampton, R.Y. (2004) Membrane biogenesis and the unfolded protein response. *J Cell Biol*, **167**, 23-25.
- Ron, D. and Walter, P. (2007) Signal integration in the endoplasmic reticulum unfolded protein response. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, 8, 519-529.
- Rose, C.R. and Konnerth, A. (2001) Stores not just for storage. intracellular calcium release and synaptic plasticity. *Neuron*, **31**, 519-522.
- Rothstein, J.D. (1996) Excitotoxicity hypothesis. Neurology, 47, S19-25; discussion S26.
- Royal, D.C., Bianchi, L., Royal, M.A., Lizzio, M., Jr., Mukherjee, G., Nunez, Y.O. and Driscoll, M. (2005) Temperature-sensitive mutant of the Caenorhabditis elegans neurotoxic MEC-4(d) DEG/ENaC channel identifies a site required for trafficking or surface maintenance. *J Biol Chem*, 280, 41976-41986.
- Rudolf, R., Mongillo, M., Rizzuto, R. and Pozzan, T. (2003) Looking forward to seeing calcium. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 4, 579-586.
- Rutkowski, D.T., Arnold, S.M., Miller, C.N., Wu, J., Li, J., Gunnison, K.M., Mori, K., Sadighi Akha, A.A., Raden, D. and Kaufman, R.J. (2006) Adaptation to ER stress is mediated by differential stabilities of pro-survival and pro-apoptotic mRNAs and proteins. *PLoS Biol*, 4, e374.
- Rutkowski, D.T. and Kaufman, R.J. (2004) A trip to the ER: coping with stress. *Trends Cell Biol*, **14**, 20-28.
- Samara, C., Syntichaki, P. and Tavernarakis, N. (2008) Autophagy is required for necrotic cell death in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Cell Death Differ*, **15**, 105-112.
- Sarkar, S., Davies, J.E., Huang, Z., Tunnacliffe, A. and Rubinsztein, D.C. (2007) Trehalose, a novel mTOR-independent autophagy enhancer, accelerates the clearance of mutant huntingtin and alpha-synuclein. J Biol Chem, 282, 5641-5652.

Saunders, L.R. and Verdin, E. (2009) CELL BIOLOGY: Stress Response and Aging

10.1126/science.1170007. Science, 323, 1021-1022.

- Schlecker, C., Boehmerle, W., Jeromin, A., DeGray, B., Varshney, A., Sharma, Y., Szigeti-Buck, K. and Ehrlich, B.E. (2006) Neuronal calcium sensor-1 enhancement of InsP3 receptor activity is inhibited by therapeutic levels of lithium. J Clin Invest, 116, 1668-1674.
- Schlickum, S., Moghekar, A., Simpson, J.C., Steglich, C., O'Brien, R.J., Winterpacht, A. and Endele, S.U. (2004) LETM1, a gene deleted in Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome, encodes an evolutionarily conserved mitochondrial protein. *Genomics*, **83**, 254-261.
- Scorrano, L., Oakes, S.A., Opferman, J.T., Cheng, E.H., Sorcinelli, M.D., Pozzan, T. and Korsmeyer, S.J. (2003) BAX and BAK regulation of endoplasmic reticulum Ca2+: a control point for apoptosis. *Science*, **300**, 135-139.
- Selkoe, D. and Kopan, R. (2003) Notch and Presenilin: regulated intramembrane proteolysis links development and degeneration. *Annu Rev Neurosci*, **26**, 565-597.
- Shen, X., Ellis, R.E., Sakaki, K. and Kaufman, R.J. (2005) Genetic interactions due to constitutive and inducible gene regulation mediated by the unfolded protein response in C. elegans. *PLoS Genet*, **1**, e37.
- Shimizu, S., Kanaseki, T., Mizushima, N., Mizuta, T., Arakawa-Kobayashi, S., Thompson, C.B. and Tsujimoto, Y. (2004) Role of Bcl-2 family proteins in a nonapoptotic programmed cell death dependent on autophagy genes. *Nat Cell Biol*, 6, 1221-1228.
- Shintani, M., Tada, M., Kobayashi, T., Kajiho, H., Kontani, K. and Katada, T. (2007) Characterization of Rab45/RASEF containing EF-hand domain and a coiled-coil motif as a self-associating GTPase. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, 357, 661-667.
- Shreffler, W., Magardino, T., Shekdar, K. and Wolinsky, E. (1995) The unc-8 and sup-40 genes regulate ion channel function in Caenorhabditis elegans motorneurons. *Genetics*, **139**, 1261-1272.
- Solda, T., Galli, C., Kaufman, R.J. and Molinari, M. (2007) Substrate-specific requirements for UGT1-dependent release from calnexin. *Mol Cell*, **27**, 238-249.
- Sonnichsen, B., Koski, L.B., Walsh, A., Marschall, P., Neumann, B., Brehm, M., Alleaume, A.M., Artelt, J., Bettencourt, P., Cassin, E., Hewitson, M., Holz, C., Khan, M., Lazik, S., Martin, C., Nitzsche, B., Ruer, M., Stamford, J., Winzi, M., Heinkel, R., Roder, M., Finell, J., Hantsch, H., Jones, S.J., Jones, M., Piano, F., Gunsalus, K.C., Oegema, K., Gonczy, P., Coulson, A., Hyman, A.A. and Echeverri, C.J. (2005) Full-genome RNAi profiling of early embryogenesis in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature*, **434**, 462-469.
- Sousa, M. and Parodi, A.J. (1995) The molecular basis for the recognition of misfolded glycoproteins by the UDP-Glc:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase. *Embo J*, **14**, 4196-4203.

- Sriburi, R., Jackowski, S., Mori, K. and Brewer, J.W. (2004) XBP1: a link between the unfolded protein response, lipid biosynthesis, and biogenesis of the endoplasmic reticulum. J Cell Biol, 167, 35-41.
- Steffen, K.K., MacKay, V.L., Kerr, E.O., Tsuchiya, M., Hu, D., Fox, L.A., Dang, N., Johnston, E.D., Oakes, J.A., Tchao, B.N., Pak, D.N., Fields, S., Kennedy, B.K. and Kaeberlein, M. (2008) Yeast life span extension by depletion of 60s ribosomal subunits is mediated by Gcn4. *Cell*, **133**, 292-302.
- Stokin, G.B. and Goldstein, L.S. (2006a) Axonal transport and Alzheimer's disease. *Annu Rev Biochem*, **75**, 607-627.
- Stokin, G.B. and Goldstein, L.S. (2006b) Linking molecular motors to Alzheimer's disease. *J Physiol Paris*, **99**, 193-200.
- Stokin, G.B., Lillo, C., Falzone, T.L., Brusch, R.G., Rockenstein, E., Mount, S.L., Raman, R., Davies, P., Masliah, E., Williams, D.S. and Goldstein, L.S. (2005) Axonopathy and transport deficits early in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. *Science*, **307**, 1282-1288.
- Strittmatter, W.J., Saunders, A.M., Schmechel, D., Pericak-Vance, M., Enghild, J., Salvesen, G.S. and Roses, A.D. (1993) Apolipoprotein E: high-avidity binding to beta-amyloid and increased frequency of type 4 allele in late-onset familial Alzheimer disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci US A*, **90**, 1977-1981.
- Sulston, J.E., Schierenberg, E., White, J.G. and Thomson, J.N. (1983) The embryonic cell lineage of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. *Dev Biol*, **100**, 64-119.
- Syntichaki, P., Samara, C. and Tavernarakis, N. (2005) The vacuolar H+ -ATPase mediates intracellular acidification required for neurodegeneration in C. elegans. *Curr Biol*, **15**, 1249-1254.
- Syntichaki, P., Xu, K., Driscoll, M. and Tavernarakis, N. (2002) Specific aspartyl and calpain proteases are required for neurodegeneration in C. elegans. *Nature*, **419**, 939-944.
- Takacs-Vellai, K., Bayci, A. and Vellai, T. (2006) Autophagy in neuronal cell loss: a road to death. *Bioessays*, 28, 1126-1131.
- Tan, S., Somia, N., Maher, P. and Schubert, D. (2001) Regulation of antioxidant metabolism by translation initiation factor 2alpha. J Cell Biol, 152, 997-1006.
- Tavernarakis, N., Shreffler, W., Wang, S. and Driscoll, M. (1997) unc-8, a DEG/ENaC family member, encodes a subunit of a candidate mechanically gated channel that modulates C. elegans locomotion. *Neuron*, 18, 107-119.

- Tawe, W.N., Eschbach, M.L., Walter, R.D. and Henkle-Duhrsen, K. (1998) Identification of stress-responsive genes in Caenorhabditis elegans using RT-PCR differential display. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 26, 1621-1627.
- Taylor, S.C., Ferguson, A.D., Bergeron, J.J. and Thomas, D.Y. (2004) The ER protein folding sensor UDP-glucose glycoprotein-glucosyltransferase modifies substrates distant to local changes in glycoprotein conformation. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*, **11**, 128-134.
- Taylor, S.C., Thibault, P., Tessier, D.C., Bergeron, J.J. and Thomas, D.Y. (2003) Glycopeptide specificity of the secretory protein folding sensor UDP-glucose glycoprotein:glucosyltransferase. *EMBO Rep*, **4**, 405-411.
- Teckman, J.H. and Perlmutter, D.H. (2000) Retention of mutant alpha(1)-antitrypsin Z in endoplasmic reticulum is associated with an autophagic response. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol*, **279**, G961-974.
- Tessier, D.C., Dignard, D., Zapun, A., Radominska-Pandya, A., Parodi, A.J., Bergeron, J.J. and Thomas, D.Y. (2000) Cloning and characterization of mammalian UDPglucose glycoprotein: glucosyltransferase and the development of a specific substrate for this enzyme. *Glycobiology*, **10**, 403-412.
- Thibault, O., Gant, J.C. and Landfield, P.W. (2007) Expansion of the calcium hypothesis of brain aging and Alzheimer's disease: minding the store. *Aging Cell*, **6**, 307-317.
- Thibault, O., Porter, N.M., Chen, K.C., Blalock, E.M., Kaminker, P.G., Clodfelter, G.V., Brewer, L.D. and Landfield, P.W. (1998) Calcium dysregulation in neuronal aging and Alzheimer's disease: history and new directions. *Cell Calcium*, 24, 417-433.
- Thierry-Mieg, D. and Thierry-Mieg, J. (2006) AceView: a comprehensive cDNAsupported gene and transcripts annotation. *Genome Biol*, **7 Suppl 1**, S12 11-14.
- Thorburn, A. (2004) Death receptor-induced cell killing. *Cell Signal*, 16, 139-144.
- Thorburn, A. (2008) Apoptosis and autophagy: regulatory connections between two supposedly different processes. *Apoptosis*, **13**, 1-9.
- Thorell, W.E., Leibrock, L.G. and Agrawal, S.K. (2002) Role of RyRs and IP3 receptors after traumatic injury to spinal cord white matter. *J Neurotrauma*, **19**, 335-342.
- Timmons, L., Court, D.L. and Fire, A. (2001) Ingestion of bacterially expressed dsRNAs can produce specific and potent genetic interference in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Gene*, **263**, 103-112.
- Tirasophon, W., Welihinda, A.A. and Kaufman, R.J. (1998) A stress response pathway from the endoplasmic reticulum to the nucleus requires a novel bifunctional

protein kinase/endoribonuclease (Ire1p) in mammalian cells. Genes Dev, 12, 1812-1824.

- Totani, K., Ihara, Y., Matsuo, I., Tsujimoto, T. and Ito, Y. (2009) The Recognition Motif of the Glycoprotein-Folding Sensor Enzyme, UDP-Glc: Glycoprotein Glucosyltransferase. *Biochemistry*.
- Toth, M.L., Simon, P., Kovacs, A.L. and Vellai, T. (2007) Influence of autophagy genes on ion-channel-dependent neuronal degeneration in Caenorhabditis elegans. *J Cell Sci*, **120**, 1134-1141.
- Treinin, M. and Chalfie, M. (1995) A mutated acetylcholine receptor subunit causes neuronal degeneration in C. elegans. *Neuron*, 14, 871-877.
- Trusina, A., Papa, F.R. and Tang, C. (2008) Rationalizing translation attenuation in the network architecture of the unfolded protein response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 105, 20280-20285.
- Tsujita, K., Suetsugu, S., Sasaki, N., Furutani, M., Oikawa, T. and Takenawa, T. (2006) Coordination between the actin cytoskeleton and membrane deformation by a novel membrane tubulation domain of PCH proteins is involved in endocytosis. J Cell Biol, 172, 269-279.
- van der Linden, A.M. and Plasterk, R.H. (2004) Shotgun Cloning of Transposon Insertions in the Genome of Caenorhabditis elegans. *Comp Funct Genomics*, **5**, 225-229.
- Vanlangenakker, N., Berghe, T.V., Krysko, D.V., Festjens, N. and Vandenabeele, P. (2008) Molecular mechanisms and pathophysiology of necrotic cell death. *Curr Mol Med*, 8, 207-220.
- Wang, S.S., Denk, W. and Hausser, M. (2000) Coincidence detection in single dendritic spines mediated by calcium release. *Nat Neurosci*, 3, 1266-1273.
- Waters, M.F., Minassian, N.A., Stevanin, G., Figueroa, K.P., Bannister, J.P., Nolte, D., Mock, A.F., Evidente, V.G., Fee, D.B., Muller, U., Durr, A., Brice, A., Papazian, D.M. and Pulst, S.M. (2006) Mutations in voltage-gated potassium channel KCNC3 cause degenerative and developmental central nervous system phenotypes. *Nat Genet*, **38**, 447-451.
- Wei, C., Lamesch, P., Arumugam, M., Rosenberg, J., Hu, P., Vidal, M. and Brent, M.R. (2005) Closing in on the C. elegans ORFeome by cloning TWINSCAN predictions. *Genome Res*, 15, 577-582.
- Westerheide, S.D., Anckar, J., Stevens, S.M., Jr., Sistonen, L. and Morimoto, R.I. (2009) Stress-inducible regulation of heat shock factor 1 by the deacetylase SIRT1. *Science*, **323**, 1063-1066.

- White, J., Southgate, E., Thomson, J. and Brenner, S. (1986) The Structure of the Nervous System of the Nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences (1934-1990)*, **314**, 1-340.
- White, J.G., Southgate, E., Thomson, J.N. and Brenner, S. (1976) The structure of the ventral nerve cord of Caenorhabditis elegans. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*, 275, 327-348.
- WHO. (2009) Mental Health and Substance Abuse. Facts and Figures. Alzheimer's Disease. Available at: www.searo.who.int/en/section1174/section1199/ section1567 6740.htm. Accessed 2009.
- Williamson, J., Goldman, J. and Marder, K.S. (2009) Genetic aspects of Alzheimer disease. *Neurologist*, 15, 80-86.
- Wilm, T., Demel, P., Koop, H.U., Schnabel, H. and Schnabel, R. (1999) Ballistic transformation of Caenorhabditis elegans. *Gene*, **229**, 31-35.
- Wu, H.Y., Tomizawa, K. and Matsui, H. (2007) Calpain-calcineurin signaling in the pathogenesis of calcium-dependent disorder. *Acta Med Okayama*, 61, 123-137.
- Wu, J. and Kaufman, R.J. (2006) From acute ER stress to physiological roles of the Unfolded Protein Response. *Cell Death Differ*, 13, 374-384.
- Xiong, Z.G., Chu, X.P. and Simon, R.P. (2006) Ca2+ -permeable acid-sensing ion channels and ischemic brain injury. *J Membr Biol*, **209**, 59-68.
- Xiong, Z.G., Zhu, X.M., Chu, X.P., Minami, M., Hey, J., Wei, W.L., MacDonald, J.F., Wemmie, J.A., Price, M.P., Welsh, M.J. and Simon, R.P. (2004) Neuroprotection in ischemia: blocking calcium-permeable acid-sensing ion channels. *Cell*, **118**, 687-698.
- Xu, K., Tavernarakis, N. and Driscoll, M. (2001) Necrotic cell death in C. elegans requires the function of calreticulin and regulators of Ca(2+) release from the endoplasmic reticulum. *Neuron*, **31**, 957-971.
- Yamashima, T. (2004) Ca2+-dependent proteases in ischemic neuronal death: a conserved 'calpain-cathepsin cascade' from nematodes to primates. *Cell Calcium*, 36, 285-293.
- Yermolaieva, O., Leonard, A.S., Schnizler, M.K., Abboud, F.M. and Welsh, M.J. (2004) Extracellular acidosis increases neuronal cell calcium by activating acid-sensing ion channel 1a. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, **101**, 6752-6757.
- Yorimitsu, T. and Klionsky, D.J. (2005) Autophagy: molecular machinery for self-eating. *Cell Death Differ*, **12 Suppl 2**, 1542-1552.

- Yorimitsu, T., Nair, U., Yang, Z. and Klionsky, D.J. (2006) Endoplasmic reticulum stress triggers autophagy. J Biol Chem, 281, 30299-30304.
- Yoshida, H., Okada, T., Haze, K., Yanagi, H., Yura, T., Negishi, M. and Mori, K. (2000) ATF6 activated by proteolysis binds in the presence of NF-Y (CBF) directly to the cis-acting element responsible for the mammalian unfolded protein response. *Mol Cell Biol*, **20**, 6755-6767.
- Yu, H., Pretot, R.F., Burglin, T.R. and Sternberg, P.W. (2003) Distinct roles of transcription factors EGL-46 and DAF-19 in specifying the functionality of a polycystin-expressing sensory neuron necessary for C. elegans male vulva location behavior. *Development*, **130**, 5217-5227.
- Yuan, J. (2009) Neuroprotective strategies targeting apoptotic and necrotic cell death for stroke. *Apoptosis*, 14, 469-477.
- Yue, Z., Jin, S., Yang, C., Levine, A.J. and Heintz, N. (2003) Beclin 1, an autophagy gene essential for early embryonic development, is a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **100**, 15077-15082.
- Zhang, M. (2008) Endocytic mechanisms and drug discovery in neurodegenerative diseases. *Front Biosci*, **13**, 6086-6105.
- Zhang, S., Arnadottir, J., Keller, C., Caldwell, G.A., Yao, C.A. and Chalfie, M. (2004) MEC-2 is recruited to the putative mechanosensory complex in C. elegans touch receptor neurons through its stomatin-like domain. *Curr Biol*, 14, 1888-1896.
- Zhang, W. (2009) Molecular and genetic dissection of neuronal necrotic-like death in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *PhD Thesis*. Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, p. 236.
- Zhang, Y., MacLean, J.N., An, W.F., Lanning, C.C. and Harris-Warrick, R.M. (2003) KChIP1 and frequenin modify shal-evoked potassium currents in pyloric neurons in the lobster stomatogastric ganglion. *J Neurophysiol*, **89**, 1902-1909.
- Zhou, Z., Yamamoto, Y., Sugai, F., Yoshida, K., Kishima, Y., Sumi, H., Nakamura, H. and Sakoda, S. (2004) Hepatoma-derived growth factor is a neurotrophic factor harbored in the nucleus. *J Biol Chem*, 279, 27320-27326.
- Zhu, X.M., Liu, X.H., Cai, L.R. and Xu, F.F. (2006) [Hypoxic preconditioning induces endoplasmic reticulum stress-related cardioprotection mediated by p38 mitogenactivated protein kinase]. *Sheng Li Xue Bao*, **58**, 463-470.
- Zinszner, H., Kuroda, M., Wang, X., Batchvarova, N., Lightfoot, R.T., Remotti, H., Stevens, J.L. and Ron, D. (1998) CHOP is implicated in programmed cell death in response to impaired function of the endoplasmic reticulum. *Genes Dev*, **12**, 982-995.

- Zoghbi, H.Y. and Orr, H.T. (2000) Glutamine repeats and neurodegeneration. *Annu Rev Neurosci*, **23**, 217-247.
- Zong, W.X. and Thompson, C.B. (2006) Necrotic death as a cell fate. *Genes Dev*, **20**, 1-15.

CURRICULUM VITAE

Yury Orlando Nunez Lopez

EDUCATION

2002 – 2009: Ph.D. Cell & Developmental Biology. Joint Graduate Program in Molecular Biosciences. Rutgers University–UMDNJ, Piscataway, NJ.

1989-1994: Summa Cum Laude, Licentiate in Radiochemistry (5-year University Degree). Higher Institute of Nuclear Sciences and Technology. Havana, Cuba. Equivalency granted in USA: Bachelor in Sciences in Applied Chemistry, by the World Education Service, Inc., New York, NY, July 27, 1999.

EMPLOYMENT HISTORY & EXPERIENCE

Sept. 2002 – Sept. 2009: Graduate Fellow/ Ph.D. Candidate. Joint Program in Molecular Biosciences/ Cell and Developmental Biology Program. Rutgers University-UMDNJ, Piscataway, NJ. Dr. Monica Driscoll's Laboratory (*C. elegans* Genetics and Neurodegeneration).

Nov.2000 – Aug. 2002: Sr. Research Technician. Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC), New York, NY. Dr. Elizabeth Lacy's Laboratory (Molecular Mouse Embryology). Molecular Biology Program.

Mar.2000 – Nov. 2000: Research Associate. Yale University School of Medicine, Epidemiology and Public Health Department. New Haven, CT. Dr. Debra E. Bessen's Laboratory (Streptococcus pyogenes Molecular Microbiology).

Nov.1994 – **Mar. 1998:** Research Assistant/Associate. Institute of Tropical Medicine Pedro Kouri (IPK), Parasitology and Vector Control Department, Havana, Cuba.

PUBLICATIONS

• Royal DC, Bianchi L, Royal MA, Lizzio M, Mukherjee G, Nunez YO, and Driscoll M. Temperature-sensitive mutant of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* neurotoxic MEC-4(D) DEG/ENaC channel identifies a site required for trafficking or surface maintenance. J. Biol. Chem., December 23, 2005; 280(51): 41976 - 41986.

• Nunez YO, Fernandez MA, Torres-Nunez D, Silva JA, Maestre JL, and Fonte LA. Multiplex PCR amplification and differentiation of *Entamoeba histolytica*/*E. dispar* DNA from stool samples. **Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 2001, 64(3): 293-297.**

• Fonte LA, Fernandez MA, Sanchez L, Marin H, Montano I, and Nunez YO. Demonstration using ENZYMEBA test of the overdiagnosis of intestinal amoebiosis associated with microscopic examination of feces. Report of a study in Cienfuegos, Cuba. **Rev. Patol. Trop. 1998, 27(2): 195-200.**

• Fernandez MA, Sanchez L, Marin H, Montano I, Nunez YO, and Fonte LA. Knowledge, beliefs, and practices in relation to intestinal amoebiosis. **Rev. Cubana Med.** 1998, 37(3): 147-156.