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Negotiating Elder Care in Akuapem, Ghana:
Care-Scripts and the Role of Non-Kin

Cati Coe

Abstract. In contemporary Ghana, adult children are considered responsible for the care of aged parents. Within this idealized framework, two aspects of elder care are overlooked. First, such a narrative obscures the role of non-kin and extended kin in providing elder care in southern Ghana historically and in the present. Secondly, it hides the negotiations over obligations and commitments between those who manage elder care and those who help with an aging person’s daily activities. It is in this latter role in which non-kin and extended kin are significant in elder care, while closer kin maintain their kin roles through the more distant management, financial support, and recruitment of others. This paper examines recruitment to elder care and the role of kin and non-kin in elder care in three historical periods—the 1860s, the 1990s, and the 2000s—centered on Akuapem, in southern Ghana. In particular, I show that helping an aged person relies on previous and expected entrustments, in which more vulnerable, dependent, and indebted persons are most likely to be recruited to provide care.

* * *

In July 2014, older men and women who were members of a senior fellowship group organized by the Presbyterian Church of Ghana in the town of Obo-Nkwatia in Kwawu in southern Ghana performed a play featuring two exemplary elderly couples who raised their children to be educated and Christian. Although the children of one couple remembered them and sent remittances, the second couple was abandoned by their migrant children who accused them of witchcraft, perhaps as an excuse to stop supporting them. The play illustrated that adult
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children are deemed responsible for an aged person’s care because of the care they have received previously from their parents (Coe 2011a). The contemporary sense of crisis in old age care in Ghana generates a narrative about care, a care-script, which focuses on adult children’s failure to meet their obligations, obligations incurred from their parents’ contributions to their social and biological personhood. Aboderin (2006, 2004) has noted that the onus on adult children constitutes a shrinking of the network of responsibility in which other members of the family used to participate and is connected to changes in inheritance which increasingly privilege children over more extended kin like the deceased’s siblings and their children.

In their work on care in African-American families in the United States, Stack and Burton (1994) usefully introduce the concept of kin-work, by which they mean all the labour that is necessary to keep families alive from generation to generation, from paid employment to child and elder care and household work. They label discourses of kin-work ‘kin-scripts,’ and the ways in which certain categories of kin—on the basis of age, gender, and social position—are recruited to kin-work as kin-scription. In the kin-scripts of contemporary southern Ghana, as the play illustrates, adult children are kin-scripted to provide elder care. More particularly, successful sons and daughters support aged persons financially, and one of the daughters, ideally the eldest, lives with the elderly person and does the chores, such as laundering, bathing, house cleaning, marketing or food shopping, and cooking.¹ In essence, there are two eldercare roles: one, of managing, recruiting, and financially supporting daily care (‘managers’), and two, of providing help with daily living in the aged person’s household (‘providers’).

The contemporary kin-script which focuses on adult children’s role in elder care aligns with a view of ‘tradition’ as a golden age in which families cared for their elderly. In this narrative, ‘modernity’ creates institutions and markets that allow families to shift care-work to
the state and paid workers, and also makes families less able or willing to provide such care because of competing demands on their labour, resources and time. Furthermore, ‘Africa’ is constructed as the site of ‘tradition’—where elders are cared for communally—and contrasted with the ‘modernity’ of Western Europe and North America with their institutional aging facilities. Such a narrative obscures the contested and negotiated aspects of elder care within African and European families.

Ethnographic studies suggest that care is generated through ‘negotiated commitments’ (Finch and Mason 1993). Cooper (2002) describes how families in western Kenya ‘fix trust in uncertain times’ by meeting together, either regularly or after a crisis like a death. During these meetings, families will determine who will take care of their orphans and create a sense of family unity. Methods of getting others to live up to kin-scripts include shaming those who can provide orphan support but choose not to. Furthermore, Cattell (1992) notes how elders in western Kenya lament the lack of good care, not because they do not receive it, but to ensure that those around them live up to their commitments. Similarly, the elders’ drama which opened this paper may serve as a public reminder, a kind of scolding, rather than as a reflection of reality in which children abandon their elders, illustrating how aging persons are involved in pressuring others for care. As van der Geest (2002) has argued in Kwawu, Ghana, elder care is improvised and never fully settled. Thus, kin-scripts put pressure on particular people to provide care, but obligations and commitments are always negotiated and can change through those negotiations.

In addition to paying attention to the negotiated process of care, this paper focuses on a second aspect hidden by the kin-script emphasizing the role of adult children: other persons who are extended kin and non-kin are—and have been—recruited to elder care, both in the present and in the past. Although histories of elder care in Western Europe and the United States
Similarly highlight the work of family members, family care was often supplemented with domestic servants—often adolescents who lived temporarily with a household until they got married (Narotzky 1997); and in the United States, slaves from the trans-Atlantic trade and immigrants from Europe (Dudden 1983, Nakano Glenn 2010). In southern Ghana, such persons have included domestic slaves, househelp, and fostered children and adolescents, who may be nieces and nephews, extended kin, or non-kin. To expand Stack and Burton’s formulation, non-kin are involved in kin-work, that is, in the labour of sustaining families over time and across the generations. My data from southern Ghana shows that while kin—often male and sometimes family heads—take up the role of managing care in recruiting daily caregivers, extended kin and non-kin can become care providers in helping older adults with the tasks of daily life. Such persons are more likely to be female; their status vulnerability makes them more easily recruited into care. In the past, such vulnerabilities might include slave status or debts which family members have paid for them; in the present, they usually entail being from poorer and more rural households unable to educate their younger members.

Does providing care make non-kin into kin? The anthropological literature on kinship has suggested that rather than kin being made by reason of their birth and blood, as in Western ideology, some cultural discourses emphasize how kin are made through ongoing processes of feeding or living in the same house (Carsten 2004, Weismantel 1995). Within these kinship ideologies, providing care over time makes one kin. In practice in the West also, everyday care may distinguish between biological kin who take their obligations seriously and those who do not and allow non-kin, including nursing home staff, to be treated or referred to as kin (Gubrium and Buckholdt 1982).

In Akuapem, my data suggests that kin can live up to their commitments by managing
and recruiting care. They do not need to provide it directly to maintain their kin obligations, although sometimes daughters feel guilty for not doing so (Coe 2011b). By living together, non-kin can become fictive kin; for example, a fostered child or domestic servant may call more senior members of the household ‘Mummy’ and ‘Daddy.’ More importantly, the intimacy generated by living together may create strong emotional bonds which cause fictive kin to act like kin, or more extended kin to act like adult children; although it is important to note that living together can also result in resentment and frayed relationships. Despite kin-like terms and relationships, fostered non-kin adolescents are ‘fictive kin’ rather than ‘kin’ because the original status of the person are not forgotten. The distinctions between kin and fictive kin are likely to be indicated subtly, or are made more visible in moments of crisis, like at a funeral. Living together blurs who is kin and who is not, particularly for outsiders (Coe 2013), but does not change it. As I result, I use the term ‘fictive kin’ to denote the maintenance of a social distinction between kin and non-kin in care relationships.

Because of the emic distinctions between kin and non-kin, I modify Stack and Burton’s terms ‘kin-scripts’ and ‘kin-scriptions’, and instead propose the terms ‘care-scripts’ and ‘care-scription’ to include the ways in which non-kin or fictive kin become involved in the work of sustaining families, including providing elder care. In this paper, I argue that non-kin have and historically have been engaged in providing elder care. Kin-scripts play a role in elder care, as kin are instrumental in managing non-kin to provide daily care and they may be recruited to provide care-work themselves. However, non-kin are also involved, usually recruited from a wider circle of socially vulnerable persons.

There have been minor shifts as well as constants in care-scripts over time. In care-scripts from the 1860s, domestic slaves—who might themselves be daughters or wives—were available
to supplement or substitute for the care-work of wives and daughters. In care-scripts of the 1990s, daughters were most likely to be recruited to provide the daily labour of helping older adults. By the early twenty-first century, migrant daughters were finding substitutes among paid female caregivers and fostered adolescents, whether extended kin or non-kin. Such shifts in care-scripts were subtle, with the substitutions not necessarily noted or commented on, given the flexibility of Akan family life (Clark 1994). One constant is that care-scriptions depend on the commitments highlighted in care-scripts, and the reciprocities and obligations that have been incurred previously or are expected in the future, which Shipton (2007) terms entrustments. These entrustments shift in relation to larger economic and social changes, which create different opportunities for women through urban and international migration and new obligations to support children in school. Women—and to a lesser extent, girls and boys—have tended to provide personal care and lived with the aged. Men, on the other hand, are expected to support their sisters and parents financially, and to help persuade their sisters and daughters to take up their obligations. Who is vulnerable to care-scription and how they become so has shifted over time.

This argument rests on two related points. First, I want to emphasize that what is viewed as ‘tradition’ or ‘the traditional family’ is itself the product of ongoing social change (Nakano Glenn 1983). Elder care practices are shaped by changing expectations as people respond to new political and economic conditions, including the migration of women, increased expectations of schooling for children and adolescents, and urban-rural disparities in commercial and educational opportunities. Furthermore, elder care involves a growing set of obligations: medical care, always an important care obligation, has become more expensive, particularly with the increased use of Western medicine, and across the African continent, older people are living longer, with
more debilities from stroke and diabetes, generating more need for ongoing care (de-Graft Aikins 2007, Nyame et al 1994).

Secondly, this perspective complicates the narrative that there has been ‘a golden age’ of family elder care that is now in decline (Abel 2000, Williams 1973). Instead, this history shifts our lens towards understanding how people in every era manage—or fail to manage—the difficult work of recruiting care for themselves and others and providing care to those in need, in the circumstances in which they find themselves. They use the care-scripts in circulation around them to negotiate the conscription of themselves and others to care—or not care—for older persons in their families.

Sources

The paper examines elder care in three historical periods—the 1860s, the 1990s, and the 2000s—focusing on Akuapem. For the 1860s, I rely primarily on the records of the Basel Mission, active in southern Ghana, from the Ga, Akuapem, and Akyem-Abuakwa Presbyteries. These extensive records include reports of Basel missionaries, mainly from southern Germany, and of ministers, catechists, and Christians from the Gold Coast. During this period, the Basel Mission was deeply enmeshed in dealing with slavery and debt pawning, because converts and schoolchildren were being pawned or sold and because the Mission obtained labour and schoolchildren by paying off a person’s debts. Furthermore, beginning in 1861, African Christians were under pressure to give up their domestic slaves. The records are not particularly focused on aging, in part because most of the converts were young people, but there is some data on older Christians. Another important source is Jonathan Bekoe Palmer’s notebook noting disputes in Akropong and Akyem 1860-67. I also use the colonial court archives in Accra
focusing on cases concerning slavery and fosterage among Akuapem people from 1869 to 1900; there is very little on aging. A few court cases from Akuapem appeared in those records, which suggests that most Akuapem people resolved their disputes through means other than the colonial courts, as also indicated by Bekoe Palmer’s notebook.

The data from the 1990s comes from life-history interviews conducted in Akropong. In 2008, I completed a household survey in the town of Akropong to understand child fosterage, interviewing ninety-three foster parents. They were teachers and retired pastors, as well as many charcoal sellers and prepared food sellers, people who could pay for their child’s secondary school education and people who were barely scraping by. Most of my interviewees were Akan in ethnic origin, either from Akropong or another town in the Eastern Region, but one was Ewe, one was Ga, and one was Frafra. The data here comes from interviews with foster parents about their own childhoods and migrations. What I discovered in the course of these interviews was how foster care and elder care were intimately connected. I use this material, originally collected to document the history of foster care, for its insights into elder care in the late twentieth century.

Finally, I have been doing ethnographic research for the past three summers (2013-2015) on home nursing agencies in Accra and the activities of various NGOs and churches to support elderly people and encourage elder advocacy, research which I do not draw on directly but which informs the questions which propel this article.

**Domestic Slaves’ Involvement in Elder Care**

The Basel Mission records provide several tantalizing pieces of information which suggest that domestic slaves played an important, if perhaps supplementary, role in elder care in the past. Slaves were quite common in Akuapem. Gilbert (1995) reports, “In mid nineteenth
century Akuapem, it is estimated that probably one half of the population of Akropong were slaves and captives from the Ewe wars” (378, footnote 15). The colonial court records show that after the Ashanti invasion of Krepi in 1870, many refugees from that area fled into Akuapem or were reduced to slavery in Akuapem during the 1870s. Slaves were captured in war or as refugees but also individually through ‘panyarring.’ In Akuapem, Akyem, and Krobo, they were primarily used in long-distance trading, the transport of agricultural products such as palm oil, and cash-crop farming (Haenger 2000, Addo-Fening 1980).

Slavery and kinship existed on a continuum (Miers and Kopytoff 1977), in which slaves could achieve a quasi-kin status, including the ability to inherit, although they were not equal members of the family. Kin groups acted as corporate bodies that had rights in and responsibilities to family members, whom they could transfer to another family house or person in return for goods or money. Slaves and masters used fictive kin terms to refer to one another. For example, in 1870, Kwasi Amoako, Gyaashene of Kyebi, referred to his slaves as his sons (Addo-Fening 1980). In a court case in Awukugua, Akuapem in 1871, the ten-year-old child of a slave called his mother’s owner his mother, confusing the British officials; the interpreter helpfully explained that it was customary for slaves to call their owners ‘mother’ (Quacoe Mensah of Ayekoo Guah vs. Karley Aminah, 11 April 1871, SCT 2/4/7). Slaves and family members ate and worked together, but slaves, more than family members, were used for the most onerous and dirty work, including transporting goods to the coast (Abun-Nasr 2003, Perbi 2004). Thus, although they used fictive kin terms with one another, the distinction between ‘slave’ and ‘kin’ was maintained.

Slaves enlarged households, with the size of households a determinant of social status and power (Addo-Fening 1980). In 1861, the missionary Mader described households in
Akuapem as composed of fifty to a hundred people made up of a family head, the wives and children of the current and previous family heads, and inherited and more recently purchased slaves and their children (Haenger 2000). Although inherited slaves were not so easily sold as individually-owned slaves, they could be pawned or sold in case of family debts, protecting kin from a similar fate. In general, although always contingent on other factors, in Akuapem, slaves worked for their masters a few days a week, and could accumulate their own resources on the other days (Haenger 2000). Through such means, slaves could themselves acquire slaves.

In 1862, after heated discussions, the Basel Mission decided that any slave holder who wished to be baptized must free his slaves first, and that current members of a parish should release their slaves within two years (Haenger 2000: 22). The Basel missionaries were somewhat inconsistent in implementing this regulation, making exceptions in individual cases and allowing slaveholders to make their slaves pay off their price through wage labour, a ‘solution’ which highlighted the similarities between wage labour and slavery. Furthermore, the missionaries themselves, in freeing Christian slaves, expected them to work off their purchase price for a period of time, and as a result, others around the Mission may have considered the missionaries to be engaged in slave-holding themselves. It is from records of these kinds of dilemmas that we learn of cases in which slaves provided elder care, although male kin or family heads tended to manage it. Although such cases may over-emphasize the role of slaves in providing elder care, alongside and supplementary to the care of adult children, such cases do suggest that in the past, adult children were not the only ones involved in providing care to frail elderly. Furthermore, such narratives suggest elder care could not only be a fraught, negotiated process; the aged could be in danger of being abandoned or neglected; and the aged worked actively to prevent such neglect, not only in the present, but also in the past.
I became interested in the 1860s because of one particular record, in which the missionary David Eisenschmidt in Kyebi, Akyem in 1866 reported on an old man who had been attending Christian services for several years but had not asked to be baptized. On asked for his reasons for the delay, the old man said that he was loath to give up his two slaves (which he would be pressured to do on baptism), because he was worried about who would care for him in his old age. He himself was a house slave, or inherited slave; in other words, his mother or grand-mother was a bought slave who had had children with a family member. In a matrilineal society, her descendents would also be considered slaves. However, reported Eisenschmidt, the old man had hit on a solution to his dilemma: to marry a young woman who was a slave! That way, he could return his two slaves to his master. Unfortunately, because the old man is not named, I cannot track him in further records to see whether his strategy was successful. It is also not clear to me whether he was more vulnerable in old age because he was an inherited slave and he could not recruit the care of his children, if any, because they did not belong to him.

Other documents show that wealthier older men in the town of Akropong, Akuapem also struggled to secure care from their slaves and relatives. Elizabeth Gyabisa, a childless Christian slave, fifty years old, was taking care of an elderly and sick relapsed Christian patriarch, Abraham Kwaku Sae, after his two wives left him for younger men (Haenger 2000: 44). These two examples suggest that while wives were primarily expected to provide care to their husbands, domestic slaves could provide care in their absence, and vice versa.

One possible outcome for Christian men without young and loyal wives and who did not own slaves was manifest in the example of an Abokobi Christian elder, whom the missionaries thought about the age of seventy. In 1866, a Basel missionary noted:

Old Abraham Tete, community elder in Abokobi, a man with grey hair who has
been a Christian for years, is all alone with his old wife and is not in a position to keep a servant according to the conditions of our regulations [that is, paying the servant a wage]. Consequently, besides everything else he must carry his daily water requirements an hour’s distance. One time he went missing and someone found him en route lying exhausted next to his water pot. (Haenger 2000: 108)

That he had to do his own household chores was clearly beneath his dignity as a Christian male elder and more than he could handle physically, prompting the Basel missionaries to advocate for an exemption in his case to allow him to keep some ‘servants,’ a euphemism for slaves.  

There are cases of daughters providing care to their elderly or invalid mothers. A young woman attending the Girls’ Institute at Abokobi in the Ga Presbytery left for a period of time to attend to her sick mother (Locher 1863). Heinrich Yaw, a young Christian carpenter from Akropong, earned money by sawing wood for the Mission to buy back his sister Afua ‘so that his sick old mother would have her support’ (Haenger 2000: 43). Heinrich and Afua’s mother was a Krepi woman and slave to Kwaw Kutanku, an important elder and leader of an asafo or warrior company in Akropong; Kwaw Kutanku, a stingy man (reported the missionary Widmann [1863]), had married her only daughter, Afua. When Afua had an extramarital affair with a chief, the Krontihene, her husband had sold her to someone else in Accra. Through his wages at the Mission, Heinrich Yaw was successful in redeeming his sister and bringing her back to Akropong.

Another Christian whom the missionaries sought to protect and whose story involves elder care was Rosine Opo (or Po). Her conflict-ridden story is well documented in Basel Mission records (Haenger 2000, Sill 2010). In one incident in 1867, when she was probably in her mid-thirties, she was put in stocks by her family (Palmer 1867: 402-12, D-20.4, Basel
Mission Archives). The immediate cause was that instead of looking after her sick father, she went to live with another man, a Christian, whom she considered her new husband. In the airing of the conflict before a visiting government official, a family member told Rosine Opo, ‘We told you, stay with your father and give him water in the morning to wash, and cook something for him to eat.’ The family heir, Kwame Asiedu, stated similarly, ‘I let them put her in stocks if she doesn’t stay in Aboasa [a neighborhood of Akropong] and look after her father.’ She was not simply a daughter to her father, but also a house slave to his family, because her mother was a slave. Furthermore, the family had incurred many debts because of her conflicts with her ex-husband, to the extent that family members, including her own children, had been pawned. For all these reasons, the family felt that they could control her residence and labour, including her care-scription for her sick father. They were quite annoyed with her: the family heir threatened to kill her as a sacrifice to accompany her father when he died. When she complained about this threat, another male family member told her to be quiet because she had no brother to support her. A third accused her of potentially causing her father’s death because of the problems she had brought through her previous marriage. Her case, as well as that of Afua, Heinrich Yaw’s sister, suggest that daughters who were indebted to their families could be more easily care-scripted—although not in this case! She was kept in the stocks for eight days in July 1867 before the missionaries secured her release (Haenger 2000: 38). Missionary presence created a route for a slave daughter to refuse care-scription, and for another to be care-scripted by her brother.

Despite the proscriptions against Christians owning slaves, Christians continued to keep and buy slaves to provide care to sick and elderly relatives. In a report on slavery among Christians by the missionary Johannes Schopf in 1894, he documents that in Tsui, a hamlet near Anya, near Accra, Johannes, a Christian with leprosy, with neither wife nor children, with a lame
adult brother living nearby, bought a boy ‘whom he treated like his own child’ to ‘fetch water or firewood for him or buy food for him in the market’ (Haenger 2000: 179). A catechist within the Ga Presbytery had bought a slave girl when he was in a remote station, with an ill wife and small children. He bought the girl to help fetch water. He said, ‘I was driven to it by need,’ a need no doubt exacerbated by his work for the Mission which placed him among strangers, rather than among kin who might help. In a third case, a Christian bought a girl to help his ‘sickening, argumentative, and mentally somewhat disturbed wife’ (Haenger 2000: 179). Atobrah (2012/2013) similarly argues that Ga women used slaves, pawns, and foster children to help with household tasks. One of her informants, a 77-year-old woman in Central Accra in 2008, talks about how a slave girl assisted her blind grandmother, probably in the 1940s or 1950s (p. 92). Perbi (2004) has noted that one of her informants said that her grandmother, a royal from Akropong, was carried to school every day by a slave (p. 101) and that another informant in Dormaa, Brong-Ahafo told her that slaves did the work one became too weak to do in old age (p. 110).

The cases discussed here suggest that in the 1860s, slaves were care-scripted by men, both family heads and brothers, to provide elder care in the absence of wives and children. Slaves who were also daughters were particularly coerced, as we see in the case of Rosine Opo, but such elder care was always negotiated and might be deflected. By the late twentieth century, elder care was more regularly provided by the oldest daughter.

The Eldest Daughter as Caregiver

In the care-scripts of the late twentieth century, the eldest daughter was the ideal, normative caregiver of an elderly person. Leinaweaver (2010) talks about the same process in
Peru as filling ‘the care slot.’ By late twentieth-century Ghana, an older woman usually filled the care slot, by providing daily care and household labour both to elderly and grandchildren left behind by migrant parents in the hometown. Furthermore, she maintained a presence in the family home and represented the family at funerals in the hometown. A small garden plot provided everyday food; other expenses were met by relatives, such as the care provider’s adult children and male siblings, who ideally remitted money to support this hometown household. As the older woman herself became too frail and weak to do care-work, the care slot was passed from one woman to another. Usually, the oldest daughter was recruited by others to live with her mother or her mother’s siblings, in part because she was most likely to have grandchildren who also required her care, to be divorced, and to be ready to pull back from strenuous work. However, if other daughters or granddaughters were better positioned because of their unemployment or marital instability, then they might be asked in the first daughter’s stead. It was these women whom I was interviewing in Akropong in 2008; they were not only fostering the children of their family (their grandchildren, and the children of their nieces and nephews), the topic of my research, but also taking care of family elders or had done so in the past.

Since the 1950s and 1960s, women in southern Ghana have migrated in their late teens and early twenties from their hometowns to the urban areas to work as traders (Brydon 1979, Middleton 1979). The expectation of filling the care slot as their mother aged meant that they returned home in middle age. Among the women I interviewed, they returned to live in their hometowns between the ages of forty and sixty after a change in their or another’s life circumstances. One woman returned to Akropong after her husband’s death, which devastated her financially; another woman when the company she worked for went out of business; and a third when she retired from a job in the formal sector. The hometown functions as a social safety
net for women. One can live more cheaply in one’s hometown, because one can stay in the family house, built by a father or family head, for which one does not pay rent. Other relatives live nearby, and they may be able to share labour and resources and provide companionship. In her hometown, a woman may also have access to family land, where she can tend a small food farm that reduces her need for cash. In their stories of return migration, women’s personal circumstances and the decline of an elderly person coincided, which suggests that the reason why they, of all their siblings, came to live with the elderly person or people is because they were available to do so and in need of the safety net of the hometown. Although returning to the hometown entailed a loss of financial resources, filling the care slot helped female family members justify financial support from their brothers, the children of elderly relatives, and their own grown children whose children were staying with them.

Abena Oforiwa illustrates some of the general features of this care-script. She lived in a family house in her hometown with her older cousin, Akosua Yirenkyiwa, whom she considered her sister, along with eight grandchildren, many of whom were toddlers although the oldest was fourteen. In the late afternoon, I often encountered the older children returning from the family plot, laden with firewood and produce. Forty-eight years old in 2008, Abena had gone to work in a sugar factory in a nearby town in her youth, but returned to her hometown around the age of thirty when the sugar factory closed. Her mother had been living in the family house and died, leaving her cousin, an elderly woman, alone. Abena explained how she inherited her mother’s position:

Abena: When my mother died, it meant no one lived here. My sister [Akosua Yirenkyiwa, now living with her] was in Accra. I was here for a little time—I lived in Akropong but I didn’t live in this house. But my mother
died and no one, all the children, all our children had given birth so no one wanted to live at home. It isn’t good when there is no one in the [family] house, so I came to live here. And at that time, our one cousin lived here, and she was sick and no one lived here, so she couldn’t get up to go out, and no one was at home, so it wasn’t good at all. And I came to live here to look after her. That’s the only thing that brought me here.

Cati: Mhm.

A: Yes, and so I came to live here, and my daughter wanted to go work; she migrated so I had to look after—

C: Her children.

A: Yes, so I had to look after her children for her back home.

Akosua Yirenkyiwa, sitting nearby, commented on her sister’s narrative, ‘You who are the eldest female will come home, and the [adult] children will go work hard to look for something to make their living.’ The older woman is care-scripted, allowing others—the young and the male—to focus on economic gain and financially support the daily work of care.

Women get care-scripted in part because the economic sectors in which they work make them poorer than men, on average, and liable to experience economic ups-and-downs in their work as traders or entrepreneurs (Clark 2010, Heintz 2005). This makes them both more prone to be asked to help when there is a need for care-work and more willing to accept such a request. Women’s structural inequality makes them dependent on their brothers and grown children for support; they are more willing to assume the care slot in their family because of their need for the assistance of others. As I have discussed elsewhere (Coe 2011b), Abena Oforiwa said that her older brother had recruited her to move to the family house:
My elder brother, the one I come after in birth order, said that no one was at home and the cousin also was alone at home, so if anything happened [to her cousin, such as an accident or an illness], no one lived here. So I should come with my children so that she would be happy. This is why I came to live here. Although not mentioned, such persuasion was no doubt accompanied by the older brother’s promise to help with her household expenses.

Not all women are as content as Abena Oforiwa to care for their elderly relatives, because of the loss of personal income that it entails. A forty-three-year-old woman, Yaa Ofosua, had traveled as a young woman: she had first apprenticed as a seamstress in the commercial town of Suhum, in the Eastern Region. There, she married and worked as a trader. Later, her husband died, and she became involved with another man in a more short-lived relationship. After that relationship ended, she returned home to take care of her mother, whom she described as having difficulty moving around and unable to prepare food or fetch water for herself. Yaa Ofosua was less happy than Abena Oforiwa about returning home to care for her mother, and she complained about how difficult it was to make a living in Akropong. She made clothing alterations occasionally and received firewood and other foodstuffs from working as a labourer for other farmers. She worked on her own small plot. Her mother ran a little bar in the house and her brother sent money once in a while, but not enough to satisfy her. She scrimped and saved to pay her seven-year-old son’s school fees. Yaa Ofosua wanted another of her ten siblings to take her place in looking after her mother, so that she could return to a more commercial town or city. In the presence of her mother, she stated baldly:

I would like to tell my siblings that I am exhausted of looking after my mother; I would like to go look for work in Suhum or Tema or Kumasi [all commercial
towns or cities] and if I could find someone who could take me on [in a
seamstress shop] or if I found work, I could bring money back to my mother, and
she could look after my child or something like that. Or if I go with my child, I
could bring something to my mother. And that would mean that my siblings
would come; they are many.

Yaa Ofosua was not willing to continue filling the care slot, but instead positioned herself as a
migrant who could work and a mother who could leave behind her child in the hometown. Such
public statements stated so forcefully might well put pressure on her siblings, either to send more
money to their mother so that Yaa Ofosua did not have to suffer in such poverty, or to replace
her so that she could earn money to send home.

For some women, the return home seems more voluntary, where the timing of personal
circumstances coincides with an elderly person’s need for care, as for Abena Oforiwa; for others
like Yaa Ofosua the return home is perceived as an economic hardship. The return migrant’s
interpretation of her situation may fluctuate over time and be partially dependent on the degree
of financial support she receives from her brothers, children, and other migrant relatives.

Women are called upon to be flexible in their physical location because of their economic
dependency and because they are associated with the daily and practical tasks of providing care:
cooking, cleaning, laundering, marketing. The male eldercare role involves managing care, by
sending remittances, visiting occasionally, and organizing funerals (van der Geest 2002). The
gendered nature of providing care is illustrated by the life history of one seventy-year-old woman
in Akropong. She explained that she had taken the place of her father and his brothers when she
was a child: ‘On my father’s side, they were all men, so they didn’t have anyone, they didn’t
have a woman to stay with their mother, so they brought me to live with her.’ Essentially, a
daughter of one of the brothers substituted for a non-existent sister to fill the care slot.

What can a woman like Yaa Ofosua do to prevent her care-scription? Women who want to postpone their return to the hometown and their conscription to care-work (perhaps indefinitely) can substitute another woman or girl: a daughter who has not yet grown up, another sibling who has experienced a divorce or is struggling financially, a more distant relative, or a domestic servant. By doing so, women assume the more male role of being care managers as economic migrants who send remittances. I discuss a case of substitution below.

**The Fostered Child as Caregiver**

Those daughters who are reluctant to cut their economic migrations short and have the economic or social means to recruit someone else to fill the care slot find a substitute in a foster child or househelp. Fostering has long been common in Akuapem, particularly among older children for the purpose of their education, training, and discipline. In particular, children were sent to live with an educated professional—a nurse or teacher—who lived in an urban environment; their school fees were paid for or they helped their foster parents with their business (Coe 2013). More recently, it has become more difficult to differentiate a foster child from househelp, particularly when a child who is more extended kin or non-kin is being fostered in a household. Even in small towns, because adult daughters living in the cities have competing responsibilities, and because they have access to cash, they may delegate the work of daily elder care to more extended kin or househelp, whether an adult woman or an adolescent girl. Thus, the focus on the responsibility of adult children, as illustrated by the play, obscures the care-work of extended kin, fostered adolescents, and domestic servants.

I encountered the household of Mama Adelaide in Akropong during my fosterage survey...
in 2008. Mama Adelaide was a seventy-eight-year-old woman who could not walk easily. She was living with Esther, the fifteen-year-old great grand-daughter of Mama Adelaide’s maternal uncle. Mama Adelaide called Esther her great grand-daughter; Esther called Mama Adelaide her paternal aunt (sewaa). In exchange for her domestic care, Esther’s junior secondary school fees were paid by Mama Adelaide’s four adult children (two men and two women)—a trader, an owner of a beer hall, a nurse, and a car alarm installer in Accra. Esther had come to live with Mama Adelaide from a village near Suhum, where her father grew cocoa, and she had gone to primary school there. That Esther was in her second year of junior-secondary school at her age indicated that her education had been disrupted or she had been held back a year, an all-too common occurrence for Ghanaian students. After school each day, Esther went to the market and cooked the main meal; during my interview with Mama Adelaide one morning during the school holidays, Esther was washing clothes in the courtyard.

Mama Adelaide had herself been care-scripted into the care slot when she was middle-aged. In her fifties, she returned to Akropong to look after her mother’s sister, a former seamstress, for eight years, before the older woman died at the age of eighty-two. While living in Akropong, Mama Adelaide had farmed a small plot of land, but she had become too weak to do so. At that point, her children realized that she needed help and recruited Esther into her household.

Esther would prefer to live with her mother and father. Her mother had come to visit her three times in the ten months of her residence in Akropong. Her father’s family lived nearby in Akropong, and she occasionally visited them. She said she did not feel overwhelmed by the care of Mama Adelaide, because she could call the adult children in Accra and they would come quickly. No doubt, her criticism of the arrangement was tempered because it allowed her to
continue her schooling, in ways that living with her parents did not.

I was not able to speak to Mama Adelaide’s children about why they did not come to live with their mother or send one of their children to live with her in Akropong. However, other older women in Akropong told me that they were concerned that neither their children nor their grandchildren would come to live with them and help them. One aging woman thought her daughter would hire an adult woman to take care of her, rather than moving to stay with her when she needed help. She and others said that it is becoming more difficult to send a child or adolescent to live with an older person because of the longer period of schooling that is seen as a young person’s right. Because schools in Akropong are no longer seen as providing high-quality education, those living in urban environments with better schools view sending a child to live with a grandmother in Akropong as a sacrifice of that child’s educational future. The care-script which brought Mama Adelaide to her hometown did not have the same force for her own migrant daughters, given the changing intergenerational entrustments. Thus, adult children living in the city raise their own children and turn to more extended and poverty-stricken relatives whose children would otherwise not continue in their schooling. They offer to pay their school fees in exchange for elder care, or promise an apprenticeship after some years of service for those who have already completed junior secondary school. They may even pay wages for caregiving. The care-script has been modified, to allow daughters to hire or substitute more vulnerable young women for themselves, in which they can be good daughters by being care managers, rather than care providers.

Conclusions on Negotiating Elder Care

When I talked to Ghanaians about my research on home nursing agencies and senior care
homes, many of my male interlocutors would respond that traditionally family members would take care of their elderly relations. Their responses supported the care-script presented by the aged fellowship group’s drama in which adult children were held particularly responsible for elder care. Women, particularly elderly women, seemed more pragmatic, willing to explore new practices, perhaps because they themselves had been recruited to care for an elderly man or woman in their middle age, or because they had sympathy for an over-extended daughter. Both men’s and women’s perspectives are reflected in sociological and anthropological studies in Ghana, which describe a crisis in old age, as kin-scripts which recruited adult children—particularly eldest daughters—to care for the elderly no longer have as much persuasive power as they did in the past (Aboderin 2006, 2004; Apt 1996; Dsane 2013; van der Geest 1997). As a result, elderly people can be abandoned and neglected. Economic hardship also plays a role, with some adult children lacking the economic wherewithal to support their aged parents, similar to what Roth (2007) describes in urban Burkina Faso.

Yet it is clear that the work of caring for the elderly is not provided solely by kin. The flexibility of Ghanaian families means that non-kin can be substituted. Although care-scripts favor the elder daughter’s role in providing daily care, others—a grand-daughter, or a great grand-daughter of a maternal uncle—can be substituted if no girl was born among a group of siblings or if the daughter is living with her husband and children elsewhere. Thus, we need to expand our notion of kin-work to include those who are ‘fictive kin’ or non-kin who become care-scripted, usually by kin. This means that we should not draw a bright line around kinship and kin-care, but understand how daughters, fostered adolescents, and slaves can be subject to dependencies and entrustments which result in their care-scription. These entrustments change over time, as different obligations like schooling or debts from marital disputes gain force and
new routes to defer commitments emerge. Care-scription is sensitive to changing economic and social circumstances, including the economic migration of women, increased educational expectations, the allure of urban areas in providing economic and educational opportunities, and longer life-spans with more chronic illnesses. Care-scripts shift, and new kinds of people are care-scripted, attesting to the flexibility and dynamism of elder care arrangements in Ghana and making visible the negotiations around the labour of sustaining families over time.
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Endnotes

1 In the technical language of current care practices of the United States, personal care would constitute help with the activities of daily living (ADLs).

2 Scholarship in southern Ghana suggest that kin replaced the labour of slaves, as slavery declined. Allman and Tashjian (2000) make the argument that as marriage payments increased in value in the twentieth century in Asante, they became re-signified as a loan to the wife’s family, in which a husband had control over his wife’s and her children’s labor as if they were slaves. I have argued elsewhere that a similar process occurred in Akuapem in the first two decades of the twentieth century (Coe 2012).

3 This notebook was part of his recording of the natural and typical uses of the Twi language for J.G. Christaller’s language research. Bekoe Palmer’s father had given him to the Basel Mission
to be raised. Baptized in 1848, he was among the first Christians from the town of Akropong in Akuapem. During the 1860s, he worked for the Basel Mission as a catechist and teacher in Akropong and Akyem.

4 See especially: Cudjoe of Battow now at Accra vs. Owosoo Yaw and Cudjoe Mancantah, both of Adoo Croom [Adukrom], 25 March 1873, SCT 2/4/10; Sardowah of Akwapim vs. Ayeh of Kroboe, 23 August 1874, SCT 2/4/11; Darkey Quabblah of Crepee vs. Cofie Enchee of Akropong, 13 September 1874, SCT 2/4/11; Apesobbor of Crepee vs. Dagbei and Zeipah both of Krepee now at Akropong, 29 September 1874, SCT 2/4/11, all in the National Archives, Accra.

5 ‘Bought slaves and their descendants became inherited slaves after one generation at the latest, and they were then no longer regarded as the possessions of individuals but rather as the property of family groups’ (Haenger 2000: 50). My own reading of the records in Akuapem concurs with Haenger’s point, although his statement is less true for coastal families in Accra and Cape Coast.

6 Another Christian slave (in Akropong) also bought a slave wife with his own money, with the agreement of his master (Haenger 2000: 44).

7 I do not know whether an exemption was ultimately granted.

8 Haenger thinks that Rosine Opo was born in 1832 in Akropong, so in 1867 she would have been about 35 years old.

9 The children were born in 1856, according to Haenger (2000).

10 Opo and her children were later distributed as slaves among members of her paternal family. The missionaries managed to buy her and her youngest child at the point when a family elder was selling them to a trader from Akyem Abuakwa (Haenger 2000).

11 In Accra, the use of househelp has been quite common among elite households since the 1960s, at least (Ardayfio-Schandorf and Amissah 1996; Oppong 1974).
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