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Abstract

Despite warnings that bleak socio-economic conditions were pushing Egyptians to the brink, few could have predicted the timing and extent of what has come to be known as the “January 25th revolution.” For the two years that followed this unprecedented revolutionary moment, many Egyptians believed their nation was headed toward a political rebirth in the direction of democratization – albeit in fits and starts. But what ultimately transpired was far from a revolution, but rather an uprising. Those who risked life and limb in multiple mass protests are now in jail or dead while the military-security apparatus sits firmly at the apex of power. Rather than join other countries moving forward toward a free society, dissent in Egypt is brutally crushed harking back to Gamal Abdel-Nasser’s pervasive police state.

This article seeks to answer the question on the minds of millions across the globe who witnessed Egyptians inspire the world as they rose up against a brutal authoritarian state: What happened to Egypt’s revolution? In hindsight, it has become clear that the moment the Egyptian military took on the official reins of leadership through the Supreme Council of Armed Forces (SCAF) on February 11, 2011, a pall was cast on the people’s revolutionary aspirations. What was supposed to be a historic political opening to transition Egypt onto a more democratic political trajectory resulted in a regression to military domination where soldiers, rather than civilians, control the levers of political, economic, and security power. As a result, Egyptians have yet to have their revolution.
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INTRODUCTION

On January 25, 2011, the world watched in awe as millions of Egyptians poured into the streets demanding bread, freedom, and social justice; all of which they had been denied for three decades under Hosni Mubarak’s deeply embedded authoritarian regime. That Egyptians had persevered economic and political indignities for so long without revolting against the regime made the events of January 25 all the more striking. Once it became evident that Egypt’s despised security forces could not quash the masses, thousands of news articles, blogs, and tweets declared that Egyptians were finally revolting. After sixty years of authoritarianism led by retired generals, the Egyptian revolution was officially underway. But what ultimately transpired was far from a revolution.

For eighteen heart-wrenching days, Egyptians proved to the world that they were not docile subjects relegated to the status of an indefinitely oppressed people. Those who sacrificed life and limb were not simply seeking to end Mubarak’s three-decade long rule, but rather to create a political regime that respected their political and civil rights. The message was loud and clear: Egyptians deserved a democratic system that would provide them the freedom and justice they demanded, and toward that end they demanded the removal of the regime. But on the eighteenth day, when Hosni Mubarak declared his resignation after thirty years as President of Egypt, what was supposed to be a revolutionary rebirth was stillborn. The moment the Egyptian military took on the official reins of leadership through the Supreme Council of Armed Forces (SCAF), a pall was cast on the people’s revolutionary aspirations. While this conclusion was obvious to political scientists who had long studied Egypt, most Egyptians had not yet come

---

4. Sean F. McMahon, Egypt’s Social Forces, the State, and the Middle East Order, in EGYPT’S TAJHIR REVOLUTION 151, 157-58 (Dan Tschirgi, Walid Kazziha, & Sean F. McMahon eds., 2013).
5. See Helger Albrecht, Authoritarian Transformation or Transition from Authoritarianism?: Insights on Regime Change in Egypt, in ARAB SPRING IN EGYPT: REVOLUTION AND BEYOND 251, 266-67 (Bahgat Korany & Rabab El-Mahdi, eds. 2014) (discussing the autonomy of SCAF and their unwillingness to accept a pluralist society).
to this realization. Indeed, many still hold fast to their belief that January 25 was a revolution.\(^7\)

In the heady days after January 25, it appeared that a sudden and radical political change was imminent. The security forces had been pushed into hiding, the military had declared it would not follow orders to shoot the people, Gamal Mubarak\(^8\) and his cronies were on the run, and Hosni Mubarak’s deposal was inevitable. But as soon as Field Marshall Tantawi became the top executive of the state, supported by a council of generals, the revolutionary situation was doomed to produce a non-revolutionary outcome. At the time of the January 25 uprisings, Tantawi was the head of the Egyptian military, a close confidant of President Hosni Mubarak, and a strong supporter of preserving the status quo. That Tantawi took the reins of power after the January 25 uprisings was a problematic contradiction between the protesters’ demands and Tantawi’s ties with the old regime.\(^9\) Facilitating this outcome was the demonstrators’ failure to develop a clear agenda beyond removing Mubarak.\(^10\) Egyptians had replaced a ruler but kept the same regime under the stewardship of the same military from which its past presidents hailed.\(^11\) Indeed, what was supposed to be a historic political opening to transition Egypt onto a more democratic political trajectory resulted in a regression to an unabashed military domination where soldiers, rather than civilians, control the levers of political, economic, and security power.\(^12\)

The military had long been a bulwark of Egypt’s post-colonial authoritarian state. Until Anwar Sadat began to reshuffle elite coalitions to offset Nasserists’ questionable loyalties, the military stood at the apex of the authoritarian

---


8. Gamal Mubarak is the son of Hosni Mubarak who was being groomed to be the NDP’s candidate for president in the next elections. This caused consternation among the military elite who wanted to preserve the tradition of having a former general preside over the nation. HOLGER ALBRECHT, *RAGING AGAINST THE MACHINE: POLITICAL OPPOSITION UNDER AUTHORITARIANISM IN EGYPT 140 (2013) [hereinafter RAGING AGAINST THE MACHINE].


10. Albrecht, *supra* note 5, at 264 (noting that demonstrators failed to develop a program for political, economic, or social reforms despite having the unified aim of deposing Mubarak).


state lead by General Gamal Abdel Nasser. Mubarak continued the process as he promoted domestic security forces and the Ministry of Interior, and later Gamal Mubarak’s business elite cronies, as the most influential political actors. Indeed, by 2010, only 8% of Mubarak’s ministerial appointments came from the military’s ranks. Despite its shift away from visible forms of power under Sadat and the first half of Mubarak’s rule, the military’s influence was deeply entrenched within Egypt’s economy. For instance, the military’s industrial complex produced armaments, transport, gasoline, furniture, televisions, olive oil, and bottled water. It was also involved in export-import trade, construction, engineering, tourism, and farming. Thus, Mubarak’s aggressive economic liberalization program threatened the army’s economic complex, which reportedly comprised up to 40% of the economy. Likewise, defense expenditures decreased from 19.5% of Gross Domestic Product in 1980 to 2.2% of GDP in 2010.

Meanwhile, the Ministry of Interior received injections of funds and resources such that domestic security forces outnumbered military personal by a factor of three-to-one. This reordering of the elite coalition that placed the military second to the Ministry of Interior became a major grievance of the military elite. Thus, January 25 provided the military with a golden opportunity to regain its throne as the most powerful state institution. Some scholars have gone as far as describing January 25 as a soft coup—an assessment corroborated by the 2014 constitution provisions that shield the military from any civilian oversight and events currently unfolding on the ground.

While most Egyptians and many analysts believed the SCAF’s promises to serve as merely a caretaker government for six months until the people could democratically select their new president, what transpired exposed this false
promise. As discussed in detail in Section III, the SCAF spared no time in amending the constitution and issuing executive decrees that ensured their long-term political dominance. Such efforts culminated in General Abdel Fattah el-Sisi becoming Egypt’s president after the military successfully orchestrated the overthrow of democratically elected Mohamed Morsi. With the benefit of hindsight three years later, it is now clear that Egypt has inched closer to a new phase of authoritarianism controlled by the military, which subsumed the domestic security forces and business elite, ruling over a population that has tasted freedom, even if only for a moment.

For decades, Egyptian society suffered from multiple long-term problems; ones which the political system aggravated rather than alleviated. Egyptians responded with rising activism, which eventually burst forth in the January 25, 2011 uprising. But because the political order managed to survive that uprising with only cosmetic changes, the fundamental problems remain unaddressed thereby making a prospective revolution a plausible prediction. As such, Egypt did not undergo a revolution. Indeed, even the most fundamental components of a revolution are glaringly missing from Egypt’s experience: 1) a sudden, radical, or complete political, social, or economic change; 2) a fundamental change in political organization; and 3) the overthrow of a ruler or government. To date, no changes have occurred to the distribution of wealth and power from the privileged elite to the bulging lower classes.

And yet, Egypt certainly experienced a revolutionary moment. By going out into the streets by the millions, a cross section of Egyptians comprised of a diverse multitude of small groups and individuals created a revolutionary situation in a collective act of liberation after thirty years of censorship. However, the failure to produce a sustainable radical departure from the pre-January 25 status quo limits the revolutionary consequence to eighteen days of uprising. The question still remains as to how this revolutionary moment will make a permanent imprint in the nation’s political trajectory. Did January 25 trigger long-term political change that will eventually place sovereignty in the people, or will it be merely a temporary period of instability within a long history of authoritarianism led by Egypt’s military establishment?

24. BROWNLEE, supra note 6, at 3 (noting that January 25 arose from grassroots mobilization rather than intra-regime cleavages thereby failing to eliminate authoritarianism); Kandil, supra note 17, at 193 (discussing SCAF’s declaration to withdraw from politics after a six-month transition period).

25. See BROWNLEE, supra note 6, at 158 (discussing the constitutional declaration made by SCAF).

26. Id. at 155 (arguing that the U.S. supported the Egyptian military’s efforts to retain power as a means of preserving U.S. interests in the region).

27. See generally Albrecht, supra note 5, at 251-70 (discussing how the January 25 uprising was more so an isolated event than a revolution in and of itself).


29. See generally CHARLES TILLY, FROM MOBILIZATION TO REVOLUTION (1978).

This article is part of a larger project that addresses the role of law and the judiciary in Egypt’s stillborn revolution. As such, this article provides the political backdrop in the lead up to January 25 and the key political decisions made by the SCAF and the military-backed interim government led by President Adly Mansour, which lays the foundation for my future articles on the Egyptian judiciary and the role of law in Egypt’s post-January 25 aftermath. In doing so, I analyze the historic events of the past three years to address the first of two pressing questions: 1) did January 25, 2011 permanently redirect Egypt’s political trajectory toward a more democratic, openly contested political system and 2) what role did the law play in producing the outcome? In forthcoming articles, I address the second question. Here, I argue that, as of the fall of 2014, Egypt shows signs of increasing political contestations at the margins while simultaneously regressing to repressive state policing practices firmly under the grip of the military. There is a growing tension between Egyptian youth’s expectations of meaningful political change, regardless of their political or religious persuasions, and the state apparatus reverting to calls for stability at the expense of political rights. In the end, the military hijacked a revolutionary moment by transforming it into a mere uprising. Recognizing Egypt’s missed opportunity to have the revolutionary outcome called for by its youth paves the way for an honest discussion on whether a real revolution is imminent, if not inevitable.

I. Why Rise Up?

Four factors collectively contributed toward the January 25 uprising: 1) economic inequality and the fall of the middle class; 2) a burgeoning civil society advocating for political and economic rights; 3) a resilient labor movement actively employing street protests; and 4) the 2010 parliamentary elections tainted by unprecedented levels of fraud that fissured Mubarak’s elite coalition. The accumulation of festering economic and political grievances culminated in Egypt’s January 25 uprising. Growing mass discontent arising from extreme wealth disparities, rising unemployment, unchecked systemic corruption, a deteriorating infrastructure, and a political system left little room for citizens to determine their


32. See Aziz, supra note 31; The Perils of Judicial Independence, supra note 31.


34. Ivekovic, supra note 15, at 183-84 (noting the SCAF’s perception of the popular revolt movement as its main enemy).

35. See generally Brown, supra note 6 (discussing the status of Egypt’s political atmosphere and whether the a true revolution occurred or the recent events were a mere uprising).
political fate. Fully cognizant of the complexity of the challenges facing their society, most Egyptians knew better than to expect quick fixes. What they expected, however, was a democratic political system that guaranteed them basic human and civil rights and empowered them to create a more accountable, less corrupt government that served the people. Specifically, Egyptians wanted the freedom to speak, assemble, and participate in public affairs to apply equally to all citizens.

Political rights were not merely abstract goals. Rather, Egyptians sought them in furtherance of attaining wealth redistribution, equal opportunities for employment especially among educated youth, and wage increases after decades of stagnation. Toward those ends, a burgeoning civil society and emboldened labor movement became increasingly vocal about their discontent. Due to prohibitions against public protests, youth used social media and the Internet to mobilize around common grievances. In outright defiance of laws prohibiting labor strikes, laborers across the country refused to work until they received desperately needed pay increases that employers had reneged on. These developments at the margins of political life were insufficient to produce the historic moment on January 25. It was Mubarak’s grooming of his son Gamal to become the next president and Gamal’s capitalist cronies pushed the military-security elites further down the power hierarchy that made Egypt ripe for a traumatic political crisis. While the political elite sought to merely change leadership, citizens sought to change the entire regime.

The consequent historic opportunity for revolutionary change, however, was lost soon after the uprisings ended when the military coopted the revolutionary moment with the surreptitious support of the Muslim Brotherhood.

---


37. As Egyptians observed Eastern European countries free themselves from the clutches of Communist authoritarianism, they were left wondering why they could not attain such freedom. See Thomas Obel Hansen, The Vertical and Horizontal Expansion of Transitional Justice: Explanations and Implications for a Contested Field, in TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE THEORIES 105, 110-112 (Susanne Buckley-Zistel, Teresa Koloma Beck, Christian Braun, & Frederike Mieth eds., 2014); see Raging Against the Machine, supra note 8, at xix-xxi.

38. See Maswood & Natarajan, supra note 30, at 225 (discussing the rights associated with a democratic government); see Hansen, supra note 37, at 111-12.

39. See TAREK MASOUD, COUNTING ISLAM: RELIGION, CLASS AND ELECTIONS IN EGYPT 127 (2014) (discussing citizens call for wealth redistribution); see Sullivan, supra note 33, at 69-72 (discussing the well-educated demands of revolutionary youth).


41. See, e.g., Joel Beinin, The Working Class and the Popular Movement in Egypt, in THE JOURNEY TO Tahrir: Revolution, Protest, and Social Change in Egypt, supra note 3, at 92, 127-29 (discussing the use of Facebook to rally labor strikes in Mahalla for bonuses reneged on by the government).

42. Id.


44. See, e.g., Ivankovic, supra note 15, at 182-83; Sherine El Ghatit, Revolution without Islamists?, in EGYPT’S TAHRIR REVOLUTION, supra note 4, at 109, 117-20.
A. Economic Inequality and the Fall of the Middle Class

The rising poverty decimating the middle class was arguably the most significant impetus for the 2011 populist uprising. Wages of most Egyptian workers were insufficient to pay for food, clothing, shelter, and education. As the government ratcheted up economic liberalization and privatization, subsidy reduction resulted in a 30% increase in gasoline prices in the summer of 2006. Skyrocketing food prices on the international market increased the cost of food while salaries lagged behind. From 2005 to 2008, the cost of staples such as milk, cheese, eggs, and beans increased by 100 to 150%. Vegetables and cooking-gas cylinders also cost more, disproportionately hurting the lower middle class and poor. In mid-2010, the price of rice went up by 50%, meat prices rose by 40% and poultry by 25%. The increases could not be absorbed by state subsidies, leaving more Egyptians facing food insecurity. Meanwhile, the gap between income and the cost of supporting a family increased among both blue and white collar employees. In 2010, most working class families with two wage earners lived below the poverty line, when the average monthly wage of a typical textile worker was between $45 and $107 per month.

At the time of the uprising, most Egyptians’ real wages had contracted so much that approximately 20% of Egyptians fell below the poverty line while another 25% hovered just above it. All the while, a small group of crony capitalist elites turned politicians used the National Democratic Party to change laws to transfer state funds to their personal businesses.

45. Mohsen Al Attar, Counter-Revolution by Ideology? Law and Development’s Vision(s) for Post-Revolutionary Egypt, 33 THIRD WORLD Q. 1611, 1619 (2012).
46. BROWNLEE, supra note 6, at 127 (“[G]asoline prices rose 30 percent in the summer of 2006.”).
47. Albrecht, supra note 5, at 254; Beinin, supra note 41, at 104-05 (discussing how low wages for Egyptian workers were inadequate to pay for food); BROWNLEE, supra note 6, at 127 (discussing “rising food prices and stagnant wages.”).
48. BROWNLEE, supra note 6, at 127 (“[T]he cost of basic foodstuffs, including milk, cheese, eggs, and beans, shot up by 100 to 150 percent.”).
49. Id.
50. Beinin, supra note 41, at 105 (summarizing a World Bank report finding that “nearly 44% of Egyptians are ‘extremely poor’ (unable to meet minimum food needs), ‘poor’ (unable to meet basic food needs), or ‘near-poor’ (able to meet basic food needs, but not much more).”)
51. Joel Beinin, Egyptian Workers Demand a Living Wage, FOREIGN POL’Y (May 12, 2010), http://mideastafrica.foreignpolicy.com/posts/2010/05/12/egyptian_workers_demand_a_living_wage [hereinafter Egyptian Workers Demand].
52. Id.
53. Ahmed Farouk Ghoneim, Egypt and Subsidies: A Country Living Beyond Its Means, MID. EAST INST. (May 5, 2014), http://www.mei.edu/content/egypt-and-subsidies-country-living-beyond-its-means; Al Attar, supra note 45, at 1617; Albrecht, supra note 5, at 253-54 (“20 percent of the population were considered to fall below the poverty line and another 20 percent struggled on the verge of that line.”).
1990s when Egypt could no longer evade international pressures to liberalize its market, a small group of state-subsidized, super-rich businessmen subverted purported economic liberalization and became further enriched by the gradual sell-off of state companies. They lived in exclusive, luxury gated communities in the outskirts of Cairo and vacationed in expensive beach homes on the Mediterranean and Red Sea. As the rich parasitically siphoned off the state’s treasury, most Egyptians could not find enough to eat or obtain adequate housing.

Massive labor migrations starting in the 1970s turned Cairo into a teeming metropolitan city with over 17 million inhabitants. Poor Egyptians scrabbled together the little resources they had to build shanty homes without the necessary permits or meeting zoning and safety standards, causing the expansion of shantytowns to cope with Cairene overcrowding. To light their homes and access water, they tapped into the state’s electrical grid and pipes without government approval. And yet, over 10.6 million more apartments than households lay vacant in 2006 because most Egyptians could not afford to purchase the millions of vacant apartments. The rise in poverty was attributable largely to rising unemployment even though school enrollment reached its highest levels wherein 94% of children were enrolled in primary school, 88% were enrolled at the secondary level, and 35% were enrolled in high school. Although literacy rose significantly from 40% in 1990 to 64% in 2010, the quality of public education had plummeted. Minimal funds were invested into the public education system resulting in overcrowded schools, overworked and underpaid teachers, and a low quality public education system. These youth, who were formally educated but unprepared to compete in

---

55. See Farah, supra note 36, 54 (“Twenty-nine public enterprise companies were sold . . .”).
56. Sowers, supra note 3, at 11; see also Albrecht, supra note 5, at 259.
58. Regina Kipper, Cairo: A Broader View, in CAIRO’S INFORMAL AREAS BETWEEN URBAN CHALLENGES AND HIDDEN POTENTIALS 13, 18 (Regina Kipper & Marion Fischer eds., 2009).
59. Jessica Winegar, Taking Out the Trash: Youth Clean Up Egypt after Mubarak, in THE JOURNEY TO Tahrir: REVOLUTION, PROTEST, AND SOCIAL CHANGE IN EGYPT, supra note 3, at 64, 65 (discussing a population surge in Cairo to approximately 17 million).
61. Winegar, supra note 59, at 64-65 (noting that the government did not provide clean water, affordable housing, or trash collection to the increasing rural poor migrating to urban areas); Patel, supra note 60 (“M[jillions of Egyptians lived in wretched shantytowns without basic human necessities.”).
62. Denis, supra note 57, at 239.
65. Louisa Loveluck, Education in Egypt: Key Challenges, CHATHAM HOUSE 4 (Mar. 2012) available at
the job market, expected to find jobs and live a better quality of life than their parents. Their unfulfilled aspirations drove them to join the throngs of protesters in 2011.

By 2010, more than 850,000 people entered the labor force every year. Approximately seventy-five percent of new entrants had to wait an average of five years to find their first job, with college educated youth experiencing the highest rates of unemployment. Indeed, 95% of the jobless youth were college educated in 2006, up from 87% in 1998. Although the Egyptian economy experienced greater than a fourfold growth, the wealth was not trickling down to middle class and poor Egyptians. Indeed, privatization of state owned enterprises contributed to high youth unemployment rates, as the government historically had been a major employer of new college graduates. From 1985 to 2004, employment in the public sector was halved from 1.08 million to less than 500,000. The formal private sector was unable to absorb the same number of new labor entrants. Instead, over 75% of new labor force entrants worked in the informal sector in 2004 compared to 30% in 1985. By 2006, the informal sector constituted 61% of actual employment and produced one third to one half of officially measured GDP.

Nevertheless, proponents of economic liberalization touted Egypt’s official decrease in unemployment from 11.7% in 1998 to 8.3% in 2006. Economists, however, pointed out that this reduction came at the cost of quality employment. Law No. 53 of 1984 was amended in 2003 to allow employers to hire workers on short-term contracts without medical or social insurance benefits. The 2003 amendments to the labor law stripped employees of labor rights by failing to protect the length of contract, the salary level, the hours at work, over-time compensation, vacation, or lunch breaks. Moreover, employers were not required


66. Shehata, supra note 63, at 105. 107 (noting that Egypt and the Middle East suffered from the highest levels of youth unemployment in the world).
67. Id. at 107.
68. Id.
69. Id.
70. Ivecovic, supra note 15, at 176-77.
71. Loveluck, supra note 65, at 3.
73. Id.
75. Id.
76. Denis, supra note 57, at 238.
78. Id.
to provide health and injury insurance. To evade the law’s minimal employee protections, employers forced new hires to sign a form that allowed the employer to fire them without warning, cause, or severance pay. All the while the monthly minimum wage had not been raised since 1984, which was the equivalent of $25. Were it not for remittances sent from the millions of Egyptians working abroad, millions more Egyptians would have been living in poverty.

The high youth unemployment and job insecurity forced youth to delay getting married and setting up their own households. As their hopes for financial and social independence were dashed, educated youth unable to immigrate abroad had a personal stake in opposing the authoritarian state. With more than half of Egypt’s population under the age of thirty, and a third between the ages of 15 and 24, the pressure for economic and political reform had reached explosive levels. Youth-led activist organizations such as the April 6th movement, Kefaya, and Youth for Change were action-oriented rather than based on well-defined ideologies. They sought what their peers in other countries had attained — human rights, economic rights, democracy, and social justice. While they adopted a more consensual approach to unite Egyptians, as compared to the more established political parties, the movements they created were less conciliatory with the regime. Youth rejected the government’s delay tactics and rejected the Mubarak regime’s top-down incremental reform approach. Instead, they demanded comprehensive political change originating at the community level.

Further adding to Egyptians’ daily tribulations was the police’s constant harassment of people on the street, particularly the poor. They demanded bribes from shopkeepers and minivan drivers, free food from street vendors and restaurants, and seized anyone unable to pay the bribes. Police targets were beaten and tortured to coerce false confessions or pressured to become informers. By the end of 2010, the police became the most loathed public institution across the country. The public violence and burning of police stations during the uprising was a testament to just how far police brutality had pushed Egyptians.

As their frustrations grew, more youth joined organized associational life by creating new advocacy organizations that were more aggressive than their...
predecessors in openly challenging the abusive practices of the Mubarak regime, as well as using the international media to pressure reforms within Egypt.91

B. A Burgeoning Civil Society

Compared to other Arab countries, Egyptian civil society has a long track record as a stakeholder in the Egyptian political and economic landscape. Most Egyptian civil society organizations are professional associations, charities, universities, and community betterment groups.92 Among the network of social service providers, many are affiliated with the heavily scrutinized Muslim Brotherhood ("MB").93 Since 1954, when Nasser accused the Muslim Brotherhood of attempting to assassinate him, the group has been criminalized and its political activities pushed underground.94 Under Sadat, who strategically employed Islamist rhetoric to build political legitimacy among a pious population, the MB was held at arm's length out of distrust for its political ambitions.95 Nonetheless, the extensive social services the MB provided through free health clinics, orphanages, food distribution, and educational activities for youth earned them broad support among rural Egyptians and the urban poor.96 Indeed, it was these support networks that gave the MB a competitive advantage in the 2012 elections that produced an MB-dominated parliament and ultimately voted in Mohamed Morsi as the first democratically elected president.97 Despite the MB’s growing popularity arising from its social services, the state had little choice but to allow their social service organizations to operate to offset its own failure to feed, clothe, shelter, and educate Egypt’s burgeoning poor.98 Meanwhile, only a handful of organizations historically focused on human rights, political rights, or economic rights—all of which the regime deemed off limits to civil society.

Starting in the 1990s, non-governmental advocacy groups were established, focusing on human rights, women’s rights, and democratization. Although their reach was limited to the Egyptian intelligentsia and international NGOs, advocacy groups such as the Hisham Mubarak Legal Center, the Egyptian Organization for Human Rights, the Arab Network for Human Rights Initiative, the Cairo Institute for Human Rights Studies, and Al Nadeem set the foundation for the influx of civil

92. Carapico, supra note 28, at 204.
93. MASOUD, supra note 39, at 219.
94. Id.; AUTHORITARIANISM, supra note 13, at 55.
95. Rabb, supra note 11, at 90 (detailing the Islamist rhetoric used by Nasser); RAGING AGAINST THE MACHINE, supra note 8, at 98 (discussing the political distrust of the Muslim Brotherhood).
97. MASOUD, supra note 39, at 157, 213.
98. Id. at 4 (“[S]ocial services and other goods that the state should, but does not, provide.”).
society groups founded in the 2000s.\footnote{See, e.g., HISHAM MUBARAK LEGAL CENTER, http://hmlc-egy.org (last visited June 3, 2013); THE EGYPTIAN ORGANIZATION FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, http://en.eohr.org (last visited June 3, 2013); ARABIC NETWORK FOR HUMAN RIGHTS INITIATIVE, http://www.anhri.net/en (last visited June 3, 2013); AL NADEEM, http://www.alnadeem.com (last visited June 3, 2013).} Organizations such as the Egyptian Initiative for Personal Rights, the Association for Freedom of Thought and Expression, the New Woman’s Foundation, and others took on more politically sensitive issues such as rural and urban poverty, deteriorating environmental conditions, harassment of women, restrictions on the press, policy coercion, and fraudulent elections.\footnote{Bahgat Korany, Egypt and Beyond: the Arab Spring, the New Pan-Arabism, and the Challenges of Transition, in ARAB SPRING IN EGYPT: REVOLUTION AND BEYOND, supra note 5, at 271, 286; Asef Bayat, The “Arab Street”, in THE JOURNEY TO TAHRR: REVOLUTION, PROTEST, AND SOCIAL CHANGE IN EGYPT, supra note 3, at 73, 78-79; see 15 Independent Right Groups Condemn the Expansion in the Jurisdiction of Military Courts, CABO INST. FOR HUMAN RIGHTS STUDIES (Oct. 30, 2014), http://www.cihrs.org/?p=9639&lang=en (discussing the NGOs and advocacy groups active in Egypt).} By 2007, over 24,000 civil society organizations operated in Egypt, dozens of which advocated openly for political and economic rights.\footnote{U.N. Econ. & Soc. Comm. for Western Asia, Soc. Dev. Div., Soc. Policy Section, Policy Brief: Looking the Other Way: Street Children in Egypt (Mar. 2009), at 14, available at http://www.escwa.un.org/divisions/div_editor/Download.asp?table_name=divisions_other&field_name =ID&FileID=1260.} Despite the regime’s efforts to employ draconian regulatory laws to rein in civil society,\footnote{Langohr, supra note 40, at 193 (detailing Egyptian Law 32 which put severe restrictions on NGOs); Egypt: Government Moves to Restrict Rights and Democracy Groups, HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH (Sep. 26, 2011), http://www.hrw.org/news/2011/09/26/egypt-government-moves-restrict-rights-and-democracy-groups.} the new youth-based groups proved difficult to control. They communicated and mobilized using social media that security forces could not suppress as easily as street protests or in-person meetings.\footnote{See, e.g., supra note 9, at 7 (discussing 1.7 million Egyptians participated in more than nineteen hundred strikes”); Sowers, supra note 3, at 7 (discussing 1.7 million Egyptian laborers protesting).} Youth activists engaged in boycotts, protest art, and cyber-activism to elude security forces’ attempts to silence them.\footnote{Id. at 83; Abou-El-Fadl, supra note 43, at 322-23.} For example, the April 6 Movement mobilized over 70,000 tech savvy youth using Facebook. They demanded free speech rights, economic welfare, and an end to massive corruption.\footnote{Bayat, supra note 100, at 81.} Their efforts culminated in a wildly successful general strike on April 6, 2008 that counted more than 1.7 million demonstrators in support of striking textile workers.\footnote{Bayat, supra note 100, at 83; STACHER, supra note 9, at 7 (“more than 1.7 million Egyptians participated in more than nineteen hundred strikes”).} The Internet also allowed the more established advocacy NGOs to broaden their reach by disseminating their findings widely rather than in a limited number of physical reports.\footnote{Lesch, supra note 77, at 32.}

As a result, the decade leading up to the January 25 uprising saw myriad forms of mobilization. From the protest movements against the wars on Iraq in 2003 and Gaza in 2008, to the labor protests spreading throughout the country,
Egyptians were increasingly turning to the streets to express their grievances. But had it not been for the rise of the labor movement, it likely would have taken much longer than 18 days to depose Mubarak.

C. The Labor Movement Rocks Egypt

Since the 1950s, all forms of labor strikes in Egypt have been illegal without approval by the state-sponsored Egyptian Trade Union Federation (ETUF) and its national or local affiliates. As a result, labor was severely restricted in its ability to challenge abusive employer practices. Nonetheless, in the mid-1980s and early 1990s, Egypt experienced strike waves primarily confined to state-owned industries. As state-owned enterprises were sold to private investors, managers cut labor costs to make the companies more attractive to investors. Mass layoffs forced early retirements, and pension reductions were among some of the measures taken against workers already struggling to make ends meet. As more public companies became privatized, labor actions increased, reaching an average of 100 per year from 1998 to 2003. The sale of state entities became more rapid when Mubarak appointed Ahmed Nazif as Prime Minister in 2004. Nazif led the charge to accelerate liberalization through privatization, floating exchange rates, and reducing income taxes and customs, all the while freezing government wages and salaries. Privatization occurred through various means, resulting in the sale of 379 state-owned enterprises of which 313 were total sales and 63 were sold as joint ventures. Compared to $1.92 billion from privatization sales from 1997-2003, the government earned $9.3 billion from privatization from 2004 to 2010. Instead of improving the welfare of Egyptians, the privatization efforts enriched a small circle of cronies associated with Gamal Mubarak and Ahmed Nazif. At the same time, the new private owners fired or forced into retirement scores of employees, producing an official overall unemployment rate of 9% in 2010 and 23% unemployment for Egyptians under 25 years of age. The labor cuts doubled the number of strikes from 2004 to 2006 to approximately 200 per year.
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Growing discontent culminated in 2006 when 20,000 textile workers in Mahalla struck for three days after the Nazif government breached its promise to give a two-month bonus. For workers whose regular wages had not been raised since 1984 despite skyrocketing inflation, the additional funds were essential to their survival. At the same time, the Mubarak regime boasted that Egypt’s Gross Domestic Product had reached 7% for three consecutive years from 2006 to 2008. Egyptian labor bore the brunt of an investment climate welcoming to crony investors who wanted minimal restrictions on how they could treat their workers as a means of cutting costs.

As private owners further exploited workers with short-term contracts, inadequate pay, and minimal benefits, the number of strikes increased. Indeed, after 2006, Egypt experienced “the longest and strongest wave of worker protest since the end of World War II.” Labor conditions were so bad that historically complacent government employees joined confrontational blue-collar workers in protests. Over 2,100 strikes and other labor actions took place from 2006 to 2009. In total, over three million workers launched between 3,500-4,000 collective actions in the twelve years preceding January 25. Despite draconian laws and government-controlled unions, Egyptian labor became more effective at pressuring the government to grant it concessions, albeit through reactive gestures rather than sustainable solutions. This only emboldened labor to further mobilize.

Although the labor movement boasted a much larger constituency than political groups engaged in protests, they focused exclusively on improving labor conditions and wresting the labor unions from government control. Labor leaders did not push for a national political agenda. Nor did they connect their labor grievances with broader political corruption and the regime’s abuse of power. Nevertheless, over a decade of labor strikes, sit-ins, and demonstrations by millions of workers set the stage for the January 25th uprising that toppled a thirty-year dictator. Indeed, it was when labor joined the uprising in earnest on February 8, 2011 that Mubarak’s political death knell rang. As over one million
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workers went on strike throughout the country, the economy came to a near standstill. At this point, Hosni Mubarak and his son’s cronies had become a political liability to the ruling oligarchy, causing the military to abandon Mubarak to pave the way for another military man to rule, Mohamed Hussein Tantawi followed by current president Abdel Fattah el-Sisi. Although a dictator had been removed, the dictatorship was not gone. Instead, what occurred was a shuffle in the elite coalitions that pushed aside Gamal Mubarak and his National Democratic Party (“NDP”) allies while reinstating the military and its allies as the new rulers. The revolutionary youth’s outsider status, and consequent lack of political experience, doomed them to the margins of politics. The MB’s experience in Egyptian politics made it privy the brutality of the state. Muslim Brotherhood leadership, thus, understood that they could not be elected to power without making a deal with the military shortly after Mubarak’s deposition. While publicly supporting the revolutionary youth’s demands and anti-regime stance, the MB colluded with the SCAF to reassure the military that an MB government would defer to the military and not interfere with its economic interests. These two seasoned political actors, and longtime adversaries, had little in common except that they both sought to exploit the youth to further their separate intentions to rule Egypt. In the end, the military proved itself to be a duplicitous partner that never intended to pass on the reins of power to the established opposition, but rather use it as a ruse to appease public outrage after Egypt’s historic uprising.

D. Losing the Elite Coalition in the 2010 Parliamentary Elections

Authoritarians do not rule alone and Mubarak was no exception. He relied on a coalition of elites who had vested interests that his regime remained in power. In this patron-client relationship, elites obtained access to resources in exchange for their political loyalty and willingness to expel other elites unwilling to play by the rules. The NDP served as the primary vehicle through which elites hoarded state resources. Thus, nomination to run for parliament became a means of rewarding past loyalty as well as securing future allegiance.

In a centralized political system controlled by Mubarak and his executive branch, the NDP was a weak and depoliticized institution. Few members were loyal to the party, but rather sought to employ it as a means of membership in the
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elite coalition. Doing so entailed plum political appointments, access to
government contracts, and a place in an NDP-dominated parliament.\textsuperscript{137} Elites competed in elections for the privilege of acting as intermediaries in patron-client
relations.\textsuperscript{138} With that came access to state resources and significant financial
benefits. The electoral outcome, thus, reflected the winners and losers among
various elites competing for power within the NDP. The People’s Assembly held
no real power in serving as a check on executive authority, making parliamentary
elections merely an executive tool for perpetuating the patron-client relationship
that kept Mubarak in power.\textsuperscript{139}

After thirty years of authoritarian politics, most Egyptian voters had
accepted this reality and, as a result, did not bother to vote.\textsuperscript{140} When they did,
ruled party candidates deployed armed thugs to intimidate opposition
supporters.\textsuperscript{141} Likewise, security forces fraudulently engineered electoral outcomes
by stuffing ballots in favor of the NDP, creating inaccurate voter registration lists,
and destroying ballots cast for opposition candidates.\textsuperscript{142} In districts with a strong
opposition following, central security forces used tear gas, rubber bullets, and live
ammunition to prevent citizens from voting.\textsuperscript{143} Because they posed the only real
competition to NDP candidates in parliamentary elections, Muslim Brotherhood
candidates were regularly targeted during elections by being routinely detained and
imprisoned during pre-election raids.\textsuperscript{144} Meanwhile, NDP candidates intervened in
elections with impunity through ballot stuffing and other forms of election fraud.\textsuperscript{145}
Opposition parties and civic associations were permitted to participate in politics so
long as they did not win enough seats to alter the status quo. This allowed the
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regime to claim to international critics that Egypt was a democracy while ensuring the regime’s dominance.146

The 2010 elections arguably shattered the Mubarak regime’s stronghold over the political elite—a key component of his political survival. By most accounts, the 2010 parliamentary elections were the most fraudulent in Egypt’s history.147 Established political opposition groups, including the Muslim Brotherhood and the Wafdist Party, were nearly shut out from parliament as 97% of parliamentary seats went to the NDP.148 Street battles occurred in dozens of districts across the country as police attacked voters and opposition leaders at unprecedented levels.149 These events occurred during a period when the regime was conducting systemic crackdowns on the media, university students, and cultural expression. Private businessmen pressured by the regime fired outspoken editors, opinion writers, and talk show hosts who criticized the government.150

The NDP’s handling of the 2010 elections proved to be fatal for Mubarak’s political future. Not only were they rigged at an unprecedented level, but the election results furthered the exclusion of the old political elites.151 Since 2002, Gamal Mubarak had taken control of the NDP and installed his crony-capitalists in powerful positions in the party, the Cabinet, and Parliament. Consequently, Hosni Mubarak’s longtime inner circle of military and domestic security elites was increasingly marginalized.152 For instance, Safwat al-Sharif, Yusif Wali, and Kamal al-Shazli were among the longest-serving and most prominent elites whose closeness to Mubarak granted them extensive patronage networks.153 But as Gamal created his own elite coalition and placed them in key cabinet positions, his father’s cronies gradually lost influence.154 The 2010 elections continued this reshuffling of the elite coalition, causing members of the elite to defect and run as independent candidates. The NDP may have won the battle to control the parliament, but January 25 proved that it lost the war. And the Egyptian military was all too eager to accommodate the people’s demands to ban a political party condemned as irreparably corrupt and a facilitator of Mubarak’s dictatorship.155
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II. DIFFERENT LEADER, SAME REGIME

Although the military has been a powerful institution since Egypt’s 1952 military coup,156 Egypt’s war against terrorism waged in the 1990s transformed the Ministry of Interior into the most powerful institution.157 By 2010, Egypt’s active military personnel were outnumbered by domestic security services by a factor of three-to-one with approximately 1.5 million men serving in the Ministry of Interior.158 Counting the army of plainclothes thugs, agent provocateurs, and informers that constitute the Interior Ministry’s undercover community, security forces may have reached as high as 3 million.159 The lower ranks of the police hailed from the expanded paramilitary Central Security Forces (CSF) of whom 60% were uneducated and from poor families.160 CSF police were effectively indentured policemen receiving two meals a day and four dollars a month, compounding their resentment of the affluent security and business elites that translated into violence against the public.161

The police not only deal with crime, but also have decisive authority in every sphere of public life and institutions.162 They issue passports, drivers’ licenses, and birth and death certificates.163 State security forces vet and approve appointments of university presidents, deans, judges, and professors.164 They determine the eligibility of graduate teaching assistants, choice of textbooks, and faculty travel abroad based on opaque national security criteria.165 State security can even bar students from running in university elections. Anyone affiliated with the Muslim Brotherhood, the most powerful political opposition, was often vetoed. By 2010, Egypt looked more like a security state than the military state that had predominated in the previous four decades.166

As the executive’s demand for internal security grew, so too did the Ministry of Interior’s budget. Some experts estimate that Egypt’s total security budget was at $1.5 billion in 2006, which was more than the nation’s health care budget.167 With that came a reduction in state expenditures on the army.168 To economically survive, the regime granted the army more leeway to build its parasitic exploitation of state assets and the authority to develop large economic industries, construction
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companies, and service projects. The military became the largest landowner with extensive business and commercial interests, placing it beyond civilian oversight. The profits funded its security budget as well as private hotels, sporting clubs, grocery stores and other civilian businesses available exclusively or at a discount to military personnel. This left the military with much to lose should a post-January 25 government act on public demands for systemic economic and political reforms.

Moreover, starting in 2004, when Gamal Mubarak’s ally Ahmed Nazif was appointed prime minister, the economy came under the control of a small group of businessmen within Gamal’s inner circle. As 40 million Egyptians lived at or below the poverty line, this cabal ran the economy based on their personal interests. They purchased government land far below market value, unjustly enriched themselves through privatization of public companies, and monopolized the steel and energy sectors. The military resented Gamal’s rise. Not only did he have no former military service, but his so-called economic liberalization projects were effectively resource grabs infringing on the military’s economic turf. The military was protective of its shadow economy, reportedly comprising as much as 40% of Egypt’s economic output, because much of the profits enriched senior military leaders and went towards the military’s budget. Hence Gamal’s rise risked shifting state resources from military elites to his civilian crony capitalist friends. For the military, this warranted accommodating public demands to remove their commander in chief on February 11, 2011.

In the euphoria that struck Egypt upon Mubarak’s forced departure, few noticed the military’s ingenious move to occupy the apex of a deeply entrenched authoritarian political system. Having no other institution to turn to, Egyptians welcomed the military’s willingness to serve as the caretaker government until democratic processes could be implemented. Little did they know the ulterior motives at play within a military leadership keen on regaining what it saw as its rightful place as the nation’s political and economic kingpins.

Facilitating the transition in leadership without a change in regime was Egypt’s centralized political system, composed of depoliticized institutions formed around loyalty to the president as opposed to common ideological or institutional interests.
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Mubarak had masterfully centralized loyalty to the chief executive’s office instead of the institution in which a particular elite member was based. By relying on the executive for fringe benefits and access to a patronage network, the elite coalition has a vested interest in serving as agents for maintaining the political system.\footnote{178} In the end, the authoritarian system is highly adaptable such that even if the chief executive is removed, the system remains intact.\footnote{179}

As a result, a reshuffling of the ruling elite, while retaining the executive-heavy political system, preserved Egypt’s authoritarian form of governance.\footnote{180} When the regime was threatened, the SCAF slyly replaced Hosni Mubarak within the centralized lines of authority.\footnote{181} Instead of changing the entire regime as demanded by the millions protesting in the January 25 uprising, the SCAF merely altered the ruling coalition by eliminating Gamal’s crony economic team and re-disciplined the internal security forces as secondary to the military.\footnote{182}

The SCAF employed legal tactics to authorize and expand its rule. Exploiting the public’s euphoric state of disbelief that Mubarak was finally gone, the SCAF carefully manipulated a public referendum on whether parliamentary elections should precede the drafting of a new constitution. Despite the referendum’s narrow mandate, the SCAF successfully foisted onto the country a constitutional declaration that preserved its grip on power.\footnote{183} The SCAF unilaterally appointed an unrepresentative committee of eight experts to draft 9 articles that would serve as Egypt’s interim constitution.\footnote{184} As part of the MB’s deal with the SCAF, Tariq Al Bishri, a retired judge with Islamist leanings, headed a committee that included a Muslim Brotherhood leader, Sobhi Saleh, but excluded other opposition parties, women, and leaders of the January 25th uprising.\footnote{185} Rather than respond to the revolutionaries’ demands for an inclusive process, the SCAF treated the public as subjects to manipulate or ignore.\footnote{186}

The constitutional referendum was approved by 77\% of voters on March 19, 2011.\footnote{187} Despite a narrow public mandate to amend nine articles of the 1971 Constitution, eleven days later the SCAF unilaterally abrogated the 1971 Constitution altogether and issued a sixty-three article interim constitutional declaration that superseded the approved constitutional amendments.\footnote{188} The SCAF’s scheme glaringly emulated Mubarak-era authoritarian tactics wherein the
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nation’s executive imposed its will on the people under the guise of law.\textsuperscript{189} Article 189, for example, was amended to effectively allow the presidential election process to be delayed indefinitely without affecting the constitutional process. The new Article 61 continued the SCAF’s governance role until both parliamentary and presidential elections are completed, making any delay in elections a basis for prolonged SCAF rule despite its promises to serve as a short-term caretaker government.\textsuperscript{190} Moreover, the absence of most of the 1971 Constitution’s provisions resulted in the SCAF retaining significant powers and discretion to issue decrees to resolve issues not addressed in the constitutional declaration.\textsuperscript{191} In the end, the SCAF served as both the legislative and executive branch until elections were held.\textsuperscript{192}

Hence, in the fall of 2011 when the SCAF announced its plans to postpone the presidential elections by two years, its motives to extend its rule became more apparent.\textsuperscript{193} To ensure the next constitution protected its interests, the SCAF issued a set of “supra-constitutional principles” authorizing it to appoint 80 of the 100 members of the forthcoming constituent assembly tasked with drafting Egypt’s new constitution.\textsuperscript{194} At this point, the SCAF’s motive to simply replace Mubarak without changing the regime became glaringly clear to those who spearheaded the revolution.

Within the first few weeks after January 25, the potential of Egypt’s historic revolutionary moment was doomed to be little more than a historic uprising. The military swiftly planted its stake at the top of a centralized authoritarian regime supported by de-politicized institutions and a fractured elite vested in the status quo. By the time the Tahrir revolutionaries and other opposition groups discovered this, it was too late. It was business as usual but for a different authoritarian at the helm.\textsuperscript{195}

\textbf{III. Same Regime, Same Tactics}

Although the youth revolutionaries realized the SCAF’s subversion tactics by the summer of 2011, many Egyptians did not take notice until mid-2011.\textsuperscript{196} Egyptians could not fathom having sacrificed so much only to be left with a new variation of the same authoritarian system. Thus, the youth returned to the streets in the summer and fall of 2011 calling on the SCAF to go.\textsuperscript{197} But as the people’s
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calls for systemic reforms became louder, the SCAF responded as would other militaries in an authoritarian state – through state violence and criminalization of dissent. Labor, youth revolutionaries, and others who criticized the SCAF’s Mubarak era tactics were whisked away by the thousands into military courts, subject to police raids or criminally prosecuted under draconian SCAF decrees.\footnote{Brownlee, supra note 6, at 158-59 (“The junta answered their critics with censorship, detentions and police raids. The SCAF expanded military trials against civilians and referred more than 10,000 civilians to military courts. Thousands of other dissidents lingered in legal purgatory or faced military tribunals.”).} Female protesters were subjected to virginity tests to deter others from joining the ongoing protests.\footnote{Egypt: A Year After ‘Virginity Tests’, Women Victims of Army Violence Still Seek Justice, AMNESTY INT’L (Mar. 13, 2012), http://www.amnesty.org/en/news/egypt-year-after-virginity-tests-women-victims-army-violence-still-seek-justice-2012-03-09; Vanessa Ortiz, Hidden in Egypt’s Closet: Virginity Testing as a Tactic of Repression, WAGING NONVIOLENCE (Dec. 5, 2011), http://wagingnonviolence.org/feature/hidden-in-egypts-closet-virginity-testing-as-a-tactic-of-repression/.} Taking from Mubarak’s playbook, the SCAF justified its actions on the need to preserve national unity and prevent public discord.\footnote{Albrecht, supra note 5, at 267.} Unaccustomed to public criticism, the military drew “a red line” around itself and its activities.\footnote{Ursula Lindsey, Revolution and Counterrevolution in Egyptian Media, in THE JOURNEY TO Tahrir: Revolution, Protest, and Social Change in Egypt, supra note 3, at 53, 62.} Anyone caught overstepping this arbitrary line was quickly whisked away to a military court. Indeed, in its first six months in power, the SCAF tried more than 10,000 civilians in military trials – more than the total tried under Mubarak’s thirty year rule.\footnote{Bishara, supra note 111, at 98; see Farah, supra note 36, at 60 (discussing Egypt’s Independent Trade Unionists demands for a livable wage and the removal of corrupt managers in 2011).} Meanwhile, Mubarak’s family and cronies were charged in conventional courts where evidentiary and procedural standards were higher.\footnote{Brownlee, supra note 6, at 158.}

Meanwhile, labor strikes continued throughout Egypt. With a corrupt national labor union disinterested in representing laborers’ rights, workers had no other venue but street protests.\footnote{Abou-El-Fadl, supra note 41, at 106 (“Since their [labor] unions do not represent them adequately, and they are not a party to the negotiations with the generals over Egypt’s political future, street protest is the only vehicle workers have for assuring their demands.”).} Thousands of workers including airport and public transport workers, ambulance drivers, and even police participated in street protests. Despite Mubarak’s removal, laborers had still not received their top demands – an increase in the unlivable low minimum wage, removing corrupt union leaders, improving working conditions, and providing permanent contracts to temporary workers.\footnote{Beinin, supra note 41.} Rather than negotiate, the SCAF responded by unilaterally issuing a decree on March 23, 2011 criminalizing worker strikes that disrupted production.\footnote{Sowers, supra note 3, at 7.} But after years of labor strikes under a repressive police state, laborers were battle hardened and willing to take on a police force now frightened of an angry public. Despite the SCAF’s attempts to preserve the structure of the authoritarian system, Egyptians were no longer afraid to protest loudly and regularly.
When SCAF announced in November 2011 that the presidential elections would be postponed, thereby extending the SCAF’s control of the executive branch, Egypt nearly exploded.\textsuperscript{207} Youth, liberal, and Islamist revolutionaries headed back to the square to salvage their uprising as the media exposed the SCAF’s duplicitous scheme. Finding itself the latest target of mass public anger, the SCAF had no choice but to move forward with elections in June 2012. By then, the SCAF’s post-January 25 political capital was spent. In early spring 2011, eighty-eight percent of Egyptians believed the military played a positive role and ninety-percent approved of General Tantawi.\textsuperscript{208} By October of 2011, two-fifths of Egyptian believed the SCAF was subverting the gains of the revolution.\textsuperscript{209}

Little did Egyptians realize that the revolutionary moment had already been hijacked into a mere uprising. While Egyptians certainly had more political space to debate, engage in political contestations, and vote in relatively free and fair elections than they had experienced in their lifetime, the military firmly established itself as the political overseer with veto power. Any future president who did not protect the military’s economic interests, completely defer to it on national security decisions, and accept a subordinated role was doomed to fail. And thus was the fate of Egypt’s first democratically elected president, Mohamed Morsi.

On its face, the election of a Muslim Brotherhood candidate to the presidency was revolutionary in Egypt. After decades of state repression, the Muslim Brotherhood won a majority in the 2012 parliament and won the presidency in June 2012. After decades in hiding, they established their first political party as well as new television stations and newspapers. But long before Morsi occupied the presidential palace, key institutions had decided that he would fail should he attempt to change the regime’s structure. While Morsi and the MB made serious political missteps, the military, judiciary, domestic security forces, and the media all coalesced to prevent meaningful changes in governance, what they ominously called the “Brotherhoodization” of Egyptian society. That Morsi showed signs that he was more interested in exchanging Mubarak-era elites with Muslim Brotherhood loyalists without major changes to the power structure only made him more vulnerable to the so-called “deep state’s” agenda.\textsuperscript{210}

To be sure, the institutions that comprise the deep state were intent on fighting any changes to the regime’s power structure regardless of whether it came from the Muslim Brotherhood, the revolutionary youth, labor, or any other non-elite political

\textsuperscript{207} BROWNLEE, supra note 6, at 159.
\textsuperscript{208} Id.
\textsuperscript{209} Id.
\textsuperscript{210} Tschiri, Kazziha, & McMahon, supra note 96, at 261-62; Samuel Tadros, Islamists Respond to the ‘End of Islamism’ ”, HUDSON INST. (Mar. 11, 2014), http://www.hudson.org/research/10170-islamists-respond-to-the-end-of-islamism- (“[A] failure that in practice meant they appointed loyal but unqualified members to government positions.”). Sarah Childress, The Deep State: How Egypt’s Shadow State Won Out, FRONTLINE (Sept. 17, 2013), http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/foreign-affairs-defense/egypt-in-crisis/the-deep-state-how-egypts-shadow-state-won-out/ (defining the deep state as “Egypt’s deep state is dominated by the military, but is supported by four other branches: the intelligence services, which work closely with the military to maintain political control; the police, which act as the public face of the deep state; the judiciary, which is packed with Mubarak-era judges; and the state media, which maintains staunch support of the military, airing patriotic music and video.”).
outsider. 211 The centralized, authoritarian political system was so deeply entrenched that eighteen days of nonviolent protests could not possibly have uprooted it. Indeed, the events taking place in the fall 2014 at the writing of this article show that Egyptians were naïve in believing that they could change a deeply entrenched regime buttressed by powerful state institutions without a protracted conflict that uprooted the regime as opposed to merely decapitating it. That said, Egypt’s new leaders should be cognizant that the same economic, social, and political factors that contributed towards the January 25 uprising still fester, making the likelihood of a future revolution quite real. And this is precisely why President Sisi is attempting to criminalize civil society, crush the labor, and impose prohibitively high costs to Egyptians’ liberty and lives for opposing his regime. 212

IV. THE REAL REVOLUTION IS COMING

Only after many years have passed will we know precisely what caused Egypt’s revolutionary moment to be hijacked into a mere uprising. Some will argue the Muslim Brotherhood and former president Mohamed Morsi shoulder the blame for pushing Egyptians back into the arms of the military. Others will blame the dysfunction and incompetence of secular, liberal groups to effectively campaign and win in electoral politics. Still, others will revert to Orientalist stereotypes that Egyptians are not ready for democracy and thus incapable of living in a pluralistic society without a strong military man as their leader. And while surely many complex factors contributed to Egypt’s failure to transition out of an authoritarian system, one thing became clear shortly after Egyptians rose up on January 25: Egypt’s military had never ceased to rule Egypt. 213 Nor would it allow any civilian government, particularly one run by the Muslim Brotherhood, to usurp its power. 214 Indeed, the January 25 uprising provided the military with a golden opportunity to regain its position at the top of the power hierarchy for the foreseeable future.

Nevertheless, that Egypt successfully held relatively free and fair parliamentary and presidential elections is no small feat. It signifies Egyptians’ desire to transform their political system into one based on the will of the people rather than the barrel of a tank. But the authoritarian state was so deeply entrenched and its patronage network spread so far across every major state institution that eighteen days of nonviolent protests was not enough to upend it. To be sure, the system was shaken and the top political echelon was punished, albeit minimally and only temporarily. 215 But the roots of the authoritarian state have
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remained firmly in place throughout the so-called transitional phase that ended when a former general, Abdel Fattah el-Sisi, became president in June 2014.

Despite such gloomy assessments, the question still remains whether authoritarian rule is sustainable in post-January 25 Egypt where youth’s access to social media and satellite television inform them about their government’s failings and abuses.\(^\text{216}\) Moreover, the same factors that lead to the January 25 uprising still exist. Overall unemployment rates stand at over 13% for the general public and 25% among youth, which are higher than 2010 rates.\(^\text{217}\) Current wages, especially for unskilled workers, are stuck at 1990s wage levels.\(^\text{218}\) Annual inflation continues to hover near 10%. Egypt’s GDP has dropped to 2.3% in 2014 compared to 5.1% in 2010 just before the uprising.\(^\text{219}\) Electricity and fuel shortages are at unprecedented levels.\(^\text{220}\) Egypt’s population of 90 million is growing at a rate of 1.7% while 5.6 million housing units are vacant despite an annual housing shortage of 3.5 million.\(^\text{221}\)

Although the government claims, and some Egyptians believe, Egypt will regain stability under the firm hand of a military general,\(^\text{222}\) Egyptians have tasted the fruit of freedom even if for a brief moment. Egypt’s youth, in particular, will not so easily accept another era of authoritarianism that suffocates their dreams and steals their future. They are wired to each other in a world wherein citizens now expect democratic political systems. Egyptians broke Mubarak’s wall of fear, and they are likely to break the new walls currently being built under Egypt’s new


\(^{222}\) See Hosni Mubarak Verdict Due, But Egyptians’ Interest Wanes, WORLD ASSOCIATED PRESS (Nov. 28, 2014), http://www.ndtv.com/article/world/hosni-mubarak-verdict-due-but-egyptians-interest-wanes-626981
police state. Furthermore, the rapidly declining economic crisis coupled with pervasive corruption and non-democratic political system is a recipe for instability.223

Although it postpones the inevitable, iron-fisted rule can prevent hungry, impoverished masses from revolting for only so long. At some point, President Sisi and his government will be held responsible for Egypt’s myriad problems. Press censorship, military trials, anti-terrorism laws, and other anti-democratic practices cannot shield the government from a public that expects tangible improvements in their quality of life. Anything less is grounds for a real revolution.

---
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