

ACTIVE WORKSTATIONS DO NOT IMPAIR EXECUTIVE FUNCTION IN
YOUNG AND MIDDLE-AGE ADULTS

By

PETER JOSEPH EHMANN

A thesis submitted to the

School of Graduate Studies

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey

In partial fulfillment of the requirements

For the degree of

Master of Science

Graduate Program in Kinesiology and Applied Physiology

Written under the direction of

Brandon L. Alderman

And approved by

New Brunswick, New Jersey

October, 2018

ABSTRACT OF THE THESIS

Active workstations do not impair executive function in young and middle-age
adults

by PETER JOSEPH EHMANN

Thesis Director

Brandon L. Alderman

Purpose: To examine the effects of self-selected low-intensity walking on an active workstation on executive functions in young and middle-age adults.

Methods: Using a within-subjects design, 32 young (20.6 ± 2.0 yr) and 26 middle-age (45.6 ± 11.8 yr) adults performed low-intensity treadmill walking and seated control conditions in randomized order on separate days, while completing an executive function test battery. Executive functions (EFs) were assessed using modified versions of the Stroop (inhibition), Sternberg (working memory), Wisconsin Card Sorting (cognitive flexibility), and Tower of London (global EF) cognitive tasks. Behavioral performance outcomes were assessed using composite task z -scores and traditional measures of reaction time and accuracy. Average heart rate (HR) and step count were also measured throughout. **Results:** The expected task difficulty effects were found for reaction time and accuracy. No significant main effects or interactions as a function of treadmill walking were found for tasks assessing global EF and the three individual executive function domains. Accuracy on the Tower of London task was slightly impaired during

slow treadmill walking for both age groups. Middle-age adults displayed longer planning times for more difficult conditions of the Tower of London during walking compared to sitting. A 50 min session of low-intensity treadmill walking on an active workstation resulted in accruing approximately 4,500 steps.

Conclusion: These findings suggest that executive function performance remains relatively unaffected while walking on an active workstation, further supporting the use of treadmill workstations as an effective approach to increase physical activity and reduce sedentary time in the workplace.

Acknowledgement

There are many people I need to thank who have made this work possible:

Dr. Brandon Alderman, my graduate advisor and research mentor; Dr. Sara Campbell and Dr. David Feigley, my thesis committee members; Dr. Gary Merrill, my teaching advisor; Ryan Olson, CJ Brush, Anthony Bocchine, PJ Wisniewski, and Bob Dowden, my colleagues and friends; the numerous post-baccalaureate and undergraduate research assistants in the lab who helped with subject recruitment, data collection, and data organization; my girlfriend, Andrea, for her unwavering love and encouragement; and my mother, father, and family who have always supported my personal endeavors. I would also like to thank TrekDesk for donating the active workstation used in the study.

This work was published in the May, 2017 issue of *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. Study concept and design: PE, CB, RO, BA. Acquisition of data: PE, SB, AB. Analysis and interpretation of data: PE, SB, AB. Drafting of the manuscript: PE. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: PE, CB, BA. Statistical analysis: PE, CB. Obtained funding: BA. Administrative, technical, and material support: PE, CB, RO, SB, AB, BA. Study supervision: BA.

Peter J. Ehmann, Christopher J. Brush, Ryan L. Olson, Shivang N. Bhatt, Andrea

H. Banu, & Brandon L. Alderman (2017). Active workstations do not impair executive function in young and middle-age adults. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 49(5), 965-974.

Table of Contents

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgement	iv
List of Tables	vi
List of Figures	vii
List of Appendices	viii
Introduction	1
Methods	5
Results	14
Discussion	17
Tables		
<i>Table 1</i>	27
<i>Table 2</i>	28
<i>Table 3</i>	29
Figures		
<i>Figure 1</i>	30
<i>Figure 2</i>	31
<i>Figure 3</i>	32
Appendices		
<i>Appendix A</i>	33
References	35

List of Tables

- Table 1.* Participant demographic information by age ($M \pm SD$).
- Table 2.* Behavioral performance data for all executive function tasks ($M \pm SD$).
- Table 3.* Intensity and physical activity by condition ($M \pm SD$).

List of Figures

- Figure 1.* TrekDesk active workstation.
- Figure 2.* PEBL executive function test battery.
- Figure 3.* Composite z-scores for (A) inhibition, (B) working memory, (C) cognitive flexibility, and (D) global executive function during sitting and walking conditions in both young and middle-age adults. Performance was significantly better among young adults relative to middle-age adults across task conditions. No effects due to treadmill walking were observed.

List of Appendices

Appendix A. List of abbreviations.

1. Introduction

Modern sedentary lifestyles have been linked with many of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and some forms of cancer (Biswas *et al.*, 2015; Matthews *et al.*, 2016). The workplace is a particular aspect of our modern lifestyle that poses a challenge towards increasing physical activity and decreasing sedentary time, and may serve as an ideal context to implement evidence-based interventions (Thomas & Williams, 2006). In 2015, an expert panel was commissioned to address sedentary behaviors in the workplace and recommended that workers initially strive to accumulate two hours of standing and light activity (light walking) across the work day to reduce the risk of cardiometabolic diseases and premature mortality associated with sitting (Buckley *et al.*, 2015). One innovative approach to meet these goals involves implementing sit-to-stand active workstations or “treadmill desks” in the workplace. Active workstations have received considerable attention over the past decade (Levine & Miller, 2007; Torbeyns *et al.*, 2014; Tudor-Locke *et al.*, 2014) and represent an effective approach to break up the long hours of sitting typical of the modern working environment.

A number of psychological and physiological health benefits (e.g., reducing stress, increasing energy expenditure) may be accrued as a result of using treadmill desks in the workplace (John *et al.*, 2011; Levine & Miller, 2007; Pilcher & Baker, 2016). For instance, Koeppe and colleagues (2013) reported that office workers undergoing a one-year treadmill desk intervention experienced significant improvements in weight loss ($\Delta = -1.4$ kg), serum HDL levels ($\Delta =$

+4.0 mg/dL), systolic blood pressure ($\Delta = -3.0$ mmHg), and waist circumference ($\Delta = -4.0$ cm), all of which are known risk factors for cardiovascular disease. Despite these promising health benefits, it remains unclear whether walking on a treadmill desk interferes with job performance. Early studies reported impairments in office-based tasks involving fine motor skills, such as typing and computer mouse use, while walking at slow speeds on a treadmill (John *et al.*, 2009; Straker *et al.*, 2009). However, others suggested that these dual-task related impairments may be reduced if individuals are allowed to habituate to a treadmill desk (Thompson & Levine, 2011). More recently, investigators have focused on whether light treadmill walking, as would be implemented during the work day, results in impairments in higher-level executive function or cognitive control processes (Alderman *et al.*, 2014; Larson *et al.*, 2015a; Larson *et al.*, 2015b). Executive function refers to a subset of higher-order processes (e.g., problem solving, reasoning, planning) that manage more basic cognitive functions and emotions to help guide effortful goal-directed behaviors, especially in circumstances when automatic instincts or intuitions are insufficient or unnecessary. These cognitive processes are particularly relevant for success in the workplace, as they are instrumental to problem solving, taking the time to think before acting, staying focused, and meeting novel, unanticipated challenges (Diamond, 2013).

Using a between-subjects design, Larson and colleagues (2015a) randomized 69 participants to either a walking (1.5 mph) or a sitting condition while having them simultaneously complete modified versions of Eriksen flanker

and go/no go tasks on a treadmill workstation. They examined executive control measures of conflict adaptation, post-error slowing, and response inhibition, and found no significant differences between conditions for any of the cognitive control measures. Similarly, Alderman et al. (2014) used a within-subjects design where participants completed the Stroop test, a modified flanker task, and a test of reading comprehension while at rest and while walking at a self-selected speed on a treadmill desk. No significant differences in reaction time or accuracy were found for any of the tasks between the sitting and treadmill walking conditions. However, the selected cognitive tasks in both of these studies only assessed one domain of executive function (i.e., inhibition), while it is generally believed that there are three core domains of executive function: inhibition, working memory (or updating), and cognitive flexibility (or switching; Miyake *et al.*, 2000). It is possible that the dual-task nature of walking on a treadmill desk may impair select executive function domains, yet this possibility has yet to be thoroughly examined. Additionally, it may be that relatively simple experimental tasks used to assess each of the specific domains of executive function are less susceptible to dual-task impairments, while more complex, demanding tasks that involve multiple executive functions (i.e., global executive function) may be impaired. Furthermore, participants in nearly all of the most recent studies examining the potential influence of walking at a treadmill desk on task-related performance or cognitive function have been of college-age (Alderman *et al.*, 2014; Larson *et al.*, 2015a; Larson *et al.*, 2015b). It is possible that cognitive function and task performance among older adults is differentially influenced while walking on a

treadmill desk relative to young adults. In a recent study examining the moderating influence of age on the relationship between walking and cognitive function, Tomporowski and Audiffren (2014) assessed cognitive flexibility using an auditory switch task in younger (20.8 yr) and older adults (71.5 yr) while standing, treadmill walking at a self-selected speed, and treadmill walking at 150% of their self-selected speed. As walking speed increased, behavioral performance declined linearly in older adults, but remained stable in young adults. Findings from this study suggest that the cognitive flexibility domain of executive function may be susceptible to impairments in dual-task performance among older, but not younger adults. In order to establish the efficacy and feasibility of incorporating treadmill desks into the workplace, research examining the effects of slow treadmill walking on the three core executive function domains among a wider population of working-age adults is warranted.

Accordingly, the primary purpose of this study was to examine the effects of slow walking at a treadmill workstation on executive function in both young and middle-age adults. To more comprehensively understand whether executive function is affected by slow treadmill walking, the domains of inhibition, working memory, and cognitive flexibility were assessed, along with a more complex cognitive task that relies on multiple executive function domains for successful performance. Based on previous studies, it was hypothesized that executive function would not be affected by slow walking relative to sitting in younger adults, but subtle deficits would be apparent in middle-age adults due to the dual-task nature of walking and simultaneous computerized cognitive testing. This

subtle dual task impairment was expected during performance of the more complex global executive function task (Tower of London), but not for tasks of the individual executive function domains. Lastly, an exploratory aim of the study was to quantify HR and step count during a 50 min treadmill walking condition to estimate the influence of this brief intervention in meeting recommendations towards increasing physical activity and reducing sedentary time in the workplace (Buckley *et al.*, 2015).

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Individuals between the ages of 18 and 65 from Rutgers University and the surrounding communities were recruited to participate through the use of posted flyers and advertisements. Participants in the middle-age group were between 31 and 65 years of age while participants in the younger group were between 18 and 28 years old. All participants were native English speakers and reported normal or corrected-to-normal vision without color blindness. Exclusion criteria included the presence or history of cardiovascular, neurological, or musculoskeletal problems that would impact exercise or treadmill walking ability, past or present history of psychiatric or neurological disorders (e.g., attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, clinical depression or anxiety, any head injury with loss of consciousness), or medication use aside from oral contraceptives. Sixty-one participants (28 females) met the inclusion criteria; however, three participants completed the initial familiarization session but failed to follow-up or complete one or both of the experimental sessions and were therefore removed

from all analyses. Thus, a total of fifty-eight participants (32 young and 26 middle-age adults) completed all three testing sessions. All participants provided written informed consent in accord with study procedures approved by the Institutional Review Board at Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey.

2.2. Procedures

Participants visited the laboratory on three separate occasions, each separated by at least 48 h. Participants were asked to avoid exercise for 24 h and stimulants (e.g., caffeine, nicotine) for 3-4 h prior to each session. During the initial session, participants provided written informed consent and completed health history and physical activity readiness (PAR-Q) questionnaires to ensure safety for the aerobic fitness assessment and treadmill walking. The initial testing day served as a familiarization to the treadmill desk (TrekDesk, Phoenix, AZ) and executive function test battery. Participants were allowed to choose a walking speed (between 0.5 mph and 2.5 mph and 0% grade) they felt most comfortable with while using a desktop computer that sat on the treadmill desk (see Figure 1). The height of the desk was adjusted so that the computer monitor was situated approximately 24" from each participant at eye-level. The speed and height was noted and used for the subsequent experimental sessions. During this session, participants were familiarized to the four executive function tasks. Practice trials were performed for all tasks while walking and sitting at the adjustable workstation, with the purpose of understanding task directions and becoming comfortable and proficient with completing the tasks using a Logitech keyboard and mouse. Prior to completing each task, written and verbal instructions were

provided and any questions were answered. The familiarization session lasted approximately 54 ± 14 min. Following familiarization, participants completed a maximal graded exercise test on the treadmill for the determination of peak cardiorespiratory fitness (VO_2 peak).

In order to reduce potential order effects, participants completed sitting and walking conditions on the adjustable workstation in a counterbalanced order across two experimental sessions. When participants arrived to the laboratory, an accelerometer was affixed to their waistband at the hip above knee line to assess the number of steps taken during the testing session. Participants were given a 5 min familiarization period of slow walking at the treadmill workstation at their preferred speed (see Larson *et al.*, 2015a) prior to commencing the cognitive tasks. For the walking condition, the desk was adjusted to the predetermined height and participants maintained their preferred walking speed while completing the executive function test battery. During the sitting condition, the desk was again adjusted to the predetermined height and participants remained seated on an adjustable elevated chair while completing the executive function test battery. Participants were instructed to respond as quickly and as accurately as possible for all cognitive tasks. The four cognitive tasks were presented in random order with a two min rest period between each task. In the walking condition, participants continued to walk during rest periods to simulate a workplace environment whereas participants in the sitting condition remained seated. At the conclusion of the third session, participants were briefed about the purpose of the study and compensated \$25 for participating.

2.3. Executive Function Tasks

The four executive function tasks were administered in random order using the open-source Psychology Experiment Builder Language version 0.14 (PEBL; Mueller & Piper, 2014) for Windows. Previous studies have demonstrated adequate validity and test-retest reliability of the PEBL tasks assessing individual domains of executive function and higher-order problem solving abilities (e.g., Piper *et al.*, 2015). See Figure 2 for an illustration of the PEBL test battery.

Stroop Color-Word Task. Participants completed a modified version of the Stroop color-word interference task (Stroop, 1935) as a measure of inhibition. Stimuli were words of different colors (i.e., red, blue, green, yellow) printed in either the same (congruent trials; e.g., the word RED in red ink) or different (incongruent trials; e.g., the word RED in green ink) ink color. Participants were instructed to respond (using a number pad) to the color of the ink, while inhibiting the meaning of the word. Stimuli were presented on the computer screen for 2000 ms following a 1000 ms fixation cross (+). Participants completed 6 blocks of 32 trials with random and equiprobable congruent and incongruent trials. Dependent measures for the Stroop task included reaction time (ms) and accuracy (%).

Sternberg Working Memory Task. A visual Sternberg task (Sternberg, 1966) was used as a measure of working memory. At the beginning of each test block, participants were asked to remember (encode) variable lists of 3, 5, or 7 letters (e.g., MFB, GSZKQ, DJSQVTN). After encoding the list, a random sequence of single letters was presented and participants were asked to identify whether each single letter was presented in the original 3, 5, or 7 letter list.

Participants pressed the left Shift key to indicate that the letter was present and the right Shift key to indicate that the letter was absent from the original encoded list.

Following an incorrect response, the original list appeared as a refresher.

Participants completed 6 blocks of 50 trials, with 2 blocks dedicated to each list length. Reaction time (ms) and accuracy (%) measures were recorded and used as dependent measures.

Wisconsin Card Sorting Test. The Wisconsin card sorting test (Berg, 1948) was used as a measure of cognitive flexibility. In this task, participants were asked to match stimulus cards with four category cards fixated at the top of the screen that varied according to shape (triangle, star, cross, or square), color (red, yellow, green, or blue), and number (one, two, three, or four). Each category defined a sorting rule and the stimuli included 1 red triangle, 2 yellow stars, 3 green crosses, and 4 blue circles. The objective of the task was to match a preordained sorting rule given feedback (“Correct” or “Incorrect”) after each sort. After correctly sorting 10 consecutive trials, the rule changed and participants had to adapt and match cards according to a new sorting rule. Participants completed 128 trials and were unaware of the correct sorting principle and sorting rule shifts during the task. Two types of errors were possible: perseverative errors, when the previous rule set was maintained despite a change in rule, and non-perseverative errors, when a card was sorted incorrectly based on a different rule than the previous rule set. More perseverative errors indicated a lack of flexibility while more non-perseverative errors indicated an ineffective switching strategy. Overall accuracy (%) was also used as a dependent measure.

Tower of London Task. A modified Tower task (Shallice, 1982) was used to assess the planning aspect executive function, and since it is a more complex cognitive task that taps multiple executive function domains. This task measures problem solving abilities by having participants model a pile of colored discs from their original location to a desired orientation in as few moves as possible within 120 s. Before the first move, participants were encouraged to plan out the solution to the problem in the least amount of moves as possible. Successful completion of this task requires participants to plan ahead and solve the problem cognitively before actually moving the discs. Thirty trials of increasing difficulty were administered: 10 trials requiring four moves, 10 trials requiring five moves, and 10 trials requiring six moves. Dependent measures included planning time (ms), total execution time (ms), and accuracy (%). Planning time is the time between the presentation of the initial disc arrangement and the first move. Total execution time is the time between stimulus onset and completion of the trial (for successful trials), and accuracy was assessed by how many of the problems were solved in the minimum number of moves divided by the total number of trials. Lower planning and total execution time and higher accuracy reflect greater global executive function.

2.4. Additional Measures

Heart Rate. HR was assessed throughout the experimental sessions using a Polar RS800CX HR monitor and transmitter (Polar, Kempele, Finland). Continuous HR data were averaged across the entire session for each

experimental condition. Before familiarization procedures, resting HR was assessed during a 5 min resting period in a seated, upright position.

Step Count. Step count data were collected throughout each session using a FitBit Zip™ tri-axial accelerometer (FitBit, San Francisco, CA). In addition, walking speed and time taken to complete the executive function test battery were recorded to the nearest 0.1 mph and min, respectively.

Cardiorespiratory Fitness. Cardiorespiratory fitness (VO_2 peak) was assessed using a modified Bruce protocol (American College of Sports Medicine, 2018), which involved increasing the speed and grade of the treadmill every two min until volitional exhaustion was reached. A Polar HR monitor was used to record HR throughout the test. VO_2 peak ($\text{mL}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$) was determined from direct expired gas exchange data from a computerized metabolic system (Parvo Medics True Max 2400 Metabolic Cart, ParvoMedics, Inc., Sandy, UT) and averaged across 15 s intervals. VO_2 peak was defined as the maximal rate of oxygen consumption per kg of body weight at the point when at least three of the following four criteria were met: (1) a plateau in oxygen consumption corresponding to an increase of less than 150 mL in oxygen uptake despite a progressive increase in workload, (2) HR within 10 beats per min (bpm) of age-predicted maximal values ($220 \text{ bpm} - \text{age in years}$), (3) a respiratory exchange ratio greater than 1.10, or (4) a RPE greater than or equal to 17. Upon completion of the assessment, a five min cool down was administered at 2.5 mph and 0% grade.

2.5. Sample Size Calculation

The sample size needed to achieve statistical power > 80% to detect a small effect size (global executive function performance during walking relative to sitting) of Cohen's $f = 0.13$ was based on a meta-analytic review of executive function task performance during exercise (Cohen's $d = 0.26$; see Chang *et al.*, 2012). We conducted a power analysis in G*Power version 3.9.1.2 for a two group, repeated measures ANOVA that tests the within-between factors interaction with the correlation among repeated measures set at 0.80 (see Brush *et al.*, 2016). At least 50 participants (25 per group) were needed to attain sufficient power to test the primary hypothesis (i.e., a significant age x condition interaction for the global executive function task).

2.6. Statistical Analyses

Descriptive statistics were conducted to examine differences in participant demographics using individual independent sample t -tests. Additionally, intensity manipulation and step count data were assessed using paired samples t -tests to compare average HR and steps by condition. The independent variables in the experiment were condition (sitting, walking) and age (young, middle-age). The dependent variables included the cognitive performance outcomes for each of the four executive function tasks. The behavioral performance across tasks were screened for normality and reaction times were omitted from analyses if the response was undetected, incorrect (except for the Wisconsin card sorting test), or was ± 3 SD from the mean for a block of trials. To reduce the number of statistical tests performed, composite z -scores of cognitive performance outcomes for the Stroop, Sternberg, Wisconsin card sorting, and Tower of London tasks

were calculated to reflect overall performance for each domain and for global executive function. For reaction time measures, z -scores were inverted so that a higher score reflected better performance. To calculate a composite score for each executive function domain, an average z -score was calculated from the outcome measures for each task.

Differences in cognitive outcomes were analyzed using mixed-design ANOVAs with condition and cognitive task condition as within-subjects factors and age as a between-subjects factor. Composite z -scores for each task were assessed using a 2 (condition: walking, sitting) x 2 (age: young, middle-age) ANOVA. The Stroop task was analyzed using a 2 (condition: walking, sitting) x 2 (congruency: congruent, incongruent) x 2 (age: young, middle-age) ANOVA to assess inhibition. For the Sternberg task, a 2 (condition: walking, sitting) x 3 (set size: 3, 5, 7) x 2 (age: young, middle-age) ANOVA was conducted to examine working memory performance. Since the Wisconsin card sorting test has only one task condition, a 2 (condition: sitting, walking) x 2 (age: young, middle-age) mixed-design ANOVA was used to analyze cognitive flexibility performance. Global executive function using the Tower of London task was analyzed using a 2 (condition: sitting, walking) x 3 (number of moves: 4, 5, 6) x 2 (age: young, middle-age) ANOVA.

To explore the potential influence of cardiorespiratory fitness in the relationship between acute exercise and cognition (Chang *et al.*, 2014), separate mixed-design ANOVAs were conducted with VO_2 peak as a between-subjects factor. To account for age- and sex-related differences in cardiorespiratory fitness,

a median split was conducted on VO₂ peak data within each age (young, middle-age) and sex (male, female) category. A two-tailed familywise error-rate of $p < .05$ was used for all statistical analyses and when sphericity was violated, the Greenhouse-Geisser epsilon correction was used to adjust probability values (Jennings & Wood, 1976). *Post-hoc* Bonferroni corrected t tests were conducted for multiple comparisons and effect size estimates are reported as partial eta-squared (η^2_p) values for significant main effects and interactions. All statistical analyses were conducted using JASP version 0.7.5.6 (JASP Team; software available from <https://jasp-stats.org/>).

3. Results

Participant demographics are presented in Table 1. Preliminary analyses revealed expected fitness differences by age group, such that young adults had higher VO₂ peak than middle-age adults ($43.6 \pm 11.4 \text{ mL}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ vs. $32.6 \pm 10.2 \text{ mL}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$). No significant differences by age were found for BMI and resting HR, $ps > .05$.

Cognitive performance data for each task are presented in Table 2. No significant main effects or interactions by fitness were found for any of the executive function dependent variables, $ps > .05$. Consistent with the task condition effects found in the literature for behavioral performance outcomes, the expected congruency (Stroop: reaction time and accuracy), set size (Sternberg: reaction time and accuracy), and number of moves (Tower of London: planning time, total execution time, and accuracy) effects were observed, $ps < .05$. For the composite z -scores for each of the executive function tasks, the 2 (condition:

walking, sitting) x 2 (age: young, middle-age) ANOVAs revealed a significant main effect of age, $ps < .05$, with impaired performance for middle-age relative to younger adults across all tasks. No significant condition or condition x age interactions were found for any of the executive function tasks, $ps > .05$ (see Figure 3).

Inhibition (Stroop task). For reaction time, the analyses revealed a main effect of age, $F(1,56) = 51.5, p < .001, \eta^2_p = .48$, such that young adults responded more quickly than middle-age adults. This was superseded by a congruency x age interaction, $F(1,56) = 4.2, p < .05, \eta^2_p = .07$, indicating that middle-age adults exhibited a larger congruency effect (120.2 ms) relative to young adults (85.5 ms) that was driven by slower responses on both congruent and incongruent trials. No other main effects or interactions were observed for reaction time and accuracy.

Working Memory (Sternberg task). For reaction time, there was a main effect of age, $F(1,56) = 12.4, p < .001, \eta^2_p = .18$, indicating slower response speed for middle-age relative to young adults. There was also a main effect of age for accuracy, $F(1,56) = 3.8, p < .05, \eta^2_p = .07$, which was superseded by a set size x age interaction, $F(2,55) = 5.7, p < .05, \eta^2_p = .09$. Decomposition of the interaction indicated that there were larger reductions in accuracy for middle-age (-8.5%) compared to young adults (-5.2%) on the most difficult task trials (set size 7) relative to the least difficult trials (set size 3). No additional main effects or interactions were found.

Cognitive Flexibility (Wisconsin card sorting test). The analyses revealed main effects of age for PE, $F(1,56) = 8.0, p < .05, \eta^2_p = .13$, NPE, $F(1,56) = 11.7,$

$p = .001$, $\eta^2_p = .17$, and accuracy, $F(1,56) = 17.0$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2_p = .23$, such that middle-age adults committed more PE and NPEs and had lower overall accuracy than young adults. No other main effects or interactions were observed for cognitive flexibility.

Global Executive Function (Tower of London). For accuracy, the analyses revealed a main effect of age, $F(1,56) = 20.9$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2_p = .27$, such that middle-age adults were less accurate than younger adults. There was also a main effect of condition, $F(1,56) = 4.2$, $p < .05$, $\eta^2_p = .07$, indicating impaired accuracy during walking relative to sitting. For planning time, there was a significant number of moves x age interaction, $F(2,55) = 7.4$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2_p = .12$, which was superseded by a condition x number of moves x age interaction, $F(2,55) = 4.7$, $p < .05$, $\eta^2_p = .08$. Decomposition of the interaction revealed that young adults displayed longer planning time for trials with increasing number of moves during the sitting condition, such that planning time for trials with 4 moves was significantly shorter than for trials with 5 moves, $t(31) = -4.3$, $p < .05$, and 6 moves, $t(31) = -5.0$, $p < .05$. There were no differences in planning time between trials requiring a different number of moves in middle-age adults during the sitting condition, $ps > .05$. For total execution time, there was a main effect of age, $F(1,56) = 8.9$, $p < .05$, $\eta^2_p = .14$, such that middle-age adults were slower in completion of the task relative to young adults. This was superseded by a number of moves x age interaction, $F(2,55) = 3.9$, $p < .05$, $\eta^2_p = .07$. Decomposition of the interaction revealed that there was an increase in total execution time as the

number of moves increased from 4 to 6 particularly for young relative to middle-age adults (+11.3 s vs. +9.2 s).

Exercise Intensity and Step Count. Data regarding physical activity and step count during both experimental conditions are presented in Table 3. The average preferred treadmill speed was 1.85 ± 0.35 mph and no difference in selected treadmill walking speed was observed by age, $F(1,56) = 2.1, p > .05, \eta^2_p = .04$. As expected, significant differences in HR were observed between conditions, $t(57) = 14.6, p < .001$, indicating higher average HR while walking relative to sitting. However, there was no significant age x condition interaction, $F(1,56) = 0.4, p > .05, \eta^2_p = .01$. Approximately $4,508 \pm 955$ steps were accrued during the 51.4 ± 8.2 min treadmill walking session. Given the age main effects for reaction time measures, middle-age adults spent significantly more time completing the test battery (54.4 ± 1.6 min) compared to young adults (48.3 ± 1.3 min). This resulted in a significantly higher step count for middle-age (4933 ± 175 steps) relative to young adults (4164 ± 155 steps) during the walking condition, $t(57) = 3.3, p < .05$.

4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to investigate the concurrent effect of low-intensity walking at a treadmill workstation on executive function performance in young and middle-age adults. The three executive function domains of inhibition, working memory, and cognitive flexibility were assessed, along with a more complex cognitive task (Tower of London) that recruits multiple executive function domains for successful performance. The majority of studies to date have

focused on college-age participants; thus, it was initially hypothesized that treadmill walking would not impair executive function in younger adults, but subtle deficits would become apparent among middle-age adults due to the simultaneous performance of walking and computerized cognitive testing. Due to the relatively small effects of exercise on executive function, this subtle influence among older adults was only expected for the more complex cognitive task (Tomporowski & Audiffren, 2014). The primary hypothesis was partially supported in that no differences in performance for each of the three individual executive function domains were found during walking compared to sitting in either younger or middle-age adults. There was an influence of walking on the global executive function task (Tower of London), indicated by a slight impairment in accuracy for both age groups. However, when all of the performance measures for this task were combined into a composite measure, no effect of treadmill walking was found. Aside from this finding, the results for the Stroop, Sternberg, and Wisconsin card sorting tasks replicate previous studies examining the influence of walking at a treadmill desk on cognitive control and executive control functions in younger adults (Alderman *et al.*, 2014; Larson *et al.*, 2015a) and extend the findings to middle-age adults who are often faced with modern, sedentary occupations (Tudor-Locke *et al.*, 2014). An exploratory aim of the study was to quantify the number of steps taken while treadmill walking to determine the influence of this brief intervention in meeting recommendations for physical activity and sedentary time in the workplace (Buckley *et al.*, 2015). Participants accrued approximately 4,500 steps during the 50 min walking

condition, supporting recent public health recommendations of 2 h/day of standing and light activity in the workplace. Our findings suggest that individual domains of executive function remain relatively unaffected while walking on an active workstation in both young and middle-age adults, however, more complex tasks requiring multiple executive functions may be more susceptible to dual-task impairments, particularly among middle-age and older adults. These results also support the use of treadmill workstations as an effective approach to increase physical activity and reduce sedentary time in the workplace, while presumably resulting in minimal impairments in work-related performance.

In 2007, Levine and Miller suggested that active “walk-and-work” desks could increase energy expenditure by 100 kcal/h and may constitute a meaningful approach to achieve weight loss among sedentary, obese individuals. Soon after, investigators became interested in testing whether or not the use of active workstations would interfere with job performance (John *et al.*, 2009; Ohlinger *et al.*, 2011; Straker *et al.*, 2009). However, initial studies in this area mainly focused on typing performance and point-and-click tasks. Although a number of these studies reported impaired performance on these fine-motor skills during walking relative to sitting (John *et al.*, 2009; Straker *et al.*, 2009; Thompson & Levine, 2011), a familiarization period was not provided; thus, the novelty of walking while working on an active workstation may have accounted for much of the variability in impaired performance. Given the influence of higher level executive function processes on decision-making, staying focused and dealing with distractions, multitasking, and overall productivity, more recent studies have

examined the influence of treadmill desk walking on executive function processes (Alderman *et al.*, 2014; Larson *et al.*, 2015a). The cognitive tasks in these studies have predominantly focused on the inhibition component of executive function, and included go/no go, Stroop color-word conflict, and flanker tasks. In general, these studies have suggested that executive function performance is not meaningfully influenced by walking on a treadmill desk. However, nearly all of the studies to date have focused on college-age participants, resulting in limited generalizability to older working-age individuals. The current findings suggest that low-intensity self-selected walking, as would be implemented in a workplace, results in minimal impairment to executive function in both young and middle-age adults. However, it is possible that more complex cognitive tasks that require multiple executive functions may be impaired among older adults while working at an active workstation, and this warrants further study.

Notably, nearly all of the studies to date have assessed executive function or job-related performance while participants engage in one session of low-intensity physical activity on an active workstation (Tudor-Locke *et al.*, 2014). It remains unknown whether these findings would generalize across a typical 8 h working day. Recently, Mullane *et al.* (2016) had nine sedentary, obese adults complete a 8 h simulated office workday that included either uninterrupted sitting, or included short active breaks of standing, cycling, or walking that accumulated to 2.5 h of standing or light activity. A computerized cognitive test battery was administered twice across the 8 h to assess psychomotor (detection test), working memory (1-back task), and shifting or cognitive flexibility (set-shifting task)

domains. The authors reported that interrupting prolonged sitting resulted in an improvement in all three of the cognitive domains. However, in contrast to the cycling and walking conditions, no significant improvements were found for the cognitive flexibility task for either the standing or sitting conditions. This suggests that some level of physical activity, rather than merely a change in posture, may be needed to observe improvements in cognitive flexibility when using an active workstation across an 8 h working day. Another study determined the chronic effects (28 weeks) of sit-to-stand desk use among 34 freshman high school students over the course of two semesters (Mehta *et al.*, 2015). Students who used these active workstations demonstrated improvements in executive function, and these improvements were associated with significant left frontal lobe activation during task performance assessed using functional near infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS). In contrast to the current findings of minimal influence of a single session of low-intensity walking at a treadmill workstation on executive function, these studies suggest that more prolonged use may result in benefits to select aspects of executive function. Studies replicating these findings and examining multiple cognitive domains are needed to further understand the short and long-term effects of active workstation use on executive function and job-related performance. Such findings may have clinical implications for implementing active workstations into the workplace as well as in classrooms to break up prolonged sitting.

A number of age-related reductions in response time and accuracy across executive function tasks were found in this study. A consistent body of evidence

has shown age-related declines in cognitive performance that begin in early adulthood and are evident across different cognitive domains (Fisk & Sharp, 2004; Salthouse, 2004). Moreover, executive functioning and the prefrontal and parietal structures that support these cognitive processes may be particularly susceptible to aging (Buckner, 2004; Salthouse, 2004); however, exercise and physical activity interventions have been shown to result in disproportionately larger benefits for executive function relative to other cognitive domains (Colcombe & Kramer, 2003). Despite evidence of a consistent age-related decline in executive function abilities, slow walking on an active workstation did not impact executive functioning among middle-age relative to younger adults. There was also no difference between young and middle-age adults in preferred treadmill walking speed while performing computerized cognitive tasks. Of interest, given that middle-age adults had significantly longer reaction times for each cognitive task, they spent more time walking on the treadmill (or sitting at rest). This resulted in significantly more steps accrued for the middle-age relative to younger adults. In this study, cognitive testing also occurred after a short 5 min warm up on the treadmill desk. Whether this brief warm up period on the treadmill generalizes to the use of active workstations in a real life setting remains unknown. Integrating cognitive performance testing into clinical trials of active workstations across the working or school day may help to answer whether chronic active workstation use benefits or hinders cognitive performance.

Unique to this study was the assessment of physical activity across the 50 min active workstation session. During the walking condition, participants

accrued approximately 4,500 steps and based on preferred speed, walked at a rate of nearly 90 steps per min. The American Heart Association has recommended that adults accumulate approximately 10,000 steps per day to be considered “active” (Tudor-Locke & Bassett, 2004). Further, recent guidelines recommend that individuals initially strive to accumulate 2 h per day of standing and light activity across the workday to reduce the risk of morbidity and mortality associated with today’s sedentary lifestyle (Buckley *et al.*, 2015). The current data suggest that two 50 min bouts of self-selected walking on an active workstation may be effective in meeting these recommendations. Future studies should examine whether accumulated bouts of brief interruptions in sedentary time across the 8 h workday (e.g., Mullane *et al.*, 2016) or a fewer number of longer sessions of standing or walking, as used in this study, improve measures of physical and cognitive health. This evidence should help to advance public health guidelines for active workstation use in offices and classrooms (Torbeyns *et al.*, 2014).

4.1. Limitations and Future Directions

This study has several limitations. First, the sample consisted of individuals who have not had extensive experience using a treadmill desk and we only incorporated one familiarization session of active workstation use prior to testing. Thus, the conclusions may differ for those who are actively using treadmill desks and following chronic use of an active workstation. However, the inclusion of an intensive familiarization session before the experimental sessions should have increased comfort levels with the treadmill desk and reduced possible

learning effects by increasing familiarity to the cognitive tasks. We also did not standardize walking speed and instead allowed participants to choose their preferred speed while performing computer-based tasks. Despite the possible threat to internal validity, allowing for choice in treadmill speed increases the generalizability of the findings to the workplace where users can self-select from a range of speeds.

A possible significant limitation relates to the specific cognitive tasks chosen to assess executive function. Previous studies examining the effects of exercise on executive function in general (see Chang *et al.*, 2012), as well as the specific influence of walking on a treadmill desk (Alderman *et al.*, 2014; Larson *et al.*, 2015a) have employed one or two cognitive tasks to assess executive function. This limitation may be exacerbated by the task-impurity issue, which renders it difficult to assess each domain of executive function separately, since other cognitive processes are also involved in successful performance on these tasks (Miyake *et al.*, 2000). In line with previous recommendations (Etnier & Chang, 2009; Miyake *et al.*, 2000), four well-established neuropsychological tasks were used in this study to examine global executive function and its three constituent domains. However, most of the cognitive tasks used here and elsewhere also include multiple outcome measures (e.g., reaction time, accuracy), which may increase the probability of committing a Type I error. To help guard against this, composite measures for each task (z -scores) were created and analyzed in addition to the traditional outcomes. Future research is needed to best address a number of issues that arise when assessing executive function, including

the use of a guiding theoretical rationale and appropriate justification for selecting cognitive tasks based on the effects and population of interest (Etnier & Chang, 2009).

Lastly, the use of behavioral performance measures (i.e., reaction time and accuracy) are limited in that they represent end-state processes that result from a combination of neuropsychological processes, including early sensory processing, engagement of cognitive control, and motor response execution. These end-state measures make it challenging to draw conclusions about precisely *how* in-task processing may be affected. Future study designs should consider incorporating advanced psychophysiological techniques, such as mobile EEG and fNIRS, to better understand the underlying processes during active workstation use.

Although previous research, including the present study, has assessed the effects of slow walking on a treadmill desk on executive function performance using standard neuropsychological tasks, future research should also address how executive function relates to metacognition (i.e., an individual's understanding of his or her knowledge and how to apply it to regulate and influence behavior; Bransford *et al.*, 1999), in order to connect to real-world job performance.

4.2. Conclusions

In sum, our findings suggest that global executive function performance remains relatively unaffected while walking on an active workstation, further supporting the use of treadmill workstations as an effective approach to increase physical activity and reduce sedentary time in the workplace. It remains to be determined whether other cognitive domains or work-related tasks are impacted

by slow treadmill walking and whether more chronic use of these workstations may result in improvements to select aspects of executive function. Given the accumulation of daily activity, our findings suggest that active workstations may be an effective way to reduce the public health threat of sedentary behavior without negatively impacting executive function.

Table 1. Participant demographic information by age (M \pm SD).

Characteristic	Young Adults	Middle-Age Adults
Sample size (♀)	32 (15)	26 (11)
Age (yr) *	20.6 \pm 2.0	45.6 \pm 11.8
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.2 \pm 3.3	24.1 \pm 3.5
Resting HR (bpm)	72.6 \pm 8.6	71.1 \pm 9.1
VO ₂ peak (mL·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹) *	43.6 \pm 11.4	32.6 \pm 10.2

* significant difference, unpaired *t*-test between groups, $p < .05$.

Table 2. Behavioral performance data for all executive function tasks (M \pm SD).

Condition	Young Adults		Middle-Age Adults	
	Sitting	Walking	Sitting	Walking
<i>Stroop</i>				
<i>Accuracy (%)</i>				
Congruent	96.7 \pm 0.7	97.3 \pm 0.7	97.0 \pm 0.8	96.8 \pm 0.8
Incongruent	93.6 \pm 2.7	93.6 \pm 2.5	88.4 \pm 3.0	89.6 \pm 2.7
<i>Reaction Time (ms)</i>				
Congruent	700.1 \pm 27.3	692.7 \pm 25.0	960.9 \pm 30.3	955.1 \pm 27.7
Incongruent	782.8 \pm 29.0	780.8 \pm 28.8	1084.5 \pm 32.2	1071.9 \pm 31.9
<i>Sternberg</i>				
<i>Accuracy (%)</i>				
3-set	97.7 \pm 0.3	97.5 \pm 0.3	96.7 \pm 1.3	96.3 \pm 1.1
5-set	96.2 \pm 0.5	96.1 \pm 0.4	95.0 \pm 1.2	95.2 \pm 1.0
7-set	92.3 \pm 0.6	92.5 \pm 0.6	87.5 \pm 2.3	88.4 \pm 1.7
<i>Reaction Time (ms)</i>				
3-set	707.6 \pm 32.9	678.6 \pm 32.2	895.1 \pm 36.5	925.9 \pm 35.7
5-set	839.5 \pm 37.6	801.6 \pm 32.6	991.4 \pm 41.7	971.4 \pm 36.1
7-set	1002.0 \pm 44.5	966.7 \pm 44.8	1154.6 \pm 49.3	1115.7 \pm 49.7
<i>WCST</i>				
<i>Accuracy (%)</i>	83.6 \pm 2.0	84.2 \pm 1.8	73.1 \pm 2.2	73.9 \pm 2.0
<i>PE (#)</i>	11.7 \pm 1.1	12.9 \pm 1.3	15.5 \pm 1.2	16.8 \pm 1.5
<i>NPE (#)</i>	8.3 \pm 2.7	6.3 \pm 1.9	18.2 \pm 3.0	16.0 \pm 2.2
<i>ToL</i>				
<i>Accuracy (%)</i> *				
4 moves	77.5 \pm 3.0	68.4 \pm 3.3	57.7 \pm 3.4	54.8 \pm 3.7
5 moves	61.9 \pm 4.0	55.9 \pm 4.2	39.6 \pm 4.4	39.2 \pm 4.7
6 moves	57.6 \pm 4.5	51.0 \pm 4.5	34.6 \pm 5.0	33.3 \pm 5.0
<i>PT (ms)</i> #				
4 moves	8291 \pm 923	8418 \pm 647	11953 \pm 1024	10477 \pm 718
5 moves	14751 \pm 1296	12216 \pm 1084	12135 \pm 1438	12276 \pm 1202
6 moves	15675 \pm 1357	14410 \pm 1661	12481 \pm 1505	15078 \pm 1843
<i>TET (ms)</i>				
4 moves	12566 \pm 724	12971 \pm 742	20736 \pm 1752	19951 \pm 1114
5 moves	21636 \pm 1283	19384 \pm 1223	23763 \pm 2087	24648 \pm 1727
6 moves	24161 \pm 1497	23920 \pm 1563	28399 \pm 2094	30777 \pm 2801

Note. % = percentage. ms = milliseconds. # = count. WCST: PE = perseverative errors; NPE = non-perseverative errors. ToL: PT = planning time. TET = total execution time.

* significant condition main effect, $p < .05$

significant age x condition interaction, $p < .05$

Table 3. Intensity and physical activity by condition (M \pm SD).

Variable	Sitting	Walking	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>-value
<i>Intensity</i>				
HR (bpm) *	78.4 \pm 9.0	96.3 \pm 11.1	14.6	< .001
<i>Physical Activity</i>				
Steps (#)	-	4509 \pm 955.5	-	-
Steps/min	-	87.8 \pm 13.8	-	-
Time (min)	51.4 \pm 8.2	50.6 \pm 8.7	0.9	.365

* significant difference, paired *t*-test between groups, *p* < .05.



Figure 1. TrekDesk active workstation.




Figure 2. PEBL executive function test battery.




Figure 3. Composite z-scores for (A) inhibition, (B) working memory, (C) cognitive flexibility, and (D) global executive function during sitting and walking conditions in both young and middle-age adults. Performance was significantly better among young adults relative to middle-age adults across task conditions. No effects due to treadmill walking were observed.

Appendix A. List of abbreviations.

ANOVA	analysis of variance
BMI	body mass index
bpm	beats per minute
cm	centimeters
dL	deciliters
EEG	electroencephalography
EF	executive function
η^2_p	partial eta squared
fNIRS	functional near infrared spectroscopy
h	hours
HDL	high-density lipoprotein
HR	heart rate
JASP	Jeffrey's Amazing Statistics Program
kg	kilograms
m	meters
M	mean
mg	milligrams
min	minutes
mL	milliliters
mmHg	millimeters of mercury
mph	miles per hour
NPE	non-perseverative errors

PAR-Q	physical activity readiness questionnaire
PE	perseverative errors
PEBL	Psychology Experiment Builder Language
PT	planning time
RPE	rating of perceived exertion
s	seconds
SD	standard deviation
TET	total execution time
ToL	Tower of London task
VO₂ peak	peak rate of oxygen consumption
WCST	Wisconsin Card Sorting Task
yr	years

References

- Alderman, B. L., Olson, R. L., & Mattina, D. M. (2014). Cognitive function during low-intensity walking: a test of the treadmill workstation. *Journal of Physical Activity & Health, 11*(4), 752-758.
- American College of Sports Medicine (2018). *ACSM's health-related physical fitness assessment manual*, 5th ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: Philadelphia, PA.
- Berg, E. A. (1948). A simple objective technique for measuring flexibility in thinking. *Journal of Experimental Psychology, 39*, 15-22.
- Biswas, A., Oh, P. I., Faulkner, G. E., Bajaj, R. R., Silver, M. A., Mitchell, M. S., et al. (2015). Sedentary time and its association with risk for disease incidence, mortality, and hospitalization in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Annals of Internal Medicine, 162*(2), 123-132.
- Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (1999). *How people learn: brain, mind, experience, and school*. National Academy Press: Washington, D.C.
- Brush, C. J., Olson, R. L., Ehmann, P. J., Osovsky, S., & Alderman, B. L. (2016). Dose-response and time course effects of acute resistance exercise on executive function. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 38*(4), 396-408.
- Buckley, J. P., Hedge, A., Yates, T., Copeland, R. J., Loosemore, M., Hamer, M., et al. (2015). The sedentary office: an expert statement on the growing case for change towards better health and productivity. *British Journal of Sports Medicine, 49*(21), 1357-1362.
- Buckner, R. L. (2004). Memory and executive function in aging and AD: multiple factors that cause decline and reserve factors that compensate. *Neuron, 44*(1), 195-208.
- Chang, Y. K., Chi, L., Etnier, J. L., Wang, C. C., Chu, C. H., & Zhou, C. (2014). Effect of acute aerobic exercise on cognitive performance: role of cardiovascular fitness. *Psychology of Sport & Exercise, 15*(5), 464-470.
- Chang, Y. K., Labban, J. D., Gapin, J. I., & Etnier, J. L. (2012). The effects of acute exercise on cognitive performance: a meta-analysis. *Brain Research, 1453*, 87-101.
- Colcombe, S., & Kramer, A. F. (2003). Fitness effects on the cognitive function of older adults: a meta-analytic study. *Psychological Science, 14*(2), 125-130.

- Diamond, A. (2013). Executive functions. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *64*, 135-168.
- Etnier, J. L., & Chang, Y. K. (2009). The effect of physical activity on executive function: a brief commentary on definitions, measurement issues, and the current state of the literature. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, *31*(4), 469-483.
- Fisk, J. E., & Sharp, C. A. (2004). Age-related impairment in executive functioning: updating, inhibition, shifting, and access. *Journal of Clinical & Experimental Neuropsychology*, *26*(7), 874-890.
- Jennings, J. R., & Wood, C. C. (1976). The epsilon-adjustment procedure for repeated-measures analyses of variance. *Psychophysiology*, *13*(3), 277-278.
- John, D., Bassett, D., Thompson, D., Fairbrother, J., & Baldwin, D. (2009). Effect of using a treadmill workstation on performance of simulated office work tasks. *Journal of Physical Activity & Health*, *6*(5), 617-624.
- John, D., Thompson, D. L., Raynor, H., Bielak, K., Rider, B., & Bassett, D. R. (2011). Treadmill workstations: a worksite physical activity intervention in overweight and obese office workers. *Journal of Physical Activity & Health*, *8*(8), 1034-1043.
- Koepp, G. A., Manohar, C. U., McCrady-Spitzer, S. K., Ben-Ner, A., Hamann, D. J., Runge, C. F., *et al.* (2013). Treadmill desks: a 1-year prospective trial. *Obesity*, *21*(4), 705-711.
- Larson, M. J., LeCheminant, J. D., Carbine, K., Hill, K. R., Christenson, E., Masterson, T., *et al.* (2015a). Slow walking on a treadmill desk does not negatively affect executive abilities: an examination of cognitive control, conflict adaptation, response inhibition, and post-error slowing. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *6*, 723.
- Larson, M. J., LeCheminant, J. D., Hill, K., Carbine, K., Masterson, T., & Christenson, E. (2015b). Cognitive and typing outcomes measured simultaneously with slow treadmill walking or sitting: implications for treadmill desks. *PloS One*, *10*(4), e0121309.
- Levine, J. A., & Miller, J. M. (2007). The energy expenditure of using a "walk-and-work" desk for office workers with obesity. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, *41*(9), 558-561.
- Matthews, C. E., Keadle, S. K., Troiano, R. P., Kahle, L., Koster, A., Brychta, R., *et al.* (2016). Accelerometer-measured dose-response for physical activity, sedentary time, and mortality in US adults. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *104*(5), 1424-1432.

- Mehta, R. K., Shortz, A. E., & Benden, M. E. (2015). Standing up for learning: a pilot investigation on the neurocognitive benefits of stand-biased school desks. *International Journal of Environmental Research & Public Health*, *13*(1), 59.
- Miyake, A., Friedman, N. P., Emerson, M. J., Witzki, A. H., Howerter, A., & Wager, T. D. (2000). The unity and diversity of executive functions and their contributions to complex “frontal lobe” tasks: a latent variable analysis. *Cognitive Psychology*, *41*(1), 49-100.
- Mueller, S. T., & Piper, B. J. (2014). The psychology experiment building language (PEBL) and PEBL test battery. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, *222*, 250-259.
- Mullane, S., Buman, M., Zeigler, Z., Crespo, N., & Gaesser, G. (2016). Acute effects on cognitive performance following bouts of standing and light-intensity physical activity in a simulated workplace environment. *Journal of Science & Medicine in Sport*, *20*(5), 489-493.
- Ohlinger, C. M., Horn, T. S., Berg, W. P., & Cox, R. H. (2011). The effect of active workstation use on measures of cognition, attention, and motor skill. *Journal of Physical Activity & Health*, *8*(1), 119-125.
- Pilcher, J. J., & Baker, V. C. (2016). Task performance and meta-cognitive outcomes when using activity workstations and traditional desks. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *7*, 957.
- Piper, B. J., Mueller, S. T., Geerken, A. R., Dixon, K. L., Kroliczak, G., Olsen, R. H., et al. (2015). Reliability and validity of neurobehavioral function on the Psychology Experimental Building Language test battery in young adults. *PeerJ*, *3*, e1460.
- Salthouse, T. A. (2004). What and when of cognitive aging. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *13*(4), 140-144.
- Shallice, T. (1982). Specific impairments of planning. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *298*(1089), 199-209.
- Sternberg, S. (1966). High-speed scanning in human memory. *Science*, *153*(3736), 652-654.
- Straker, L., Levine, J., & Campbell, A. (2009). The effects of walking and cycling computer workstations on keyboard and mouse performance. *Human Factors*, *51*(6), 831-844.
- Stroop, J. R. (1935). Studies of interference in serial verbal reactions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *18*(6), 643-662.

- Thomas, L., & Williams, M. (2006). Promoting physical activity in the workplace: using pedometers to increase daily activity levels. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia*, *17*(2), 97-102.
- Thompson, W. G., & Levine, J. A. (2011). Productivity of transcriptionists using a treadmill desk. *Work*, *40*(4), 473-477.
- Tomprowski, P. D., & Audiffren, M. (2014). Dual-task performance in young and older adults: speed-accuracy tradeoffs in choice responding while treadmill walking. *Journal of Aging & Physical Activity*, *22*(4), 557-563.
- Torbeyns, T., Bailey, S., Bos, I., & Meeusen, R. (2014). Active workstations to fight sedentary behaviour. *Sports Medicine*, *44*(9), 1261-1273.
- Tudor-Locke, C., & Bassett, D. R., Jr. (2004). How many steps/day are enough? Preliminary pedometer indices for public health. *Sports Medicine*, *34*(1), 1-8.
- Tudor-Locke, C., Hendrick, C. A., Duet, M. T., Swift, D. L., Schuna, J. M., Jr., Martin, C. K., *et al.* (2014). Implementation and adherence issues in a workplace treadmill desk intervention. *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, & Metabolism*, *39*(10), 1104-1111.