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Migrating artists and artwork achieved the successful fashioning of the Belvedere, Prince Eugene of Savoy's (1663-1736) magnificent summer palace in Vienna designed by the leading architect Johann Lukas von Hildebrandt (1668-1745) and constructed between 1697 and 1723. A manifestation of global artistic patronage, the large estate suited Prince Eugene to conduct affairs as the president of the Imperial War Council while serving Emperors Leopold I (reigned 1658-1705), Joseph I (reigned 1705-1711) and Charles VI (reigned 1711-1740). The prince did so in the presence of early modern Europe’s grandest collection of European easel and ceiling paintings, which he displayed prominently amidst rare Asian porcelain and imported Indian textiles. Prince Eugene also hired Italian specialists to paint elaborate figurative and illusionistic frescos in situ, which complemented the monumental stuccos and an impressive collection of scientific instruments and a library, divided between his city palace and the Belvedere, with over 15,000 books and precious manuscripts. The prince even imported exotic
animals and plant species to the palace gardens conceived in the French Baroque manner. My dissertation is the first study to investigate the significance of the vast collection of artwork and foreign curiosities which crossed cultural boundaries and political borders to reach the Belvedere. Its splendid outfitting attests to Prince Eugene’s own intellect, immense wealth, elite status, and prominent political position. By reconstructing the marvelous and astounding display of international artistic objects and exotic specimens at the Belvedere, which communicated directly with viewers and elevated the surroundings, my dissertation enhances scholarship on the reciprocity between art, politics, globalization, and cultural transmission in early modern central Europe.
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INTRODUCTION

In October 1697, Prince Eugene of Savoy (1663-1736) purchased a vast expanse of gradually sloping terrain just beyond the Viennese city walls.¹ This acquisition immediately followed the Battle of Zenta (1697), the prince’s decisive defeat of the Ottomans during the Great Turkish War (1683-1699) which led to the signing of the Treaty of Karlowitz (1699).² This treaty not only sparked the Ottoman Empire’s “unrelenting decline,” it also firmly established the Austrian Habsburgs’ political dominance in Europe at the dawn of the eighteenth century.³ The victorious Prince Eugene then commissioned Johann Lukas von Hildebrandt

¹ Prince Eugene of Savoy was born in Paris at the Hôtel de Soissons on October 18, 1663. He died aged seventy-two in Vienna on April 21, 1736. Prince Eugene was raised at the court of Louis XIV (reigned 1643-1715) before he enlisted in the military service of Leopold I (reigned 1658-1705) in 1683. He is the great-grandson of the Duke of Savoy Charles-Emanuel I (1562-1630), the grandson of Thomas Francis the Prince of Carignano (1596-1656), who is Charles-Emanuel’s youngest son and the founder of the Savoy-Carignano branch line. Eugene’s father is Thomas Francis’ youngest son, Eugene Maurice the Count of Soissons (1635-1673). Eugene’s mother, Olympia Mancini the Countess of Soissons (1638-1708) is a niece of Cardinal Mazarin (1602-1661), the chief minister of Louis XIV from 1642 until his death. For a detailed biography on the prince, see Braubach, Max, Prinz Eugen von Savoyen: Eine Biographie, 5 vols. (Munich: R. Oldenbourg Verlag, 1965).
² The Battle of Zenta concluded on September 11, 1697. The signatories of the Treaty of Karlowitz are the Ottoman Empire, the Holy Roman Empire, Poland-Lithuania, the Republic of Venice, the Papal States, and the Tsardom of Russia. The signing took place in Sremski Karlovci, Serbia on January 26, 1699. See Max Braubach, Prince Eugen von Savoyen: Aufstieg, vol. 1 (Wien: Verlag für Geschichte und Politik, 1963), 258–62, 269–71.
³ Martin Sicker, The Islamic World in Decline: From the Treaty of Karlowitz to the Disintegration of the Ottoman Empire (Westport, Conn: Praeger, 2001), 32.
(1668-1745) to design a single-story palace on his new plot that borders the Vorstädte Wieden and Landstrasse.4 Now called the Lower Belvedere, this structure, a villa suburbana or Lustschloss, is located on the estate’s lowest point at the north end (figs. 1-3). It was erected and decorated between 1712 and 1717. Also in 1717, Dominique Girard (1680-1738), Louis XIV’s (reigned 1643-1715) water engineer at Versailles, conceived of formal gardens in the French Baroque manner (fig. 4-5). He was previously employed by Maximilian II Emanuel, the Prince Elector of Bavaria and Governor of the Spanish Netherlands and Luxemburg (reigned 1679-1726). At the same time, Prince Eugene fought in the Austro-Turkish War (1716-1718) that was sparked by the Ottomans’ dissatisfaction with the Treaty of Karlowitz (1699). In August and October 1716, the prince crushed the Ottomans twice in Petrovaradin and Timișoara, and then again, in Belgrade in August 1717.5 Prince Eugene’s daring conquests resulted in the signing of the Treaty of Passarowitz (1718) that permanently ended the Ottoman’s westward expansion and granted substantial Balkan territories to the Austrian

4 A Vorstadt (pl. Vorstädte), or suburb, is a specific term used to describe the outlying cities that surrounded medieval Vienna. By the eighteenth century, they had grown all the way up to the city walls but only the first district, or Innenstadt, was officially called Vienna until 1857. The suburb Landstrasse is now Vienna’s third district and Wieden is the city’s fourth district. It should be noted that after his initial purchase in 1697, Prince Eugene purchased additional land for the Belvedere and its gardens in 1706, 1708, 1716, 1720, 1721, and 1733, at which point the entire area measured 155,545 square meters. Then, after 1752, Maria Theresia added 10,000 square meters to the Belvedere’s grounds. See Gottfried Mraz, Belvedere: Schloß und Park des Prinzen Eugen (Vienna: Herder & Co., 1988), 27; Maria Auböck, Willibald Ludwig, and Ingrid Gregor, eds., Das Belvedere: der Garten des Prinzen Eugen in Wien (Wien: Holzhausen, 2003), 190–92.

Hapsburgs. The triumphant prince then commissioned Hildebrandt again to design a two-story palace on his garden estate’s most elevated point at the south end (fig. 6-8). Now called the Upper Belvedere, this structure was constructed and decorated between 1717 and 1723. It offers a magnificent panorama of Vienna and a clear view of Kahlenberg Mountain, where, in 1683, Prince Eugene had first fought against the Ottomans victoriously (fig. 9).

The Belvedere, a name first used by Empress Maria Theresa (reigned 1740-1780) who purchased the site in 1752, accurately encapsulates the magnificent architecture and stunning vistas that Prince Eugene commissioned. Accordingly, the empress hired Bernardo Bellotto (1722-1780), a *veduta* specialist and the nephew and pupil of Canaletto (1697-1768), to memorialize the Belvedere’s splendid view of the eighteenth-century imperial city with a painting (fig. 10). Prince Eugene also curated luxurious interiors at the Belvedere with assistance from his French interior designer Claude Le Fort du Plessy (died 1757). They were filled with paintings and frescoes, by Bolognese masters especially, ancient and contemporary sculptures, Asian porcelain, Indian textiles, and even astronomical instruments, which, together with exotic animals and plant species in the palace gardens, achieved an all-encompassing aesthetic and sensory experience. In his groundbreaking *Patrons and Painters*, Francis Haskell deems

---

6 This treaty was signed in Passarowitz, Serbia on July 21, 1718, by the Austrian Habsburgs, the Republic of Venice, and the Ottoman Empire. It resulted in twenty-four years of peace in the Hapsburgs’ Balkan territories called the Banat of Timișoara, Little Walachia, and in the lands that surround Belgrade. See Braubach, 3:372–79.

Prince Eugene “the most grandiose and influential private [art] patron in Europe.”

The Lower and Upper Belvedere’s interiors are a manifestation of global artistic patronage and they attest to Prince Eugene’s own intellect, immense wealth, elite status, and prominent political position.

The original architectural character of both the Lower and Upper Belvedere is preserved and Girard’s gardens were restored to the original design between 1991 and 2003. Permanent interior decorations like fresco and stucco are still in situ at the Belvedere, while the original textiles and furnishings are lost. Only five years after Prince Eugene’s death in 1736, his sole heir, Princess Maria Anna Victoria of Savoy (1683-1763), sold all the Belvedere’s most valuable artwork. She was the daughter of Prince Louis Thomas of Savoy, the Count of Soissons (1657-1702), who was Prince Eugene’s older brother. The princess never met her uncle and lived in a cloister in Turin, Italy for most of her adult life. When Prince Eugene died with no will, a committee determined she was the prince’s closest living relative in June 1736. This committee consisted of Count Philipp Ludwig Wenzel von Sinzendorf (1671-1742), Baron Carl Ludwig Hillebrand von Prandau (life dates unknown), Joseph Anton Stockhammer (life dates

---

10 For more on the princess and her role in the dispersal of the Belvedere’s contents and the rest of Prince Eugene’s estate, see Alfred Ritter von Arneth, Prinz Eugen von Savoyen: 1719-1736 (Druck und Verlag der topogr.-literar.-artst Anstalt, 1858), 501; Max Braubach, Prinz Eugen von Savoyen: Mensch und Schicksal, vol. 5 (Wien: Böhlau, 1965), 327–33, 462. The original document that names the princess the heiress can be found at the Archive in Turin. See “Materie politiche per rapporto all’interno, Principi di Carignano-Soisson,” n.d., mazzo 6, n. 5, Archivio di Stato di Torino, Piazza Castello.
unknown), and four additional councilors named Mannagetta (life dates unknown), Pelser (life dates unknown), Doblhoff (life dates unknown), and Karlmünzer (life dates unknown).\textsuperscript{11} Cardinal Carlo Colonna (1665-1739), Prince Eugene’s distant cousin on his mother’s side, laid claim to this sizable inheritance in the end of November in 1737. Yet the princess was again named the heiress officially and uncontestably on February 28, 1738.\textsuperscript{12} Shortly thereafter, aged fifty-two, she married Prince Joseph of Saxe-Hildburghausen (1702-1787) on April 17, 1738. They soon sold Prince Eugene’s collections with items that ranged from books to easel paintings, to the Belvedere’s three rare antique sculptures that were unearthed in Herculaneum. Especially the princess stands accused of squandering the prince’s estate, liquidating it quickly. At first, she likely did this to build up a dowry and find a decent suitor despite her old age. Yet Saxe-Hildburghausen must have played a part in the collections’ dispersal. Even though this couple separated in 1752, they never divorced. At the same time, the duke nearly went bankrupt. Also in 1752, the Belvedere was sold to Maria Theresia and the princess signed the contract herself.\textsuperscript{13} Perhaps, she has been conveniently blamed for the prince’s financial mistakes. Indeed, he remained a favorite member

\textsuperscript{13} “Erklärung der Herzogin Anna Victoria von Sachsen, geborenen Prinzessin von Savoyen, kraft deren selbe alle ihre auf was immer für Titeln beruhenden Rechte und Ansprüche auf die Herrschaft Hof und Niederweiden und Stadtpalais mit Garten (‘Belvedere’) an die Kaiserin Maria,” 1752, AT-OeStA/HHStA UR FUK 1953, Österreichisches Staatsarchiv.
at the imperial court but was eventually sent to Germany to take over his family’s dukedom or face financial ruin.\textsuperscript{14}

While all the Belvedere’s artwork has long been removed from the palace, thankfully, circa 1729, the prince commissioned Salomon Kleiner (1700-1761) to capture the Belvedere in 140 detailed drawings. Engraved, printed, and circulated throughout Europe as a deliberate strategy to promote the prince and publicize the Belvedere, these renderings are invaluable primary sources that allowed me to reconstruct and analyze the palace’s original interior decoration with great accuracy.\textsuperscript{15} A painting inventory, ordered by Princess Maria Anna Victoria and complied by Antonio Maria Zanetti (1689-1767) and Antonio Daniele Bertoli (1677-1743) in 1736, strongly supports that Kleiner’s visual evidence of the palace interiors is accurate [Document 1].\textsuperscript{16} In 2020, Silvia Tommaro gave a talk on Zanetti and Bertoli that was concerned with their efforts to sell but also conceal valuable paintings and art objects in the Prince’s collection.\textsuperscript{17} For example, they used ambiguous titles and descriptions in their various letters and transactions to

\begin{footnotesize}
\textsuperscript{14} For more on the prince, see Frank Huss, \textit{Prinz Josef Friedrich von Sachsen-Hildburghausen: der “Erbe” des Prinzen Eugen; [Feldherr, Ehemann, Lebemann und Partylöwe im Wien Maria Theresias]} (Wien: Huss, 2005).
\textsuperscript{16} The original inventory is stored at the British National Archives in London and filed in the box State Papers Austria 121. It is also printed in Leopold Auer and Jeremy Black, “Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldesammlung Prinz Eugens,” \textit{Mitteilungen der Österreichischen Staatsarchivs} 38 (1986): 336–46.
\textsuperscript{17} Silvia Tommaro, “An International Charade. Antonio Maria Zanetti the Elder and Eugene of Savoy’s Paintings” (Academic Conference, Patrons, Intermediaries, and Venetian Artists in Vienna and Imperial Domains (1650-1750), University of Ljubljana, Slovenia, September 24, 2020).
\end{footnotesize}
disguise their own plundering of the prince’s many treasures. My study uses the painting inventory, not to discuss Zanetti and Bertoli’s dealings or challenge the attribution of paintings listed, but rather, to understand how and where paintings were hung at the Belvedere. The inventory provides specifics about the tally of paintings in each space and other details like the chambers’ various colors.

European nobles on the Grand Tour, including Karl Ludwig Freiherr von Pöllnitz (1692-1775), who visited the Lower Belvedere and palace gardens in 1719, and Johann Basilius Küchelbecker (1697–1757), who explored the Upper Belvedere and palace gardens in 1727 or 1728, wrote detailed accounts that verify Kleiner’s contemporary representations.18 Already in 1716, Jean Verslype (1653-1735) visited the Lower Belvedere but only wrote a brief report.19 In contrast, Pöllnitz’s comments about the prince’s palaces are descriptive and adulatory. For example, he states that the Hofburg, the residence of the Emperor, “hat übrigens nichts schönes an sich, außer seine Größe.”20 On the other hand, the palaces of


20 Translation: "has nothing special about it, except for its size." See Pöllnitz, *Des Freyherrn von Pöllnitz Neue Nachrichten, Welche seine Lebens-Geschichte Und eine Ausführliche Beschreibung Von Seinen ersten Reisen In sich enthalten: Wie sie nach
Prince Eugene possess more beauty than any other structures in the city. In fact, he declares Eugene’s winter palace to be the “herlichste [sic] Gebäude” in Vienna, as it was filled with the “herrlichsten Erfindungen und aller ersinnlichsten [sic] Kostbarkeit” one could see in all of Austria in 1719.\textsuperscript{21} In his account of the Lower Belvedere, Pöllnitz notes the beautiful frescoes and describes the immense gardens with their elaborate terraces and water works.\textsuperscript{22} Finally, Prince Eugene’s extensive correspondence at the Österreichische Staatsarchiv upholds that he played an active role in commissioning foreign artists and acquiring international rarities for his garden palace. They crossed cultural boundaries and political borders to reach imperial Vienna, and in doing so, achieved the successful fashioning of the Belvedere.

The layout of rooms at the Lower and Upper Belvedere is a unique amalgamation of formal public and more intimate spaces that are based on Italian and French architectural prototypes. It is worth noting that Prince Eugene is also of Italian and French descent, but he lived his entire adult life in Austria. The Belvedere and its gardens echo Versailles, which the prince knew through having grown up at the French royal court of Louis XIV.\textsuperscript{23} The subject of an exhibition at the Metropolitan Museum of Art in 2018, Versailles was used by the Sun King to host spectacular diplomatic events that were open to the French court and to the

---

\textsuperscript{21} Translation: “most magnificent” and “most magnificent inventions and every imaginable luxury.” See Pöllnitz, 4:35.

\textsuperscript{22} Pöllnitz, 4:37.

These lavish and well-publicized ceremonies made a strong and lasting impression on the young Eugene, who was only aged twenty when he ultimately left France. The prince took this imprinted knowledge with him to Vienna, as inspiration for the planning and decorating of the Belvedere. Prince Eugene’s international patronage transformed the Belvedere into a monument that had the capacity to help foreign nations better understand the culture of imperial Austria, and thus, it could facilitate tolerance and establish a mutual understanding between dignitaries and the Holy Roman Emperors whom Prince Eugene represented.

Prince Eugene served Emperors Leopold I (reigned 1658-1705), Joseph I (reigned 1705-1711) and Charles VI (reigned 1711-1740) as the President of the Imperial War Council between 1703 and his death in 1736. The Imperial War Council is also known as the Hofkriegsrat or Aulic War Council. Already in 1556, Ferdinand I (reigned 1556-1564) established the Imperial War Council to serve as the main authority directing the military and managing its administrative affairs. The president also handled all matters concerning the Ottoman Turks, ranging from warfare to diplomacy. Prince Eugene served simultaneously as the governor of the Duchy of Milan between 1706 and 1716, and then, between 1716 to 1724,

---

as the governor of the Austrian Netherlands. Prince Eugene ruled both Habsburg territories in absentia from Vienna. Therefore, for the performance of official ceremonies and audiences at the Belvedere, Prince Eugene created a carefully designed stage that conveys grandeur and emphasizes his political authority. The palace’s events and significant happenings are detailed in the *Wienerisches Diarium*, a contemporary newspaper that was first issued on August 8, 1703. This newspaper’s purpose was to provide information ranging from international news to contemporary events, happenings at the imperial court, baptisms, and obituaries. It is one of the oldest newspapers in Europe and is still published today under the name *Wiener Zeitung*. For example, it reports that the prince hosted the Grand Vizier Nevsehirili Damad Ibrahim Pasha (ca. 1662-1730) at the garden palace on October 2, 1719. Representing his father-in-law the Sultan Ahmed III (reigned 1703-1730), Pasha first came to Vienna at the conclusion of the Austro-Turkish War (1716-1718) to negotiate the Treaty of Passarowitz (1718). Prince Eugene’s important audience with Pasha at the Belvedere took place at the dawn of the Tulip Period (1718-1730). Historians describe this era as the first and only time during the entire early modern period in which the Ottoman Sultan allowed contemporary European art and culture to influence his court in Istanbul.

---

31 For more on the Tulip Period, see Josef Matuz, *Das Osmanische Reich: Grundlinien seiner Geschichte*, 3., unveränd. Aufl (Darmstadt: Primus-Verl, 1996), 191–97; Ariel
Certainly, Prince Eugene and Pasha’s encounter at the Belvedere led to critical exchanges that encouraged stability in central and eastern Europe during the prince’s entire tenure as the Imperial War Council’s president. Accordingly, the *Wienerisches Diarium* testifies that on June 11, 1731, to announce the reign of Mahmod I (reigned 1730-1754), Prince Eugene held an audience at the Belvedere again, with the ambassador Mustafa Efendi (life dates unknown). Kleiner drew this event to publicize Prince Eugene’s prominent role as the official liaison between the Habsburg Emperors and the Sultans of the Ottoman Empire (fig. 11). Kleiner’s rendering reveals that the Belvedere was an appropriate arena for Prince Eugene’s important interactions that pacified centuries of strife on the eastern Habsburg front, and thus, enabled the prince to shift his energies from the battlefield to cultural diplomacy that was manifested in his vast patronage of architecture, art, and science.

It should be noted that since the middle ages, Austria’s nobility typically owned palaces within Vienna’s city wall and near the Hofburg palace of the Habsburg Emperors. This is due to the continuous Ottoman invasions that had

---


threatened the city for over three centuries until the Battle of Vienna on September 12, 1683. This date marks the conclusion of the Ottomans’ final, two-month-long siege that did not penetrate Vienna’s city wall but left the Vorstädt in complete ruin. After 1683, now free from the threat of invasion, the Vorstädt attracted nobles and the Holy Roman Emperor who built freely upon the mostly vacant terrain. The erection of the Belvedere coincides with many other impressive garden palace projects in the Vorstädt that date to the first half of the eighteenth century. It is also not the very first structure that Prince Eugene commissioned in Vienna. Already in 1695, he had hired Johann Bernhard Fischer von Erlach (1656-1723) to design his city palace in the Innenstadt’s Himmelpfortgasse (fig 12-13). This structure functioned as the prince’s winter palace. Once the Belvedere was complete, it served as Prince Eugene’s summer palace. Indeed, the Lower and Upper Belvedere were erected during a construction boom that lasted into the mid eighteenth century, reflecting that garden palaces became common expressions of power and wealth in Baroque Vienna. Yet, in both scale and ambition, the Belvedere surpasses all the city’s other contemporary garden palaces which date to the first two decades of the eighteenth century. In fact, until Empress Maria Theresa (reigned 1740-1780) reconstructed Schönbrunn Castle under the direction of Fischer von Erlach in the mid-century, no garden palace in or near the

---

33 See footnote 7 in this chapter.
34 They include, but are not limited to, the imperial Favoritenhof and Augarten, Palais Trauston, Palais Schönborn, Palais Auersperg, Palais Schwarzenberg, and Palais Lichtenstein. For more details on Viennese garden palaces that date to the first three decades of the eighteenth century, see Harald Zinner, “Das Gartenpalais Mansfeld-Fondi-Schwarzenberg. Genese eines barocken Gartenpalais unter Einbeziehung des Milieus der Wiener Adelsarchitektur” (Diplomarbeit, Wien, Universität Wien, 2011).
imperial city overshadowed Prince Eugene’s Belvedere due to its vast and opulent display of international artwork and lavish rarities.

Prince Eugene’s choice to hire both Fischer von Erlach and Hildebrandt for his two palaces in the imperial city must be understood as a proud demonstration of his capacity to retain contemporary Vienna’s two most respected and sought-after architects. This choice was Prince Eugene’s public declaration of his recently attained high social and financial standing. While he was of noble birth, Prince Eugene was never an actively ruling prince and he had no inheritance. He only gained wealth through his lifelong and successful military campaigns in the service of the Habsburgs. Accordingly, to express and underscore the height of his self-made status, in addition to the city palace and Belvedere, Prince Eugene commissioned three additional structures from Hildebrandt. All three are in eastern Habsburg territories, on the lands that the prince had captured himself. For example, two four-wing palaces; one is in Ráckeve, Hungary on the Danube Island of Budapest, the other is near the Drava river in Bilje, Croatia (figs. 14-16). Both palaces were abandoned after Prince Eugene’s death. While they deserve considerable scholarly attention, at this time, neither structure offers any clues about the Belvedere’s decoration.\footnote{For more on the Ráckeve Castle, see Ervin Ybl, “Das Schloss des Prinzen von Savoyen in Ráckeve,” \textit{Wiener Jahrbuch für Kunstgeschichte} 4-18 (1926): 111–32; Jeno Rados, “Das ehemalige Schloß des Prinzen Eugen von Savoyen in Ráckeve,” \textit{Südtiroler Burgeninstitut; Verein zur Erhaltung Privater Baudenkmälern und Sonstiger Kulturgütern in Bayern} 9 (1987): 223–25; Ulrike Seeger, ed., “Die wirtschaftliche und architektonische Inbesitznahme der mittleren Donau nach den Friedensschlüssen von Karlowitz und Passarowitz: Livio Odescalchi und Prinz Eugen,” in \textit{Europäische Galeriebauten} (München: Hirmer, 2010), 568–78.} In contrast, as discussed at length in chapter two, Prince Eugene’s country palace called Schloss Hof in Marchfeld, Austria,
which is very near to the border of Slovakia, was outfitted in the same spirit as the Belvedere (figs. 17-18). This structure’s entire contents are described in an exhaustive inventory that was compiled in 1736.\textsuperscript{36} It offers many important clues about the Belvedere’s outfitting, as does the extant artwork and splendid furnishings that originate from Prince Eugene’s city palace.\textsuperscript{37}

Bruno Grimschitz was the first art historian to publish specifically on the Belvedere in 1920 and 1946.\textsuperscript{38} During his lifetime, Grimschitz was considered the Hildebrandt expert and laid important groundwork for understanding the architectural history and function of the Belvedere. However, unfortunate racial undertones corrupt his studies. For example, in \textit{Das Belvedere in Wien}, to stress a Germanic spirit in Baroque culture, Grimschitz comments “wie genial Hildebrandt das italienische Erbe, das er nach Wien verpflanzt hatte, in die Sprache seiner deutschen Eltern zu verwandeln vermochte.”\textsuperscript{39} Even though his studies of the Belvedere are not funneled overtly through a Nazi lens, Grimschitz’s legacy and prominent role in the Aryanization of art while director of the Austrian State Galleries during the era of National Socialism discredits his scholarship.

\textsuperscript{36} A copy of the inventory is printed in Harald Frantes, “Die vollständige Originalausstattung der Schlösser Hof and der March und Niederweiden von 1736: Das Nachlaßinventar des Prinzen Eugen von Savoyen.” (Diplomarbeit, Wien, Universität Wien, 2005).
\textsuperscript{39} Translation: “how ingeniously Hildebrandt transformed Italian artistic heritage, which he transplanted in Vienna, into the language of his German parents.” See Grimschitz, \textit{Das Belvedere in Wien}, 24.
yet it may be acknowledged that, already in 1920, Grimschitz observed accurately “als eine Einheit muss die Gesammtanlage des Belvederes gefasst werden, der Bau nur in den Zusammenhang mit dem Garten, diese wieder nur als Rahmen der Architektur organisch geworden und verständlich.”

In 1923, Franz Martin Haberditzl published the first study related to the Lower Belvedere’s interior decoration and it looks briefly at the Marble Hall’s oval reliefs and figurative ceiling fresco (figs. 19-20). Noting that, in 1716, Martino Altomonte (1658-1745) had signed and dated two small lunettes that depict mythological figures in Prince Eugene’s bed chamber, Haberditzl assumes that the same artist also painted the figurative fresco nearby in the Marble Hall (figs. 21-22). Haberditzl’s attribution follows Julius Klaus, who first suggested it in his monograph titled *Martino Altomonte: Sein Leben und Sein Werk in Österreich* and published in 1916. Then, in 1955, Gertrude Aurenhammer analyzed Altomonte’s sketchbook at the archive of Stift Melk and published her findings in the *Jahrbuch für Landeskunde von Niederösterreich*. Building on Klaus and Haberditzl’s attribution, Aurenhammer connects Altomonte’s sketches of a back figure, female head, and various arm drawings to Urania and Clio on the vault of the Marble Hall.

---

41 Translation: “as a whole, is how the Belvedere must be understood, the building in unison with the gardens which, again, only frame the architecture organically to make it legible.” See Grimschitz, *Das Wiener Belvedere*, 9.
42 Franz Martin Haberditzl, *Das Barockmuseum im Unteren Belvedere* (Wien: Österreichische Galerie Belvedere, 1923).
43 Haberditzl, LXXII.
at the Lower Belvedere (figs. 23-28). Yet Aurenhammer admits that Altomonte’s drawings are only vaguely similar to the two frescoed figures, and moreover, they lack the unique attributes that accompany Urania and Clio in the executed fresco.\footnote{Aurenhammer, 253.}

Aurenhammer explains that these sketches are “\textit{noch nicht wie im Fresco…angepaßt}” because they represent an early phase in the composition’s conception.\footnote{Translation: “not adapted yet, as seen in the fresco.” See Aurenhammer, 253.} Aurenhammer cites another of Altomonte’s sketches in support of her claim, now at the Albertina which depicts a lone, galloping horse (fig. 29-30).\footnote{Aurenhammer, 253.} This drawing too is only vaguely similar to the frescoed horse that leads Apollo’s chariot on the vault at the Lower Belvedere. Neither the position of the horse’s neck and head, nor his front legs and hooves, match the horse in the executed fresco. That is because Altomonte’s drawings are not likely related to the fresco at the Lower Belvedere which Carlo Innocenzo Carloni (1686-1775) painted. Amalia Barigozzi Brini and Clara Garas establish Carloni’s authorship of this fresco firmly and confidently in their monograph that is dedicated to Carloni and which was published in 1967.\footnote{See Hans Aurenhammer, “Das Untere Belvedere,” in \textit{Österreichisches Barockmuseum: Katalog} (Wien: Verlag der Österreichischen Galerie, 1958).} Yet still before this publication, in 1958, Hans Aurenhammer again supported Altomonte’s authorship of the ceiling fresco at the Lower Belvedere.\footnote{Aurenhammer, 10–11.} In consequence of these early studies that pre-date Brini and Gara’s monograph on Carloni, Altomonte and not Carloni is most often credited as the
Lower Belvedere’s Marble Hall’s figurative frescoist. This remains unchallenged in German-language art-historical publications which concern the Belvedere.\footnote{One reason may be due to the Aurenhammer’s reiteration of the attribution in their monograph dedicated to Altomonte and published in 1965. Yet even in this study, the authors acknowledge that at the time the Lower Belvedere’s Marble Hall’s vault’s painting, Altomonte was only just embarking on his career. Therefore, the grand commission from Prince Eugene was quite remarkable. Especially considering that Altomonte never again frescoed a vault on his own during his entire career. Garas therefore addressed the controversy in the German language in her article in the catalog from the exhibition Ölskizzen: Carlo Innocenzo Carloni that the Salzburger Barockmuseum hosted in 1986. She states clearly that “die historischen wie stilistischen Indizien sprechen … für Carlones Autorenchaft,” or the historical and stylistic indicators speak…for Carloni’s authorship, and he executed the fresco alongside Chiarini in 1716. Yet even recently, in 2020, the Belvedere still repeats the Aurenhammer’s attribution without providing support or challenging it in Belvedere: Geschichte und Architektur that is not scholarly but written for the public. See Hans Aurenhammer and Gertrude Aurenhammer, Martino Altomonte (Wien: Verlag Herold, 1965), 31–34; Amalia Barigozzi Brini and Klara Garas, Carlo Innocenzo Carloni (Milano: Casa Ed. Ceschina, 1967), 28–31; Klara Garas, “Zu Leben und Werk des Carlo Innocenzo Carloni (1686-1775),” in Ölskizzen: Carlo Innocenzo Carloni, Schriften des Salzburger Barockmuseums 13 (Salzburg: Salzburger Barockmuseums, 1986), 7–18; Georg Lechner, Das Belvedere - Geschichte und Architektur, 2020.}

The first exhibition to honor Prince Eugene in 1933 paid tribute to the prince’s military achievements, activity as a bibliophile, and his patronage of art.\footnote{Oswald Redlich, ed., Katalog der Prinz Eugen Ausstellung Wien, Belvedere, Mai-Oktober 1933 (Wien: Verlag der Museumsfreunde in Wien, 1933).} Hosted at the Lower Belvedere but not focused on this structure’s furnishing, the exhibition featured a wide range of objects including confiscated Ottoman weapons, textiles from Schloss Hof, and Prince Eugene’s own books. It took place only five years before Austria’s Anschluß (1938) and the curators intended to portray Prince Eugene as “das unvergessene Symbol jenes alten Österreich, das sich in schwerem Kampfe emporringt.”\footnote{Translation: “the unforgettable symbol of old Austria, that rises to the occasion in difficult times.” See Redlich, 11. Only five years after the exhibition, following the Anschluss in March 1938, Redlich promptly resigned from his position as the President of the National Academy of Science because its new mission was to act “enthusiastically and dutifully at the exclusive service of the Greater German Volksstaat [people’s state],” according to the new president Heinrich Srbik. See Johannes Feichtinger, Silke Fengler,
interiors are both covered for the first time and in greater detail in the special edition of the *Österreichische Galerie* series from 1963.\textsuperscript{54} A compilation of scholarly essays, this book was printed in conjunction with an exhibition on view at the Lower Belvedere between October 19, 1963 and January 6, 1964. It commemorated Prince Eugene on the occasion of his 300\textsuperscript{th} birthday and produced a catalogue that details forty-seven objects which were chosen for display, including the prince’s various paintings, prints, and books.\textsuperscript{55} The exhibition also followed restorations made to the ceiling fresco in the Marble Hall of the Lower Belvedere that were concluded in 1963.\textsuperscript{56} Excluding Gerhart Egger’s *Das Konzept der Innenräume der Belvedere*, which touches briefly upon the important connections between the interior decoration and the palace gardens, the *Österreichische Galerie* authors highlight primarily individual artists and artwork at the Belvedere. In contrast, the accompanying exhibition catalogue introduces all of Prince Eugene’s palaces and includes a range of objects to embody more broadly the vast nature of the prince’s undertaking at the Belvedere as a “Freund der Künste.”\textsuperscript{57}

In 1965, following the first scholarly book to look closely at Prince Eugene’s military career published by Helmut Oehler in 1944, the historian Max Braubach

\begin{flushright}


\end{flushright}
put out his five-volume study titled *Prinz Eugen von Savoyen.* It is dedicated primarily to Prince Eugene’s prolific military career, but the fifth volume looks at his patronage of architecture, fine art, and books. Volume five includes a brief examination of the Belvedere and Braubach discusses the dispersal and sale of Prince Eugene’s artwork and most valuable possessions. Concerned with history rather than visual studies, Braubach’s book serves as an essential source for the location of primary documents related to Prince Eugene at the Österreichisches Staatsarchiv. Also in 1965, Braubach published a short article that details Prince Eugene’s collection of easel paintings. This article analyzes a sale catalogue that Prince Eugene’s heir had drawn up in 1737 and lists 175 works in total. In 1783, Josef Friedrich Freiherr von Retzer (1754-1824), discovered the original list and he translated it from French into German for publication. Braubach analyzes Retzer’s translation and cites the correspondence of the diplomat Count Gerolamo Luigi Malabaila di Canale (1704-1773), the representative of Charles Emmanuel III (reigned 1730-1773), the King of Sardinia and Duke of Savoy. This

60 Braubach, 5:40–56, 327–33.
correspondence was first discovered by Italian art historian Alessandro Baudi di Vesme in the nineteenth century.\textsuperscript{63} It reveals that, in addition to ten works by Jan van Hutchenberg (1647-1733), Charles Emmanuel III purchased 131 additional paintings that Prince Eugene had hung at the Belvedere and his city palace.\textsuperscript{64} In 1741, they were transported from Vienna to Turin, and most are still on display at the Sabauda Gallery, as discussed in chapter three. Finally, and in 1965, Hans Aurenhammer published Salomon Kleiner’s 140 engraved drawings of the Belvedere.\textsuperscript{65} The Belvedere Research Center owns an original copy that the workshop of Jeremias Wolff (1663-1724) engraved and published in Augsburg between 1731 and 1740.\textsuperscript{66}

Between September 29 and October 5, 1969, select books from Prince Eugene’s collection were featured during an exhibition at the Austrian National Library.\textsuperscript{67} Also in 1969, Gertrude Aurenhammer published a short article in the *Mitteilungen der Österreichischen Galerie* about the Belvedere’s history and use following Prince Eugene’s death.\textsuperscript{68} In the same year, together with Hans Aurenhammer, she published *Wienerisches Welttheater: das barocke Wien in Stichen; Das Belvedere in Wien*.\textsuperscript{69} This book presents for the first time all of

\textsuperscript{64} Braubach, “Die Gemäldesammlung des Prinzen Eugen von Savoyen,” 35.
\textsuperscript{65} Hans Aurenhammer, *Das Belvedere in Bildern Salomon Kleiners* (Wien: Österreichische Galerie, 1965).
\textsuperscript{66} https://www.belvedere.at/en/research#ResearchCenter
\textsuperscript{69} *Wienerisches Welttheater: das barocke Wien in Stichen; Das Belvedere in Wien.*
Kleiner’s drawings of Vienna in three volumes. The second volume features Kleiner’s images of the Belvedere only. The same pair soon published another book titled Das Belvedere in Wien: Bauwerk Menschen Geschichte in 1971.\(^{70}\) It provides an overview of the Belvedere’s architectural chronology and is the first scholarly analysis of both palace’s interior decoration. In this book, the Aurenhammers again attribute the fresco in the center of the Lower Belvedere’s Marble Hall’s vault to Altomonte instead of Carloni, just as they did in 1965, in their monograph dedicated to Altomonte.\(^{71}\)

In 1977, historian Dereck McKay published Prince Eugene of Savoy.\(^{72}\) One of the first books on Prince Eugene written in English, its focus is on the prince’s military career but also includes a brief discussion of Eugene’s patronage. In 1978, in his doctoral thesis about the life and work of Giacomo del Pò (1654-1726) which was submitted to the University of Kansas, Donald Rabiner first discusses briefly the artist’s three significant ceiling paintings for Prince Eugene’s garden palace.\(^{73}\) Also in 1978, Sigfrid Asche published on Balthasar Permoser’s (1651-1732) life work and includes an analysis of the artist’s colossal statue depicting Prince Eugene that was on display at the Belvedere.\(^{74}\) This study follows the earlier but brief analysis of the same statue in Die Apotheose des Prinzen Eugen from 1946.\(^{75}\)


\(^{71}\) Aurenhammer and Aurenhammer, 8–9, 13. Also see Aurenhammer and Aurenhammer, Martino Altomonte, 31–34.


\(^{75}\) Balthasar Permoser, Die Apotheose des Prinzen Eugen (Berlin: Mann, 1946).
Then, in 1980, Elisabeth Herget printed Kleiner’s 140 engraved drawings of the Belvedere yet again, in *Das Belvedere zu Wien: nach dem Stichwerk in 140 Blättern aus den Jahren 1731 – 1740.* Herget’s brief but well-informed commentaries accompany each of Kleiner’s images that depict the Belvedere’s interiors, architecture, gardens, and menagerie.

In 1982, on the occasion of the Sabauda Gallery’s 150th anniversary, Carla Spantigati published twice on Prince Eugene’s paintings from Vienna that are now in Turin. In 1983, Ulrike Grimm published Marcantonio Chiarini’s (1652-1730) preparatory drawing which he had created for the Lower Belvedere’s *quadratura* frescoes circa 1715 (fig. 31). This drawing is the only known primary source that is securely related to Prince Eugene’s vast fresco commissions at the Belvedere. Grimm discovered it at the Albertina, a collection formed from the imperial print collection in the late eighteenth century which began with Charles VI’s purchase of Prince Eugene’s own drawings and prints in 1737. It confirms Prince Eugene’s specific interest in *quadratura* and positions Chiarini as the lead artist for the interior decoration of the Lower Belvedere’s Marble Hall. Then, in 1984, Ulrike Knall-Brskovsky submitted her dissertation *Italienische Quadratururisten in*

---

76 Kleiner, *Das Belvedere zu Wien: nach dem Stichwerk in 140 Blättern aus den Jahren 1731 - 1740.*


79 Grimm.
While not focused on the Belvedere’s *quadratura*, this dissertation includes the first and only art-historical analysis of the Belvedere’s *quadratura* and establishes firmly which frescoes Chiarini painted and which were executed by his assistant, Gaetano Fanti (1687-1759). Knall-Brskovsky’s dissertation posits that Chiarini’s work for Prince Eugene ushered in a golden age of *quadratura* painting in Austrian palaces during the first half of the eighteenth century, when previously, this form of painting was utilized in Vienna for the theater primarily. Besides these two studies, in the subsequent literature dedicated specifically to Belvedere’s frescoes, Chiarini and Fanti’s work remains regarded as decorative framing and has not received the same scholarly consideration as the palace’s figurative frescoes.

---

81 Knall-Brskovsky, 139–60.
In 1985, Gerda Mraz published *Prinz Eugen: sein Leben, sein Wirken, seine Zeit*. This study is concerned with the prince’s youth, career, and major achievements as a statesman. One year later, Leopold Auer and Jeremy Black discovered in London the original painting inventory commissioned by Prince Eugene’s heir in 1736. They published a copy and it lists 298 paintings and includes details like each artist, the painting’s medium and measurements, as well as each painting’s exact location at either Prince Eugene’s city palace or at the Belvedere. Garas published again on the issue of Carloni’s authorship of the Lower Belvedere’s Marble Hall’s ceiling fresco in 1986. Also in 1986, Michael Krapf looked closely at the architecture of Prince Eugene’s stables in *Prinz Eugen der Edle Ritter*. Nineteen eighty-six also marks the year that an exhibition was held at Schloss Hof between April 22 to October 26 to honor Prince Eugene’s career and comprehensive patronage. Only two years later, Gottfried Mraz published the second art-historical book to look at the Belvedere and its interiors. It builds upon the Aurenhammer’s *Das Belvedere in Wien: Bauwerk Menschen Geschichte* from 1971 and considers briefly how the prince’s international background influenced his vast collections. Also in 1988, Karl Gutkas and Erich Zöllner edited a volume of papers that were presented at two conferences by historians and art

84 Auer and Black, “Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldesammlung Prinz Eugens.”
88 Mraz, *Belvedere: Schloß und Park des Prinzen Eugen*. 
historians in 1983 and 1986. It is titled *Österreich und die Osmanen—Prinz Eugen und seine Zeit*.\(^89\) In this series, the Belvedere’s paintings and frescoes are discussed briefly by Thomas Karl in the context of high Baroque art in Austria, while Wilhelm Georg Rizzi analyses the prince’s activities as an architectural patron.\(^90\) Finally, also in 1988, Timothy Newberry and Peter Cannon-Brookes published *The Framing of Prince Eugene’s Collection*.\(^91\) It analyzes the original gilded frames that Italian craftsmen made *in situ* at the Belvedere and which still adorn most of Prince Eugene’s paintings in Turin.

Following the strong scholarly interest in Prince Eugene during the 1980s, which is a decade that marked 300 years since the victorious Battle of Vienna and 250 years after the prince’s death, the 1990s produced fewer studies that are related specifically to the Belvedere or its interior decoration. One year after Georg Piltz’s biography on Prince Eugene from 1991, Jakob Werner submitted his *Diplomarbeit* to the University of Vienna and it analyzes Santino Bussi’s œuvre, including an analysis of the elaborate stuccos at the Belvedere.\(^92\) In 1993,

---


\(^92\) Bussi was the most sought-after stucco specialist in central Europe in the early eighteenth century. Having pleased Prince Eugene with the stuccos at the winter palace that were executed between 1698 and 1701, Bussi worked at the Lower Belvedere between 1714 to 1716. Also in 1714, Bussi rose to the rank of *Hofstukkateur*, or court stuccoist at the Habsburg court. In addition to the stuccos that adorn the Marble Hall, Bussi designed ornament in the Marble Gallery and on the vaults of the Lower
Wolfgang Prohaska discussed briefly Francesco Solimena’s (1657-1747) work that the prince commissioned for the Belvedere in the exhibition catalogue *Barock in Neapel: Kunst zur Zeit der Österreichischen Vizekönige*. This study follows Ferdinando Bologna’s brief dialogue on Solimena’s paintings for Prince Eugene that was published already in 1958. In 1998 and 2000, Carlo Milano published on Prince Eugene’s seven mythological sculptures and two saints at the Belvedere by Domenico Parodi (1672-1642). In the *Festschrift* dedicated to Garas and published in 1999, Matsche agrees strongly with Garas’ attribution concerning Carloni over Altonone in the Marble Hall at the Lower Belvedere. Also in 1999, Cornelia Diekamp published on the paintings which the prince had hung on the walls of the Upper Belvedere’s audience chamber. This study offers an iconographical interpretation of the prince’s paintings, just like Diekamp’s second Belvedere’s cabinets. Bussi later created stuccos at the Upper Belvedere in thirteen chambers. In the Upper Belvedere’s grand entry stairwell, Bussi also conceived of monumental wall panels that glorify the prince. Bussi spent more than a decade working on the Upper Belvedere stuccos between 1722 and 1733. See Georg Piltz, *Prinz Eugen von Savoyen: Weg und Werk des edlen Ritters: Biografie* (Berlin: Verlag Neues Leben, 1991); Jakob Werner, “Santino Bussi 1664-1736” (Diplomarbeit, Wien, Universität Wien, 1992).


article on the same subject that *Labyrinthos* published shortly thereafter in 2001.\(^98\)

I will discuss these publications in more detail, in the following section related to chapter three specifically.

In 2002, Ulrike Seeger published on Prince Eugene’s paintings from the Belvedere that Charles Emmanuel III purchased in 1741.\(^99\) In 2003, Maria Auböck edited the first significant book on the Belvedere of the twenty-first century.\(^100\) Its focus is Girard’s gardens and came out following their extensive restoration to the original design. One year later, Seeger published *Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen: Entstehung, Gestalt, Funktion und Bedeutung*.\(^101\) It analyzes Prince Eugene’s city palace and the Belvedere, providing currently the most secure dates and accurate attributions in the art-historical literature that is related to both palaces.\(^102\) While Seeger provides many more specifics about the Belvedere’s architecture and interiors than were made available in the previous literature, her inquiry is bound by traditional and hierarchical methodologies that undermine her main argument which claims dubiously that the prince’s city palace is ranked higher than the Belvedere. This author also neglects to consider how the prince’s two palaces in Vienna are related to his grand political and broad cultural

---


\(^{100}\) Auböck, Ludwig, and Gregor, *Das Belvedere*.

\(^{101}\) Seeger, *Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen*.

\(^{102}\) Yet it should be noted that Seeger does not engage in a debate about the figurative fresco’s authorship at the Lower Belvedere in the Marble Hall and credits it to Altomonte by citing the Aurenhammers. Seeger, 293.
ambitions. Two years after this study, Seeger published *Belvedere: Prince Eugene of Savoy's Garden Palace* that lacks scholarly notes and is written for the general public.¹⁰³

In 2005, Diekamp published yet again on Prince Eugene's painting collection. Diekamp reconstructs the display of easel paintings in the Upper Belvedere's *Bilder Saal* and engages in a discussion about how Retzer's translation of the 1737 sale catalogue is related to the Belvedere or city palace's painting display.¹⁰⁴ In 2007, Diekamp published on the same subject again in *La galleria del principe Eugenio di Savoia nel Belvedere Superiore a Vienna. Storia e ricostruzione.*¹⁰⁵ This article greatly strengthens the author's previous discussion because it also includes an analysis of the detailed inventory that Zanetti and Bertoli drew up already in 1736. Still in 2005, Diekamp published on the iconographical meaning of Prince Eugene's painting by Corneliis de Heem (1631-1695) that was displayed in the Belvedere's audience chamber.¹⁰⁶ In the same year, Prince Eugene's patronage of Carloni is addressed by Krapf in *You are Gods on Earth*: *Carlo Innocenzo Carloni paints for Prince Eugene of Savoy's Belvedere in Vienna.*¹⁰⁷ This article looks closely at Carloni’s figurative frescoes' iconography

¹⁰⁵ Diekamp, “La galleria del principe Eugenio di Savoia nel Belvedere Superiore a Vienna: storia e ricostruzione.”
¹⁰⁷ Krapf, “‘You Are Gods on Earth’- Carlo Innocenzo Carloni Paints for Prince Eugene of Savoy’s Belvedere in Vienna.”
at the Upper Belvedere, while Helmut-Eberhard Paulus’s article from 2007 analyzes the iconography of the Belvedere’s orangery.\textsuperscript{108}

In 2009, nearly half a century after Haskell’s declaration concerning Prince Eugene’s status as Europe’s greatest private art patron, Rudolf Wagner’s Diplomarbeit from the University of Vienna first summarizes and characterizes the prince’s patronage comprehensively.\textsuperscript{109} In the following year, Prince Eugene: General-Philosopher and Art Lover achieves a universal approach to the prince’s all-encompassing patronage through a series of articles.\textsuperscript{110} The Belvedere Museum published this book in conjunction with an exhibition hosted at the Belvedere between February 11 to June 6, 2010. The most recent scholarly analysis of the Belvedere’s architecture was also published in 2010. Petar Stephan’s Das Obere Belvedere in Wien: architektonisches Konzept und Ikonographie; das Schloss des Prinzen Eugen als Abbild seines Selbstverständnisses isolates the artistic achievements at the Upper Belvedere, and as clearly indicated in the title, focuses solely on the Hildebrandt’s architecture and its iconography.\textsuperscript{111}

The most recent art-historical inquiries that concern the Belvedere’s outfitting look closely at Prince Eugene’s sponsorship of painters and his collection

\textsuperscript{109} Rudolf Wagner, “Prinz Eugen von Savoyen als Mäzen” (Diplomarbeit, Wien, Universität Wien, 2009).
\textsuperscript{110} Agnes Husslein-Arco, Marie-Louise von Plessen, and Schloss Belvedere (Vienna, Austria), eds., Prince Eugene: General-Philosopher and Art Lover (Vienna, Munich: Belvedere Museum, Hirmer, 2010).
\textsuperscript{111} Peter Stephan, Das Obere Belvedere in Wien: architektonisches Konzept und Ikonographie; das Schloss des Prinzen Eugen als Abbild seines Selbstverständnisses (Wien: Böhlau, 2010).
on display at the Upper Belvedere. Then, in 2012, an exhibition at the Palace of Venaria in Turin between April 5 and September 9 celebrated Prince Eugene’s painting collection that Charles Emmanuel III purchased in 1741. One year later, and in conjunction with a conference on the migration of artists and artwork in the early modern period, Seeger published on Chiarini’s frescoes in Vienna, including those at the Belvedere. Lechner’s article in the publication titled *Prince Eugene’s Winter Palace* from 2013 is the next study dedicated to understanding the Belvedere’s iconography as manifested in its frescoes and Permoser’s sculpture depicting the prince. In 2018, Silvia Tommaro covered the topic of the prince’s patronage and collection of Italian painters in *Travelling Objects: Botschafter des Kulturtransfers zwischen Italian und dem Habsburgerreich*. Then, in 2020, Tommaro gave a paper at the conference *Painters, Intermediaries, and Venetian Artists in Vienna and Imperial Domains (1650-1750)* which the University of Ljubljana, Slovenia hosted. It investigates Zanetti and Bertoli’s involvement in the sale of Prince Eugene’s valuables and paintings after 1736.

The existing literature establishes a solid foundation of knowledge about the Belvedere’s extravagant outfitting. It informs my dissertation on the Belvedere’s material environment significantly and allows me to tie the palace’s interior

115 Spantigati and Venaria Reale (Cultural complex), *Le raccolte del principe Eugenio condottiero e intellettuale*.
116 Seeger, “Gli interventi di Chiarini per il principe Eugenio a Vienna.”
118 Tommaro, “Kunstagenten im Dienste Prinz Eugens von Savoyen.”
119 Tommaro.
decoration to broader discussions that concern the global movement of artists and cultural material at the dawn of the long eighteenth century. My interdisciplinary concerns and perspectives are informed by recent trends in art-historical scholarship. For example, Thomas DaCosta Kaufmann has advocated for the global context of the geography, history, and theory of art in his publications since the early 2000s.¹²⁰ These interests underlie several recent studies centered on migrating artists. For example, David Young Kim addresses the issue of traveling Renaissance artists in relation to the reception and dissemination of artistic style in The Traveling Artist in the Italian Renaissance: Geography, Mobility, and Style from 2014.¹²¹ Also published in 2014, the collection of articles in Art and Migration: Netherlandish Artists on the Move, 1400-1750 review the diaspora of Dutch and Flemish painters in Europe and its impact on the development of art during the early modern period.¹²² Focusing specifically on central Europe, Daniel Fulco studied Italian artists who painted frescoes in princely palaces in Germany in Exuberant Apotheoses: Italian Frescoes in the Holy Roman Empire Visual Culture and Princely Power in the Age of Enlightenment from 2016.¹²³ Based on Fulco's

doctoral dissertation at the University of Illinois, this book includes an *Excursus* titled “Italo-Germanic Artistic Exchange and Collaboration” in which Fulco states that the work of Italian frescoists in Vienna deserves considerable scholarly attention.\(^{124}\) Recently, in 2020, the scholarly articles in *Art, Mobility, and Exchange in Early Modern Tuscany and Eurasia* explore the cultural and artistic exchanges of the Medici Grand Dukes.\(^ {125}\) This book establishes firmly that early modern Europeans considered imported art objects to be tokens of global state power, and thus, like at Prince Eugene’s Belvedere, these objects were used to form ruler identity.

Exploring the practices of international artistic coexistence that Prince Eugene espoused during the outfitting of the Belvedere, my dissertation contributes to the current discussions that concern the reciprocity between art, politics, globalization, and cultural transmission during the eighteenth century. The first chapter focuses on Prince Eugene’s patronage of preeminent Bolognese quadraturists whom he “imported” from Italy to Vienna. Chiarini and Fanti’s frescoes at the Belvedere helped shape fresco painting north of the Alps during the late Baroque period. Chiarini and Fanti’s illusionistic ceiling and wall paintings expand a room’s physical boundaries. Of utmost importance is the perception of quadratura as a science, an illusionistic spectacle that engages viewers by challenging their perception of objective reality. Therefore, this chapter considers how the Belvedere’s quadratura is related to Prince Eugene’s collection of

\(^{124}\) Fulco, 502.

\(^{125}\) Francesco Freddolini and Marco Musillo, eds., *Art, Mobility, and Exchange in Early Modern Tuscany and Eurasia* (New York: Routledge, 2020).
Bolognese easel-paintings, and moreover, his collection of scientific instruments and literature on optics. My study demonstrates that the unique imagery is an expression of the prince’s own intellect, knowledge, power, and ability to govern. It adorned the great reception halls in both the Lower and Upper Belvedere, the vault of the prince’s bedchamber at the Lower Belvedere, and finally, at the Upper Belvedere, the public garden hall, known as the Sala Terrena, the Bilder Saal, and the Gemahlenes Cabinet.

Chapter one begins with a visual analysis and discussion of the dates of Chiarini and Fanti’s quadratura frescoes at the Lower and Upper Belvedere (figs. 32-35). It then considers how the Belvedere’s illusionistic imagery enhanced the marvelous and astounding displays of artistic and scientific prowess at the garden palace, and moreover, how quadratura presents the prince as an enlightened patron on the cutting edge of science. The important relationship between art, science, and political power at the princely courts of Europe between 1550 and 1750 is the subject of the 2019 exhibition at the Metropolitan Museum of Art.¹²⁶ Yet no scholar who has published previously about the Belvedere considers how Chiarini and Fanti’s illusionistic quadratura communicated Prince Eugene’s high rank and intellect to prominent international guests and elite visitors at the Belvedere. In fact, Seeger comments that quadratura is the “nachdrücklichste Rangabstufung” of all forms of interior decoration at the prince’s palaces.¹²⁷ This

judgment repeats a long-standing art-historical bias that favors figurative imagery and ignores the prince’s fascination with the knowledge of how to successfully compose illusionistic paintings. It also overlooks Prince Eugene’s embrace of contemporary advancements at the forefront of scientific achievement. This chapter reclaims the meaningful contribution of quadraturists in the realm of fresco painting in Baroque Austria, as quadratura has been chronically and unjustly ranked below figurative painting in the art-historical literature dating from the twentieth century. The only exception is Rudolph Wittkower, who credits Chiarini personally with keeping the tradition of Bolognese quadratura “alive” during the late Baroque period and states that it was among the city’s most important artistic exports by the eighteenth century.128 Chiarini’s complex architectural illusions at the Belvedere bear witness to Wittkower’s claim.

Situated at the intersection of eighteenth-century globalization and cultural diplomacy, the Upper Belvedere’s chinoiserie interiors that were decorated between 1719 and 1723 are the focus of chapter two. Vibrant Asian silk, satin, and damask, as well as colorful Indian chintz, adorned the walls and furnishings abundantly to attest proudly to the prince’s prominent status and global authority as the governor of the Austrian Netherlands (1716-1724).129 Despite governing in absentia and remaining in Vienna, the very high position enabled the prince to gain direct access to rare luxury Asian wares that the Ostend East Indian Company

129 While there are no original textiles belonging to Prince Eugene at the Belvedere today, after 1724, the prince used his cache of left-over Asian silk and Indian chintz from the Belvedere to furnish Schloss Hof. Today, the remnants of these textiles are stored at the MAK collection in Vienna, as discussed in detail in the chapter.
imported. For example, he collected painted Chinese silk that was, as per one scholar’s in-depth research on early modern fabrics, extraordinarily grand and a “seltene kostbarkeit” in the early eighteenth century.\(^{130}\) The room in the southwest tower of the Upper Belvedere is named the \textit{Gemahlenes Cabinet}, where the prince likely consigned this remarkably expensive fabric (fig. 36). The controversial maritime venture’s fate and prosperity relied mainly upon Prince Eugene’s support at the imperial court in Vienna, and also, his sponsorship upon the wider European political stage. In fact, in the earliest years of the Ostend Company’s formation, Prince Eugene corresponded regularly with two French merchants named Merveille, father and son (life dates unknown), who acted as early investors in the Ostend Company and as the supercargo, who are the ship owner’s primary representatives and ride onboard during overseas voyages.\(^{131}\) Prince Eugene also corresponded with Pietro Proli (1671-1733), Jacques de Pret (life dates unknown), Paulo de Kimpe (life dates unknown), Jacques Baut (life dates unknown), Paulo Jacopo Cloots (1672-1725), Jacobus Maelcamp (1685-1741), and Thomas Ray (life dates unknown), who he later named the seven directors of the Ostend Company in 1722.\(^{132}\) These individuals commissioned for Prince Eugene, in


\(^{131}\) A supercargo is employed by the owner of the ship’s cargo to ride on board and oversee and sell the cargo. For more details on the Merveilles’ specific role and duties within the GIC, see Gijs Dreijer, “Bargaining for Shelter: An Entrepreneurial Analysis of the Ostend Company, 1714-40” (Masters Thesis, Leiden, The Netherlands, Leiden University, 2017), 86–107.

\(^{132}\) The directors are named in \textit{Lettres patentes d’octroy accordées par sa Majesté impériale et catholique pour le terme de trente années à la Compagnie générale à établir
addition to vibrant chintz and luxury textiles, one of the earliest sets of armorial porcelain plates to ever be made. The prince placed them on permanent display at the Upper Belvedere alongside his most extravagant table wares known as *Prunkgeschirr* (fig. 37). Today, there are only four extant plates from the original set (figs. 38-44). This chapter demonstrates that by gaining direct access to rare and luxurious goods from Asia via Ostend, Prince Eugene helped to shape a new aesthetic culture of luxury in Vienna through his extensive application of *chinoiserie* at the Upper Belvedere.

The modern scholarship on armorial porcelain is still emerging because traditional art history has relegated it unnecessarily to a lower-ranking decorative art. Yet David Sanctuary Howard’s *Chinese Armorial Porcelain* from 1974...
established that armorials were highly coveted and expressed magnificence to contemporary viewers in the eighteenth century.\textsuperscript{134} Accordingly, apart from Seeger’s brief note about a lone plate that is now stored in Turin, these armorial plates are absent in all the literature related to Prince Eugene’s garden palace.\textsuperscript{135} An explanation for the lack of interest in Prince Eugene’s armorial set is explained by the fact that three of the plates were not widely known to exist until their auction in 2019. The existence of four plates from the same set provides a novel opportunity to investigate how armorial porcelain functioned in central Europe as part of a permanent display during a grand banquet.

The display of porcelain as an expression of magnificence has a long history in central Europe. Yet the scholarship on this topic and concerning imperial Vienna in the early years of the eighteenth century is only just emerging. As such, the recent conference at the University of Vienna titled “China in Austria: Reception and Adaptation of East Asian Art in Central Europe,” which the department of Art History hosted on June 29, 2018, was one of the first scholarly attempts to explore questions related to chinoiserie and porcelain cabinets in the imperial city during the early modern period. These topics are addressed in chapter two, which contributes to the growing scholarship on the importance of Asian luxuries to interior design during the early eighteenth century in central Europe. Prince Eugene certainly purchased Asian porcelain and textiles before his governorship

\textsuperscript{135} Seeger, \textit{Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen}, 151.
of the Austrian Netherlands began in 1716. They may have even adorned the
Lower Belvedere’s interiors. However, this chapter is focused on the Upper
Belvedere’s *chinoiserie* interiors only because of their unique connection to Prince
Eugene’s authority in the Netherlands and over the early formation and success of
the Ostend East India Company. Chapter two establishes that the Upper
Belvedere’s outfitting paved the way for similar and sumptuous displays of Asian
luxuries in the imperial city as an expression of international authority. For
example, Empress Maria Theresia’s fantastic Far Eastern cabinets at the imperial
Schönbrunn castle.

Chapter three investigates and reconstructs Prince Eugene’s painting
collection at the Lower and Upper Belvedere. During the first three decades of the
eighteenth century, the prince acquired 220 easel, ceiling, and *sopraporte*
(overdoor) paintings for display throughout the garden palace. Dating between the
late Renaissance and contemporary Baroque period, the prince’s paintings are
primarily landscapes, genre scenes, and still-lifes by respected Netherlandish
artists. He also owned large Italian history paintings, especially by Bolognese

---

136 See my brief discussion on page 161 of chapter two.
137 Before 1716, Prince Eugene relied on intermediaries to purchase luxury Asian wares
and only after they had arrived in Europe. For example, Prince Eugene erected an Asian
porcelain cabinet at his city palace and his letters to Count Johann Wenzel Gallas (1669-
1719) in London testify to the difficulty in obtaining matching or suitable porcelain for the
purpose of display. Moreover, the prince’s letters concerning textiles at the Lower
Belvedere indicate they originated primarily from Europe, including wallcoverings and
silk upholstering that was produced in Milan and London. The prince’s letter concerning
fabrics produced in Milan is dated July 24, 1708 and addressed to the Count Pietro di
Mellarède (1659-1730). This letter is stored in Turin at the Archivo di Stato under Lettere
principi diversi, Mazzo 73. The prince’s letter concerning English silk is dated April 18,
1710 and addressed to Count Gallas in London. This letter is stored at the
Österreichisches Staatsarchiv in the section Kriegsarchiv under AFA 1710, Niederlande
I-VII, Karton 286. Short excerpts from both letters are published in Seeger, *Stadtpalais
und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen*, 455, 459.
artists. Integral to the Belvedere’s outstanding reputation and Prince Eugene’s prestige, the large collection was exhibited in carefully curated spaces near to botanically accurate studies of plants and vivid animal portraits. Prince Eugene commissioned the local court artists to render rare living specimens that he owned. They include Johann Kunstner (1672-1733), Phillip Ferdinand de Hamilton (1664-1750), Ignaz Heinitz von Heinzenthal (1657-1742), and Franz Werner Tamm (1658-1724). Moreover, in Habsburg Naples, Prince Eugene contracted del Pò and Solimena, the most sought-after artists working in that city. Pò created three allegorical ceiling paintings that are customized to the Upper Belvedere and glorify the patron in the *appartement de parade*. Solimena’s mythological ceiling painting crowned the *Spiegel Zimmer* and his large altarpiece adorned the palace chapel. While the Lower Belvedere’s landscape paintings emphasized principally the function of the garden palace as a site of leisure, international paintings at the Upper Belvedere expressed powerfully that Prince Eugene enjoyed far-reaching influence, achieved immeasurable prosperity, and possessed intellectual and cultural authority. The prince’s sophisticated collection represents that he spent his money well and in doing so, he transformed the garden palace interiors into a magnificent arena where audiences could be performed on behalf of the Holy Roman Emperors.

As already stated, apart from ceiling paintings and *sopraporte* that are not movable and part of the Belvedere’s permanent decoration, Princess Maria Anna Victoria of Savoy sold this entire painting collection and removed it from the palace indefinitely. In 1965, Braubach was the first modern scholar to discuss critically the
painting collection because he discovered Retzer’s translation of the sale catalogue. Braubach, who was not aware of the 1736 inventory that Auer and Black later discovered in London in 1986, understood that the catalogue that Retzer had translated and printed to represent the entirety of Prince Eugene’s painting collection. He emphasized Prince Eugene’s penchant for Italian works by Bolognese masters, while recognizing that the majority are of Netherlandish origin. Braubach notes that the prince’s great wealth, and primarily, his many diplomatic connections across Europe, were the key to acquiring the large collection that was filled with works by both old masters and renowned contemporary artists. The following studies to look critically at the prince’s paintings were published by Diekamp. The first two from 1999 and 2001, which I mentioned previously, are centered on the iconographical meaning of the paintings depicting various fruits, fungi, vegetables and flowers that were displayed in the *Audienz Zimmer*.¹³⁸ Diekamp dissects the botanically accurate specimens in these paintings while claiming to have uncovered a complex iconographical message that is communicated through religious and classical references.¹³⁹ She argues dubiously that there is Calvinist and Catholic symbolism in the imagery which is a metaphor for religious reconciliation when shown together and near a battle painting with defeated Turks. Diekamp contends that there is a message about how peace is

¹³⁸ Diekamp, “Cinque nature morte di Jan Davidsz. de Heem e la sua cerchia ambientate nel Belvedere del Principe Eugenio, 1”; Diekamp, “Cinque nature morte di Jan Davidsz de Heem e la sua cerchia ambientate nel Belvedere del Principe Eugenio.”
linked to abundance and prosperity that is clearly legible due to these being the key themes in Prince Eugene’s life-long quest against the Ottomans, and thus, they are appropriate for his *Audienz Zimmer*.

There are no primary literary accounts relating to the Belvedere or to Prince Eugene specifically that offer any confirmation that her complex interpretation was the patron’s intention or that of his interior designer du Plessy. Furthermore, Diekamp’s reconstruction of the chamber is inaccurate. I do not accept Diekamp’s proposal. It neglects to consider the botanically accurate imagery’s relationship to exotic specimens in the palace gardens which Tamm represented in the room’s four *sopraporte*. Finally, it does not consider how the room’s paintings by Titian, Mignon, Heem, and Courtois simply represent the very best of what was available for purchase in the prince’s lifetime.

In two more studies published in 2005 and 2007, Diekamp shifts her focus to Prince Eugene’s paintings in the *Bilder Saal* at the Upper Belvedere.\(^1\) They determine that Kleiner’s depictions are both accurate and reliable and can be used to confirm the subject matter and exact placement of Prince Eugene’s paintings throughout the entire palace, and indeed, the artwork throughout the entire structure. In addition to discussing the provenance of these paintings in detail, Diekamp concludes that only minor changes were made to the organization of artwork at the Upper Belvedere during Prince Eugene’s lifetime. Accepting Diekamp’s conclusion, my study also relies on Kleiner’s imagery as a reliable tool.

There were five Neapolitan paintings at the Upper Belvedere, along with an additional twenty-eight Italian paintings in the *Bilder Saal* and *Cabinet*; they are undeniably among the most admired and expensive in the prince’s entire collection of art.\(^{141}\) It is no surprise, therefore, that they remain the focus of countless studies and exhibitions related to Prince Eugene’s patronage and his painting collection. Art-historical bias also favors the Italian canon, encouraging scholars to look most closely at those works in the literature on the Belvedere. For example, Seeger’s survey of the Belvedere excludes an analysis of the *Cabinet*’s function due to the low rank of its imagery in the hierarchy of genres.\(^{142}\) Yet Prince Eugene owned far more northern European than Italian paintings, surpassing the Italian works in their sheer numbers, if not in size or in value.\(^{143}\) An abundance of primary documents related to Prince Eugene’s Italian paintings and commissions, however, like the canon that favors Renaissance and Baroque art of Italian origin, encouraged art historians to focus primarily on the significance of Italian paintings to the Belvedere. Undoubtedly, in Prince Eugene’s lifetime, his penchant for Italian art was well-known and Zanotti declared that the patron was, first and foremost, a

\(^{141}\) While for the price of Solimena’s two paintings is unknown, for del Pò’s works, he paid 600 “ducati napolitana” on July 6, 1718 for one painting and 900 “ducati napolitana” on June 8, 1719 for a second. A controversy surrounds the unknown price of the third painting; see Tommaro, “Kunstagenten im Dienste Prinz Eugens von Savoyen,” 94–95. A contemporary discussion about the prices of Prince Eugene’s paintings can be found in Küchelbecker, *Allerneueste Nachricht vom Römisch-Kayserlichen Hof Nebst einer ausführlichen Beschreibung der Kayserlichen Residentz-Stadt Wien und der umliegenden Oerter, Theils aus den Geschichten, theils aus eigener Erfahrung zusammen getragen und mit saubern Kupfern ans Licht gegeben*, 785, 788.

\(^{142}\) Seeger, *Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen*, 389.

\(^{143}\) Auer and Black, “Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldesammlung Prinz Eugens,” 337–42.
lover of Bolognese art. This chapter does not intend to diminish the great significance of Italian paintings and commissions to the Upper Belvedere. Rather, it suggests that Prince Eugene’s Italian artwork should not overshadow the other elements in the decoration that attest to the collector’s complex interests. Prince Eugene’s choice to present a range of Neapolitan, Bolognese, Dutch, and Flemish paintings throughout the appartement de parade expressed a desire to represent visually that he had access to the upper-most echelons of the political and cultural elite. It emphasized, that even from Vienna, his influence in foreign Habsburg territories was commanding. Moreover, one must consider that the Catholic prince preferred the artistry of Catholic painters and reserved it for the palace’s vaults.

This chapter reconstructs the placement of paintings at the Upper Belvedere in a comprehensive manner and offers the conclusion that a compendium of international imagery and the variety of genres elevated the material environment and communicated clear messages about Prince Eugene’s high status, international authority, and multi-faceted intellect. In part one of chapter three, I discuss the painting inventory from 1736 and its relationship to the sale catalogue from 1737 in more detail. Part two of this chapter is dedicated to the exhibition of paintings at the Lower Belvedere. Part three considers the display of paintings at the Upper Belvedere only. While the Lower Belvedere’s paintings emphasized principally the function of the garden palace as a site of leisure, the international paintings at the Upper Belvedere expressed powerfully that Prince Eugene enjoyed far-reaching influence, achieved immeasurable prosperity, and

---

144 Giampietro Zanotti, *Storia dell’Accademia Clementina di Bologna, aggregata all’istituto delle scienze e dell’arti*, vol. 1 (Bologna, 1739), 275.
possessed intellectual authority. Prince Eugene’s painting collection conveys that he knew how to spend money well and in doing so, he became the most ambitious art collector and patron in imperial Vienna during the late Baroque period.

Enhancing the scholarship on the reciprocity between art, politics, and globalization in early modern central Europe, my dissertation is the first study to investigate specifically the significance of artistic mobility and cultural transfer to Prince Eugene’s Belvedere. Prince Eugene used his immense wealth and prominent political position to move a multiplicity of artists and art objects across cultural boundaries and political borders to be unified and placed on display in Vienna. By understanding how these art objects communicated directly with the viewer at the prince’s magnificent garden palace, and also, recognizing that they elevated the surroundings, my dissertation acknowledges that the Belvedere’s material environment impacted human behavior and social practices in Habsburg Austria. By analyzing the Belvedere’s unique interiors and by engaging in discourses on early modern material culture, this study lays bare that the evolution of late baroque art in central Europe is connected intimately to the phenomenon of artistic mobility. Moreover, this study affirms that rare and imported art objects from around the globe helped to facilitate diplomatic dialogue and cultural exchange among early modern Europeans.
CHAPTER ONE

Magnificence and Illusionistic Spectacle: Bolognese *Quadratura* Frescoes

Marcantonio Chiarini and Gaetano Fanti

The successful execution of *quadratura* requires the hand of a specialist who studied fresco painting and has comprehensive knowledge of mathematics and geometric perspective.¹ Marcantonio Chiarini, the foremost master of this advanced technique developed in early modern Bologna, designed *quadratura* for Prince Eugene. The prince’s first encounter with Chiarini’s imagery was at Palazzo Archinto in Milan, where the artist painted in 1695 and where Prince Eugene visited between October and November 1696.² By the late seventeenth century, Chiarini was one of the last living fresco painters from the prominent Bolognese school of *quadratura* who still enjoyed a top reputation for rendering optical laws with accuracy and precision. He learned his skill from two pupils of the renowned quadraturist Agostino Mitelli (1609-1660), namely Francesco Quaini (1611-1680) and Domenico Santi (1621-1694). Following the prince’s invitation, Chiarini’s

frescoes laid the groundwork for important developments in Baroque ceiling painting in central European palaces. Therefore, it is no surprise that Giampietro Zanotti (1674-1765), the contemporary Bolognese artist and co-founder of the city’s Accademia Clementina, dedicated an entire chapter to Chiarini in his Storia dell’Accademia Clementina di Bologna. The author singles out Chiarini’s significant work for Prince Eugene. At the city palace, the artist first painted for the prince alongside Andrea Lanzani (1645-1712) and Giuseppe Gambarini (1680-1725), who also hail from Bologna. For this project, which began in 1697 and was executed in multiple phases through 1709, the prince also hired the skilled Italo-French painter Louis Doringy (1654-1742). Prince Eugene assigned them vaults in the city palace’s highest-ranking chambers which include the state bedchamber and audience chamber (figs. 48-49). Prince Eugene’s choice to decorate these very formal spaces with quadratura was novel in a city palace in Vienna before 1700. This corrects one scholar who concluded that Prince Eugene’s choice was not unique.

By the turn of the eighteenth century, Vienna became a hub for artists who had received formal training in the arts and mathematics in Bologna. They include

---

4 Giampietro Zanotti, Storia dell’Accademia Clementina di Bologna, aggregata all’istituto delle scienze e dell’arti, vol. 1 (Bologna, 1739), 269–84.
5 Zanotti, 1:269–84.
7 Ulrike Grimm, “Die Dekorationen im Rastatter Schloß, 1700-1771” (Freiburg im Breisgau, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, 1978), 92.
Ludovico Burnacini (1636-1707) whose mastery of complex geometric principles led to a promotion as Vienna’s chief theater engineer under Leopold I, and still under his successor, Josef I. This accomplished Bolognese quadraturist is the subject of Grotesque Comedy: Ludovico Ottavio Burnacini, a current exhibition at the Theater Museum in Vienna. It establishes that folly and fantasy dominated the theater and even the imperial court’s grand banquets. In 1683, Burnacini created the first documented but temporary example of quadratura on a vault in Vienna, at the city’s opera house. Shortly thereafter, in 1696, the Bolognese trained artists Domenico Egidio Rossi (1659-1715) and Antonio Beduzzi (1675-1735) designed and decorated the Czernin family’s garden palace near Vienna with quadratura. The following year, the same duo painted quadratura in a Festsaal, or ballroom, at the Viennese city palace of the Bolognese Count Aeneas Sylvius de Caprara (1631-1701). Members of the Galli-Bibiena family also came from Bologna to Vienna to delight the Habsburg nobility with architectural illusions in the court’s

---


10 Knall-Brskovsky, Italienische Quadraturisten in Österreich, 121–22; Seeger, Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen, 112.


theater during the eighteenth century.\textsuperscript{13} Andrea Pozzo (1642-1709), who is not Bolognese but from Trent, then under Austrian rule, also popularized illusionistic frescoes in Vienna at this time.\textsuperscript{14} Pozzo published a two-volume manual for trompe-l’oeil painting titled \textit{Perspectiva pictorum et architectorum} and dedicated it to Leopold I in 1693. His imagery still adorns the city’s Jesuit university’s church.


and a garden palace called Palais Lichtenstein, where Pozzo painted between 1703 and 1709. In other words, Prince Eugene’s interest in illusionistic imagery and patronage of Chiarini was not novel in Vienna at the turn of the eighteenth century. This choice was fashionable, yet Prince Eugene understood quadratura to have a new authoritative meaning because Chiarini painted in his city palace’s principal rooms in the appartement de parade.

Chiarini’s status as the leading Bolognese master of quadratura indicates that Prince Eugene’s ability to commission him must have greatly impressed his contemporaries, because they too shared an interest in the mathematically complex technique. Zanotti declares that Prince Eugene was especially fascinated by Chiarini’s artistry that seemingly expands a room’s physical boundaries. During his visit to Vienna between 1697 and 1698, Zanotti even witnessed the prince climb upon scaffolding to closely watch Chiarini paint.\(^{15}\) Prince Eugene’s interest in quadratura was not just in vogue, it also stemmed from his love of Bolognese art and culture. Zanotti’s comment about Prince Eugene, “gli era ancora protettor liberale, ed amatore al sommo delle belle arti, e delle nostre principalmente,” demonstrates that the prince’s interest in Bolognese art was even widely known.\(^{16}\) This city’s greatest masters of the seventeenth century created many works in the prince’s own painting collection, including Guido Reni (1575-1642) and Francesco Albani (1578-1660). Moreover, the prince also commissioned renowned contemporary Bolognese artists that include Giovan Giosseffo dal Sole (1654-

---

\(^{15}\) Zanotti, *Storia dell’Accademia Clementina di Bologna, aggregata all’istituto delle scienze e dell’arti*, 1:275.

\(^{16}\) Translation: “he was nonetheless a protector of the liberal arts, and a lover of the most exquisite fine arts, mainly ours.” See Zanotti, 1:275.
In November 1697, following Emperor Leopold I’s handsome payment for valiant service during the Battle of Zenta, the most decisive defeat of the Ottomans during the Great Turkish War (1683-1699), Prince Eugene purchased the Belvedere’s plot just outside of Vienna’s city walls. Prince Eugene likely secured Chiarini for painting frescoes at the Lower Belvedere at the time of the structure’s conception when this artist was still busy painting at the prince’s city palace. Chiarini’s influence on the same chamber’s perfectly square ground plan, as discussed later in this chapter, strongly supports this hypothesis. When Chiarini’s engagement at Eugene’s winter palace ended in 1709, the construction of the Lower Belvedere had not yet begun. Therefore, Prince Eugene granted him permission to take on other work in Vienna for his allies who were elite members of the Habsburg court. Chiarini painted for the Prince Johann Leopold Donat von Trautson (1659-1724) at his garden palace in the Sala Terrena between 1710 and 1712 (fig. 50-51). Notably, the vault is covered solely in quadratura and foreshadows Prince Eugene’s choice for his state bed chamber at the Lower Belvedere (see fig. 33). Before this time in the history of quadratura painting in Bologna, to my knowledge, there are only three examples of vaults painted solely in architectural illusions. The Bolognese Paolo Brozzi (life dates unknown), who

---

painted alongside Domenico Piola (1627-1703) created a vault with only *quadratura* in the main hall, or *Sala di Apollo*, at Palazzo Balbi-Senaregain Genoa in 1627. Then, at Palazzo Ranuzzi in Bologna, created between 1680 and 1681, Enrico Haffner (1640-1702) painted one vault and the bothers Antonio and Giuseppe Rolli (1643-1695 and 1645-1727) executed *quadratura* on another vault nearby (fig. 52). After his work at Palais Trautson, Chiarini was hired by Count Wirich Philipp Laurenz Graf von und zu Daun (1669-1741). Between 1713 and 1714, he created *quadratura* in the oval-shaped ballroom at Palais Daun-Kinsky in Vienna (fig. 53). The patron was so pleased with Chiarini’s designs that he expanded the commission to include a gallery and paid Chiarini handsomely for his accomplishment (fig. 54). During this entire project, Chiarini worked alongside Carlo Innocenzo Carloni (1686-1775). The pair soon worked together again at the Lower Belvedere in the Marble Hall, as already mentioned in the introduction.

By August 1714, Chiarini concluded his Viennese engagements with Trautson and Daun and returned to his native Bologna. Following Pentecost in 1715, he embarked again on Vienna to paint his final and most prestigious

---


21 Zanotti, *Storia dell’Accademia Clementina di Bologna, aggregata all’istituto delle scienze e dell’arti*, 1:278.

22 The artist’s surname is also written “Carlone.”
commission for Prince Eugene at the Belvedere. Chiarini, aged sixty-three when he began to fresco the Lower Belvedere, suffered from ill health during the project’s execution. Yet he managed to paint without assistance in the Marble Hall and Prince Eugene’s bedchamber. Chiarini subsequently retired in Vienna and lived comfortably from the payments that he received from his prominent local patrons between 1716 and 1726. He only returned to Bologna on June 24, 1726 upon the invitation to become the “direttore di architettura” at the Accademia Clementina in Bologna. In 1723, Fanti had finished painting quadratura at the Upper Belvedere. His maestro’s long and continuous presence in Vienna following the completion of the Lower Belvedere’s frescoes signifies that Chiarini received the commission to paint quadratura at the Upper Belvedere from Prince Eugene originally. Yet, due to his failing health, Chiarini enlisted and supervised Fanti on the project. This premise is supported by visual evidence in the body of Fanti’s work. Chiarini likely provided Fanti with templates to create complex designs which are catered uniquely to the Upper Belvedere’s Marble Hall and Sala Terrena, still in situ, and the Gemahlenes Cabinet and Bilder Saal, now destroyed (see figs. 36, 47 and 55-57).

---

23 Zanotti, Storia dell’Accademia Clementina di Bologna, aggregata all’istituto delle scienze e dell’arti, 1:278; Seeger, Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen, 159-84.
24 Zanotti, Storia dell’Accademia Clementina di Bologna, aggregata all’istituto delle scienze e dell’arti, 1:277-78.
25 Translation: “director of architecture” but meaning quadratura, see Zanotti, 1:279.
26 For a detailed analysis of the recycled motifs see, Knall-Brskovsky, Italienische Quadraturisten in Österreich, 161, 172–81.
27 For a detailed discussion and analysis of the body of Fanti’s work, see Knall-Brskovsky, 160–81. For a brief discussion on how the frescoes were destroyed, see pages 75-76 of this chapter.
A letter that Fanti’s descendants penned to Marcello Oretti (1714-1787) in 1768 explains the scope of this project fully.\(^{28}\) Oretti, who was then working on his book of artists’ biographies, wrote to Fanti’s family in Vienna and received their response which details nearly all the artist’s commissions, includes a timeline, and the exact payments that Fanti received.\(^{29}\) This letter indicates that Fanti only painted at the Belvedere by late 1719 or early 1720. Yet Vincenzo Fanti (1719-1776), Gaetano’s son and Chiarini’s grandson who wrote an artists’ *vite*, placed his father in Vienna by 1713 or 1714 and implies that his father was an assistant at the Lower Belvedere.\(^{30}\) Vincenzo states Gaetano “*era atto ad intraprender lavori al par di [Chiarini].*”\(^{31}\) In other words, he insinuates that his father’s work is equal to Chiarini’s caliber. This compliment even implies that Gaetano invented the Upper Belvedere’s illusionistic designs. Yet Luigi Crespi (1708-1779), the son of a favorite painter of Prince Eugene, Giuseppe Maria Crespi (1665-1747), placed Gaetano in Bologna on August 24, 1714 where he painted decorations for the city’s annual “*Festa della Porchetta.*”\(^{32}\) Fanti’s first presence in Vienna is actually documented securely in 1720, when the artist was in contact with the Archbishop of Salzburg Franz Anton Fürst Harrach (reign 1709-1727) to obtain work in that

\(^{28}\) It is stored at the Archiginnasio in Bologna under inventory number: MS B95, 175ff. See Knall-Brskovsky, 161.

\(^{29}\) I have not read the letter myself, but it was read by Knall-Brskovsky and discussed in Knall-Brskovsky, 160.

\(^{30}\) This date range is implied by the author and calculated by Knall-Brskovsky in Knall-Brskovsky, 160.

\(^{31}\) Translation: “was capable of taking on work at Chiarini’s level.” Vincenzo Fanti, *Descrizione completa di tutto ciò che ritrovisarsi nella galleria di pittura e scultura di Sua Altezza Giuseppe Wenceslao del S.R.I. principe regnante della casa di Lichtenstein* (Vienna de Trattnern, 1767), 125.

Zanotti also mentions Fanti’s work for Prince Eugene in his *vite* on Chiarini stating, “*per alcune opere, che cola gli erano state commesse, lascio Gaetano Fanti.*” This supports my position that Prince Eugene commissioned Chiarini with the Upper Belvedere’s frescoes originally but Gaetano painted them due to his maestro’s failing health.

**Quadratura in the Marble Hall at the Lower Belvedere**

In the great hall at the Lower Belvedere, Chiarini’s designs cover significant portions of the walls and two-thirds of the vault (see figs. 19-20 and 58-61). Called the Marble Hall because this splendid material adorns the walls abundantly, it is a *Festsaal*, or banquet hall, that was used by Prince Eugene to receive guests, host grand feasts, but also, it could be used to conduct official duties. This space may also be referred to as a *salle de fête* or *sala grande*. The Lower Belvedere is only one story. The Marble Hall is on the ground floor. In central European palaces, such grand chambers are usually reached via a stairwell like at the Upper Belvedere. Therefore, the north courtyard introduces and elevates the Lower Belvedere’s Marble Hall instead. This room’s concept stems partially from Italian

---

34 Translation: “for some projects, commissioned to him (meaning Chiarini), he left them to Gaetano Fanti.” See Zanotti, *Storia dell’Accademia Clementina di Bologna, aggregata all’istituto delle scienze e dell’arti*, 1:279.  
Renaissance models, like the *Sala della Prospettive* that Baldassare Peruzzi (1481-1536) created at Villa Farnesina in Rome with its mixture of marble and illusionistic imagery. A seventeenth-century predecessor is in Florence at Palazzo Pitti, where the renowned *quadraturists* Colonna and Mitelli painted for Ferdinando II de’Medici (1610-1670). The reception rooms, called the *Udienza Pubblica* and *Udienza Privata*, were painted between 1637 and 1641. The *Udienza Pubblica* is closely related to a type of hall that developed from the *Rittersaal*, or great hall, in central European noble palaces. Leonhard Christoph Sturm (1669-1719) describes these chambers in 1699 as needing to be,


These attributes characterize the Lower Belvedere’s Marble Hall, just like a contemporary example called the *Steinernersaal* at Schloss Nymphenburg. Agostino Barelli (1627-1697) designed it for Ferdinand Maria, the Elector of Bavaria (1636-1679) and Henriette Adelaide of Savoy (1636-1676). It was constructed between 1664-1675. In Vienna, at the garden palace of Prince Johann Adam Andreas von Liechtenstein (1622-1712), Pozzo painted illusionistic frescoes in the so-called *Herkulessaal* with monolithic half-columns that were cut from red

---

36 Translation: “main hall,” “Germany,” and “constructed with (1.) very large/ (2.) open and airy. (3.) with windows that reach to the floors/ and with opaque panels alone/ and (4.) against a garden and with an airy view.” See Leonhard Christoph Sturm, *Erste Ausübung der Vortrefflichen und Vollständigen Anweisung zu der Civil-Bau-Kunst, Nicolai Goldmanns Bestehend In neun ausführlichen Anmerckungen, Wodurch Den Liebhabern der Bau-Kunst insgesamt eröffnet wird, Wie man Das Goldmannische Werck auf die Invention und Ausübung selbst mit grossen Nutzen appliciren könne, Daß die Gebäude. Nach dem heutigen Gusto angenehm heraus kommen* (Leipzig: Lanckisch, 1708), 145.
marble. Yet another example is the so-called Marble Hall at Schloss Pommersfelden, which Hildebrandt and Johann Dientzenhofer (1663-1726) designed for Lothar Franz von Schönborn, the Prince-Bishop of Bamberg and Archbishop of Mainz (1655-1729). It was constructed between 1711-1718, which overlaps with the construction of both the Lower and Upper Belvedere's Marble Halls, where the same exact architect was employed. Prince Eugene was clearly abreast with the latest trends in Baroque interiors in central European palaces.

The Lower Belvedere's Marble Hall is perfectly square and each wall measures 12.8 meters across (see “c” on fig. 1).37 Oval and rectangular banquet halls are relatively standard, while perfectly square rooms are not.38 This chamber’s distinctive dimensions reveal that the quadratura specialist had requested them himself because the square shape allowed Chiarini to create a successful illusion on the vault. It required only a single vanishing point. It is exactly in the center of the ceiling that rises only slightly in elevation above the cornice. In an elongated chamber, secondary vanishing points would have been required as quadratura will not appear optically correct without them when a viewer moves about the space.39 This chamber’s unique dimensions reveal that Chiarini’s need to produce an accurate, convincing, and impressive illusion guided the Marble Hall’s overall shape and the ornament.

In the chambers of early modern European palaces which feature quadratura, the painter typically planned, decorated, and organized the entire

---

37 I would like to thank Dipl.-Ing. Andrea Rosic, the building manager at the Belvedere Museum, for providing me with the exact measurements.
38 Reuther, “Festsaal.”
Chiarini divided the Marble Hall’s four walls liberally among frescoes, red marble panels, and white stuccos. The west wall is mirrored by the east wall, and likewise, the distribution of ornament on the north and south walls corresponds. Chiarini’s symmetrical organization enhances his mathematically complex frescoes. A central doorcase flanked by large windows in the focal point of the north wall (see fig. 59). It leads to a courtyard and the main entrance of the Lower Belvedere. The south doorcase opens to the palace gardens (see fig. 60). Both the north and south walls comprise elongated frescoed panels which extend two stories and expand the room ostensibly by means of a painted niche with a gilded torch (fig. 62). An actual silver sconce with two candles originally adorned each wall. Just above the painted torch, in between half-round windows, Chiarini rendered illusionistic balconies. They protrude and form a base for a painted bouquet in a gilded vase. Two putti accompany the vase and engage in mischievous behavior to captivate the viewer playfully. For example, in the fresco on the north wall and to the left of the doorcase, one putto captures a small blackbird as it zooms by (fig. 63). Chiarini’s inclusion of this event in real time increases the chance of the illusion’s success by simulating realism.

On the east and west walls, a fireplace is the central focal point (figs. 64-65). They are Cheminée à la Royale, one of the “most characteristic inventions of French decoration” during the reign of Louis XIV which only became popular in

---

41 Seeger, Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen, 293; Johann Bernoulli, Johann Bernoulli’s Sammlung kurzer Reisebeschreibungen und anderer zur Erweiterung der Länder- und Menschenkenntniss dienender Nachrichten (Berlin, 1784), 6.
Europe after 1720, through Augustin Charles Daviler’s (1653-1701) widely circulated pattern-book *Cours D’Architecture*. The inclusion of the *Cheminée à la Royale* supports the notion that du Plessy influenced the design of this room, which dates to before Daviler’s templates. It is reasonable to assume that he helped conceive of the marble ornament with its mixture of undulating vegetal scrolls and classical motifs, as seen on the two elaborate fireplaces. Yet there is no consensus on Chiarini and du Plessy’s involvement in this chambers’ marble carvings, that even Hildebrandt may have designed. Hildebrandt’s architecturally precise work in the Marble Hall at the Upper Belvedere echoes the façade, whereas at the Lower Belvedere, the marble panels are carved organically with scrolls and naturalistic forms that are unrelated to the façade and its ornamentation. This speaks for Chiarini’s authorship of both the frescoes and the marble panels throughout the reception hall, which complement each other through their projecting, either towards or away from the viewer. Long vertical sections of frescoes flanked both fireplaces where Chiarini painted sculptural scrolls and twisting spirals that embody those seen on the marble carvings. They too are meant to enhance the amusing mirage. On the west wall, putti splash water mischievously (fig. 66). Above them,

---

43 For example, Knall-Brskovsky first proposed that Chiarini is the chief designer of the Lower Belvedere’s Marble Hall in *Italienische Quadraturisten in Österreich*. Shortly thereafter, in 1985, in a publication related to Prince Eugene’s Schloß Hof palace primarily, she alters her position and ascribes this chamber’s marble designs to Hildebrandt instead. Most recently, in 2004, Seeger ascribes the room’s design again to Chiarini. See Knall-Brskovsky, *Italienische Quadraturisten in Österreich*, 149; Ulrike Knall-Brskovsky, “Kat. Nr. 21-35a,” in *Prinz Eugen und das barocke Österreich* (Salzburg: Residenz, 1985), 439; Seeger, *Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen*, 296–97.
Chiarini painted a gallery that suggests there is a second story. Bussi’s stuccos of Turks and their confiscated weapons protrude even further into the chamber to enhance the three-dimensional effect of Chiarini’s quadratura, while also glorifying Prince Eugene’s status as the famous victor over the Ottomans. The chamber’s twelve white marble medallions depict scenes from the myth of Apollo and are spread evenly throughout the room. They were created by an unknown master (see figs. 19 and 59-61). It is not known if Prince Eugene acquired these oval reliefs, or if Chiarini and du Plessy commissioned them. They serve as expressions of Aeneas’ link to the founding of the Roman Empire, and thus, reference Prince Eugene’s importance to the Holy Roman Emperor.

Through two fictive architectural layers, Chiarini gives the impression of considerable height on the Marble Hall’s vault (see fig. 32). The first layer is an undulating, octagonal balustrade and it covers nearly one fourth of the ceiling. Bordering the cornice, this layer of the fresco is masterfully painted because the zone is concave and transitions from the wall to the vault. Chiarini’s white marble balustrade is identical on all sides and each includes one round, projecting balcony which marks the central axis of the wall below. Female allegorical personifications sit upon volutes and border these four painted balconies. In the west, Chiarini

47 According to Aurenhammer, the female allegories were painted by Martino Altomonte (1657-1745). Knall-Brskovsky also states that the figurative painting in the Marble Hall is the work of Altomonte. Grimm implies the personifications are by the hand of Chiarini, which Franz Martin Haberditzl first suggested in 1923. The inclusion of figures in the
rendered Nobilitas and Fortitudo, in the east, Intelligentia and Liberalitas, in the north, Magnificentia and Justitia, and in the south, Prudentia and Magnanimitas. These princely merits are ascribed to Prince Eugene as a worthy representative of the Habsburg Emperors. The ionic columns behind the female figures support a second layer of fictive architecture effortlessly. They imply that the vault is raised to a considerable height. Here, Chiarini cleverly disguised geometric lines that guide his illusion (fig. 67). According to one expert, this is a typically Bolognese technique to strategically cover perspectival “Knickstellen,” or kink points, to protect the main illusion without revealing the formula to the viewer. The four painted medallions in the corners of the Marble Hall, that are wrapped in a billowing blue shroud and presented by a pair of putti, serve the same purpose (see fig. 20). Depth is created behind each medallion through the inclusion of a vase with flowers, which like the ionic columns, are rendered in di sotto in su perspective, or are seen from below. These details convince viewers of the vaults’ soaring height above the undulating balustrade. The medallions in the corners present heroic predecessors of Prince Eugene. The southeast painted medallion portrays the story of Theseus and the Minotaur. The southwest medallion features Hercules and the Hydria. Perseus frees Andromeda in the northeast, and finally, in the preparatory drawing by Chiarini suggests he may have painted the Virtues himself. He was in fact trained in figure painting. See, Franz Martin Haberditzl, Das Barockmuseum im Unteren Belvedere (Wien: Österreichische Galerie Belvedere, 1923), LXXVII; Hans Aurenhammer and Gertrude Aurenhammer, Martino Altomonte (Wien: Verlag Herold, 1965), 32; Knall-Brskovsky, Italienische Quadraturisten in Österreich, 148; Grimm, “Ein neuentdeckter Dekorationsentwurf von Marc Antonio Chiarini für das Untere Belvedere,” 234.  

48 Aurenhammer and Aurenhammer, Martino Altomonte, 32.  
49 Knall-Brskovsky, Italienische Quadraturisten in Österreich, 148.
northwest, the painted medallion renders Jason stealing the Golden Fleece.\textsuperscript{50} Each one of these mythological heroes had fought against a mighty foe and won despite the great odds against them. They reference the prince’s legendary successes over the Ottomans.

Chiarini distinguishes his first layer of \textit{quadratura} from the second by painting a golden hue that imitates gilding (see fig. 32). He enhances his illusion by a profusion of ornament like garlands, coffers, and medallions with imperial Roman portraits in profile. Such ornate detail is typical of the Bolognese school of \textit{quadratura}, and particularly, in the work of Mitelli and his followers.\textsuperscript{51} It helps to achieve a convincing extension of space overhead by distracting the viewer from the geometric lines that guide the illusion and radiate from the central vanishing point. This room measures 11.84 meters from the floor to the ceiling’s center, or exactly one meter less than the 12.8-meter width of each wall. Chiarini’s painted creation gives the impression that the vault is raised significantly higher. Kleiner’s engraved drawing accurately records that it rises only slightly above the marble cornice (fig. 68). It is paradoxical that Chiarini’s \textit{quadratura} suggests great height while Kleiner’s printed view of the room, circulated widely in the early modern period, undermines this intended illusion. Perhaps Prince Eugene planned this contradiction to publicize and heighten the impressive nature of Chiarini’s accomplishment. While Carloni’s figure-filled allegory in the center of the vault is

\textsuperscript{50} For a detailed interpretation of the mythological scenes in reference to Prince Eugene, see Haberditzl, \textit{Das Barockmuseum im Unteren Belvedere}, 33; Aurenhammer and Aurenhammer, \textit{Martino Altomonte}, 32; Matsche, “Mythologische Heldenapotheosen in Deckengemälden Wiener Adelspaläste des frühen 18. Jahrhunderts,” 318.
undeniably superb, it only takes up a small portion of the vault. Carloni’s fresco does not overshadow Chiarini’s innovative design that deceives the eyes and informs viewers about Prince Eugene’s intellectual authority and fascination with optics in an erudite manner.

Carloni’s scene is set at a forty-five-degree angle to the square-shaped Marble Hall. In the center of the vault, Carloni frescoed an ensemble of figures among clouds in *di sotto in su* perspective (see fig. 32). At the top, Apollo arrives on his chariot pulled by four horses. Just below him, muses of the arts and science look towards Mercury who swoops down towards a young man depicted in heroic nudity. This figure personifies Prince Eugene.\(^5\) Carloni’s allegory presents the prince as a military hero and protector of Christianity. Near the center of the fresco, just below Mercury, a *putto* delivers a banner with the message “*Magne genI Cape Dona LVbens, LatioVe faVeto. Virgil.*” (fig. 69).\(^5\) One scholar’s translation reads “*Großer Genius, nimm die Geschenke und sei Latinum wohlgesinnt!*”\(^5\) In other words, great genius – undoubtedly a pun on the patron’s name, Eugene – receive

---


\(^5\) Popelka, 183.
your gift willingly and remain the protector of the church. The banner’s text clearly refers to the high honor bestowed upon the prince by Pope Clement XI (papacy 1720-1721) after the prince first defeated the Ottomans at Petrovaradin on August 5, 1716, and again, at Timișoara on October 12, 1716, to be discussed in more detail below. It declares that Prince Eugene’s hard-earned award obligates him to function as a defender of the Catholic Church, as he was already a member of the esteemed Order of the Golden Fleece, which I will discuss in chapter two briefly. The raised, bold, and red letters in Carloni’s inscription form the Roman numerals M (1000), I (1), C (100), D (500), LV (55), LV (55), and V (5). They add up to the year of the fresco’s painting in 1716, the year of the prince’s prestigious papal award.\textsuperscript{55}

\textbf{Marcantonio Chiarini’s Preparatory Drawing}

Chiarini’s inclusion of the Marble Hall’s precise measurements on the periphery of his preparatory drawing for the vault’s fresco attests strongly to his involvement from the onset of the Lower Belvedere’s commission and planning (see fig. 31). In grey feather pen along the drawing’s two sides, Chiarini wrote “\textit{Pieds 6 di Vienna},” and nearby, “\textit{P 40 tutta}” (figs. 70-71).\textsuperscript{56} One Viennese pied, or

\textsuperscript{55} Popelka, 183.
\textsuperscript{56} Translation: “6 Viennese feet” and “40 Viennese feet total.”
foot, equals 31.6 centimeters. Chiarini’s scale converts into two measurements: 189.6 centimeters, likely referring to the vault’s slight elevation that rises above the Marble Hall’s cornice, and 1,264 centimeters, referencing each wall’s width. The second number deviates from the actual span of the walls by only sixteen centimeters. Because it corresponds so closely to the wall’s actual width, at the very least, Chiarini was informed about the Marble Hall’s intended dimensions at the time he produced this drawing. It presents Prince Eugene with four different options of architectural illusions for the vault, one is drawn in each corner. The bottom half of the drawing features two layers of architectural illusions, while the top half includes options only for the center of the vault. The drawing’s architectural layers reveal that Chiarini could add or subtract them easily, as his patron desired. Prince Eugene chose the bottom left configuration that Chiarini proposed. The artist only executed the first two layers and Carloni’s allegory featuring Apollo and Mercury fills the center in place of quadratura. The drawing presents, therefore, an early phase in this project’s conception. Perhaps around 1712, when Chiarini covered similarly the Sala Terrena at Palais Trautson with quadratura (see figs. 50-51).

Italian quadraturists frequently worked alongside figure painters. As mentioned previously, Chiarini first painted quadratura for Prince Eugene at the

---

58 I did inquire about the height of the vault above the cornice, but the Belvedere Museum was unable to provide me with this measurement.
59 They each span 1280 centimeters, or 12.8 meters.
city palace alongside Lanzani and Doringy. He then painted alongside Carloni at Palais Daun-Kinsky circa 1714. The collaboration of a figure and architectural painter was common since the beginning of Bolognese perspectival painting in the early sixteenth century. Yet Chiarini’s preparatory drawing lacks any reference to Carloni’s executed fresco. This discrepancy speaks to a change in the commission which took place after Chiarini first presented this drawing to Prince Eugene. When architectural painters were hired as the leading artist in a large decorative program with illusionistic frescoes, they determined the size and borders of a planned figurative scene. The absence of a space reserved for a figurative fresco in Chiarini’s drawing indicates that, at the commission’s onset, Prince Eugene desired for quadratura to be the fresco’s primary focus. The prince’s choice is unusual, but as such, it proves that he was especially fascinated by the complexity and versatility of Chiarini’s astute imagery.

Chiarini’s drawing is a highly finished modello that provides details of even the smallest architectural ornament. Therefore, it cannot be deemed a simple sketch. It reveals the artist’s early plan to create a fantastic optical illusion and excludes a figure-filled scene at the center purposefully. Around 1712, when the drawing was created, Carloni’s fresco was not yet envisioned. Otherwise, Chiarini would have reserved a space in the center of his preparatory drawing for the figure filled scene, as was the custom. Chiarini’s unusual proposal is evidence of a direct request from the patron. In 1716, the esteemed honor of receiving the

---

62 Knall-Brskovsky, 95.
blessed sword and hat from Clement XI necessitated a change in this commission. Only then did the prince ask Chiarini to collaborate with Carloni, who had just worked together successfully at Palais Daun-Kinsky two years earlier.

Prince Eugene’s two famous victories over the Ottomans in 1716 led to the significant change on the Lower Belvedere Marble Hall’s vault. After the successful battle of Petrovaradin on August 5, 1716, Prince Eugene’s army again destroyed the Ottoman army at the Siege of Timișoara by October 12 in the same year. The news that Prince Eugene had won against Sultan Ahmed III (reigned 1703-1730) traveled quickly throughout Europe. Once the news reached Rome, it prompted Clement XI to send a message to the prince, who was still on the battlefield in Timișoara, that he would be awarded the blessed hat and blessed sword. The tradition to award a pontifical sword and hat to monarchs and other secular leaders dates back to at least the fourteenth century and recognizes an individual’s valiant defense of Christendom, especially against the Muslim Turks. Just prior to Prince Eugene’s award, Jan III Sobieski, the King of Poland (reigned 1674-1696) had received it from Innocent XI (papacy 1676-1689) following the Siege of Vienna in 1683. Prince Eugene’s decisive defeat of the Ottoman army transformed him into one of the greatest heroes in the history of Habsburg Austria.

63 It must be mentioned that the interpretation of Carloni’s fresco was challenged by Brini and Garas in 1967, and again, by Franz Matsche in 1999. They instead suggest that Carloni’s fresco commemorates the end of the War of the Spanish Succession and the signing of the Peace of Rastatt on March 7, 1714. See Barigozzi Brini and Garas, Carlo Innocenzo Carloni, 30; Matsche, “Mythologische Heldenapotheosen in Deckengemälden Wiener Adelspaläste des frühen 18. Jahrhunderts,” 315-26.
To commemorate the achievement, a grand ceremony was planned at St. Stephen’s Cathedral. A contemporary report states that the prince returned to Vienna from the battlefield on November 9, 1716, but already had received his papal hat and sword three days earlier when he arrived in Raab, Austria. Crowds lined the streets to congratulate the hero on his way back to Vienna, where Austria’s nobility, the Imperial army, and the city’s citizens received him triumphantly. Prince Eugene, in a grand carriage pulled by six horses, was escorted by Daun to his recently finished “Schloß-Garten,” the Lower Belvedere. At ten o’clock in the morning, Prince Eugene walked to the cathedral where he was greeted by the Archbishop Sigismund von Kollonitsch (1677–1751) who performed the *signum crucis* blessing upon Eugene. Then, the sounds of Vienna’s church bells and gunfire salutes filled the air. The prince was led from the cathedral’s entrance to the high altar in a magnificent procession of the clergy and Austria’s nobility, followed by scores of Vienna’s citizens who came to witness the splendid event. On the high altar, upon a golden cloth, the captain of the papal garrison in Cento, Cavaliere Orazio Rasponi (life dates unknown) placed the blessed hat and blessed sword for all to see. During high mass, Prince Eugene knelt on a pillow
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66 de Dumont, 3:980–81, 994.
and bowed his head to receive the glorious papal award. Thereafter, the hero, clergymen, and Austria’s nobility celebrated until the evening hours.69

Prince Eugene’s blessed hat and blessed sword, pictured and described in detail in the contemporary report, were left on display in St. Stephen’s Cathedral so that Vienna’s citizens could continue to admire them (fig. 72). The prince expressed his gratitude for the great honor that his Excellency had awarded him in a letter dated to November 16, 1716.70 Furthermore, the Jesuit priest Franz Peikhart (1684-1752) in his eulogy during Eugene’s funeral, in 1736, mentions the blessed hat and blessed sword and reminds everyone present of the great papal honor that was bestowed upon the hero: “welche Ehre in vielen Jahrhunderten kaum einen wiederaffen ist.”71 It comes as no surprise that the prince desired to commemorate these glorious events at his newly constructed garden palace. At this time, the construction and decoration of the prince’s city palace was largely complete whereas the construction of the Upper Belvedere had not yet begun.72 Therefore, the best place for the fresco celebrating war was the Marble Hall at the Lower Belvedere.

69 The exact location of the festivities which took place after this mass is not specified in the contemporary report. See de Dumont, Des Grossen Feld-Herms Eugenii Hertzogs von Savoyen und Käysertlichen General-Lieutenants Helden-Thaten: Dritter Theil, 3:985.
70 For a copy of the original letter penned in Latin, and the German translation, see de Dumont, 3:986–94.
72 It should be noted that there were multiple phases of construction and decoration at the city palace. See Seeger, Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen, 31–34.
Prince Eugene, whose victories against the Ottoman Empire de facto secured the continued dominance of Christianity in central Europe and established its southern borders, wanted to commemorate his great military and political success in visual form at the Lower Belvedere. The change in the commission likely took place after Eugene’s victory at Timișoara in October and around the time of the grand ceremony in St. Stephen’s Cathedral in November 1716. The patron may have contacted Chiarini and Carloni from the battlefield. For example, already in October, while Eugene was still occupied with the siege of Timișoara, he requested that Hildebrandt send him a blueprint for the newly expanded garden palace project.73 Also in October, the Field Marshal Leopold-Philippe Duc d’Arenberg (1690-1754) arrived in Timișoara from Paris to assist the prince with his plans for the Belvedere’s gardens because he had lived at Versailles during the previous two years.74 Indeed, d’Arenberg was well-versed in the latest trends in Parisian interior design. The prince even ordered numerous books while in the heat of battle. He secured one of the most valuable objects in his library during his time leading the conflict in Belgrade in 1717. It is the ancient Tabula Peutingeriana.75 Therefore, it is not unthinkable that the news of the Papal award immediately prompted Prince Eugene to include Carloni’s allegory in the center of the vault at the Lower Belvedere. Carloni was also known for being an extraordinarily fast painter. It is quite conceivable that he painted the Lower

74 Seeger, Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen, 236.
Belvedere’s Marble Hall’s vault quickly, in a matter of only weeks. His large fresco in the Marble Hall at the Upper Belvedere required only three months for completion. Carloni painted there between July and September 1721, pausing only on Sundays. 76 Certainly, Carloni’s open and loose brushwork for the prince’s commemoration of his award in allegorical form supports that this small fresco was painted swiftly.

Quadratura in the State Bedchamber at the Lower Belvedere

Prince Eugene’s choice to have Chiarini paint the vault of his state bedchamber boldly suggests that quadratura was of great personal interest to him and he understood this imagery to be commanding (see fig. 33). No primary documents clarify whether Prince Eugene intended to have Chiarini fresco only one or two chambers at the Lower Belvedere originally, and moreover, only one preparatory drawing exists (see fig. 31). It is possible that the bed chamber’s fresco is a compromise and solution that Prince Eugene determined after his papal award was granted in 1716. In other words, the prince first asked Chiarini to paint his mathematically complex illusions entirely on the Marble Hall’s vault, which his preparatory drawing indicates. This plan changed in 1716, when, following the recently received high honor, Prince Eugene required that it be commemorated in

76 Barigozzi Brini and Garas, Carlo Innocenzo Carloni, 38.
allegorical form on the vault of the reception hall. The prince still deemed his state bed chamber worthy of Chiarini’s unique treatment because it ranks highest among all the rooms at this small garden palace. Like the Marble Hall, it is a highly public space with a formal function. Louis XIV famously cultivated courtly rituals in his own state bedchamber at Versailles, which is at the heart of the entire palace complex. Prince Eugene surely attended the Sun King’s daily lever, or waking ceremony, and coucher, or retiring ceremony. During his summer sojourns at the Lower Belvedere, the prince could have used his state bed chamber to conduct state affairs. Modern notions of privacy do not apply in a Baroque state bedchamber, which is a “performance platform” and voyeurism was actually encouraged. The state bed played a formal role in this chamber as well. According to one scholar, it is a “piece of ceremonial equipment,” that acts as an “imposing reminder of the physical and symbolic distance between the royal body and the rest of the court and society.” At the Lower Belvedere, Prince Eugene’s bed’s off-center position suggests that Chiarini’s magnificent fresco is the chamber’s artistic focal point (see “g” on fig. 1 and fig. 73). This placement of the bed allowed for unencumbered viewing of the illusion overhead. Just like at the city palace, where quadratura adorns the vault of the state bed chamber and audience chamber, Prince Eugene has experimented at the Lower Belvedere with

---


78 Loske.
how painted architecture can enliven an official space while conveying the prince’s cultural and intellectually authority.

Chiarini created two zones of architectural illusions in this small room. The lower zone simulates white marble and the upper zone imitates gilding, just like in the Marble Hall next door. Chiarini’s profusion of ornament enhances the three-dimensional effect of this fresco while disguising geometric lines that connect to the vault’s central vanishing point. Just above the cornice, in painted spandrels, Chiarini added floral arrangements in brilliant blue vases. They are framed by two painted scenes which Altomonte signed and dated in 1716 (see figs. 21-22). These are mythological frescoes, as already noted in the introduction, that balance Chiarini’s painted busts of Luna, in the west, and Sol, on the east side of the room. A frescoed white marble parapet with arabesque scrolls and ornate vegetal forms rises above the rounded molding that tops this layer of painted architecture. In the center of the vault, a fictitious ray of light highlights and casts shadows to enhance the optical illusion of the dome’s soaring height, and thus, convince viewers that the architecture seen above is real. Chiarini added two golden vases that rest on the parapet to illusionistically extend the vault’s height under the painted coffered dome (see fig. 33). The vault in the Camera degli Sposi that Andrea Mantegna (1431-1506) painted at the Ducal Palace in Mantua for Ludovico III Gonzaga (1414-1478) acts as an early Renaissance predecessor to Chiarini’s design. At the center, near the faux ribbing and fictive stuccos, Mantegna rendered an oculus in di sotto in su. Courtiers and putti peer down to the guests in the room that functioned as a state bed chamber and audience hall where the duke received
important guests. Like Mantegna, Chiarini explored at the Lower Belvedere with how illusions interact with viewers and magnificently transform prominent spaces. The absence of painted figures in Chiarini’s fresco reveals that both he and Prince Eugene shared the conviction that the transformation can be achieved with painted architectural alone.

Quadratura at the Upper Belvedere

The decoration of the Lower Belvedere was complete by 1717, the year the construction of the Upper Belvedere began. Prince Eugene’s decision to expand his garden palace project from a small villa suburbana to an immense monument that consists of two structures coincides with his victories at Petrovaradin and Timișoara. Just as Carloni’s allegorical fresco in the Lower Belvedere’s Marble Hall (see fig. 32). Indeed, in November 1716, the prince purchased more land for the Belvedere project. By January 1717, Girard came to Vienna and laid out the gardens and fountains in the formal French manner. In June, construction of the menagerie was initiated and the Upper Belvedere’s foundation was also laid at this time. It is worth reiterating that the construction and decoration of Prince Eugene’s city palace followed his great victory at Zenta in 1697. Prince Eugene also first
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80 For a detailed discussion of the Upper Belvedere’s timeline, see Seeger, Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen, 173–77.
purchased the land for the Belvedere in that year. Similarly, his next great success against the Ottomans in 1716 motivated him to erect the Upper Belvedere. Its construction lasted only two years. By the end of September in 1719, the new garden palace was in such a finished state that the Ottoman ambassador Ibrahim Pasha came by for a tour.81 He had previously seen the garden palace of the Czernin von und zu Chudenitz family in Vienna’s Leopoldstadt, where Pasha ate a variety of delicious fruits.82 Next, he toured the imperial Neuegebäue. It is a *villa suburbana* located in what is now the eleventh district of Vienna and dates to the Renaissance period. Emperor Maximilian II (reigned 1564-1576) constructed the Neuegebäue and the Ottoman Sultan Süleyman I (1530-1566) famously captured it in 1529 during the First Siege of Vienna. It had fallen into disrepair by the early eighteenth century, used only to house a modest imperial menagerie that consisted mainly of wild beasts.83 Finally, Pasha toured Prince Eugene’s Belvedere where he admired rare plants, exotic animals, fabulous water works, and concluded the visit with delectable confections, coffee, and even lemonade.84 The October date of this tour suggests that the Upper Belvedere’s decoration had begun by fall 1719.

Between 1719 and 1723, Fanti painted *quadratura* at the Upper Belvedere but it is not known in which order he painted the various rooms. They include the Bilder Saal, or the picture gallery, as well as the Gemahlenes Cabinet (see figs. 36

84 “Nr. 1687 Wien vom 30. September bis 3. Oktober 1719.”
and 47.) Both chamber's vaults have not survived, but they can be seen partially in Kleiner's engraved drawings. In the early nineteenth century, water damaged this part of the palace and it was remodeled circa 1898, and again, during the mid-twentieth century. This study does not attempt to reconstruct the lost quadratura, but it does recognize that the prince, once again, chose illusionistic architecture to accentuate vaults in prominent rooms. This time, within the appartement de société. The picture gallery ranks highly because it was home to works by Europe's greatest masters of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries. This collection became famous in the prince's own lifetime, as detailed in chapter three. Additionally, Fanti frescoed in the Sala Terrena which is situated beneath the piano nobile and it links the corps de logis to the terraced gardens (see figs. 35 and 56-57.) This is an interim space that introduces visitors to Girard's design dramatically. The Sala Terrena is an invention of German Baroque palaces but it follows Genoese precedents. Here, the prince assigned Fanti four rooms in total. Two were altered soon after their completion for unknown reasons. Today, Fantis frescoes are only preserved in one of these rooms, to be discussed below (see figs. 35 and 56 and the first space labeled "Somer Zimmer" to the left of the

---


“Vestible/Vor-Hauss” on the “unteren Stocks” in fig. 6). Fanti’s illusions, together with Drentwett’s grotesques throughout the Sala Terrena, recall Pompeian murals and those seen at the Domus Area.\textsuperscript{88}

Fanti also frescoed in the Upper Belvedere’s Marble Hall (see figs. 34, 55, 75-79 and the space labeled “Salon/Großer Sahl” on the “Haupt-Stocks” in fig. 6.) It too marks the central axis of the palace, just like at Lower Belvedere. The Upper Belvedere’s enfilade unfolds from this central chamber. It offers a vista of the palace’s state rooms to the east and west, and to the north, a panorama of the gardens and Vienna. Its octagonal ground plan, which Hildebrandt also employed in the Marble Hall at Schloss Pommersfelden, presents a development in the banquet halls of central Europe which arose by the second decade of the eighteenth century.\textsuperscript{89} This form, together with the Upper Belvedere’s grand stairwell that leads to both the Marble Hall and the Sala Terrena, was soon copied by Balthasar Neumann (1687-1753) at the Würzburger Residenz of the Prince-Bishop Johann Philipp Franz von Schönborn (1673-1724) and his brother, the Prince-Bishop Friedrich Carl von Schönborn (1674-1746).\textsuperscript{90} While Chiarini designed the Marble Hall at the Lower Belvedere, I agree with one expert who attributes the Upper Belvedere’s Marble Hall to Hildebrandt due to the sculptural precision of this chamber’s architectural elements that echo the façade.\textsuperscript{91} They include the twin pilasters with Corinthian capitals that divide the Marble into orderly

\textsuperscript{88} Kahle, “Sala Terrena (2003).”
\textsuperscript{89} Reuther, “Festsaal.”
\textsuperscript{90} Reuther.
\textsuperscript{91} See my brief discussion on page 59 of this chapter and Seeger, \textit{Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen}, 296–97.
units by dominantly framing each window, doorcase, and fireplace. In addition, the octagonal ground plan speaks for Hildebrandt’s authorship of this hall due to Hildebrandt’s work at Schloss Pommersfelden. The evidence corroborates that Chiarini was first assigned to paint this room but, when he retired, Hildebrandt took over the project.

The octagonal shape of the Marble Hall proved particularly challenging to the young Fanti, because his illusions, while impressive, lack the precision and specificity of Chiarini’s optically convincing work at the Lower Belvedere where quadratura complements the marble carvings and engages in the rooms’ various architectural elements in a fluent dialogue. In contrast, at the Upper Belvedere, Fanti’s frescoes are overpowered by imposing pilasters and gilded stuccos below the cornice. Only above the cornice, do they come into harmony with Carloni’s figurative scene and frame it decoratively. Unlike Chiarini’s innovative quadratura at the Lower Belvedere, this form of fresco painting is not the main attraction in the Marble Hall at the Upper Belvedere.

The Upper Belvedere’s Marble Hall is significantly larger than the Marble Hall at the Lower Belvedere (see “c” in fig. 1 and the space labeled “Salon/Großer Sahl” on the “Haupt-Stocks” in fig. 6). It extends two stories, from the piano nobile to the mezzanine. Fanti’s quadratura and Carloni’s figurative allegory adorn the
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92 According to Kleiner’s ground plans of the Lower and Upper Belvedere, which include keys featuring the Viennese Klafter (one Klafter equals 1.8965 meters), the Marble Hall at the Lower Belvedere measures 7x7 Klafter or 13.2755 meters x 13.2755 meters. The Marble Hall at the Upper Belvedere measures 10x7 Klafter or 18.865 meters x 13.2755 meters. See Salomon Kleiner, *Das Belvedere zu Wien: nach dem Stichwerk in 140 Blättern aus den Jahren 1731 - 1740*, ed. Elisabeth Herget, Die bibliophilen Taschenbücher (Dortmund: Harenberg, 1980), 91 and 181.
vault that soars above the floor (fig. 74-75). On the walls, Fanti painted quadratura only sparingly between the pairs of fluted and engaged pilasters below the cornice (fig. 76). These frescoes only function to complement and draw attention to Bussi’s gilded stuccos. Two illusionistic vases upon a pedestal frame the south-facing doorcase (see fig. 34). They expand the room superficially. Nearby, in the room’s southeast and southwest corners, there are two paintings of exotic animals (see figs. 250-251.) In 1723, the local artist Johann Ignaz Heinitz von Heinzenthal rendered these specimens that Prince Eugene housed and cultivated at the Belvedere. The paintings’ prominent placement and function are discussed in detail in chapter three. These twin fireplaces just like those at the Lower Belvedere in the Marble Hall, are examples of the Cheminée à la Royale. The inclusion of the Cheminée à la Royale in both reception halls supports the notion that du Plessy influenced the design of both spaces.

Above the cornice, twelve small windows are spread out evenly and placed strategically above each large window and all the room’s doors. They accentuate the vertical axis of the two-story chamber. Between each, Fanti frescoed panels with illusionistic gilded stuccos of armor and weapons. Fanti included four figurative scenes that resemble reliefs. These four panels “allude to the Roman and Republican virtues of the prince.” Likewise, Fanti’s painted two large medallions wrapped in a blue shroud and which crown the central axis of the north and south walls. These medallions resemble those that Chiarini painted in the

---

93 See the discussion on pages 249-257 of chapter three.
corners of the Lower Belvedere’s Marble Hall’s vault. According to one scholar, who consulted the frescoes’ restorer Christoph Tinzl, Fanti painted these medallions “without a doubt.”\textsuperscript{95} The one above the north-facing window portrays a scene with the legendary Roman general Gaius Mucius Scaevola (lived ca. 508 BCE).\textsuperscript{96} Scaevola thrusts his hand into a fire pit as a demonstration of his bravery and allegiance to the Etruscan King Lars Porsenna (lived ca. 508 BCE) (fig. 78). The opposite medallion depicts the legendary soldier Marcus Curtius (lived ca. 362 BCE) who sacrificed his life to save Rome (fig. 79). These two medallions function as a metaphor for Prince Eugene’s legendary bravery on the battlefield and fierce allegiance to the Holy Roman Emperors.

Opposite the painted medallions, and just above the two doorcases that lead west, into the \textit{appartement de société}, and east, into the \textit{appartement de parade}, Fanti frescoed illusionistic niches where seated female allegories flank a pedestal that is topped by a large bouquet and placed underneath a coffered arch. Carloni painted these female figures without a doubt.\textsuperscript{97} In the south niche, one sees “Passion restrained by Reason” and \textit{Virtus}. In the north, one sees “Princely Fame” and \textit{Liberalitas}.\textsuperscript{98} Carloni painted \textit{Magnificentia} and \textit{Magnanimitas} in the east niche, while \textit{Intelligentia} and \textit{Prudentia} are seen in the west.\textsuperscript{99} The personifications advertise Prince Eugene’s exceptional qualities. On either side of the allegorical figures, a bust of a Roman Emperor flanks a pair of \textit{putti}. Carloni

\textsuperscript{95} Krapf, 120.
\textsuperscript{96} Krapf, 119.
\textsuperscript{97} According to Tinzl. See Krapf, 120.
\textsuperscript{98} Krapf, 120.
\textsuperscript{99} Krapf, 120.
likely painted them, just like the barbaric captives in chains on either side of the painted medallions. The barbarians represent defeated Turks and reference the prince as a savior of Christendom (fig. 80). Carloni’s large fresco that covers most of this vault represents the *Eternal Fame of the House of Savoy* (see fig. 75). At the top of the sky, Mars, the God of War, holds a flag in his right hand that features the emblem of the Holy Roman Empire’s imperial eagle. In his left hand, Mars holds a laurel wreath of victory that he stretches towards a shield with the Savoy cross. Just below Mars, slightly to the right and in the center of the fresco, a young hero wearing a chest plate and military headgear is surrounded by gods and allegorical figures. This hero is Prince Eugene, whose military triumphs are underscored by the shackled soldiers and Turks in the bottom left-hand corner of Carloni’s ceiling fresco.100

Fanti and Carloni collaborated again in the *Großes Gesellschafts Sommer Zimmer* in the *Sala Terrena* after 1721 (see figs. 35 and 56).101 The *Sala Terrena* originally opened out onto the palace gardens. As already noted, only one of Fanti’s frescoed rooms in the *Sala Terrena* survives today, where Carloni’s *Triumph of Aurora and Apollo with the Allegories of Science, Scholarship, and the Fine Arts* is painted. It was executed circa 1722 or 1723 (fig. 81). Fanti’s architectural illusions include painted marble columns, pilasters with ionic capitals, imaginary niches, and playful arcades. They propose that there is an illusory sphere which exists beyond the room’s material borders. Fanti includes a profusion

100 For a detailed interpretation, see Krapf, “‘You Are Gods on Earth’- Carlo Innocenzo Carlone Paints for Prince Eugene of Savoy’s Belvedere in Vienna.”
101 Fanti may have frescoed alone in this space before Carloni arrived at the Belvedere. See Knall-Brskovsky, *Italienische Quadraturisten in Österreich*, 161.
of ornamental details to enhance his fresco like garlands, busts, and gilded coffers. On each of the room’s walls, except for the north side that opens onto the palace gardens, Carloni painted figurative panels representing scenes from Ovid’s *Metamorphoses*. Finally, Fanti frescoed a balustrade with balconies to make the vault appear as if it opens to the heavens. In the center, Carloni’s figural fresco references the daily transition to night and includes muses that glorify Prince Eugene. They declare that the prince is a great patron of science, scholarship, and fine art. The *Sala Terrena* was finished before 1723, as Carloni completed his *Holy Trinity* on the vault of Prince Eugene’s private chapel in that year (fig. 82). It must be noted that Carloni painted a small illusionistic balustrade in the chapel which does not constitute an example of Bolognese *quadratura*.

### Quadratura’s Relationship to Prince Eugene’s Scientific Interests

*Quadratura* developed in the city of Bologna, home to the world’s oldest university, and thus, a major intellectual center for centuries. Closely related to the science of optical geometry, *quadratura* painting peaked in the seventeenth century at the same time as profound discoveries made by Galileo Galilei (1564-1642), Johannes Keppler (1571-1630), and Isaac Newton (1643-1727).\(^{102}\) Filippo Brunelleschi’s (1377-1446) ground-breaking discovery of linear perspective in the

early Italian Renaissance is the common denominator linking Galileo, Kepler, and
Newton’s major advances in early modern science to quadratura directly. In fact,
the sophisticated mathematics that developed in response to Brunelleschi’s theory
contributed to the development of both the telescope and quadratura.103
Knowledge of optical geometry allowed Chiarini to calculate the formulas
necessary to transfer his design from a two-dimensional surface onto a concave
vault successfully.104 The projects Prince Eugene commissioned from Chiarini and
Fanti should be understood not only as an expression of the patron’s artistic taste
and love for the artistry by Bolognese painters, but also, as a reflection of his great
intellect and scientific curiosity. That is because quadratura cannot be separated
from contemporary scientific and mathematical developments in early modern
optics.

In addition to the Belvedere’s quadratura frescoes, Prince Eugene’s world-
class collection of astronomical and horological devices provides an opportunity to
analyze the intersections of art, science, and technology in early modern Europe.
These issues are just emerging in the field of art history and even though the prince
owned at least two telescopes and a rare but exquisitely crafted model of the solar
system, to my knowledge, only one study refers to Prince Eugene’s scientific

103 Leon Battista Alberti published the first systematic explanation of Brunelleschi’s
perspectival theory in 1435. See, Leon Battista Alberti, Della Pittura: Leone Battista
Alberti, ed. Luigi Mallè (Firenze: Sansoni, 1950). For the detailed history and the
development of quadratura painting, see the previously cited book Sjöström,
Quadratura: Studies in Italian Ceiling Painting.
104 Samuel Y. Edgerton, The Mirror, the Window, and the Telescope: How Renaissance
Linear Perspective Changed Our Vision of the Universe (Ithaca: Cornell University
Press, 2009); Knall-Brskovsky, Italienische Quadraturisten in Österreich, 59–110, 139–
60.
instruments specifically.\textsuperscript{105} That is because the field of “art history historically tended to ignore scientific instruments, relegating them instead to the history of science.”\textsuperscript{106} The recent exhibition at the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York, \textit{Making Marvels}, displayed more than 150 scientific treasures from prestigious European collections.\textsuperscript{107} It establishes firmly that exquisitely crafted scientific instruments contributed to the culture of courtly magnificence in Europe. In sum, expressions of political authority and technical knowledge are closely aligned.\textsuperscript{108} The innovative application of \textit{quadratura} at the Belvedere, therefore, should be understood in light of these cutting-edge scientific developments. Yet, as already noted in the introduction, the existing scholarship on the Belvedere does not consider these associations.

The connections between \textit{quadratura}, optical science, and the prince’s collection of scientific objects encourage new considerations about how emerging technologies were manifested visually in a “myriad of forms” to enhance the level of sophistication at European courts.\textsuperscript{109} For example, Prince Eugene owned the G & T model of the solar system, undoubtably the most splendid astronomical object in his collection (fig. 83). The clockmakers Thomas Tompion (1639–1713) and

\begin{footnotes}
\item[109] Koepe, 16.
\end{footnotes}
George Graham (1674-1751) of London built the G & T model between 1703 and 1709. Graham, known as Honest George, received his training from the watchmaker Henry Aske (life dates unknown) between 1688 and 1695. Edmund Halley (1656-1742), who became the second Astronomer Royal at the academy in London, introduced Graham to Tompion. Tompion already had a successful shop on London’s Fleet Street where Graham joined him in 1695. Graham then married Tompion’s niece and inherited the shop and all its contents when Tompion died in 1713. This duo designed the G & T model based on Nicolaus Copernicus’s heliocentric theory and it is the first proto-orrery to ever be created. Prince Eugene commissioned it because he was fascinated by the latest astronomical discoveries, but also, he had a penchant for horological trinkets. For example, he presented the Ottoman Ambassador Seyfullah Agha (life dates unknown) with a watch in 1711. At the time in Vienna, owning at least one English clock became an important status symbol at the imperial court. In his account of his audience with the prince, Agha remarked “it was not an expensive gift” but according to one expert, the ambassador only said this to “maintain the semblance of Ottoman


111 The second model is known only as the G Model because Graham created it alone. It is now at the Alder Planetarium & Astronomy Museum in Chicago Illinois. See fig. 2.16 in Buick, Orrery, 79, 88.

superiority” because he was comparing the clock to textiles which he had just given to Prince Eugene.¹¹³

The prince’s fascination with various mechanical trinkets is further underscored by the fact that he also patronized the British clockmaker Daniel Quare (1649-1724). Eugene placed Quare’s clock in the audience chamber at Schloss Hof.¹¹⁴ Based in London, Quare’s inventions rivaled those of Graham and Tompion. He signed Prince Eugene’s clock in 1695 that was transported to Vienna where it was caséd in tortoiseshell marquetry in the style of the Louis XIV’s cabinetmaker André Charles Boulle (1642-1732) (fig. 84).¹¹⁵ Local Viennese artisans designed the fabulous case and inlaid it with Prince Eugene’s coat of arms because, to boost the local economy, the Habsburg Emperors placed a ban on the import of certain foreign luxuries which could be produced locally and masterfully.¹¹⁶ Viennese artisans also created a long case for Quare’s clock owned by Leopold I and they inlaid it similarly with the emperor’s coat of arms.¹¹⁷ These

¹¹⁶ Ermert, 17.
¹¹⁷ Leopold I’s longcase clock is on view at the Hofburg Palace in Vienna. It should be noted that Quare’s clock was replaced in the first half of the eighteenth-century by a clock that Johann Nepomuk Bayer, or James Bayer (life dates unknown), manufactured.
two examples date to between 1695 and 1710 and they are the earliest Boulle-
style longcase clocks to be produced in Austria, which soon became very popular
among the city’s most elite nobles.\textsuperscript{118} Finally, the prince’s fascination with
clockmaker’s inventions is proven by the fact that, in 1731, after his audience at
the Upper Belvedere, the Ottoman ambassador Mustafa Efendi received a “\textit{schöne
Englische goldene Repetir und Sakh-Uhr}” from Prince Eugene.\textsuperscript{119} In other words,
a gold watch with mechanisms that don’t require frequent winding and either Quare
or Tompion manufactured it in London.\textsuperscript{120} This type of repeating watch chimes
every hour and on the quarters. In 1676, both Quare and Edward Barlow (1639-
1719) claimed to have invented the repeating mechanism which Tompion and
Graham later perfected in their workshop. Just like the G & T model, the prince’s
astounding timepieces, constructed from the same apparatus, testify to how
emerging technologies enriched courtly life and expressed authority to
contemporaries at the turn of the eighteenth-century.

John Theophilus Desaguliers FRS (1683-1744), the scientist and natural
philosopher who was a prominent member of the British Royal Society,
documented that Prince Eugene commissioned the G & T model himself.\textsuperscript{121}

\textsuperscript{118} Ermert, 28.
\textsuperscript{119} The primary sources is printed in Fuat Sanac, “Der Gesandtschaftsbericht Mustafa
Efendis über die Gesandtschaftsreise nach Wien im Jahre 1730/31” (Dissertation, Wien,
Universität Wien, 1992), 469.
\textsuperscript{120} Johann Heinrich Zedler, “Repetit-Uhr,” in \textit{Grosses vollständiges universales Lexicon
aller Wissenschaften und Künste (Rei-Ri)}, vol. 31 (Leipzig: Johann Heinrich Zedler,
1742).
\textsuperscript{121} John Theophilus Desaguliers, \textit{A Course of Experimental Philosophy}, vol. 1 (London:
W. Innys, 1734), 431.
Considering that the model was made between 1704 and 1709, when Prince Eugene was deeply engaged with the War of the Spanish Succession (1701-1714), he likely commissioned it with some assistance from his personal friend and close ally John Churchill, the First Duke of Marlborough (1650-1722). While some sources have suggested that Anne, Queen of Britain (1702-1714), commissioned the G & T model originally and gave it to Marlborough as a gift, who later passed it along to the prince, one expert noted that this theory is not backed by any “historical circumstances.”\textsuperscript{122} To complicate matters, since at least the early eighteenth century, this object has been incorrectly named the orrery after its second patron. Namely, Charles Boyle, the Fourth Earl of Orrery (1674-1731), who Sir Richard Steele (1672-1729) named the first patron in 1714 erroneously.\textsuperscript{123} Steele knew not of the machine that Prince Eugene commissioned before he published his account of John Rowley’s (1668-1728) lecture where this leading mathematical instrument maker operated a planetary machine that had been made for the Earl of Orrery.\textsuperscript{124} Rowley created it circa 1712 or 1713, after he had first studied Prince Eugene’s G & T model. Yet due to Steele’s publication, to this day, the planetary machine is called an orrery.

Prince Eugene was in London in 1712, when Charles VI sent him there to deal with matters concerning the War of the Spanish Succession between January

\textsuperscript{122} Tony Buick, Orreries, Clocks, and London Society The Evolution of Astronomical Instruments and Their Makers (Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2020).
\textsuperscript{124} Desaguliers, A Course of Experimental Philosophy, 1:431.
and March.\textsuperscript{125} Prince Eugene likely took time to receive his new planetary machine from Graham and Tompion that year, but then, he passed it to Rowley. Desaguliers confirmed that the G & T model was “to be sent with some of [Rowley’s] own instruments to Prince Eugene,” but first, Rowley made several copies of it.\textsuperscript{126} Rowley and William Cadogan, the First Earl of Cadogan (1675-1726), hand-delivered these objects to the prince in Prague in July 1723, during the imperial court’s summer sojourn in that city. Apparently, the prince had waited a whole decade to get his planetary machine back from Rowley. He stated to Cadogan that although it had been “quelque temps” since he had last seen the G & T model, it still greatly impressed him.\textsuperscript{127} Eugene also reported that Charles VI “\textit{voulu avoir le plaisir de la regarder elle même}.”\textsuperscript{128} The emperor soon owned a planetary machine that Rowley made and later acquired the G & T Model from Prince Eugene’s estate in 1738.\textsuperscript{129} Prince Eugene ordered his librarian, Étienne Boyet (life dated unknown), to immediately display the G & T model in the library at the city palace.\textsuperscript{130} Rowley accompanied the machine all the way to Vienna and taught Boyet how to operate it before Boyet placed it under a glass dome to ensure that dust would not harm any of the delicate mechanisms.\textsuperscript{131}

\textsuperscript{126} Desaguliers, \textit{A Course of Experimental Philosophy}, 1:431.
\textsuperscript{128} Translation: “wanted to have the pleasure of looking at it himself.” See Savoy.
\textsuperscript{129} Buick, \textit{Orrery}, 86.
\textsuperscript{130} Prince Eugene of Savoy, “Letter: Prince Eugene to Étienne Boyet,” August 4, 1723, AT-OeStA/HHStA Große Korrespondenz 76b-33, Österreichisches Staatsarchiv.
\textsuperscript{131} In addition to oral instructions, Rowley left Boyet with a manual. See Savoy.
It should be noted that one scholar thought Cadogan gave the G & T model to the prince as a gift because Prince Eugene stated in the aforementioned letter, “vous me rendez véritablement confuse, Mylord, par le present magnifique.”

This specific comment may actually be evidence that Cadogan helped the prince commission the G & T model. Cadogan was Marlborough’s affiliate and they fought alongside the prince at the Battle of Blenheim in 1704. After Marlborough’s fall from grace at the British royal court in 1711, Cadogan began to fall out of favor as well. By 1720, Cadogan’s staunch support of George I’s advisers caused him to lose his political influence almost entirely. Additionally, orreries are extremely costly and Rowley sold one to the British East India Company for £500. In 1722, Rowley sold another more elaborate model called the “great solar system” for £1,000. These sums are the equivalent of about $172,000 and $86,000 in today’s money, respectively. Unlike Prince Eugene, Cadogan could not likely afford such a pricey luxury which only Europe’s most elite could afford. Considering that Rowley was loaned the G & T model for a whole decade, the prince’s formal words in his letter to Cadogan might actually voice his thrill in seeing his own device again and appreciation for Cadogan’s role in finally accomplishing this objective. Perhaps

---


134 Buick, Orrery, 86.

135 The ratio of about £100 in the early eighteenth century to $17,200 in today’s money was calculated by Robert Finlay, as also noted in chapter two in relation to the price of armorial porcelain. See pages 120-121 of chapter two and Robert Finlay, The Pilgrim Art: Cultures of Porcelain in World History, The California World History Library 11 (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2010), 28.
Prince Eugene allowed Rowley to make copies of the G & T model in exchange for other costly instruments.

The G & T model demonstrates the diurnal, monthly, and annual motions of the Earth-Moon system, and moreover, the rotation of the sun on its axis. Prince Eugene enjoyed showing his complex planetary machine with its grandiose artistic and scientific merits to guests, having placed it in the winter palace’s library deliberately. It immensely impressed Küchelbecker who made a detailed drawing that reveals the G & T model’s case was altered considerably after the eighteenth century (fig. 85). He explains that the “künstliche und neu inventierte Machina Planetarum” was displayed prominently “unter vielen anderen sehr raren Sachen.” Similarly, when Küchelbecker visited the Upper Belvedere, he reported that the prince displayed various instruments like telescopes among many “Optische und Mathematische curieuse Sachen.” Undoubtedly, these were Rowley instruments. Prince Eugene displayed them in a room connected to his state bedchamber at the Upper Belvedere (fig. 86 and see the room labeled “Garderobe/Kleider Zimer” on the “Haupt-Stocks” in fig. 6). Just like the books in the prince’s library, such specialized objects were seen as symbols of elite status and they greatly fascinated Küchelbecker.

138 Translation: “artful and newly invented planetary machine, “and “with many other very rare objects.” See Küchelbecker, 735.
139 Translation: “optically and mathematically curious devices.” See Küchelbecker, 786.
Prince Eugene's famous *Bibliotheca Eugeniana* was stored at his city palace primarily. Yet the prince had two additional small libraries, one at the Lower and one at the Upper Belvedere (see the rooms labeled “o” and “Bücher Cabinet” in fig. 1 and “Bibliotheca/Bibliother” on the “Haupt-Stocks” in fig. 6, and see figs. 90 and 166). One scholar calls these satellite libraries “Wechselbibliotheken” where the prince stored current readings during the summer months. The bindings of the book collection show that the prince took great pride in it. Each volume is bound in Moroccan leather and embossed in gold leaf with the coat of arms of the House of Savoy. The color of the leather binding represents each book’s subject matter. For example, blue books contain texts about theology and law, red represents history, and books on scientific subjects are bound in yellow leather. Prince Eugene employed agents in cities throughout Europe to monitor the book markets in London, Brussels, Paris, and Amsterdam particularly. His book collecting practices followed the same format as those for collecting most of his paintings, namely through well-connected and skilled agents in foreign cities. For example, the French historian Henri Basnage de Beauval (1657-1710) monitored the Parisian book market on behalf of Prince Eugene, and Beauval’s son-in-law, who lived at The Hague, watched closely the Dutch book marked for unique titles. Once books arrived in Vienna, they were passed into the care of Boyet who began his life-long tenure as Eugene’s librarian in 1709. He was born into
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141 Hamann, 350.
the profession as the son of Luc-Antoine Boyet (1678-1733), the bookbinder at Versailles. The prince’s motivation for collecting books was rooted in his endless pursuit of knowledge and the library became famous in his own time. In 1738, two years after the prince’s death, Charles VI purchased the entire collection from Eugene’s heir and established the basis for Austria’s Nationalbibliothek and the Albertina collection.

When the French philosopher, Charles-Louis de Secondat, Baron de La Brède et de Montesquieu (1689-1755) visited Prince Eugene and saw his library in 1728, he recognized the prince as the most accomplished “homme de lettres” in Vienna. Prince Eugene was not simply a collector, he was an active reader and inquisitive scholar. The studious Prince Eugene sometimes wrote comments about the subject matter of his books in their margins. The contents of Prince Eugene’s libraries were meticulously compiled in 1730 by Boyet. He lists over 15,000 books, 240 manuscripts, and sixty atlases. The library also housed 290 copper prints and over 2,000 drawings. An entire section was dedicated to astronomy and astrology, as well as gnomonics, or the science of constructing and using sun

---


145 Hamann, “Prinz Eugen als Bibliophile, naturhistorischer Sammler und Freund der Wissenschaft,” 349.
dials. Given that the G & T model visualizes Copernicus’ theories, it is no surprise that Prince Eugene owned the second edition of *Le Revolutionibus orbium*
**coelestium libri VI** that Henrie-Terus printed in 1566. The very next section in the library’s inventory is dedicated to optics, dioptics, and perspectival studies. Just like studies of celestial bodies, during the early modern period, “optics thrived...as a science of first rank” and both disciplines’ foundations are closely intertwined.

---


The German mathematician and Enlightenment philosopher, Gottfried Wilhelm Leibnitz (1746-1716), established Prince Eugene’s library’s organizational method. Optics are one of Leibnitz’s main areas of study and he was particularly interested in geometrical optics and extensively researched the laws of refraction and reflection. The scholar also was closely involved in Prince Eugene’s intellectual life and became a key advisor in the earliest years of Eugene’s library collection. Leibnitz even connected Prince Eugene to the prominent British instrument maker Henry Sully (1680-1729). Sully’s book titled Description abregée d’une horloge d’une nouvelle invention pour la juste mesure du temps sur mer and published in 1726 could also be found in the prince’s library. The intellectual exchange between the prince and Leibniz is certainly well-documented. For example, during Leibniz’s stay in the imperial city between 1712 and 1714, Prince Eugene supported him strongly in his attempt to persuade Charles VI to found a scientific academy in Vienna. It is plausible that the two became well acquainted through their shared interest in optics that led to some of the most dramatic scientific discoveries of the early modern period and, at the same time, lies at the core of quadratura with its complex geometry. Accordingly,

149 Hamann, “Prinz Eugen als Bibliophile, naturhistorischer Sammler und Freund der Wissenschaft,” 210–11.
150 McDonough, “Leibniz’s Optics.”
154 Rescher, “Leibniz Visits Vienna (1712-1714).”
it is probable that Chiarini’s preparatory drawing, that reveals Prince Eugene’s original plan to cover the Marble Hall’s vault at the Lower Belvedere in architectural illusions entirely, dates to this time of his intellectual exchange with Leibniz.

Prince Eugene’s ownership of telescopes provides further evidence of his interest in the discoveries that new technologies made possible through advances in geometrical optics. In 1672, Newton invented the first reflecting telescope. Kleiner drew a pair in his rendering of the Anleg Zimmer at the Upper Belvedere (see fig. 86). The two telescopes, one of average size and the other significantly larger, are placed together near the room’s west wall. This placement in front of cabinets suggests they stand there temporarily and can be moved for ideal astronomical viewing after dark. The make and model of the prince’s telescopes is unknown, as is their current location. Prince Eugene most likely purchased them from Rowley or Graham in London. Graham, the inventor of Eugene’s proto-orrery, also produced the first transit telescope in Europe.155 Closely resembling the larger telescope model that Kleiner drew in the Anleg Zimmer, this is the first instrument that allowed for the precise measurement of a celestial body’s movement across the sky. The prince also owned a small instrument that is stored in a custom case and labeled “perspectivum.”156 This monocular is most likely a magnifying device as they developed concurrently to the telescope.

The history of telescopes is complex and beyond the scope of this study. Yet I recognize that telescopes created in the early eighteenth century are closely
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155 Buick, Orrery, 62.
156 This instrument’s location is not known to me but it is pictured in Hamann, “Prinz Eugen als Bibliophile, naturhistorischer Sammler und Freund der Wissenschaft,” 358.
linked to advances in optics and geometry, which also led to congruent innovations like painted illusions and sizable mirrors.\footnote{For detailed studies, see King, \textit{The History of the Telescope}; Rolf Willach, \textit{The Long Route to the Invention of the Telescope}, Transactions of the American Philosophical Society Held at Philadelphia for Promoting Useful Knowledge, v. 98, pt. 5 (Philadelphia: American Philosophical Society, 2008); Edgerton, \textit{The Mirror, the Window, and the Telescope}; A. D. C. Simpson, “The Beginnings of Commercial Manufacture of the Reflecting Telescope in London,” \textit{Journal for the History of Astronomy} 40, no. 4 (November 2009): 421–66, https://doi.org/10.1177/002182860904000405.} As such, it is not a coincidence that \textit{quadratura} and mirrors are key components in the Belvedere’s interior decoration. They are all pioneering optical enchantments and closely linked to the prince’s scientific curiosity, while also functioning as status symbols. Mirrors were quickly popularized in Europe following their extensive application in the Hall of Mirrors at the Palace of Versailles between 1678 and 1684. Until the 1680s, European mirrors were produced by blowing and cutting glass that came from Venice primarily. The French discovered a new method of producing mirrors through the process of pouring which allowed them to create larger mirrors than ever before.\footnote{Peter Thornton, \textit{Seventeenth-Century Interior Decoration in England, France, and Holland}, Studies in British Art (New Haven: Published for the Paul Mellon Centre for Studies in British Art by Yale University Press, 1978), 78–79.} These became the main feature of the \textit{Cheminée à la Royale} in French Baroque palaces. It is no surprise, therefore, that du Plessy placed these fireplaces in all the Belvedere’s highest-ranking chambers.\footnote{In addition to Prince Eugene’s state bedchamber, the other rooms at the Lower Belvedere which feature a \textit{Cheminée à la Royale} topped by a mirror include the \textit{Tafel Zimmer, Cabinet, Gemaltes Cabinet, Gemach}, and the \textit{Bücher Cabinet}. At the Upper Belvedere, the rooms with a \textit{Cheminée à la Royale} and mirror include the \textit{Conferenz Zimmer, Audienz Zimmer, Spiegel Zimmer, Cabinet}, and the prince’s state bedchamber in the \textit{Appartement de Parade}. In the \textit{Appartement de Société}, the rooms with a \textit{Cheminée à la Royale} and mirror include the \textit{Taffel Zimmer, Caffé Zimmer, Spiel Zimmer, Marmoriertes Cabinet, Vorgemach, Schlafgemach}, and \textit{Gemahlenes Cabinet}. See Kleiner, \textit{Das Belvedere zu Wien: nach dem Stichwerk in 140 Blättern aus den Jahren 1731 - 1740}.} Because of a great demand for large
French mirrors developed at the imperial court in Vienna, Emperor Leopold I attempted to set up mirror manufacturing near the city in the first decades of the eighteenth century. The recreation of the French technique was not successful in Austria and the Emperor’s manufacture failed. Thus, Prince Eugene imported his high-quality mirrors from his native France. Documents show that in January of 1714, the prince’s first French mirror arrived in Vienna and it was ordered for the state bedchamber at the Lower Belvedere. The prince’s successful application of mirrors at the Upper Belvedere is conveyed by the Archbishop of Salzburg Harrach, who asked Hildebrandt in October 1722 to purchase French mirrors for Mirabell Castle and design a space which rivaled the Upper Belvedere’s *Spiegel Zimmer*, which is discussed in more detail in chapter three (fig. 87). Du Plessy designed the *Spiegel Zimmer* and it was so highly received that the emperor had him build a similar room for Maria Theresia at the imperial summer palace called *Favorita* in 1725.

Like mirrors, *quadratura* generates unexpected optical illusions which can leave the viewer spellbound. The following encounter described by the French diplomat Francois du Bussy (1699-1780) shows how Prince Eugene used optical illusions to assert his authority. In 1728, in the *Goldkabinett* at the winter palace,
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which was outfitted with a *Cheminée à la Royale*, the prince greeted du Bussy with his back (see fig. 103). Soon, the diplomat noticed Prince Eugene’s reflection gazing at him directly from the large mirror above the fireplace’s mantle and it startled him.\textsuperscript{164} The surreal encounter demonstrates how mirrors, and *quadratura* alike, have the potential to considerably intensify a personal encounter. In fact, mirrors were considered tremendously powerful and unnerving, so that, in 1733, Julius Bernhard von Rohr (1688-1742), in his report on contemporary practices in diplomacy, insisted that mirrors are removed or covered entirely to ensure that all parties have equal access to the space during negotiations.\textsuperscript{165}

In the two great reception halls of the Belvedere which feature *quadratura* prominently, mirrors are not included despite the presence of *Cheminée à la Royale*. Prince Eugene’s choice to place paintings rather than mirrors above the twin fireplaces in the Marble Hall at the Upper Belvedere is particularly unusual.\textsuperscript{166} This choice follows the Marble Hall at the Lower Belvedere, where white marble medallions are seen above the twin *Cheminée à la Royale* instead of mirrors. Prince Eugene’s decorative choice suggests that contemporary spectators felt a mysterious connection to the illusionistic realm produced by *quadratura* frescoes and reflections produced in mirrors. While mirrors force viewers to enter the imaginary realm by including their likeness, the illusions produced by *quadratura* are observed passively. The more hospitable but equally impressive effects

\textsuperscript{166} Seeeger, *Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen*, 375.
determine that *quadratura* is ideally suited for spaces like the two reception halls at the Belvedere, which are the first chambers that guests may enter. *Quadratura* makes a grand first impression and conveys, both cleverly and hospitably, Prince Eugene’s specific interest in Bolognese art at the crossroads of optical science.

**Conclusion**

Prince Eugene’s high position at the Habsburg court gave him the resources to “import” Chiarini to Vienna to execute a series of prestigious commissions at his palaces. The acclaimed artist’s specialized skill quickly fascinated elites in the Austrian capital and helped to popularize artistic illusions in Viennese spaces beyond the theater. This premise is supported by Chiarini’s achievements at Prince Eugene’s city palace and the Belvedere, as well as at Palais Trautson and Palais Daun-Kinsky. Additionally, it is underscored by Fanti’s vast achievements at nearly all the foremost Austrian Baroque monasteries that include Stift Melk, Stift Göttweig, Stift Seitenstetten, and Stift Altenburg. In 1723, after the completion of his work at the Upper Belvedere, Fanti launched a long and successful career in central Europe. He went on to paint alongside Paul Troger (1698-1762), the most accomplished Baroque fresco painter working in Austria. While Prince Eugene’s patronage of Chiarini helped popularize *quadratura* in Viennese palace
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167 Knall-Brskovsky provides a detailed account of Fanti’s career in Austria in, Knall-Brskovsky, *Italienische Quadraturisten in Österreich*, 160–81.
interiors, Fanti spread Bolognese illusionistic architectural painting from Vienna to the Habsburg territories where it remained popular throughout the mid-eighteenth century.

Situated at the crossroads of visual art and optics, Chiarini’s frescoes engaged Prince Eugene intellectually. They are “not a handmaiden of the grand manner,” but rather, had “the status of a work of art in its own right.” That is because *quadratura* is an aesthetic exhibition of the laws of optical geometry. It stems from scientific discoveries that produced the telescope and which allowed for some of the most sensational scientific breakthroughs in recent human history. Prince Eugene’s great interest in these discoveries is reflected widely in his vast collection of art and technological objects such as the G & T Model of the solar system. Moreover, the library holdings in astronomy, optics, and perspectival studies demonstrate his superior intellect. The prince’s patronage of *quadratura* is closely linked to his love of Bolognese art and tied to the many curious scientific and aesthetic objects in his collection, which range from telescopes to a planetary machine, to large French mirrors. Prince Eugene commissioned Chiarini with the Belvedere’s fresco decoration to elevate the palace’s luxurious material environment intellectually and express cultural authority. Chiarini’s designs are not simply visually pleasing or a frame for Carloni’s figurative scenes. They are an essential element of Prince Eugene’s vast collections of art and scientific objects that refine our understanding of the dynamic exchange between art, science, and technology during Europe’s early modern period.
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CHAPTER TWO

Dominion and Luxurious Resplendence: *Chinoiserie* at the Upper Belvedere

**Introduction**

The Upper Belvedere introduced to imperial Vienna the most extensive application of *chinoiserie* that dates to the first quarter of the eighteenth century. Sumptuous painted and embroidered Chinese silk taffeta and satin, embossed damask, as well as colorful Indian chintz, originally dressed the walls of select high-ranking chambers on the *piano nobile* (see figs. 11, 36, 46-47, and 88-96). Namely, in the *appartement de parade*, which comprises of the east half of the Upper Belvedere’s *piano nobile*, Asian textiles dressed the walls in the *Antichambre*, the *Conferenz Zimmer*, the *Audienz Zimmer*, the *Bibliothec*, *Bilder Zimmer*, and the prince’s bed chamber, including the state bed (see fig. 6.) In the *appartement de société*, which makes up the west half of the Upper Belvedere’s *piano nobile*, it dressed the walls in the *Tafel Zimmer*, the *Caffé Zimmer*, the *Spiel Zimmer*, the *Bilder Saal*, the *Gemahlenes Cabinet*, the *Vorgemach*, and finally, the *Schlaff-Gemach*, including a second state bed (see fig. 6.) Prince Eugene’s Asian fabrics also enlivened the palace’s custom furnishings, now lost. Prince Eugene’s Chinese armorial plates have partially survived. They are the first of their kind which were
ever seen in Vienna and displayed on an **étagère** in the **Vorzimmer**, which doubled as a buffet room, in Upper Belvedere’s **appartement de société** (see figs. 37-44 and 97). Such costly Far Eastern commodities were extremely rare to behold in Vienna and maintained that Prince Eugene was a worthy representative of the Holy Roman Emperor during his governorship of the Austrian Netherlands. Charles VI appointed the prince to this position in 1716, which is also the same year that the Upper Belvedere was commissioned. The prince stepped down from this role in 1724.¹ Between 1719 and 1723, the Upper Belvedere’s **chinoiserie** interiors were outfitted in a novel way that had never been seen before in Vienna. The prince’s resplendent Asian luxuries were understood to be visual tokens of the Habsburgs’ new-found global dominion, which was achieved thanks to Prince Eugene through his support of the controversial Ostend East India Company. His inclusion of Asian porcelain and textiles in the Upper Belvedere’s furnishing helped to shape a new aesthetic culture of luxury among Vienna’s highest nobles, yet as noted in the introduction, the scholarship concerning **chinoiserie**’s impact on Vienna is focused primarily on Maria Theresia’s accomplishments in the mid-century. Our understanding of the foundations of this style in the late Baroque city are only just emerging. Therefore, this chapter first establishes that Far Eastern imports experienced a rapidly rising status as elite objects in Viennese interior decoration at the dawn of the eighteenth-century. It then analyzes the **chinoiserie** at the Upper

¹ For more information on the prince’s governorship of this territory, see Max Braubach, *Prince Eugen von Savoyen: Der Staatsmann*, vol. 4 (Wien: Verlag für Geschichte und Politik, 1965), 113–216.
Belvedere to contribute to our understanding of how maritime history and early modern globalization directly impacted the trajectory of European interior design.

Porcelain in Early Eighteenth-Century Viennese Interiors

In 1702, the Hofburg palace of the Habsburg Emperors featured the imperial city’s first showcase of Chinese porcelain. Fischer von Erlach designed one small but intimate room for Emperor Joseph I’s wife, Amalia Wilhelmina von Braunschweig-Lüneburg (1673-1742). It presented numerous blue and white porcelain plates that are called Kraak.² This type of porcelain, whose name is derived from the Dutch word for ship, or carrack, is thin and brittle, has uneven blue pigmentation, and typically includes a figurative scene painted in the well. Chinese artists produced Kraak during the seventeenth century widely and catered their designs to both the southeastern Asian and European markets. The chamber showing Kraak at the Hofburg was called the Indianisches Kabinett. This name refers to Asia broadly and evoked a “distant place” with “imaginary appeal” in eighteenth-century Europeans, and therefore, German words like indianisch, chinesisch, or japanisch, as well as the same words in other European languages, were used by contemporaries interchangeably with no real concern for “geographic

particulars."³ Today we recognize that these names represent people and places which are culturally and geographically distinct. Yet in the eighteenth century, Indianisches Kabinett communicated forthrightly that the imperial chamber was the first in Vienna dedicated specifically to the display of remarkable objects of Far Eastern origin.

No drawings and only archival documents related to Vienna’s first Indianisches Kabinett exist.⁴ In 2018, a porcelain curator at the MAK in Vienna, or Museum of Applied Arts, first identified various Kraak in this collection which date to the seventeenth-century and have a secure Habsburg provenance.⁵ They likely originate from Fischer von Erlach’s Indianisches Kabinett from 1702 (figs. 98-99).⁶ Two drawings of contemporary porcelain cabinets that were erected in Vienna in Palais Harrach in 1714, and moreover, in the Schönborn garden palace in 1715, offer visual evidence of how Fischer von Erlach may have arranged Kraak at the Hofburg (figs. 100-101).⁷ In these examples, it is presented evenly throughout the room and positioned around the fireplace, as well as resting on consoles. Prince Eugene soon commissioned Vienna’s second Indianisches Kabinett at his city
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⁴ For example, a decree was issued for the Hofzahllamt, or imperial treasury, to pay Fischer von Erlach for the „indianische Cabinet“ that was erected for the “röm. Königin,” or queen, on March 24, 1702. A record to the primary source can be found in Hans Sedlmayr, Johann Bernhard Fischer von Erlach (Wien: Verlag Herlod, 1956), 282.
⁵ Wieninger, “Chinese Export Porcelain and Porcelain Cabinets in Vienna.”
⁶ These plates have the MAK inventory numbers KHM 303 through KHM 306.
palace in the Himmelpfortgasse between 1708 and 1710. The prince’s chamber lacked Kraak and did not emulate the Hofburg example. Rather, Daniel Marot’s (1661-1752) models for eighteenth century interior and garden design that were published and widely circulated in 1703, and again, in 1713 (fig. 102). Now called the Golden Cabinet, the prince’s chamber was filled with porcelain vases and figurines and it still contains the original gold paneling and most of the original mirrors. Johann Wenzel von Gallas (1669-1719), the Earl of Campo and Fryenthurm and Duke of Lucera, acquired this room’s porcelain vases and figurines for the prince in London in 1709. Between 1722 and 1724, Kleiner drew this space that du Plessy designed with Prince Eugene’s input (fig. 103). In 1754, Maria Theresia had the entire Golden Cabinet dismantled and then transferred this chamber’s entire contents from the prince’s city palace to the Lower Belvedere (fig. 104). It was reassembled with few alterations. It remains at the Lower Belvedere today, but without the original porcelain which is now thought to be at the Palazzo Reale in Turin, as discussed in more detail at a later point in this

---

chapter.\textsuperscript{14} Prince Eugene’s \textit{Indianisches Kabinett} is the earliest surviving example of \textit{chinoiserie} in Vienna.

Following the porcelain cabinet in the prince’s city palace, Fischer von Erlach designed Vienna’s third \textit{Indianisches Kabinett} in 1713. Emperor Charles VI commissioned it for his modest hunting lodge that was later rebuilt and became Schönbrunn Castle. Only archival documents indicate that this space ever existed.\textsuperscript{15} Prince Eugene’s Golden Cabinet may have influenced the emperor’s new chamber, especially considering that Marot’s popular and previously cited templates, which picture rooms adorned with Chinese and Japanese porcelain vases and figurines, were disseminated again extensively in 1713. This is the same year that Charles VI had Vienna’s third oriental cabinet assembled. Shortly thereafter, in 1714 and 1715, the two previously noted \textit{Indianisches Kabinette} featuring \textit{Kraak} in Palais Harrach and Palais Schönborn were constructed. By the second decade of the eighteenth century, as evidenced by these five spaces that aristocratic patrons at the upper echelons of society curated, Asian porcelain experienced a rapidly rising status in imperial Vienna due to its paucity and luxurious resplendence.

Prince Eugene’s correspondence with Gallas, who served Joseph I as a diplomat in London, attests to the great difficulty in attaining porcelain while based in central Europe. Between 1705 and 1711, Prince Eugene wrote consistently to Gallas and requested that he monitor London’s incoming ships and local shops to

\begin{flushleft}
\textsuperscript{14} See the section titled The Fate of Prince Eugene’s Armorial Porcelain on pages 140-144 of this chapter.
\end{flushleft}

\begin{flushleft}
\end{flushleft}
purchase matching porcelain plates that the British East India Company imported. By 1708, Gallas managed to find twenty-six identical porcelain plates for Prince Eugene and he portrayed them as being “fort particulier, et du meilleur gout qu'on le sait peu trouver présentement dans tout Londres.” When the prince finally received these plates in Vienna, he implored Gallas to find more of the very same type and Gallas replied: “encore déterre une doucine d’assiettes comme les premiers dont trois sont soit tant peu mais autant que rien endommagés que j’ai pourtant juge à propos de prendre.” Eugene gladly accepted these extra plates despite their known flaws as they matched his small set closely. While the prince’s matching plates are now lost, various porcelain plates that were shown during the exhibition Porcellane e argenti del Palazzo Reale provide a useful visual comparison to how the prince’s own set may have looked, as they too were manufactured during the Kangxi period (1661-1722). They are ten octagonal, famille-verte plates that match exactly one lone plate with the same shape, size,

---

16 Translation: “very sophisticated, and of the best taste so that the London shops are currently sold out.” See Johann Wenzel Gallas, “Letter: Johann Wenzel Gallas to Prince Eugene,” August 7, 1708, AT-OeStA, KA, FA, AFA, HR Akten 262, Österreichisches Staatsarchiv. Also see Johann Wenzel Gallas, “Letter: Johann Wenzel Gallas to Prince Eugene,” June 29, 1708, AT-OeStA, KA, FA, AFA, HR Akten 262, Österreichisches Staatsarchiv.

17 Translation: “I was able to dig up a dozen plates like the first set, three of which are either very little or hardly damaged, so I thought, nevertheless, they too were fitting for purchase.” See Johann Wenzel Gallas, “Letter: Johann Wenzel Gallas to Prince Eugene,” August 24, 1708, AT-OeStA, KA, FA, AFA, HR Akten 262, Österreichisches Staatsarchiv.

18 A draft of this letter which Prince Eugene wrote while in Loos vor Lille and sent to Gallas in London is published in Abteilung für Kriegsgeschichte des k.k Kriegs-Archivs, ed., Feldzüge des Prinzen Eugen von Savoyen; Spanisher Successionskrieg, Feldzug 1708, vol. 10 (Wien: Gerolds Sohn, 1885), 218.
and decoration and which belongs to a private collector (fig. 105). These represent the type of plates that Gallas could have purchased in London. The existence of numerous matching plates across collections upholds that they were mass-produced for anonymous European consumers.

At the beginning of the eighteenth century in English, Dutch, and Portuguese contexts, Chinese porcelain was used daily at table services. This contrasts with contemporary Habsburg society, where porcelain was primarily displayed. The one exception was for the presentation of desserts. Since there is no evidence that Prince Eugene consigned his London plates to the Golden Cabinet, he likely used them for the exhibition of sugar confections. The inventory of Prince Eugene’s Schloss Hof palace, which I will elaborate upon at a later point in this chapter, documents that matching porcelain plates were stored in a large Buffet located in the palace’s confection kitchen. It is also possible that Prince Eugene purchased porcelain plates to later give them out as a diplomatic gesture.

---

21 Wieninger, "Chinese Export Porcelain and Porcelain Cabinets in Vienna."
22 Ingrid Haslinger, "Prinz Eugen und die fürstliche Tafel im Barock," in *Schloss Hof: Prinz Eugens tusculum rustique und Sommerresidenz der kaiserlichen Familie; Geschichte und Ausstattung eines barocken Gesamtkunstwerks* (St. Pölten: Residenz Verlag, 2005), 76.
This practice became common by the third decade of the eighteenth century, especially after the success of the porcelain manufacturer in Meissen.24

By 1715, Vienna’s five Indianische Kabinette establish firmly that porcelain has attained an elite status in the imperial city. Yet like the prince’s matching porcelain plates that Gallas purchased in London, none of the porcelain shown in these glamours spaces is unique. They belong to the over sixty million porcelain pieces that were manufactured in Asia specifically for sale on the western market over the course of the eighteenth century.25 In other words, porcelain’s early appeal in imperial Vienna was related predominantly to its elusive and foreign status, having been manufactured across the globe. Porcelain was also revered for its mysterious material qualities that Europeans only reproduced successfully for the first time in Meissen in 1709. Vienna’s earliest collectors of Chinese and Japanese porcelain were therefore compelled to shop in distant port cities in which an East India Company operated. It remained exceedingly rare to behold Asian porcelain in Vienna until after the signing of the Treaty of Rastatt in March 1714. Prince Eugene negotiated this treaty successfully with the French general Claude Louis Hector de Villars (1653-1734). It ended the War of the Spanish Succession (1701-1714) while also granting the Southern Netherlands to the Austrian Habsburgs, from which ships importing Far Eastern commodities operated regularly, and thus, granted for the first time to Viennese collectors, easier access.

to porcelain. Then, in 1718, Claudius Innocentius du Paquier (1678-1751) discovered the formula for making porcelain and Charles VI granted him permission to establish in Vienna the second porcelain factory in all of Europe.\(^{26}\) During its early years, du Paquier’s manufacturer imitated Chinese forms because Austrian high society considered them extraordinarily impressive. Especially Maria Theresia embraced porcelain as an expression of high cultural value during the mid-eighteenth century.

In 1719, at his new garden palace, the Upper Belvedere, Prince Eugene initiated Vienna’s most exquisite presentation of Indian and East Asian imports. This communicated that he was at the forefront of contemporary taste-making, but also, it professed that the prince attained the high rank of Governor-General of the Austrian Netherlands. This position granted Prince Eugene nearly unrestricted access to imports that other Austrian nobles could not obtain readily. They include armorial porcelain and high quantities of exotic luxury textiles. While the chinoiserie at the Upper Belvedere pertains superficially to material ornamentation, it also affirms visually that Prince Eugene had authority over highly coveted oriental trade routes that the Ostend East India Company monopolized.

---

As already noted in this chapter’s opening, but is important to restate, Prince Eugene’s splendid embellishment of the Upper Belvedere with *chinoiserie* took place between 1719 and 1723, which overlaps with the period that he governed the Austrian Netherlands. He governed in absentia and resided in Vienna. This Habsburg territory became the home of the Ostend East India Company which, under the auspices of the prince, experienced immense success in its importation of tea, textiles, and porcelain from China and India via Ostend.\(^{27}\) Also known as the GIC, which is an anacronym for the company’s Dutch name, *Generale Keijserlycke Indische Compagnie*, this maritime venture attracted numerous international syndicates and shareholders who enjoyed profitable yields that reached the height of 217.7% during this enterprise’s heyday.\(^{28}\) In December 1722, the coastal city Ostend is now part of the Flemish region of Belgium. For more information about the formation and history of the Ostend Company, see Gerald B. Hertz, “England and the Ostend Company,” *The English Historical Review* 22, no. 86 (April) (1907): 255–79; Karl Degryse, “De Oostendse Chinahandel (1718-1735),” *Revue belge de philologie et d’histoire* 52, no. 2 (1974): 306–47; Jan Parmentier, “The Private East India Ventures from Ostend: The Maritime and Commercial Aspects, 1715-1722,” *International Journal of Maritime History* 5, no. 2 (December 1993): 75–102; Parmentier, *Thee van overzee*; Jelten Baguet, “De Oostendse Compagnie, haar directeuren en de Oostenrijkse Bewindvoerders. Een casuïstische analyse van hun onderlinge interactie (1722-1731)” (Masters Thesis, Gent, Universiteit Gent, 2013); Baguet, “Politics and Commerce: A Close Marriage? The Case of the Ostend Company (1722-1731)”; Dreijer Gijs, “The Afterlife of the Ostend Company, 1727-1745,” *The Mariner’s Mirror* 105, no. 3 (2019): 275–87.

\(^{27}\) For a full list of the shareholders in 1723, see Gijs Dreijer, “Bargaining for Shelter: An Entrepreneurial Analysis of the Ostend Company, 1714-40” (Masters Thesis, Leiden, The Netherlands, Leiden University, 2017), 25. The 217.7% return refers specifically to the sale of bohea tea which was of low quality and originated from Canton. Also see Baguet, “Politics and Commerce: A Close Marriage? The Case of the Ostend Company (1722-1731),” 58; Parmentier, *Thee van overzee*, 111; Chris Nierstraz, “The Popularization of Tea: East India Companies, Private Traders, Smugglers and the
thanks to Prince Eugene’s endorsement, the GIC transitioned from private, independently funded and risky voyages, to a full-fledged company backed by the Emperor Charles VI.29 The Ostend East India Company’s imperial charter declares that the Austrian Habsburgs intended to dominate in trade between the Southern Low Countries and the African coast, as well as between Ostend and contested territories beyond the Cape of Good Hope in the East and West Indies.30 Other powerful maritime companies like the British, French, and Dutch East India Companies competed readily with the Ostend Company in these distant regions. Only after Prince Eugene promoted the GIC, did it transform into an economic powerhouse which expanded Charles VI’s geopolitical horizons. Between 1719 and 1740, the emperor also engaged in maritime trade via Trieste. There, the Imperial Privileged Oriental Company exported Balkan goods to the Ottoman Empire.31

The official formation of the Ostend East India Company in 1722 transformed a prosperous private venture into an affective political bargaining chip that advanced Charles VI’s aspirations. In 1727, only three years after the prince’s governorship of the Austrian Netherland ended, Charles VI partially suspended the GIC’s fruitful voyages to Asia. By scaling back its operations, he maintained peace

30 Lettres patentes d’octroy accordées par sa Majesté impériale et catholique pour le terme de trente années à la Compagnie générale à établir dans les Pays-Bas Autrichiens pour le commerce & la navigation aux Indes (Brussels: chez Eugene Henry Fricx, 1723), 4.
with the Dutch Republic and England in particular. Charles VI soon forfeited the Ostend Company as per the conditions of the second Treaty of Vienna in March 1731. It stipulates that the Ostend East India Company be dissolved in order for the terms of the Pragmatic Sanction from 1713 to be recognized by Europe’s leading monarchs. The eventual succession of Maria Theresia was opposed by Russia, France, Prussia, the United Provinces, and Britain primarily. In other words, Charles VI’s daughter was only recognized unequivocally as his rightful heir after the lucrative GIC ceased to operate.

Prince Eugene only invested in the GIC modestly with sixty shares on August 11th, 1723, which is already after the Upper Belvedere’s interiors were completed. One scholar concludes that Prince Eugene’s “financial participation” in the GIC should not be overstated because he was actually only “morally forced” to invest and said himself, it was only because he is the “gouverneur du pays”.

Indeed, the prince’s main interactions with the Ostend Company precede his investment and they took place before the GIC ever earned their imperial charter.

---

34 It should be noted that the Ostend Company still operated to some extent after 1731 but without official support from Vienna. See Degryse, “De Oostendse Chinahandel (1718-1735)”; Dreijer, “Bargaining for Shelter: An Entrepreneurial Analysis of the Ostend Company, 1714-40”; Gijs, “The Afterlife of the Ostend Company, 1727-1745.”
35 One share was valued 1,000 guilders. See Baguet, “Politics and Commerce: A Close Marriage? The Case of the Ostend Company (1722-1731),” 60, 68.
in 1722. These facts indicate that Prince Eugene’s main interest in collaborating with the GIC was not monetary. His incentive was material. As their ultimate goal was to attain a charter backed by Charles VI, the Ostend Company initiated a gift-giving culture and it was always aimed at prominent Austrian authorities.\footnote{Baguet, “De Oostendse Compagnie, haar directeurs en de Oostenrijkse Bewindvoerders. Een casuïstische analyse van hun onderlinge interactie (1722-1731),” 120–23; Dreijer, “Bargaining for Shelter: An Entrepreneurial Analysis of the Ostend Company, 1714-40,” 59–83, 86–93.} Between 1716 and 1724, that person was Prince Eugene who, in exchange, used his authority as the Governor-General to grant the GIC commercial courtesies and safeguarded their investments.\footnote{Baguet, “De Oostendse Compagnie, haar directeurs en de Oostenrijkse Bewindvoerders. Een casuïstische analyse van hun onderlinge interactie (1722-1731),” 121.} Yet the prince had actually expressed skepticism about their plight before the 1720s.\footnote{Dreijer, “Bargaining for Shelter: An Entrepreneurial Analysis of the Ostend Company, 1714-40,” 72–77.} Therefore, the GIC introduced their method of exchanging imported treasures to gain favors. In 1720, Prince Eugene even confirmed in his letter to Maelcamp that he was quite interested in “tous les plaisirs qui pourraient dépendre de moy” for offering his support.\footnote{Translation: “all the [material] pleasures which could belong to me.” See Prince Eugene of Savoy, “Letter: Prince Eugene to Maelcamp,” 1720, Österreichisches Staatsarchiv.} One scholar calls the GIC’s opulent gifts “cruciale pionnen,” or crucial pawns, that were regularly procured and distributed to earn endorsements at the imperial court in Vienna after Prince Eugene’s governorship ended.\footnote{Baguet, “De Oostendse Compagnie, haar directeurs en de Oostenrijkse Bewindvoerders. Een casuïstische analyse van hun onderlinge interactie (1722-1731),” 121.} This practice continued until Charles VI forfeited the Ostend Company in 1731.\footnote{Baguet, 120–23.}
The GIC also used flattery to get into the good graces of Prince Eugene. The first ship to depart from Ostend for Canton and return successfully was named *Prins Eugenius*.\(^4^3\) By 1720, Prince Eugene even inquired personally about this eponymous ship and its contents, stating that he eagerly “*l'attends le retail, que vous me promettez.*”\(^4^4\) The boat certainly carried so-called crucial pawns that were aimed at the governor. Namely, a “*rarite d'Indes pour moy*” that the prince thanked Merveille Sr. for organizing back in 1718, the same year that the *Prins Eugenius* first set sail.\(^4^5\) It is my contention that the prince is referring to armorial porcelain, which required a supercargo officer to bring a copy of the prince’s coat of arms with him to China. It is also known that armorial porcelain took about two years from order to delivery.\(^4^6\) Moreover, the Ostend Company’s ships routinely sailed to Canton via the Brouwer route, leaving in January or February and returning within 500 days.\(^4^7\) If the *Prins Eugenius* had embarked for Canton in January or February 1718, as was the GIC’s custom, then Prince Eugene’s armorial plates could have arrived in Vienna by 1720 with absolute certainty. In that year, Prince Eugene even

\(^4^3\) The supercargo officer of the *Prins Eugenius* was J. Tobin. For a detailed list of the ships that sailed to China from Ostend and their supercargoes see, Degryse, “De Oostendse Chinahandel (1718-1735),” 347.

\(^4^4\) Translation: “awaits the goods, which you have promised me.” See Prince Eugene of Savoy, “Letter: Prince Eugene to Merveille,” July 13, 1720, AT-OeStA/HHStA LA Belgien DD C 35, Österreichisches Staatsarchiv.


\(^4^7\) Canton is the city from which most Chinese porcelain was exported abroad in the early eighteenth century. See Parmentier, “The Private East India Ventures from Ostend: The Maritime and Commercial Aspects, 1715-1722,” 86–87.
confirmed that he had received splendid gifts from the Ostend Company and they were intended for his Belvedere.48 While the armorial plates are unfortunately not named by the prince specifically, in 1720, he does thank Maelcamp for "les quattres poulles souvages des indes … et de l’attention, que vous voulez bien avoir pour ma ménagerie a établis."49

A lone armorial plate pictured in Oostende & Co. which features the coat of arms of the Proli and Labistraete families proves that the GIC’s directors had access to armorial porcelain in the early eighteenth century (fig. 106).50 These plates brandish the coat of arms of Pietro Proli, who is from Antwerp originally and became one of the Ostend Company’s seven directors in 1722. There are also two armorial plates at the MAK which are linked to the Ostend East India Company (figs. 107-108).51 Both contain the coat of arms of Charles VI and the monogram of his sister, the Archduchess Maria Elisabeth of Austria (1680-1741), who also received gifts in abundance from the GIC.52 She took over Prince Eugene’s position as the Governor-General of the Austrian Netherlands in 1725. Accordingly, the company’s records from 1728 disclose they gave her items which ranged from porcelain and tea to silk. Others who had influence at the court in

---

48 Unfortunately, no day or month was included in the Kopialbuch in which this letter is recorded. The Kopialbuch only included parts of the prince’s letters which were copied from original documents. See Savoy, “Letter: Prince Eugene to Maelcamp,” 1720.
49 Translation: “four wild hens from Asia … and for your attention and interest in helping me establish my menagerie.” See Savoy.
50 Jan Parmentier, Oostende & Co: het verhaal van de Zuid-Nederlandse Oost-Indiëvaart 1715-1735 (Gent: Ludion, 2002), 15.
51 The MAK inventory numbers of the two plates are KE 6600 and KE 6306.
Vienna received similar commodities that year. Namely, two members of the Scaramuccia-Visconti family and Ramon de Vilana Perlas, the Marquis of Rialp (1663-1741). The frequency with which the GIC purchased gifts in 1728 is particularly striking because the company was in great jeopardy that year, due to their partial suspension in 1727. They actually spent 11,353 florins on items intended for members of Charles VI’s court in 1728 alone. While gifts did not ultimately save the Ostend Company from losing their imperial charter in 1731, they certainly influenced Prince Eugene during his entire governorship. That is because the prince was busy decorating his new garden palace in Vienna. The Ostend Company realized they had an opportunity to stabilize their speculative maritime venture with imported extravagances and rare specimens intended only for the prince. In exchange, they were granted his protection and advocacy. The result of this relationship is splendid chinoiserie at the Upper Belvedere, and moreover, a plethora of tropical plants, exotic birds, and rare animals for the menagerie, which, just like the Upper Belvedere’s luxury textiles and Chinese armorials, had never been seen before in Vienna.

53 A table specifying gifts of porcelain, tea, and silk and their values in florins in the year 1728 is provided by Baguet, 121.
54 Baguet, 120–23.
Prince Eugene’s Armorial Porcelain

The rarest Asian luxury on display in an early eighteenth-century Viennese interior was Prince Eugene’s armorial porcelain at the Upper Belvedere (see figs. 37-44). These plates make up the only substantial porcelain exhibit at Prince Eugene’s garden palace. The only other porcelain objects seen here were a small number of sizable vases, like the pair that Kleiner drew in the corners of the Bilder Saal (see fig. 47). This room likely featured four vases in total, one placed in each corner. Prince Eugene planned no Indianisches Kabinett at either the Lower or Upper Belvedere, possibly because porcelain collecting took on a more feminine gender connotation as the eighteenth century progressed. Yet armorial plates were understood to be gendered male and one scholar’s in-depth research on armorial porcelain reveals it expressed virility and masculine authority to early modern Europeans.

Prince Eugene’s armorial plates were fabricated circa 1715 to 1720 and the whole set consisted of at least twelve plates originally. Only four survive.

56 Smith, “Manly Objects? Gendering Armorial Porcelain Wares.”
today.\textsuperscript{57} It should also be considered that only the wealthiest Europeans with the right connections could afford this particular amenity. Armorial wares account for only one percent of all the porcelain that was shipped to the West during the entire eighteenth century.\textsuperscript{58} In total, about five thousand pieces of armorial porcelain reached Europe and four thousand sets went to British patrons.\textsuperscript{59} In other words, Prince Eugene’s plates were exceptional in early eighteenth-century Vienna and boasted an exorbitant price tag. One set of armorial porcelain cost £100 on average at this time, or the equivalent of about $17,200 today.\textsuperscript{60} Before 1700, almost no sizable sets were ever made but as armorial porcelain’s popularity grew rapidly over the century, sets soon increased in number and could include up to five hundred parts.\textsuperscript{61} For example, between 1733 and 1737, Louis XV (reigned 1715-1774) procured armorial bidets and armorial table wares. This set totaled 1,058 pieces.\textsuperscript{62} These lavish custom imports eventually became so popular in Europe that, between 1740 and 1760, every single British East India Company ship contained on average about ten armorial services in their cargo.\textsuperscript{63} Yet Prince

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{57} According to one scholar, who contacted the curator Paola Astrua at the Palazzo Reale in Turin in 2004, there is also a fifth plate from the prince’s armorial set at the museum but I was unable to confirm this information. See, Ulrike Seeger, \textit{Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen: Entstehung, Gestalt, Funktion und Bedeutung} (Wien: Böhlau, 2004), 151.
\item \textsuperscript{58} Gordon, \textit{Collecting Chinese Export Porcelain}, 24.
\item \textsuperscript{59} Gordon, 24.
\item \textsuperscript{60} Finlay, \textit{The Pilgrim Art: Cultures of Porcelain in World History}, 28.
\item \textsuperscript{61} David Sanctuary Howard, \textit{Chinese Armorial Porcelain}, vol. II (Chippenham: Heirloom & Howard, 2003), 36.
\item \textsuperscript{62} Gordon attributes this set to Louis XIV. However, I have only found evidence that Louis XV commissioned it and it also contains his own coat of arms. See Gordon, \textit{Collecting Chinese Export Porcelain}, 24; Caroline Carfantan, “The Masters of Versailles: Louis XIV and Louis XV, Were They Porcelain Lovers?,” \textit{Passage} January/February (2020): 8–9.
\item \textsuperscript{63} David Sanctuary Howard, \textit{Chinese Armorial Porcelain}, vol. I (London: Faber and Faber, 1974), 107.
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
Eugene’s twelve plates, which date securely to the Kangxi period, are among the earliest Chinese armorials to ever be commissioned.

Prince Eugene’s plates have gilded rims and Chinese artists painted his coat of arms in underglaze blue in the well of each dish (see figs. 38, 40, 42, and 44). One plate also presents ornamental and floral adornments upon both its inner and exterior rims (see fig. 38). The prince’s plates are now divided among the Museo Civico d’Arte in Turin, which owns the plate which I will refer to henceforth as AP4, while the plates that I call AP1, AP2, and AP3 belong to an anonymous private collector. Christie’s London sold this trio as Lot 90 in the auction *The Royal House of Savoy* in October 2019.\(^\text{64}\) The extant plates have an incontestable provenance due to their embellishment with Prince Eugene’s unique coat of arms (fig. 109). The heraldic ornaments in the center of Prince Eugene’s coat of arms refer specifically to his noble lineages. He descends paternally from the French Houses of Savoy and Soissons, while maternally the prince descends from the noble Italian Mancini and Mazarini families. The shield in the center of the coat of arms is called an escutcheon. On the armorial plates, Prince Eugene’s escutcheon is wrapped in a mantle that represents the House of Austria. It is also topped by a princely crown of the Holy Roman Empire. These details communicate that, despite having French and Italian noble heritage, Prince Eugene’s allegiance belongs to Habsburg Austria. Indeed, on August 14, 1683, the prince swore to Leopold I that he would permanently offer his life to the service of the crown and

retained an honorary but not effective princely status.\(^65\) A pair of rampant lions flank Prince Eugene’s escutcheon that is wrapped by a golden potence, or chain of arms, and includes a sheep pendant. This refers specifically to the Order of the Golden Fleece. In 1687, Prince Eugene became a member of this Catholic order of knighthood. Only the Habsburg monarchs could bestow this high honor that was considered to be the most prestigious recognition of chivalry in contemporary society. Prince Eugene’s coat of arms is flaunted throughout the Belvedere estate, just as it is at his city palace. For example, it greets visitors when they approach the Upper Belvedere’s main gates, and again, when they near the façade (figs. 110-111). In the interior of the Upper Belvedere, Prince Eugene’s coat of arms is reiterated by silver armorial salvers, to be discussed in more detail below, and also, by Chinese armorial porcelain, which were placed together prominently on the étagère in the Vorzimmer (see fig. 37).

The most striking plate from Prince Eugene’s surviving armorial wares is AP1 (see fig. 38). It has a thin decorative band of diamonds and flowers which encircle the interior rim, as well as an array of exotic vegetation and eight red lotus blossoms on the exterior rim. This colorful spectacle is dominated by shades of green primarily and the specific coloring is named *famille-verte*. As already noted, this is the most typical tone of Chinese porcelain wares that were manufactured in the Kangxi period. In the Yongzheng period (1723-1735), the fashion shifts. Porcelain, including armorial wares, are no longer colored *famille-verte* and *famille-

rose takes over instead. After the Kangxi period, European-inspired figurative designs also replace Chinese forms on plates’ rims, and therefore, there are only a few surviving armorial plates that have this combination of underglaze blue and famille-verte simultaneously, as well as Chinese forms on the rim. These details characterize Prince Eugene’s own set of armorials. We may conclude that they are among the earliest armorials to ever have been commissioned and created. For reference, only twenty armorial services were shipped to England between 1694 and 1719. By 1720 and within a decade, 286 armorial services were imported to England because they became popular status symbols. The presence of Prince Eugene’s coat of arms, which fills the well of each plate entirely, also supports that his set was made in the Kangxi period. Already by the third decade of the eighteenth-century, and certainly by the mid-century, the fashion changes and coats of arms are significantly reduced in size. For example, plate number twenty-two in China Trade Porcelain is an object created between 1725 and 1730 (fig. 112). It displays a modest coat of arms that barely fills one-sixth of the well. By the late eighteenth century, the trend changes again. Coats of arms migrate to plates’ rims where they become a minor detail. The Fitzhugh pattern became popular in Chinese porcelain for Western export after the 1780s, thus demonstrating once more that borders and designs help to date armorial porcelain.

---

67 Gordon, 13.
68 Howard, Chinese Armorial Porcelain, 1974, I:106.
securely (fig. 113).\textsuperscript{71} Finally, Kleiner drew the prince’s plates at the Upper Belvedere circa 1729. Consequently, they were manufactured with certainty during the first three decades of the eighteenth century. This is consistent with my proposal that Prince Eugene was referring to armorial plates in his two previously discussed letters that date to 1718 and 1720.\textsuperscript{72}

All porcelain which has blue underglaze and \textit{famille-verte} or \textit{famille-rose} coloring simultaneously was produced in entirety in Jingdezhen.\textsuperscript{73} This includes Prince Eugene’s armorial plates which contradicts one scholar’s suggestion that they were formed in Jingdezhen but painted in Canton.\textsuperscript{74} In the early modern period, Jingdezhen’s porcelain gained a reputation for containing the purest blue paint that is sometimes called “Mohammedan” because it comprises a specific mineral of Near Eastern origin; cobaltiferous ore of manganese.\textsuperscript{75} Artists in Jingdezhen mixed this mineral with water and painted it onto porcelain before other colors were added and permanently affixed to the plate through firing. Unlike inferior quality blue paint for porcelain which, after firing, may turn purple with traces of red, the paint used in Jingdezhen remains luminous and still under a thin

\textsuperscript{73} Gordon, \textit{Collecting Chinese Export Porcelain}, 25, 54.
\textsuperscript{74} Isabella Massabò Ricci et al., eds., \textit{Blu, rosso & oro: segni e colori dell’araldica in carte, codici e oggetti d’arte} (Milano: Electa, 1998), 283. It should be mentioned that in the Christie’s auction catalogue, there is a note which claims AP1 was “later enameled in Europe.” It is not clear that enamel is present on the plate. See Christie’s London, \textit{2019 Auction Catalog: The Royal House of Savoy} (17486).
glaze. Prince Eugene’s armorial plates brandish this high quality of Jingdezhen porcelain.

The process of creating and painting porcelain in large workshops in Jingdezhen was described at length in 1712 and in 1722 by the French Jesuit missionary François-Xavier d’Entrecolles (1664-1741). He established a church in this Chinese city in 1698. Visual analysis of Prince Eugene’s armorial plates confirms what d’Entrecolles has described. Namely, that multiple artists worked together to sculpt and paint porcelain for European patrons. Prince Eugene’s coat of arms on AP2 and AP3 are painted analogously, and thus, by one artist’s hand (see figs. 40 and 42). Each comprises a rudimentary and dragon-like interpretation of the rampant lions that border Prince Eugene’s escutcheon. They boast an overly round head with bulging eyes. The same characteristics differ slightly on AP4 (see fig. 44). Here, another artist has rendered the rampant lions with a single, profile eye and stripes were added to the animal. Lions do not have stripes, and thus, this artist has interpreted the prince’s coat of arms through his own lens and Far Easter visual repertoire. In other words, instead of rampant lions this artist has drawn two powerful tigers. On AP1, the same hand-painted details are again different (see fig. 38). The rampant lions are elongated, have a snake-like torso, and unnaturally

---

76 Gordon, Collecting Chinese Export Porcelain, 54.
77 The missionary’s letters were penned to Louis-Francois Orry and published between 1702 and 1776 in a series of thirty-four volumes as Lettres edifiantes et curieuses de Chine par des missionnaires jesuites. This material resurfaced in 1735, in Description de l’Empire de la Chine by Jean Baptiste du Halde (1674-1743). Then, once again, in the Universal Dictionary of Trade and Commerce published between 1757 and 1774. Yet the author, Malachy Postelthwayt, published this dictionary without crediting his source. For more information see, Finlay, The Pilgrim Art: Cultures of Porcelain in World History, 17–18.
curving hind legs. Such minute but significant differences imply that multiple artists worked together on Prince Eugene’s armorial plates and each possessed a different skill level. The artists did not strive for scrupulous uniformity.

The main attraction of armorial porcelain in Europe was its “exotic allure” and collectors were not concerned with Chinese artists’ precision in their reproduction of western models or figures.\footnote{Finlay, 29.} According to one specialist, armorial wares are among the “finest executed objects” to develop out of what was otherwise a “purely commercial venture.”\footnote{Gordon, Collecting Chinese Export Porcelain, 24.} Chinese artists were even allowed to freely interpret coats of arms and some details were included unwittingly, as evidenced by a set of Swedish armorial plates where the artists included a gray cloud next to the patron’s coat of arms because water had stained the original sketch during its voyage to China.\footnote{Finlay, The Pilgrim Art: Cultures of Porcelain in World History, 28.} Certainly, the challenges undertaken in procuring armorial porcelain greatly enhanced its appeal among European patrons.\footnote{Smith, “Manly Objects? Gendering Armorial Porcelain Wares,” 115.} In the first two decades of the eighteenth century, nearly all owners of armorial porcelain had a direct connection to a boat’s captain or a supercargo officer who was directly involved with trade in China.\footnote{Howard documents that most. See Howard, Chinese Armorial Porcelain, 1974, I:69; Howard, Chinese Armorial Porcelain, 2003, II:815; Smith, “Manly Objects? Gendering Armorial Porcelain Wares.”} Only these individuals had the capability to personally pass along a patron’s coats of arms to Chinese artists to be copied onto porcelain wares. It is possible that Prince Eugene requested armorial porcelain and paid for it himself. Yet given the scarcity of armorial wares

---

\footnote{78 Finlay, 29.}
\footnote{79 Gordon, Collecting Chinese Export Porcelain, 24.}
\footnote{80 Finlay, The Pilgrim Art: Cultures of Porcelain in World History, 28.}
\footnote{81 Smith, “Manly Objects? Gendering Armorial Porcelain Wares,” 115.}
\footnote{82 Howard documents that most. See Howard, Chinese Armorial Porcelain, 1974, I:69; Howard, Chinese Armorial Porcelain, 2003, II:815; Smith, “Manly Objects? Gendering Armorial Porcelain Wares.”}
in Europe around 1715 to 1720, which is the time that the prince’s own set was fashioned, and also, considering that these amenities required a very intimate connection to a boat’s captain, it becomes apparent that the Ostend Company procured Prince Eugene’s set to impress him and gain his favor.

Asian Porcelain and the *Schaubuffet*

During his visit at the Upper Belvedere in circa 1728, Küchelbecker saw “mit gold eingelegtes Porcellaine [sic]” near the Vorzimmer in the appartement de société.\(^{83}\) Kleiner drew an extravagant water basin in the same chamber where he also illustrated Asian porcelain vases and the prince’s gold-rimmed armorial plates that were arranged among impressive table wares called *Prunkgeschirr* (see fig. 37).\(^{84}\) These are the noble household’s most extravagant dishes. At the Upper Belvedere, they were arranged on an étagère that had four levels and was covered...


by drapery. The étagère tapers towards the top pyramidally. Prince Eugene’s étagère also connected to sideboards, and therefore, it may be called a Buffet or Schaubuffet. This piece of early modern furniture was considered an ultimate indication of wealth because it stored the household’s silver or silver gilt dishes. Prince Eugene’s enormous Buffet contained six compartments on two levels (see fig. 97). This publicized that the prince owned numerous wares and the right cutlery to host fantastic banquets at the Upper Belvedere. The Parisian silversmith Claude Ballin (1661-1754), the nephew and apprentice of the famous silversmith Claude Ballin (1615-1678) whom Louis XIV employed, gave Prince Eugene an unsolicited and splendid silver gift in 1717. According to the eighteenth-century Parisian newspaper Mercure de France, this prompted the prince to then order from Ballin “es corbeilles richement ornées, & un candélabre d’argent, qu’on a fort admires, sans parler d’un service qui montoir a trois mille marcs, ce prince sut si satisfait de tous ces morceaux, qu’il l’honora d’une gratification.” Such lavish silver amenities would be stored in a Buffet, like the one seen at the Upper Belvedere. All of Prince Eugene’s silver cutlery and dishes were estimated to be worth 300,000 guilders in

---

85 Phillip Olles, “Buffet, Büfett (1950),” in Reallexikon zur Deutschen Kunstgeschichte, vol. 3 (RDK Labor), 47–57, accessed April 21, 2021, https://www.rdklabor.de/w/?oldid=89060. Prince Eugene owned a number of silver dishes that Marot made circa 1710. Marot designed a water basin and pitcher for the prince who then ordered additionally two sugar bowls and a laver to be made from English silver. They were likely stored at the prince’s city palace. See Seeger, Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen, 448.

86 The prince’s original thank you letter to Ballin that is stored at the Haus, Hof, und Staatsarchiv is also printed in Seeger, Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen, 436.

87 Translation: “richly decorated corbeilles, and a silver candelabra, which we greatly admire, not to mention a table service that amounted to three thousand marks, this prince was so satisfied with all these pieces, that he honored [Ballin] with a gratuity.” See “Eloge historique de Claude Ballin, Orfèvre du Roi.,” Mercure de France, 1754, sec. avril-juin, 157.
1739. The sizeable *Buffet* and *étagère* at the Upper Belvedere assert that the prince enjoyed boundless wealth.

Four octagonal plates and four polygonal vases on the *étagère* are Kleiner’s visual cues that select objects are made of Asian porcelain (see fig. 37). The prince’s armorials are implied on each plate through the inclusion of the Savoy cross in an escutcheon. Rampant lions also flank the escutcheon on all four octagonal plates that Kleiner drew (fig. 114). The swirling lines drawn on the exterior rim of only six out of twelve armorial dishes is an insinuation that only half received the special treatment which is seen on AP1 (see figs. 37-38). The prince’s four surviving armorial plates all have varying diameters, which Kleiner also specifies. The diameter of AP1 is 35.7 centimeters while the diameters of AP2 and AP3 are slightly smaller at 31.7 centimeters. The largest is AP4 with a diameter that measures exactly forty centimeters.

The *étagère’s* six largest plates appear to exceed the normal size of armorial porcelain, which based on my research, measure on average about twenty-five to thirty-five centimeters across. The largest armorial dish I have ever found is an oval serving platter made circa 1765 and its diameter is 52.8 centimeters. The six largest plates on the *étagère* are almost twice as large as the prince’s armorial plates, meaning they must have a diameter of about fifty to eighty centimeters. This far exceeds the normal size of armorial porcelain and it is

---

88 *Des Grossen Feld-Herrns Eugenii Hertzogs von Savoyen und Köyserlichen General-Lieutenants Helden-Thaten*, vol. 6 (Nürnberg: Riegel, 1739), 1153.
89 Wieninger used the same logic to identify *Kraak*. See Wieninger, “Chinese Export Porcelain and Porcelain Cabinets in Vienna.”
90 More exact measurements are provided. 5.5cm high and 22cm base diameter
91 See fig. XVI in Gordon, *Collecting Chinese Export Porcelain.*
my conclusion that these six objects represent silver armorial salvers. Salvers are flat serving trays without handles that were commonly fabricated in England and created in pairs or sets with plates of varying sizes. Armorials are often included in the center of salvers. The salvers that Kleiner drew may represent those which Prince Eugene commissioned from Marot in 1708, to be made from English silver and inset with his coat of arms.92

Prince Eugene’s étagère also presented six silver pitchers and one large terrine resembling a ship (see figs. 37 and 114). These objects too are of European origin. The terrine is known as a nef, an exquisite drinking vessel that was used as a centerpiece during table services, especially in central European noble households and rose to a height of popularity in the sixteenth century.93 Nefs could also be used to hold cutlery, cloth napkins, salt, and other spices, such as the example from the Habsburg’s castle known as Schloss Ambras (fig. 115).94 By the seventeenth century, these silver or silver gilt objects were produced almost in entirety in Germany, where they remained fashionable despite having declined in popularity in the rest of Europe.95 Nefs are both functional and entertaining, some even include automated mechanisms resembling clockwork and can play music or

---

92 Seeger, Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen, 448.
93 For a comparison, see Ralf Schürer, “Schlüsselfelder Schiff,” in Faszination Meisterwerk: Dürer, Rembrandt, Riemenschneider (Nürnberg: Germanisches Nationalmuseum, 2004), 81–82.
produce smoke by firing miniature cannons, such as the example from Augsburg that was made in 1585 (fig. 116). Nefs were regularly given as diplomatic gifts and they transformed table services into engaging and theatrical spectacles. Prince Eugene’s nef, silver pitchers, armorial salvers, and Asian porcelain were placed together on Upper Belvedere’s étagère because they articulate equally that the prince is affluent, prosperous, and also, he enjoys global authority.

It is not probable that Prince Eugene dined on his armorial plates. Complete porcelain services were first ordered and used by the Viennese court for main courses in the mid-eighteenth century, during the reign of Maria Theresia. Instead, the prince’s set was permanently paraded amongst his Prunkgeschirr on the étagère to signify that it ranked highly among his most exquisite domestic material objects. The Upper Belvedere’s Schaubuffet remained completely unprecedented in imperial Vienna, and in fact, in all of central Europe during the first three decades of the eighteenth century. The only other example of a Schaubuffet which presented a mixture of silver table wares and armorial porcelain that I came across in my research, is the Schaubuffet set up during the coronation of the Polish King Friedrich August II on January 17, 1734. It included a set of armorial wares made at the Meissen Manufacture between 1733 and 1734 (fig.

---

97 The empress purchased the manufacturer in 1744. For more details on the Viennese porcelain culture which developed out of the establishment of the imperial manufacturer, see Meredith Chilton et al., eds., Du Paquier Porzellan: der kulturelle Kontext, Dt. Ausg, Fired by Passion, barockes Wiener Porzellan der Manufaktur Claudius Innocentius Du Paquier / Meredith Chilton, Hrsg.; Claudia Lehner-Jobst, Mithrsg.; Melinda and Paul Sullivan Foundation for the Decorative Arts [Vorw.: Melinda und Paul Sullivan]; 2 (Stuttgart: Arnoldsche, 2009).
One scholar proposes that Fredrich August II’s *Schaubuffet* is the first of its kind, yet Kleiner’s drawing shows that Prince Eugene set up the first display of armorial porcelain at the Upper Belvedere over one decade earlier (see fig 37). In central European palaces during the Baroque period, the *Schaubuffet* became a status symbol and compulsory element of noble table service. One example of a contemporary *Schaubuffet* belonged to Friedrich I of Prussia (reigned 1701-1713). Now set up at the Staatliche Museum zu Berlin, it consists of a mixture of silver gilt plates, pitchers, ewers, and basins (fig. 118). Friedrich I had it installed in his *Rittersaal*, or knight’s room, as a fixed and immobile part of the interior decoration between 1695 and 1698. Louis XIV also had a permanent display of fancy table wares in the *Petite Galerie* at Versailles. Prince Eugene was raised at the court of Louis XIV, and thus, the Sun King’s exhibit may have influenced his choice to keep elaborate dishes out for show at all times at the Upper Belvedere. In contemporary Viennese court culture, this choice was innovative because the *Schaubuffet* was usually only set up on special occasions.

Intimate details about Austrian table services are provided by Conrad Hagger (1666-1747) in his *Neues Saltzburgerisches Koch-Buch* published in 1719. Hagger, who was a chef working for the Salzburg Prince-Archbishops, reveals that elaborately decorated tables and the *service à la française* were the

---


99 Vaupel, “Wie bei Hof getafelt wurde: Zuckerschloss und Scherzpastete (Dezember 2015).”

100 Vaupel.

going fashion in Austria, along with impressive Schaubuffets. They were decked out with silver, silver gilt, or gold objects primarily.\textsuperscript{102} In the early eighteenth century, Asian porcelain vases were included but porcelain plates were not shown in this context.\textsuperscript{103} A copper engraving depicts the table service during the Erbhuldigung of Charles VI, where a stately Schaubuffet performs as an official part of the banquet (fig. 119).\textsuperscript{104} An Erbhuldigung, or “act of Hereditary Homage,” is an Austrian Habsburg tradition that took place instead of a coronation after a regent died to memorialize the new sovereign’s accession.\textsuperscript{105} Johann Cyriak Hackhofer (1675-1731) drew this event that took place at the Hofburg palace in 1712. Johann Andreas Pfeffel (1674-1748) and Christian Engelbrecht (1672-1735) engraved and printed Hackhofer’s drawing in Augsburg in the same year. Titled \textit{Die Kaiserliche Taffel in der Ritterstüben}, this rendering publicizes that Charles VI’s Prunkgeschirr was set up prominently on an étagère during the Erbhuldigung. It had three levels and was covered by a red silk cloth.\textsuperscript{106} There were large salvers

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{102} Haslinger, “Prinz Eugen und die fürstliche Tafel im Barock,” 69.
\item \textsuperscript{106} Mairn, \textit{Beschreibung was auf Ableiben weyland Ihrer Keyserl Majestät Josephi, biss nach vorgegangener Erb-Huldigung, welche dem allerdurchleuchtigst- grossmächtigst- und unüberwindlichsten Römischen Keyser, Carolo dem Sechsten ... als Erz-Herzogen
and pitchers, likely made from silver or silver gilt, as well as bulbous and angular vases (fig. 120). This unusual shape designates that select vases are of Far Eastern origin and made of porcelain. A group of noblemen stand near the Schaubuffet and observe Charles VI as he dines. The emperor sat underneath a large baldachin that was embroidered in gold.\textsuperscript{107} To heighten the mood of this grand ceremony, an orchestra plays music and sits in the gallery above. Below them, servers bring Charles VI’s fabulous meal in from the kitchen and arrange the dishes in a carefully choreographed manner upon the large table. Johann Baptist von Mairn (life dates unknown) notes that the emperor’s splendid “Kleinodien,” or small treasures, were brought out from the imperial treasury one day earlier, solely for the purpose of presentation on the Schaubuffet during the Erbhuldigung.\textsuperscript{108} These rituals established that extravagant table wares are necessary for heightening splendid occasions.

During the dinner service at the wedding of Joseph I’s only daughter, Maria Josepha of Austria (1699-1757), who married the crown prince of Poland, Friedrich August (1696-1763) on August 20, 1719, Prunkgeschirr was also presented to noble guests on two Schaubuffets that are similar to the one set up for Charles VI’s Erbhuldigung (fig. 121). In Gerard Scotin’s (1698- after 1755) copper engraving of the matrimonial table service, which took place in the Komödiensaal in the Favorita castle by Vienna, these two imperial Schaubuffets are illuminated

\textsuperscript{zu Oesterreich, die gesamte nider-oesterreichische Stände den 8. Novembris A: 1712. in allertieffester Unterthänigkeit abgelegt, sich Merkwürdiges hat zugetragen / und auf Anordnung vorermelter löbi. Ständen mit allen Umständen beschrieben worden, durch den nider-oesterreichischen Land, 64. Mairn, 64.}
\textsuperscript{107} Mairn, 64.
\textsuperscript{108} Mairn, 54, 64.
to stress their extravagance and importance to the decisive event. Salvers are paired with tall, thin Asian porcelain vases and the objects express to all who are present that the two uniting families possess vast wealth. During the wedding, the Ottoman ambassador Ibrahim Pasha was present. He then attended an audience with Prince Eugene at the Upper Belvedere only a few weeks later. As noted in chapter one, the ambassador enjoyed confections and lemonade at the Belvedere in October 1719. He may have even seen the prince’s own Schaubuffet during this interaction, because it was in a room dedicated not solely to the “Buffet” but also “sert en même temps d’Antichambre.” In other words, the antechamber functioned as the appartement de société’s waiting room, where guests would have lingered and viewed the prince’s Prunkgeschirr before they met the prince for a meal or to engage in other social activities.

Banquets featuring expensive table wares, exotic recipes flavored by international spices, imported beverages, and lavish deserts enjoy a long tradition of expressing authority and prosperity that dates back to the late-medieval period in Europe. For example, during the New Year’s banquet that is depicted in Les Tres Riches Heures, which dates between 1412 and 1416, the Duke Jean de Berry

(1340-1416), clergymen, and the aristocracy dine upon gold and silver platters (fig. 122). A splendid Buffet stacked with golden dishes is set up nearby as a compulsory prop which underscores the duke’s infinite riches, including a nef that is placed in the center of the table. By the sixteenth century, banquets became associated with weddings primarily and especially grand “dynastic unions between important families.”

In Veronese’s large painting The Wedding at Cana from 1562 to 1563, silver and silver gilt salvers and pitchers are stacked over two stories in the background of a fabulous feast (fig. 123). This painting represents Jesus’ miracle of transforming water into wine, yet Veronese emphasizes the hedonistic aspects of a contemporary matrimonial banquet. Christ’s miracle is not clearly denoted. One scholar remarked that during Renaissance banquets, even “deciding what to eat was a very complicated affair” because of the “deep-seated notions of how food would affect the body and spirit.”

Thus, the excessive feast that Veronese depicted caused a big scandal in contemporary Venetian society. Especially considering that it was intended for a refectory in a Benedictine monastery, where monks dine together humbly. Veronese was even called before the Holy Tribunal of the Inquisition and ordered to make alterations to his painting, or change the title, because such banquets were perceived as ostentatious demonstrations of worldly delights. This banquet could not be readily linked to the biblical story that Veronese claimed to have rendered. This artist famously refused


114 Ken Albala, “Setting the Stage—Setting the Table,” in The Banquet: Dining in the Great Courts of Late Renaissance Europe (University of Illinois Press, 2007), 1.
to make any changes to his painting, but he titled it more appropriately the *Feast at the House of Levi*.

By the seventeenth century, due to the increasing lavishness of noble banquets throughout Europe, artists began to sketch these occasions which evolved into “elaborate choreographies” and were used as a tool to foster international diplomacy.\(^{115}\) Just like the two aforementioned feasts in Vienna which Joseph I and Charles VI hosted and had captured visually, Pope Clement IX (reigned 1667-1669) hired Pierre Paul Sevin (circa 1640-1710) to memorialize his banquet which was hosted for Queen Christina of Sweden (1626-1689) in 1668 (fig. 124). Sevin’s drawing publicized the pope’s support of Christina’s candidacy for the Polish-Lithuanian throne. During their dinner, the pope presented Christina with multiple *trionfi* (fig. 125). These fabulous desserts were shaped from solidified sugar and sculpted to form figures while colored by various herbs and spices. According to one scholar, such a “food spectacle” was understood to represent the host’s high rank, and by extension, his “political ambitions.”\(^{116}\) In the early eighteenth century, Prince Eugene hosted frequent banquets at the Upper Belvedere which are described as dazzling affairs. They follow the dinner spectacles of Louis XIV called *fêtes* which are ornate formal meals that appealed to all of the senses and involved constant entertainment, both indoors and outdoors. Pöllnitz described a banquet at the Upper Belvedere in 1729. He recalled:

“there is not so fine a sight as an assembly at this prince’s house, for not only the outer court, in which there is a fine piece of water, but [also] the

\(^{115}\) Jeanneret, “Early Modern Banquets: A Noisy but Tasty Business (October 19, 2020).”

\(^{116}\) Jeanneret.
gardens are illuminated by an infinite Number of Lanthorns [sic] made in form of a Bowl of extraordinary white Glass, which cast a very great Light and makes a glorious appearance."

This same nobleman recollected on another occasion that, at eleven o’clock at night after playing cards for hours, Prince Eugene still ordered a lavish dinner to be hosted at his garden palace. So many dishes were presented that guests could hardly indulge, yet their main purpose for being present was to witness and partake in Prince Eugene’s “grand Entertainments.” Indeed, the Upper Belvedere’s Schaubuffet is an imposing prop that boasts a long history as a demonstration of wealth and power during early modern banquets. Armorial plates from China were ideal for Prince Eugene’s own étagère because they are uniquely connected to his authority in the Austrian Netherlands. In the first few decades of the eighteenth century, they were an exceptional luxury that other Viennese nobles could not obtain.

118 The prince’s favorite card game was piquet. See Max Braubach, Prinz Eugen von Savoyen: Mensch und Schicksal, vol. 5 (Wien: Böhlau, 1965), 404.
The Fate of Prince Eugene’s Armorial Porcelain

Prince Eugene’s armorial plates departed the Upper Belvedere shortly after the prince’s death in 1736, when Princess Maria Anna Victoria of Savoy quickly sold his entire estate. They were sent to Turin between 1749 and 1754, when Eugene’s other porcelain and two lacquer cabinets from his city palace were transported to the Palazzo Reale in Turin. Charles Emmanuel III purchased Eugene’s porcelain and two lacquer cabinets shortly after he had acquired 166 of

\[\text{\footnotesize\cite{120} Braubach, \textit{Prinz Eugen von Savoyen: Mensch und Schicksal}, 5:327–33.}\]
\[\text{\footnotesize\cite{121} The prince had his two lacquer cabinets mounted on matching gilded tables in Vienna circa 1720. Kleiner drew the pair between 1722 and 1724 in his rendering of the city palace’s gallery. Both cabinets are now on view at the Palazzo Reale in Turin. Asian lacquer cabinets, which could be used to store curiosities, were very uncommon in Vienna and soon became status symbols at the courts of England, Berlin, Dresden, and Munich in the Baroque period. The prince also owned a lacquer folding table that was made in China during the first quarter of the eighteenth century. It was found in a room at Schloss Hof that was connected to Prince Eugene’s own bed chamber, and thus, he likely used it to play his favorite card game called piquet. See \textit{Des Grossen Feld-Herrns Eugenii Hertzogs von Savoyen und Käyserlichen General-Lieutenants Helden-Thaten}, 6:1126; Griseri, “La cornice e il quadro. Il Palazzo e gli Uffici di Bocca e di Vassella,” 72; Ulrike Seeger, “Nuove ricerche sugli acquisti fatti da Carlo Emanuele III re di Sardegna nelle collezione d’arte appartenute al principe Eugenio di Savoia,” \textit{Studi Piemontesi} 31 (2002): 328; Seeger, \textit{Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen}, 120, 146; Lieselotte Hanzl-Wachter, “Appartements in Schloss Hof,” in \textit{Schloss Hof: Prinz Eugens tusculum rurale und Sommerresidenz der kaiserlichen Familie; Geschichte und Ausstattung eines barocken Gesamtkunstwerks} (St. Pölten: Residenz Verlag, 2005), 57. For more literature on Asian lacquer in central European contexts, see Walther Holzhausen, \textit{Lackkunst in Europa} (Braunschweig: Klinkhardt & Biermann, 1959); Michael Kühenthal, ed., \textit{Japanische und europäische Lackarbeiten: Rezeption, Adaption, Restaurierung: Deutsch-Japanisches Forschungsprojekt zur Untersuchung und Restaurierung historischer Lacke, gefördert durch das Bundesministerium für Bildung, Wissenschaft, Forschung und Technologie = Japanese and European lacquerware: adoption, adaptation, conservation}, Arbeitshefte des Bayerischen Landesamtes für Denkmalpflege, Bd. 96 (München: Bayerisches Landesamt für Denkmalpflege, 2000).}\]
the prince’s easel paintings in 1741.\textsuperscript{122} One scholar’s analysis of Count Luigi Malabaila di Canale’s (1704-1773) original records has exposed that a Viennese noblewoman named Starhemberg actually sold the porcelain and lacquer cabinets to the Duke of Savoy and she received payments from him and an annuity every six months until at least 1756.\textsuperscript{123} Noting the growing popularity of porcelain among female collectors in the eighteenth century, another scholar suggests that Starhemberg acquired Prince Eugene’s porcelain from Maria Anna Victoria of Savoy directly, and the pair of lacquer cabinets.\textsuperscript{124} This individual may be Countess Maria Josepha Irene Jörger zu Tollet and Starhemberg (1668-1746), who is likely the missing link in Prince Eugene’s armorial porcelain plates’ history. After the death of her first husband, who was Count Ernst Rüdiger von Starhemberg (1683-1701), Maria Josepha Irene was remarried to the count’s half-brother, Gundaker Thomas Starhemberg (1663-1745). In 1705, Gundaker Thomas commissioned Hildebrandt to design a garden palace that is not far from the Belvedere. Known now as Palais Schönburg, this palace may have showcased Eugene’s porcelain vases and figurines in interiors intended for the countess’ pleasure, but no documents prove this theory to date.\textsuperscript{125}

\textsuperscript{122} See the detailed discussion in part one of chapter three.
\textsuperscript{123} For detailed references about the Count’s original records, see Lucia Caterina, “Dall’Oriente a Torino,” in Porcellane e argenti del Palazzo Reale di Torino, ed. Vincenzo Sanfo (Milano: Fabbri Ed., 1986), 350.
\textsuperscript{124} Seeger, \textit{Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen}, 146.
\textsuperscript{125} The family also owned two city palaces, in the Dorotheagasse and on Minoritenplatz, but neither underwent significant renovations in the first half of the eighteenth century. For more on the family’s garden palace, see Bruno Grimschitz, \textit{Wiener Barockpaläste} (Wien: Wiener Verlag, 1947), 20–21.
Gundaker Thomas Starhemberg died in 1745 and Maria Josepha Irene passed away the following year. Canale’s payments from Turin to Vienna continued through 1756. Given that Gundaker Thomas’s only son from his first marriage died before him, perhaps the woman that received these payments in Vienna was Starhemberg’s daughter who had wedded the Prince Rudolf Joseph of Colloredo-Waldsee (1706-1788). In other words, Marie Gabrielle Franzisca von Starhemberg and Colloredo (1707-1793). She was a life-long resident of Vienna, the city to which all of the payments were sent. This is unlike her older half-sister, the Countess Maria Josepha von Starhemberg (1689-1767), who was Gundaker Thomas’ oldest living daughter from his first marriage. She was widowed in 1716 and remained in Graz. It is worth considering that Marie Gabrielle Franzisca’s husband fell out of favor at the court of Joseph II due to his disagreement with Count Wenzel Anton von Kaunitz-Rietberg (1711-1794) concerning the imperial alliance with the British.\(^{126}\) Thus, this sale of Eugene’s porcelain to Charles Emmanuel III in 1749 may have arisen from a financial necessity caused by the political scandal. Notably, Canale mentions the prince’s porcelain was intended for the Duchess’ apartments in Turin which supports additionally that women widely collected porcelain by the mid-eighteenth century, and thus, female collectors may have initiated the whole transaction.\(^{127}\)


\(^{127}\) Caterina, “Dall’Oriente a Torino,” 350.
By 1750, at least six cases containing porcelain and crystal were sent from Vienna and arrived in Turin. They crossed the Semmering Pass on their way to Venice where the cases were placed on a barge and shipped on the Po river to Turin. As evidence that Eugene’s porcelain was part of this cargo, one scholar identified that there are two figurines and three sets of vases now in the collection of the Palazzo Reale which correspond visually and in their dimensions to the porcelain that Kleiner drew at Prince Eugene’s city palace. Further support that Eugene’s armorial plates were part of this shipment is provided by the fact that AP1, AP2, and AP3 remained in the royal family’s collection until the descendants of Charles Emmanuel III sold them at the Christie’s auction to an anonymous collector in 2019. A hand-written label on the bottom of AP2, which the administration of the Prince of Carignano stamped in Turin and dated March 7, 1889, informs us that the plates originated from Prince Eugene’s apartments in Vienna (fig. 41). It reads, “Piatto appartenuto a S.Q.R. il Principe Eugenio di Savoia (il Grande) decorato dei suoi Stemmi, lavoro Giapponese del tempo (1663-1736).” AP4 has a different provenance that is also linked to Turin. In 1874, this

---

128 Caterina sites the original archival sources referring to this porcelain delivery as follows: “Tesoreria Real Casa (art. 217).” In 1750: item 6: n.48 and 82, item 7: n.3, item 9: n.16, and item 12: n. 32. Also in the “Camerale, Italian State Archive,” in 1753: item 4: n.262 and n.527. See Caterina, 350.
129 Sanfo, Porcellane e argenti del Palazzo Reale di Torino, 362, 381, 382, 416, 419, 420–21; Seeger, Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen, 72, 146.
131 Translation: “plate that belonged to S.Q.R. Prince Eugene of Savoy (the Great) decorated with his coats of arms, made by a contemporary Japanese [meaning Far Eastern] artist.”
single and slightly damaged dish, which was restored in 1990, was gifted by the Marchese Vittorio Emanuele Tapparelli d’Azeglio (1816-1890) to Turin’s Museo Civico d’Arte Antica and it remains in their collection today.\textsuperscript{132} Given that AP4 is the sole damaged plate, it was perhaps sold or discarded by the royal family whereas the pristine and intact trio - AP1, AP2, and AP3 - remained together as a set.\textsuperscript{133}

Prince Eugene’s Luxury Asian Fabrics at the Upper Belvedere

European-made luxury fabrics were widely available when Prince Eugene furnished the Upper Belvedere.\textsuperscript{134} Yet he specifically sought out exclusive Asian textiles. As stated previously but is worth reiterating, they dressed nearly all the walls in the Upper Belvedere’s high-ranking chambers on the \textit{piano nobile} (see

\begin{footnotesize}\textsuperscript{132} Inventory number: 1665, 667/C.
\textsuperscript{133} For more on the set, see Massabò Ricci et al., \textit{Blu, rosso & oro}, 282.
figs. 11, 36, 46-47, and 88-96). Küchelbecker substantiates that all of the prince’s fabrics were made from “Indianischen Zeuge.” Prince Eugene acquired them via the Austrian Netherlands with assistance from various contacts who interacted with the administrators of the newly forming GIC and their boats’ captains. Prince Eugene corresponded himself with these individuals, but his letters divulge that he did not make outright demands for material objects. Rather, his requests were made discreetly by liaisons who lived on site in the Southern Netherlands. They communicated directly with the still-forming Ostend Company’s operators about exactly what the prince desired. For example, the prince wrote to the Major (Obristwachtmeister) Graf Vehlen (life dates unknown) in December 1717 and inquired if “les curiosités venues des Indes” had already arrived on the ship of the supercargo officer Merveille Jr. His father, Merveille Sr., was frequently in contact with the prince and even became instrumental in establishing the GIC officially. Yet Prince Eugene asked Vehlen to arbitrate and specified that he desired at least twenty-five to thirty “pieces,” or lengths, of matching silk or damask which is plentiful enough to furnish at least one room, or in the best case, an entire apartment. The same letter stipulates that while no materials for bed

135 Each room is listed in the introduction of this chapter.
139 The original letter reads: “La peine que vous avez voulu prendre d’envoyer un homme entendu à Ostende pour reconnaître les curiosités venues des Indes sur le vaisseau du capitaine de la Merveille; il est difficile d’en juger de loin, si cependant la
dressings were needed at the time, there should be enough yardage to upholster twelve chaises, two fauteuils, four stools, two screens, and one item called a trillette. Also in 1717, plans for the Upper Belvedere were cemented. These oriental textiles were clearly intended for the prince’s new structure in Vienna.

In September 1718, Prince Eugene wrote to his powerful deputy in the Austrian Netherlands; Ercole Giuseppe Lodovico Turinetti, the Marquis de Prie (1658-1726). He said that he wanted help finding “l’occasion,” or opportunity, to purchase “péquin à fond blanc peint à la Chine” and certainly enough to dress at least one whole chamber.140 This likely references a type of exquisitely painted silk which once adorned the Gemahlenes Cabinet at the Upper Belvedere (see fig. 36). While the prince’s own letters at the Österreichisches Staatsarchiv which were penned to the GIC and concern almost strictly financial and political matters regarding their ambitions, his letters to colleagues and various agents in Ostend divulge that material desires were communicated to the GIC’s executives decorously through the prince’s agents. Finally, the prince’s two letters to Vehlen and the Marquis de Prie uncover that he envisioned chinoiserie for the Upper Belvedere right from the palace’s conception in 1717, which also marks the year that the work on Girard’s gardens broke ground.

vente n’est pas encore faite, je prie V.E. de me faire choisir et acheter 25 a 30 pièces d’armoisins toutes d’une même fonds et de la même façon assorties afin de pouvoir server pour la même chambre ou appartement sans lits, dont je n’ai pas besoin de cette matière, mais bien de ces autres brodées sur un damas unies avec l’assortissait de 12 chaises, 2 fauteuils, 4 taburets [sic] et une trillette [sic], comme aussi 2 écrans comme V. E. les trouvera plus beau, mais chacun d’une différente grandeur. Mon secrétaire Mandacher, à l’ordre d’en payer le prix.” This letter is printed in Braubach, Prinz Eugen von Savoyen: Mensch und Schicksal, 5:370.

140 Translation : “painted white silk from China.” This letter is published in part in Braubach, 5:370.
Marot created models which promoted the relationship between gardens and interior design that became highly fashionable in the early eighteenth century and were inspired by Jean Le Paute (1618-1682), Charles Le Brun (1619-1690), and Jean Berain (1640-1711) (figs. 126-128). Marot advocated for balanced and proportional gardens and for geometrically embellished interiors that are based in mathematics, and thus, achieve harmony and become visually pleasing.\footnote{Florence Hopper, “Daniel Marot: A French Garden Designer in Holland,” in \textit{The Dutch Garden in the Seventeenth Century} (Washington, D.C: Dumbarton Oaks Research Library and Collection, 1990), 131–58.} In chapter one, I addressed Prince Eugene’s great interest in the intersections of art, science, and mathematics, which manifested in \textit{quadratura} frescoes. The Belvedere’s gardens that Girard sculpted also testify to the prince’s interest in these intersections.\footnote{Stefan Schmidt, “Die historische Entwicklung der Belvedere-Gärten und ihre Plandarstellungen,” in \textit{Das Belvedere: Der Garten des Prinzen Eugen in Wien} (Wien: Holzhausen, 2003), 66–83.} The flowerbeds and hedges, when viewed from the north-facing windows of the Upper Belvedere, are seen from above. This ideal vantage point causes them to appear as repeating and colorful linear patterns, an optical delight (fig. 129). Similarly, du Plessy arranged fabric panels with intertwining leaves and flowing vegetation vertically and in an orderly manner to imitate the garden’s symmetry on the palace walls (fig. 130).\footnote{Hilde Rosenmayr, “Die Grotesken des Jonas Drentwett im Belvedere,” in \textit{Prinz Eugen und sein Belvedere} (Wien: Selbstverlag der Österreichischen Galerie, 1963), 99.} The exotic plants, animals, and birds rendered on the prince’s Asian textiles also helped to connect more intimately the interiors to the prince’s collection of living specimens outside in the Belvedere’s aviary and menagerie, to be discussed in more detail in chapter three. Bussi’s plain white stuccos also reiterate the garden’s organic forms, as do Jonas
Drentwett’s (1656-1736) imaginative grotesque frescoes in the Sala Terrena. They were painted with bright colors in all the Lower and Upper Belvedere’s rooms which opened up to the palace gardens directly (fig. 131).\footnote{144} The proportional contours and colorful vibrancy that embodies Girard’s gardens also characterizes both the palace’s grotesques and ornate oriental textiles.

Prince Eugene’s choice to ornament his new garden palace’s walls and to upholster the custom furnishings with corresponding and matching fabrics fit with contemporary fashion, especially in French interior design.\footnote{145} His interiors were decorated at the crossroads of the Louis XIV and Régence styles. Catherine de Vivonne, the Marquise de Rambouillet (1588-1665) first used brightly colored and uniform fabrics within chambers at her Parisian château during the early eighteenth century, to create harmony and a sense of concordance. Then, Louis Le Vau (1612-1670) reiterated this concept at the Hôtel Lauzun between 1656 and 1657 and it quickly spread from there. Yet in Vienna, up until after the successful Battle of Vienna in 1683, Italian models informed noble interiors primarily. Moreover, items like luxury textiles were principally only displayed during special occasions like at an Erbhuldigung, and otherwise, textile “Wandschmuck” would be stored.\footnote{146} It was only in the mid-century that walls dressed permanently by fabrics became a

\footnote{144} Rosenmayr, “Die Grotesken des Jonas Drentwett im Belvedere.”
\footnote{145} For a general survey about luxury fabrics in noble European interiors during the early modern period, see Jolly and Abegg-Stiftung, Fürstliche Interieurs.
prerequisite in Viennese “Repräsentationsräumen.” This trend followed Prince Eugene’s extensive application of luxury fabrics at his city palace, the Belvedere, and Schloss Hof. The prince brought forth new considerations about how matching textiles can achieve a “harmonisierendes Ganzes” through form and color. Accordingly, Charles VI patronized du Plessy and Maria Theresia hired his pupil André Lenoble (life dates unknown). Lenoble redecorated the so-called leopoldinischen Traktes of the Hofburg palace in 1741, and then, in 1752, he redecorated the Belvedere. Shortly thereafter, this same designer took charge of Schloss Schönbrunn and the redecoration of Schloss Hof. He soon received the aristocratic name and title Andreas Jacob Lenoble von Edlersberg in 1756. Maria Theresia even promoted Lenoble to Hofmobiliensinspektor and his own daughter Antonie was the empress’ lady in waiting until 1767. By importing these two French interior designers to Vienna, Prince Eugene strongly swayed the trajectory of local late-Baroque interiors and established that luxury textiles are the key ingredient in achieving a sound and unified design.

Küchelbecker professed that all the prince’s tailored dressings on the walls at the Upper Belvedere were especially “kostbar” and “magnifique.” In Kleiner’s

---

147 Translations: "rooms for the purpose of authoritative representation," for example an audience chamber. See Ottillinger, 18.
149 For more information about this designer and imperial interior design in Vienna during the mid-eighteenth century, see Christian Witt-Dörring, “Die Möbelkunst am Wiener Hof zur Zeit Maria Theresias 1740-1780” (Dissertation, Wien, Universität Wien, 1978).
engraved drawings of the Upper Belvedere, visitors react to the fabrics with keen interest. For example, in the Spiel Zimmer, one gentleman’s upright arm draws attention to the animated floral and vegetal panels on the walls (see fig. 132). As visualized by gestures and glances, he engages a second gentleman in a dialogue centered on the chamber’s imagery. The footman who stands in the center of this room responds and points to the north-facing windows that overlook the palace gardens. This indicates that the repeating geometric patterns on the Spiel Zimmer’s walls are related visually to Girard’s chiseled gardens. As noted previously, they can be seen in entirety and from above, from all the Belvedere’s north-facing windows on the piano nobile. A similar interaction takes place in the Caffé Zimmer (see fig. 94). It too has north-facing windows. Near the Cheminée à la Royale, one gentleman stands with his back to the viewer to emphasize that he is concentrating solely on the chamber’s brilliant fabrics (fig. 133). The footman in the background points emphatically towards the large windows with both hands. Then, a gentleman in front of him motions in the same direction with his cane. Finally, his female companion gestures with both pointer fingers in opposite directions. This underscores subtly that the prince’s fabrics are connected in their vibrancy and proportional harmony to Girard’s gardens outside.

The Upper Belvedere’s walls were brilliant shades of red, green, blue, white, and yellow.\textsuperscript{152} These hues typify the striking brilliance of oriental textiles that were made during this time period, at which point new scientific studies about colors

were materializing. For example, Newton first passed light through prisms and discovered that light is composed of a spectrum of seven colors.\textsuperscript{153} His discovery revealed that colors, just like \textit{quadratura} and mirrors, are visible manifestations of optical phenomena.\textsuperscript{154} Surely, the overwhelming presence of brightly colored and shimmering fabrics charged the atmosphere at the Upper Belvedere and stimulated visitors. Kleiner indicates that dazzling and busy \textit{chinoiserie} dressings appeared in virtually every single room in the \textit{appartement de société} (see figs. 36, 47, and 92-96). The exceptions are the \textit{Vorzimmer}, where the Prince's armorial porcelain could be viewed, the \textit{Marmoriertes Cabinet} dedicated to various colorful types of marble, and finally, the \textit{Bilder Saal} which was devoted to Prince Eugene's European paintings. A single-colored and embossed fabric, like the ones seen in the \textit{Conferenz Zimmer} and \textit{Audienz Zimmer} in the \textit{appartement de parade}, which were dyed only red and only green respectively, may have also dressed the \textit{Bilder Saal}. This supports one scholar's point that energetic and ornately patterned \textit{chinoiserie} was considered unfitting for formal spaces with a ceremonial purpose, but highly desirable in rooms designated for leisure primarily.\textsuperscript{155}

Dazzling cloth panels portraying exotic flora and a myriad of birds could be seen throughout the Upper Belvedere's \textit{appartement de société} in the rooms intended for entertaining. The prince selected specific dressings to complement the function of certain rooms. For example, in the \textit{Caffé Zimmer}, Kleiner drew a

\textsuperscript{155} Jolly and Abegg-Stiftung, \textit{Fürstliche Interieurs}, 19.
shadow just below the undulating concave and convex banner that stretches across the top of this chamber (fig. 134). He represented a *valance*, similar to the ones that belonged to the prince’s state bed from Schloss Hof (fig. 135-136). This bed, and one more from the same palace, are preserved in near perfect condition at the MAK (fig. 137). They were made from chintz, which was manufactured in India with an appliqué embroidery technique. Chintz became especially popular in France and Austria during the eighteenth century. At the Upper Belvedere, broad repeating bands of chintz spanned the *Caffè Zimmer*’s walls above the *boiserie* and underneath the *valance*. These lost embroidered textiles compare closely to the preserved chintz wall hanging from Schloss Hof (figs. 138-139). It presents an Asian man who smokes opium and stands upon a platform where two servants come to his aid. They are topped by a baldachin and two dragons and flanked by flag bearing worshipers. An excess of vines, flowers, fruit, and leaves fill the white background ornamentally. Long patterned bands frame the entire scene. According to one study, the vibrancy and precise designs of Indian chintz made it “unmatched” by any other contemporary European fabric. John


Ovington (1653-1731) expressed this sentiment during his travels to Surat in 1689. He stated: “in some things the artists of India out-do all the intensity of Europe, viz., the painting of chintes [sic] or calicoes, which in Europe cannot be paralleled, either in their brightness and life of colour [sic] or in their continuance upon the cloth.”\textsuperscript{159} Chintz was a particularly appropriate choice for the \textit{Caffé Zimmer}, as a space designated for the social consumption of an exotic beverage.

The prince used his contacts at the newly forming Ostend Company to gain access to chintz. They set up two settlements in India just for the procurement of this rarity.\textsuperscript{160} Namely, in Bankipur on the Hugli river and in Cobloam (Covelong) along the Coromandel coast.\textsuperscript{161} The earliest known and still extant example of a European interior outfitted in chintz entirely is actually a dollhouse. It belonged to Petronella Dunois (1650-1695) and dates to 1676 (fig. 140).\textsuperscript{162} Another example of chintz decking out an entire chamber, but in a noble interior, was the Calico Chamber at the now destroyed Cowdray Castle in West Sussex and dates to 1682.\textsuperscript{163} During the reign of Louis XIV, almost every French country palace had one room decorated with chintz because it was considered ideal for the rooms’

\textsuperscript{159} John Ovington, \textit{A Voyage to Surat in the Year 1689}, ed. HG Rawlingson (London, 1929), 167.
\textsuperscript{160} In 1722, Prince Eugene and Charles VI wrote to the \textit{nawab} of Bengal to gain trading rights for the GIC in this region. See Dreijer, “Bargaining for Shelter: An Entrepreneurial Analysis of the Ostend Company, 1714-40,” 18.
\textsuperscript{162} See Watt, “Whims and Fancies: Europeans Respond to Textiles from the East,” 89.
“sommerliche Ausstattung,” or the summerlike decoration. Indeed, the Upper Belvedere is a summer palace. The Caffé Zimmer presented a novel alternative to the already trendy Indianisches Kabinett. Chintz also adorned the prince’s large canopy bed in the appartement de parade, as well as one bed in the appartement de société at the Upper Belvedere (see figs. 91 and 96). The headboards comprise broad volutes that form a triangular composition and crescendo with a leaf divided into three points at the top (figs. 141-142). This is not unlike the headboard from Prince Eugene’s bed from Schloss Hof (fig. 143). The prince’s two Schloss Hof beds, now at the MAK, are among the earliest surviving of their kind. All the original en suite furnishings that match the bed pictured in figure 137 also survive. They too are made entirely from sophisticated chintz. The imaginative motifs with exotic flowers, animals, and figures, who are engaged in various activities like hunting and foraging, embody the now lost chintz that enriched the Caffé Zimmer and two state beds at the Upper Belvedere. These beds were especially important to the prince, whose letters to a merchant named Rousseau (life dates unknown) dating between July 14, 1723 and August 7, 1723 disclose that the material for both beds was nearly lost. After it had arrived in Ostend, the prince’s chintz was shipped on the vessel of Captain Thomas Maison (life dates unknown) to London, where it was passed along to a merchant named Paul Honard (life dates
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164 Jolly and Abegg-Stiftung, Fürstliche Interieurs, 16.
165 Karl, “Who Slept in This Bed? The Question of the Provenance of Prince Eugene’s Chintz Bed (September 3, 2015).”
166 Prince Eugene of Savoy, “Rousseaus,” 1725 1723, AT-OeStA/HHStA Große Korrespondenz 104b/c-57, Österreichisches Staatsarchiv.
unknown). Prince Eugene ultimately tracked down his chintz with help from the Counts Starhemberg and Sinzendorf and finally received the coveted fabrics in Vienna on August 7, 1723.

Prince Eugene’s Surviving Indian Chintz & Chinese Silk, Damask, and Satin

The Schloss Hof inventory complied in 1736 and Prince Eugene's surviving textiles and furnishings from the same palace are essential for understanding the Upper Belvedere’s Indian and East Asian fabrics. In 1725, which is only two years after the Upper Belvedere’s interiors were completed, Charles VI gave Schloss Hof to Prince Eugene as a consolation for stepping down from his governorship of the Austrian Netherlands. Prince Eugene then hired Hildebrandt, du Plessy, and most of the other artists that worked at the Belvedere,

---


168 Savoy.


170 For a typed copy of the original Schloss Hof inventory, including the contents of Schloss Niderweyden which also belonged to Eugene’s estate, see Frantes, “Die vollständige Originalausstattung der Schlösser Hof and der March und Niederweiden von 1736: Das Nachlaßinventar des Prinzen Eugen von Savoyen.,” 102–214.

including the stuccoist Bussi and the frescoist Carloni, to remodel the existing structure in Marchfeld (see fig. 17-18). Johann Anton Zinner (1660-1736) designed Schloss Hof’s terraced garden which Bernardo Belloto captured between 1758 and 1761 in a painting that Maria Theresia commissioned (fig. 144). In 1755, only three years after acquiring the Belvedere, she purchased Schloss Hof from the estranged husband of Prince Eugene’s heir, who made no alterations to this structure.\textsuperscript{172} It emulates the Upper Belvedere and Prince Eugene decorated both garden palaces within a span of only ten years. The Upper Belvedere’s ornamenting began in 1719. By 1729, Schloss Hof was completed just in time to celebrate Prince Eugene’s sixty-sixth birthday.\textsuperscript{173} Given that early modern nobles considered vibrant \textit{chinoiserie} appropriate in spaces used for entertaining, as evidenced by the Upper Belvedere’s \textit{appartement de société}, it was also a fitting choice for Schloss Hof that Prince Eugene designated as his new pleasure palace.

Schloss Hof’s inventory, furnishings, and fabrics are invaluable and compelling aids that support my analysis of the Upper Belvedere ornamentation with Far Eastern commodities, and moreover, my conclusion that the two palaces’ textiles are identical.

Indian and East Asian fabrics dressed the walls or upholstered furnishings in at least twenty rooms at Schloss Hof.\textsuperscript{174} The author of this palace’s inventory

\textsuperscript{172} Eugene’s heir, Maria Anna Victoria of Savoy, married the Prince Joseph Friedrich von Sachsen-Hilburghausen (1702-1787) in Paris in 1738.


\textsuperscript{174} The inventory’s author mentions Asian textiles in the following rooms: \textit{Tafelstuben} (6), \textit{Audienzzimmer} (7), \textit{Schlafzimmer} (8), \textit{Cabinet} (9), \textit{Dame-Zimer} (14), \textit{Cavallier-Zimer} (15), \textit{Saal} (19), \textit{Parade Ante-Chamber} (20), \textit{Parade oder Conversations Zimer} (21),
clearly differentiates between those materials of foreign and European origin to highlight which are the most exceptional. Already in the early eighteenth century in Europe, silk, damask, and chintz that imitated oriental textiles was being manufactured for profit. Therefore, the prince’s silk, damask, and chintz from Asia is specified by the addition of words like “indianisch,” “japonisch [sic],” and “Pechins [sic]” or “Bechins [sic],” meaning Peking and implying Chinese origin, whereas those fabrics made in Europe are named “neopolitan[iischen] Domask [sic],” “Turiner Domask [sic],” and also “rotten [sic] Englischen Zeug.” Most of the Asian textiles survive and they are stored at the MAK in Vienna. They all are characterized by a specific eight-fold weaving technique which confirms their Indian and Chinese origin. The MAK’s scientific analysis of Schloss Hof’s fabrics’ various dyes designates that no synthetic pigments were used to create them, and thus, they all date securely to the first three decades of the eighteenth century. The prince’s textiles at the MAK exemplify the brilliantly colored Chinese and Indian dressings on the piano nobile at the Upper Belvedere that

\[\text{Parade Schlafzimmer (22), Dame-Zimer (24), Cavallier-Zimer (27), Cavallier-Zimer (28), Dame-Zimer (31), Cavallier-Zimer (32), Dame-Zimer (35), Dame-Zimer (37), Dame-Zimer (39), Dame Zimmer (41), Cavallier-Zimer (44). Frantes, “Die vollständige Originalausstattung der Schlösser Hof and der March und Niederweiden von 1736: Das Nachlaßinventar des Prinzen Eugen von Savoyen.”}\]

\[\text{175 Watt, “Whims and Fancies: Europeans Respond to Textiles from the East.”}\]

\[\text{176 Translation: “Neapolitan damask, damask from Turin, and red fabrics from England.”}\]

\[\text{See Frantes, “Die vollständige Originalausstattung der Schlösser Hof and der March und Niederweiden von 1736: Das Nachlaßinventar des Prinzen Eugen von Savoyen.”, 109, 110, 116, 120, 125, 144.}\]


\[\text{178 Völker, “Ausstattungstextilien aus Schloss Hof im MAK,” 110.}\]
Prince Eugene acquired from the Ostend East India Company during his governorship of the Austrian Netherlands.

Prince Eugene’s cache at the MAK includes twenty-four long and unedited rolls of yardage with the same design. This is enough to create about thirteen canopy beds or dress walls in four chambers. The MAK also holds the prince’s seventy Chinese silk taffeta panels of various sizes which feature three different embroidered and hand-painted designs, as well as numerous scraps of Chinese damask, satin, and silk that measure up to 120 centimeters (figs. 145-150).

According to one scholar, Prince Eugene’s cache of Far Eastern yardage was discovered at Schloss Hof in a room called the Garde-Meuble. Yet no surplus is mentioned in the meticulous Schloss Hof inventory that was compiled shortly after Prince Eugene died in 1736. Thus, in the mid eighteenth century, Maria Theresia

---

181 The MAK inventory numbers associated with the seventy panels are: MAK T 9111/ 01-06/ 1942; MD 20063. MAK T 9112/ 01-21/ 1942; MD 20064. MAK T 9113/ 01-26/ 1942; MD 20065. The MAK inventory numbers associated with the Schloss Hof silk, satin and damask scraps are: MAK T 9054/ 01-19/ 1942; MD 20001. MAK T 9055/ 01-17/ 1942; MD 20002. MAK T 9102/ 01-17/ 1942; MD 20053. MAK T 9058/ 01-17/ 1942; MD 20005. MAK T 9059/ 01-12/ 1942; MD 20006. MAK T 9056/ 01-16/ 1942; MD 20003. MAK T 9057/ 01-09/ 1942; MD 20004. MAK T 9062/ 01-21/ 1942; MD 20009. MAK T 9081/ 1942; MD 20028. MAK T 9082/ 1942; MD 20029. MAK T 9083/ 1942; MD 20030. MAK T 9085/ 1942; MD 20034. MAK T 9086/ 1942; MD 20035. MAK T 9105/ 01-17/ 1942; MD 20056. MAK T 9063/ 01-09/ 1942; MD 20010. MAK T 9064/ 01-19/ 1942; MD 20011. MAK T 9065/ 01-21/ 1942; MD 20012. MAK T 9084/ 1942; MD 20033. MAK T 9106/ 1942; MD 20058. MAK T 9079/ 1942; MD 20026. MAK T 9080/ 1942; MD 20027. MAK T 9092/ 1942; MD 20040. MAK T 9093/ 1942; MD 20041. See Völker, "Ausstattungstextilien aus Schloss Hof im MAK," 114–15.
182 Unfortunately, Brauneis does not provide a primary source. See Walther Brauneis, *Die Schlösser im Marchfeld* (St. Pölten: Verlag Niederösterreichisches Pressehaus, 1981), 70.
likely had Prince Eugene’s cache of fabrics brought out of storage in Vienna at the Upper Belvedere and transferred to Schloss Hof. This work began in 1765 after the death of Franz I (reigned 1746-1765). It was a logical decorative choice as the empress’ Rococo furnishings match Prince Eugene’s extant fittings in the north wing at Schloss Hof, which the empress left untouched. She only remodeled the rooms on the first floor of the south wing. In other words, during his governorship of the Austrian Netherlands, Prince Eugene obtained abundant Asian fabrics which were so plentiful that he first furnished the Upper Belvedere, then Schloss Hof, and finally, Maria Theresia redecorated with them too.\(^{183}\) I agree with another scholar who proposed that the prince’s fabrics were only stored in the Garde-Meuble after the empress finished redecorating Schloss Hof in the 1770s.\(^{184}\) When Maria Theresia died in 1780, the Habsburg court abandoned Schloss Hof and its contents remained untouched for over a century.\(^{185}\) It was not until 1889 that Prince Eugene’s cache and all of Schloss Hof’s original contents were rediscovered and

\(^{183}\) Recent studies have also shown that the textiles on Prince Eugene’s furnishings in the south wing of Schloss Hof were also dismantled and reused by the Maria Theresia. For example, to create a headboard and bed cover for the empress’s state bed, the chintz from a large bed described in detail in Prince Eugene’s Schloss Hof inventory that was located in the Damen-Zimer (31) was simply cut and repurposed to fit Maria Theresia’s updated furnishings. The MAK still owns fragments from this bedding. See Lieselotte Hanzl-Wachter, “Das ‚Witwenappartement’ Maria Theresias,” in Schloss Hof: Prinz Eugens tusculum rurale und Sommerresidenz der kaiserlichen Familie; Geschichte und Ausstattung eines barocken Gesamtkunstwerks (St. Pölten: Residenz Verlag, 2005), 99; Frantes, “Die vollständige Originalausstattung der Schlösser Hof and der March und Niederweiden von 1736: Das Nachlaßinventar des Prinzen Eugen von Savoyen.,” 132 (N[ume]ro 31: Dame-Zimer).

\(^{184}\) Frantes, “Die vollständige Originalausstattung der Schlösser Hof and der March und Niederweiden von 1736: Das Nachlaßinventar des Prinzen Eugen von Savoyen.,” 70.

transferred from Marchfeld to Vienna for storage in the imperial furniture treasury, and then, in the twentieth century, the prince’s fabrics were moved to the MAK collection.

Josef Wlha’s photographs captured Schloss Hof in 1885 (figs. 151-154).¹⁸⁶ That is only four years before the palace was vacated and the imagery represents the structure in its original condition from late eighteenth century. According to Josef Mauer, an art historian who visited Schloss Hof shortly after Wlha and published his account of the palace, “ein großer Theil [sic] der aufgezählten Möbel ist noch vorhanden und ist an seinem Platze [sic] geblieben, den ihm Prinz Eugen angewiesen [hat].”¹⁸⁷ Wlha’s photos reveal that two rooms were beautified entirely with embossed panels which dressed each of the walls and all en suite furnishings (see figs. 153-154). In other words, large amounts of the same textile were first imported to Austria before being cut and tailored in situ to fit a specific chamber. In the room pictured in figure 153, the yardage is embellished with repeating vases and large sunflowers that are framed by sprawling branches and geometric volutes. It is a lustrous yellow woven material called damask that remains in many fragments at the MAK (see figs.145-146). The same textile was used to make a fireplace screen that dates securely to between 1720 and 1730, and thus, Prince

¹⁸⁶ Wlha’s photographs are pictured in Brauneis, Die Schlösser im Marchfeld; Lieselotte Hanzl-Wachter, ed., Schloss Hof: Prinz Eugens tusculum rurale und Sommerresidenz der kaiserlichen Familie; Geschichte und Ausstattung eines barocken Gesamtkunstwerks (St. Pölten: Residenz Verlag, 2005).
Eugene himself commissioned it, like all the chamber's other furnishings (fig. 155). Wlha captured a second chamber also dressed entirely by one fabric, this time a radiant blue damask embossed with a Chinese pavilion (see fig. 150 and 153). These two monochromatic rooms differ from the two bed chambers at the Upper Belvedere, where multiple fabrics covered the walls and the beds were dressed in vivid chintz (see figs. 91 and 98). Conceivably, the greater variety of splendid textiles at the Upper Belvedere showcased that it ranked highest among the prince's garden palaces.

Kleiner's engraved drawings support visually that there is a direct relationship between the textiles that adorned the Upper Belvedere and the prince's surviving Indian and East Asian fabrics at the MAK. For example, in the Upper Belvedere's Spiel Zimmer, there were two alternating dressings (see fig. 93). They appear to be strikingly similar to the fabrics at the MAK. One example is an embroidered Chinese silk bed curtain (fig. 156). It too is adorned with vases and vines. A second fabric which appears similar to those seen in the Spiel Zimmer is a scrap of a single-colored damask panel embossed with vases and acanthus leaves (see fig. 148).\textsuperscript{188} It most closely resembles the alternating fabric strip that Kleiner drew in the Upper Belvedere's Spiel Zimmer (see fig. 130). In the Gemahlennes Cabinet and Vorgemach, Kleiner drew further fabrics that resemble those housed at the MAK. They each don vertically winding and narrow branches embellished with delicate foliage, small bushy flowers, and various finches

This description matches closely the prince’s silk taffeta fragments at the MAK (see fig. 147). A similar panel was used to upholster a fireplace screen which also came from Schloss Hof and dates to between 1720 and 1730 (fig. 159). By 1729, even one room at the Lower Belvedere was outfitted with Asian textiles according to Kleiner (figs. 160-161). They appear similar to the prince’s embroidered silk bed curtain from Schloss Hof (figs. 162). It is no surprise that Küchelbecker commented that many of the prince’s Asian fabrics could be seen at the Upper Belvedere “abermals,” or continuously. With more yardage and a variety of styles, Prince Eugene, and his interior designer du Plessy, had great flexibility in their final decisions about which fabrics should be used, how they should be combined, and finally, how they could best be applied to delineate spaces and convey grandeur. While Prince Eugene conferred with his designer to stay abreast with the latest fashions, like those professed by Marot, he certainly stipulated that he wanted chinoiserie for his garden palaces and took an active role in the design process.

Prince Eugene owned oriental textiles in great abundance due to his involvement in the establishment of the Ostend East India Company. Already in

---

189 There is also one room at the Lower Belvedere that appears to be dressed with Asian textiles that include foliage and birds, namely in the room titled Gemach (see figs. 62-63). Given that the outfitting of the Lower Belvedere was complete by 1717, these textiles were either acquired before Eugene became the Governor-General of the Austrian Netherlands, or perhaps the chamber was later remodeled.

190 The MAK inventory numbers associated with the twenty-four panels are: MAK T 9068/ 01-20/ 1942; MD 20015. MAK T 9096/ 01-08/ 1942; MD 20016. MAK T 9110/ 01-15/ 1942; MD 20062.

1717 and 1718, he expressed his great desire for Asian fabrics to Major Vehlen and the Marquis de Prie. This knowledge coupled with the information that Prince Eugene was constructing a magnificent garden palace in Vienna during his governorship of the Austrian Netherlands greatly benefited the GIC’s founding members. Just like Prince Eugene’s unique armorial plates, the precious textiles from Asia are the Ostend Company’s “cruciale pionnen.”¹⁹² They are the physical proof of the diplomatic exchanges between the prince and the founding members of the GIC. Such lavish imported objects facilitated a dialogue with Prince Eugene and they eventually led to the Ostend Company receiving an imperial charter from Charles VI in 1722. Both the material and circumstantial evidence imply that there is a direct link between the Schloss Hof yardage at the MAK and the Upper Belvedere’s lost fabrics. Furthermore, when one considers that the same interior designer was chosen to decorate these two garden palaces, the association is greatly strengthened because solely du Plessy had knowledge about the exact amount of Asian fabrics which were left over after the Upper Belvedere decoration was completed. The visual similarities between the surviving panels at the MAK and those that Kleiner drew at the Upper Belvedere are conspicuous. Given that Indian and East Asian fabrics were exceedingly rare in Vienna in the first few decades of the eighteenth century, I have concluded that the textiles of the Upper Belvedere should not be considered irrecoverable, and in fact, they are identical to the Schloss Hof surplus.

Conclusion

Throughout the eighteenth century in imperial Vienna, contemporaries derived the value of objects that were manufactured in Asia from their status as being, to use Prince Eugene’s own words, a “rarite d'Indes.” During the prince’s own lifetime, the unique material splendor and notable presence of Far Eastern luxuries at his palaces demonstrated that he enjoyed dominion over coveted oriental trade routes that the Ostend East India Company monopolized. No other palaces in or near Vienna displayed chinoiserie fabrics on the same scale as at the Upper Belvedere or Schloss Hof until the mid-eighteenth century, at which point Maria Theresia renovated Schönbrunn Castle with Lenoble. There, he created two Asian cabinets and one mirror cabinet for the empress. At the same time, between 1742 and 1745, he arranged Chinese soapstone figures, the first of their kind to be seen in Vienna, alongside lacquer panels, in yet another Indianische Kabinett at Schloss Hetzendorf. This garden palace, which is just at the end of Schönbrunner Allee and very near to the empress’ garden palace, was redecorated for Maria Theresia’s mother, the former empress Elisabeth Christine of Brunswick-Wolfenbüttel (reigned 1711-1740). When Maria Theresia acquired the Belvedere in 1752, which is the same year she acquired the prince’s city

194 Wieninger, “Chinese Export Porcelain and Porcelain Cabinets in Vienna.”
palace, she transferred his Indianisches Kabinett to the Lower Belvedere. Perhaps the empress considered it more appropriate for a garden palace and could balance the lavish chinoiserie seen at the Upper Belvedere. Then, following Lenoble’s redecoration of Schönbrunn, in 1765, Maria Theresia purchased Schloss Hof and capitalized on Prince Eugene’s fabric cache in her modernization of the south wing. Her newest porcelain cabinet at Schönbrunn was constructed between 1763 and 1765 after Jean Pillement’s (1728-1808) design. Finally, Isidoro Carnevale (1730-1780) created her so-called Vieux-Laqué-Zimmer that was filled with lacquer panels between 1769 and 1770.195 Perhaps the empress’ interest in acquiring Prince Eugene’s palaces and possessions was due to her own strong preference for “allem Indianischen.”196 Or alternatively, she was inspired by the prince’s accomplishment and desired to emulate and surpass him. The prince’s exclusive access and strong attraction to Far Eastern porcelain and textiles at the dawn of the century helped to establish firmly amongst Austria’s highest nobles that these commodities are luxuriously resplendent and fitting for garden palaces, and thus, Prince Eugene paved the way for Maria Theresia’s extraordinary chinoiserie.

CHAPTER THREE

Intellect and Cultural Sophistication: Paintings at Prince Eugene’s Belvedere

PART ONE

The Painting Inventory (1736) and Sale Catalogue (1737)

Shortly after Prince Eugene’s death in April 1736, Princess Maria Anna Victoria of Savoy sold nearly all the Belvedere’s paintings. Thankfully, the sale prompted her to have them documented carefully in an inventory that Antonio Maria Zanetti (1689-1767) compiled in 1736 and transformed into a sale catalogue with assistance from Antonio Daniele Bertoli (1677-1743) in 1737. Zanetti, a

Zanetti is not to be confused with his younger cousin, who is also named Antonio Maria Zanetti (1706-1778) and who was the librarian of the Biblioteca Marciana in Venice between 1737 and 1778. It should be noted that the inventory includes paintings from the city palace, which are not discussed in this study, and all of the Upper Belvedere’s paintings on the piano nobile except for the following works; one sopraporta in the Bibliothec, three sopraporte in the prince’s bed chamber that is labeled Schlaff Zimmer Sr. Durchl., three sopraporte in the Conferenz Zimmer and one ceiling painting by Giacomo del Pò, three sopraporte in the Antichambre and another ceiling painting by del Pò, one sopraporta in the Spiegel Zimmer and one ceiling painting by Francesco Solimena, three sopraporte in the Tafel Zimmer, three sopraporte in the Caffé Zimmer, three sopraporte in the Spiel Zimmer, three sopraporte in the Schlaffgemach, three sopraporte in the Vorgemach, two animal paintings in the Großer Sahl, and finally, the altarpiece that Francesco Solimena painted for the palace chapel. The inventory also excludes Chiarini’s eight paintings that are discussed on page 192 of this chapter briefly. At the Lower Belvedere, the inventory includes all the paintings except for four sopraporte in the Tafel Zimmer, four sopraporte in the prince’s bed chamber, one sopraporta in the Bilder Zimmer, and finally, two sopraporte in the room labeled Gemach. As mentioned in the introduction but is worth reiterating, the inventory is stored at the British National Archives in London and filed in the box State Papers Austria 121. It is also printed in Leopold Auer and Jeremy Black, “Ein neuentdecktes
Venetian artist, engraver, and connoisseur established himself as a highly regarded art dealer across all early eighteenth-century Europe. He was a fitting choice for the princess who desired to sell the prince’s collection quickly.² According to one expert, “noble families needing money sold their inherited virtú through [Zanetti]” often and his prominent clients include Philippe II, the Duke of Orleans (1674-1723) and Prince Joseph Wenzel I of Liechtenstein (1696-1772).³ Barely two months after the princess first gained access to Eugene’s estate officially, Zanetti received a receipt from her and it is dated August 10, 1736.⁴ This document, that I will discuss in more detail below, confirms his presence in Vienna to assess Prince Eugene’s paintings during that summer. It also suggests that the painting inventory, that includes works from both the Belvedere and Prince Eugene’s city palace, was written at this time. The princess sought Zanetti out specifically for his ability to evaluate her vast inheritance and competently organize a successful and timely sale.

Count Canale, the envoy to Charles Emmanuel III in Vienna and already introduced in chapter two, first documents Zanetti’s involvement with Prince Eugene’s painting collection. In a letter dated August 31, 1737 that he penned to

---

² A discussion of Princess Maria Anna Victoria’s eagerness to sell Prince Eugene’s paintings quickly can be found in Max Braubach, “Die Gemäldeammlung des Prinzen Eugen von Savoyen,” in Festschrift für Herbert von Einem zum 16. Februar 1965 (Berlin: G. Mann, Cop., 1965), 34–36.
the diplomat Carlo Vincenzo Ferrero d’Ormea (1680-1745) in Turin, Canale reports that Zanetti just departed Vienna for Venice and, before he left, Zanetti drew up a catalogue and it lists 173 paintings in total. The author also explains that Zanetti can be consulted reliably for “le jugement qu’il porte de tous ces tableaux.” He even reports that Zanetti collaborated with Bertoli. The pair worked together to “estimé tous ces tableaux à un prix modique” and formed an attractive sale catalogue. They concluded that the paintings are valued at 92,200 florins. This letter belongs to a series of eighty-two that Canale, Charles Emmanuel III, d’Ormea, Princess Maria Anna Victoria, Leopoldo del Carretto di Gorzegno (1693-1750), and Johann Adam Wehrlin (died 1776) exchanged between January 29, 1737 and April 25, 1741. Alessandro Vesme published this correspondence in

---


8 Translation: “estimate the value of all these paintings at low cost.” See the letter published in Vesme 1887, p. 182.

Miscellanea di Storia Italiana in 1887. It details how the princess sold 166 of Prince Eugene’s paintings to Charles Emmanuel III by August 10, 1741.\(^{10}\) Many of these works are still in Turin today, at the Sabauda Gallery.

In Patrons and Painters (1\(^{st}\) ed. 1963), Francis Haskell confirms that Zanetti already had business dealings with Princess Maria Anna Victoria in Vienna in 1736, or one year before Canale reported it.\(^{11}\) Haskell studied at the Biblioteca Marciana in Venice Zanetti’s own indexed volume of notes and letters, including the previously mentioned receipt signed by the princess and Zanetti in Vienna on August 10, 1736.\(^{12}\) It discloses that Zanetti acquired three paintings formerly in the collection of Prince Eugene on this date. They are Nicolas Poussin’s (1594-1665) Saints Peter and John Healing the Lame Man and Luigi Maria Crespi’s (1708-1779) pastoral landscape that Zanetti named “one of the finest things [that Crespi] ever painted.”\(^{13}\) Zanetti soon sold the Poussin to Joseph Wenzel I. The third painting he acquired is by Giovanni Benedetto Castiglione (1609-1664); it depicts

---

\(^{10}\) The exact number of works that Charles Emmanuel III purchased in 1741 is only noted by his bodyguard, the Cavaliere Oriole (life dates unknown). Oriole’s log of daily notes forms a manuscript titled Memorie: 1714-1748. The entry dated August 10, 1741 includes the following information: “Giungono a Torino 166 quadri accomprati da S.M., che il Principe Eugenio avea pagato 400 mila fiorini.” Translation: “166 paintings arrived in Turin delivered by S.M., for which Prince Eugene had paid four-hundred-thousand florins.” See the letter published in Vesme, “Sull’ Acquisto fatto da Carlo Emanuele III RE Di Sardegna della Quadreria del Principe Eugenio di Savoia Richerche Documentate,” 256. Also see Oriole, “Memorie: 1714-1748,” 1748, Biblioteca Reale, Torino, http://cataloghistorici.bdi.sbn.it/file_viewer.php?IDIMG=14191&IDCAT=138&IDGRP=1380005&LEVEL=1&PADRE=1380001&PR=25&PROV=INT. It should be noted that the total number of paintings the king purchased is 176. In 1737, Princess Maria Anna Victoria sold Charles Emmanuel III ten battle paintings that Jan van Huchtenburgh created, and which are not included in the catalogue. I discuss these works again very briefly on page 180 of this chapter.

\(^{11}\) Haskell, Patrons and Painters, 341–42.

\(^{12}\) These records are stored at the Biblioteca Marciana as MSS. Italiani- CL. XI, Cod. CXVI, 7356. See Haskell, 341 (n.4).

\(^{13}\) Zanetti is cited in Haskell, 341.
animals and figures, but no title is recorded.\textsuperscript{14} According to Haskell, Zanetti describes this work in detail in \textit{Memorie de gl’acquisti fatti da me Antonio Mra Zanetti q Girolamo in Vienna dall’Heredita del Principe Eugenio di Savoia, l’Anno 1736}. Furthermore, Zanetti’s receipt confirms that, in 1736, he also purchased fifteen gems and cameos from the princess, including the so-called Althorp Leopard.\textsuperscript{15} This gold ring dates to the sixteenth century but has an eighteenth-century setting. It presents a moss agate cameo rendered in the form of a leopard couchant.\textsuperscript{16} Prince Eugene received it as a gift from Cardinal Alessandro Albani (1692-1779) in 1720, when the Cardinal came to Vienna as the apostolic nuncio.\textsuperscript{17} Among these records is also proof that Zanetti received a second ring in Vienna in 1736. It was a gift from Bertoli.\textsuperscript{18} This cameo may have been pilfered from Prince Eugene’s collection because, as noted in the introduction, one scholar’s ongoing research suggests that both Zanetti and Bertoli’s practices were deceptive.\textsuperscript{19} The agate cameo given by Bertoli to Zanetti is carved into two dolphins. The gift discloses that Bertoli already had contact with Zanetti in 1736, yet Canale only records that Bertoli interacted with Zanetti in 1737.\textsuperscript{20} In other words, these two men

\begin{thebibliography}{99}
\bibitem{14} Haskell, 341.
\bibitem{17} Albani had chosen the rare and exquisite ring for Eugene after receiving advice from the antiquary and papal curator Marcantonio Sabatini (1637-1724). See Scarisbrick, 427.
\bibitem{18} Diana Scarisbrick, “Piranesi and the Actyliotheca Zanettiana,” \textit{The Burlington Magazine} 132, no. 1047 (June 1990): 413.
\bibitem{19} Silvia Tommaro, “An International Charade. Antonio Maria Zanetti the Elder and Eugene of Savoy’s Paintings” (Academic Conference, Patrons, Intermediaries, and Venetian Artists in Vienna and Imperial Domains (1650-1750), University of Ljubljana, Slovenia, September 24, 2020).
\end{thebibliography}
likely worked together over two summers. First to create an inventory, and then again, to create a catalogue during the following year. Or perhaps, in 1736, Bertoli only received information about Zanetti’s assignment for the princess. The ring may not have been pilfered but owned by Bertoli, who presented it to Zanetti as an expression of his great desire to be involved with the prestigious job. While I have not yet had an opportunity to read Zanetti’s receipts and documents at the archive in Venice, which can clarify the extent of Bertoli’s involvement with both the inventory and the catalogue, it is clear that Zanetti’s primary purpose for traveling to Vienna in both 1736 and 1737 was to intimately assess Prince Eugene’s painting collection.

The only known copy of the inventory of Prince Eugene’s paintings is now in London but was published in 1986 [Document 1]. It lists 298 works with twenty-three originating from the Lower Belvedere, 153 from the Upper Belvedere, and 122 paintings that were displayed formerly at Prince Eugene’s city palace. Zanetti divided the inventory into twelve organized groups that represent various chambers at each of these three structures. The paintings are listed in their appropriate chambers and, in select cases, Zanetti includes details such as the color of the chamber’s walls, the artworks’ subject matter, the names of the artists, and even the artworks’ precise measurements. The paintings that unnamed masters created, however, are not listed with details about their measurements. These anonymous works are also excluded from the catalogue that was drawn up

---

21 See footnote 1 of this chapter.
22 It is not clear that the paintings listed under the heading [V] were located at the Belvedere. See Auer and Black, “Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldesammlung Prinz Eugens,” 342.
in 1737. This detail suggests that, during the compiling of the inventory in 1736, Zanetti was already preparing for the catalogue. Accordingly, Auer and Black have proven that there is “kein[en] Zweifel daran” that the inventory and catalogue “auf eine gemeinsame Vorlage zurückgehen.”

The detailed inventory that Zanetti established in 1736 is complemented by visual evidence in Kleiner’s engraved drawings that were produced circa 1729. Zanetti’s inventory begins with the Upper Belvedere and the first group of thirty-five paintings he listed under the heading [I]. On Kleiner’s ground plan, this chamber is labeled the Kunst Gallerie (see fig. 6). Underneath his drawing of the same chamber, Kleiner calls it the Bilder Saal. I will refer to this room as the Bilder Saal henceforth (see fig. 47). Zanetti’s subtitle for this chamber reads: “Inventaire des tableaux qui sont placé dans la gallerie [sic] au jardin.” Thirty-one works adorned the gallery’s walls and four paintings were placed “sur les portes.” The next group of paintings are labeled [II], “Dans la chambre de parade tapissée de damas verd [sic] proche du cabinet des miroir [sic] sont placé les tableaux suivants dans leurs quadres [sic] dorés.” These works were presented in the Audienz Zimmer at the Upper Belvedere and featured rare plants and animals primarily, as well as a copy of Titian’s (1488/90-1576) Perseus and Andromeda and Jacques

---

23 Translation: “no doubt...share the same blueprint.” See Auer and Black, 332.
24 Translation: “inventory of paintings that are exhibited in the garden palace’s gallery.” See Auer and Black, 336.
25 Translation: “above the doors.” See Auer and Black, 337.
26 Translation: “In the parade room, that is lined with green damask and is located near the mirror cabinet, are the following paintings in gilded frames.” See Auer and Black, 337–38.
Courtois’ (1621-1675) *Battle of the Hungarians.*

The next room in the inventory connects to Prince Eugene’s bed chamber to the library. It is labeled [III] “*Dans le cabinet tapissé de damas bleu sont placé les tableaux suivants en quadres* [sic] *dorés.*”

Prince Eugene filled it with ninety-eight miniature paintings and there were two *sopraporte*, one above each door. The library is labeled [IV], where only three paintings are listed but four works were hung here originally. One painting was above the fireplace and the other three are *sopraporte*. The painting which Zanetti and Bertoli excluded from the inventory for unknown reasons is one *sopraporta*. Like the other two overdoors, it depicts a Greek philosopher and can

---

27 The inventory’s suggestion that this painting is “*de Titien* [sic]” and thus, it was thought to be by Titian’s own hand. Zanetti and Bertoli recorded that the canvas in the *Audienz Zimmer* measured “*hauteur 5 pieds 11 pouces, largeur 6 pieds 6 pouces*” or ca. 187 x 205.4 cm. See Auer and Black, 337. Its prominent placement on the south wall in the *Audienz Zimmer* certainly suggests that contemporaries deemed it to be an original. It may be the *Perseus and Andromeda* attributed to Titian and now in the Wallace Collection, or perhaps, an equally esteemed studio copy. The Wallace collection painting measures 183.3 x 199.3 centimeters. A copy, now at the Hermitage and stored under the inventory number ГЭ-1595, measures almost the exact same, with 193 x 198 centimeters. In 1831, Prince Alexander Borisovich Kurakin (1752-1831) gifted it to the Hermitage and this work may represent the painting that was formerly hung at the Belvedere. Neither the Wallace Collection nor the Hermitage’s Titians measure the same as which Zanetti and Bertoli recorded in the inventory. Therefore, it cannot be said with any certainty if either work belonged to Prince Eugene. In fact, three more copies are known to exist, which further complicate the matter. One copy is at the Musée Ingres in Montauban, France. It measures 194 x 236 cm. The next copy belongs to the Prado Museum in Madrid. It measures 175 x 207 cm. Finally, Luca Giordano (1634-1705) copied *Perseus and Andromeda* circa 1700 and this work is now in a private Swiss collection. It measures 165 x 197 cm. See “Titian (1485-1576), Perseus and Andromeda,” accessed August 12, 2020, https://wallacelive.wallacecollection.org:443/eMP/eMuseumPlus?service=ExternalInterface&module=collection&objectId=64901&viewType=detailView; “Titian (Tiziano Vecellio), Copy after, Perseus and Andromeda (Copy),” accessed August 12, 2020, https://hermitagemuseum.org/wps/portal/hermitage/digital-collection/01.+Paintings/32397; Hans Ost, “Tizians Perseus und Andromeda. Datierungen, Repliken, Kopien,” *Artibus et Historiae* 27, no. 54 (January 1, 2006): 129–46, https://doi.org/10.2307/20067125.

28 Translation: “In the cabinet that is lined with blue damask are placed the following paintings in gilded frames.” See Auer and Black, “Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldesammlung Prinz Eugens,” 338–42.
be seen clearly in Kleiner’s engraved drawing of the chamber (see fig. 90).\textsuperscript{29} These works, to be discussed in more detail momentarily, are again \textit{in situ} at the Belvedere. It is not clear where the room that Zanetti labeled [V], “Dans le second étache [sic]” is located, and may have been at the prince’s city palace.\textsuperscript{30} Here, only two portraits of Prince Eugene were hung, which Jakob van der Schuppen (1670-1751) and an artist called “de Ruttiers” painted.\textsuperscript{31} The inventory proceeds again at the Lower Belvedere, with the room labeled [VI], “Spécification des tableaux qui se trouvent dans le battement du jardin en bas et dans le cabinet.”\textsuperscript{32} It had nineteen paintings and one overdoor. The final space at the Belvedere where paintings were displayed, and which Zanetti included in the inventory, is the Lower Belvedere’s small library. Here, three works are listed but the chamber’s two \textit{sopraporte} are excluded.\textsuperscript{33} The last paintings listed in the inventory under the labels [VIII], [IX], [X], [XI], and [XII] were all located at Prince Eugene’s city palace, and therefore, they are beyond the scope of my study.\textsuperscript{34}

Thomas Robinson (1695-1770), the English ambassador to Vienna, sent this painting inventory, which he received from the princess, to William Stanhope, the Earl of Harrington (1690-1756) in London, with an accompanying letter dated October 24, 1736.\textsuperscript{35} Robinson’s letter suggests, as proposed by Auer and Black,

\textsuperscript{29} Auer and Black, 342.
\textsuperscript{30} Translation: “On the second floor.” See Auer and Black, 342.
\textsuperscript{31} Auer and Black, 342.
\textsuperscript{32} Translation: “Specifications regarding the paintings in the cabinet at the lower garden palace.” See Auer and Black, 342.
\textsuperscript{33} Auer and Black, 343.
\textsuperscript{34} Auer and Black, 343–46.
\textsuperscript{35} Auer and Black also mention the existence of a second letter from Robinson to Harrington that is dated October 10, 1736. See Auer and Black, 331–32.
that Robert Walpole, the first Earl of Oxford (1676-1745), was the intended recipient of the inventory. That is because Lord Harrington’s interest lay in Prince Eugene’s antiques primarily, while his friend and colleague, Walpole, was known for being an avid collector of easel paintings. The letter’s October date, only two months after Zanetti’s August trip to Vienna, further supports Zanetti’s authorship of the inventory and the fact that the princess requested he create the list only two months after she had received access to her inheritance officially that June. Thus, it is an authentic document that was created before the Belvedere’s artwork and paintings were removed in 1741. While the inventory largely failed to entice buyers, Zanetti and Bertoli’s edited catalogue had greater potential to interest collectors because it presents Prince Eugene’s most admired paintings as an attractive lot.

Zanetti’s inventory of Prince Eugene’s painting collection was compiled in the French language. It is titled simply “EDITION,” while the catalogue that is based

---

36 Auer and Black, 331–32.
37 Between 1721 and 1735, Walpole had the leading British architects James Gibbs (1682-1754), Colen Campbell (1676-1729), Thomas Ripley (1683-1758), and William Kent (1685-1748) construct Houghton Hall, a grand palace for the display of artwork in Norfolk. Although the inventory was intended for Walpole, he did not purchase any works from Eugene’s heir. Neither did Harrington, as the prince’s antiques from Herculaneum were sold to August III in 1736 (yet they remained at the Lower Belvedere until circa 1737). Around the same time, Prince Johann Adam Andreas von Liechtenstein, who was already briefly introduced in chapter one, purchased Eugene’s ancient bronze statue, Praying Boy, with Zanetti’s assistance. By 1747, it ended up at Sanssouci palace, Potsdam, when Frederick the Great (reigned 1740-1786) purchased it from Liechtenstein. For more information on Walpole’s collection, including the detailed inventories, see Andrew W. Moore, ed., A Capital Collection: Houghton Hall and the Hermitage: With a Modern Edition of “Aedes Walpolianae”, Horace Walpole’s Catalogue of Sir Robert Walpole’s Collection (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2002). For more information on Prince Eugene’s antique sculptures, see Rudolf Noll, “Die Antiken des Prinzen Eugen im Unteren Belvedere,” in Prinz Eugen und sein Belvedere (Wien: Selbstverlag der Österreichischen Galerie, 1963); Gerhard Zimmer, Nele Hachländer, and Staatliche Museen zu Berlin--Preussischer Kulturbesitz, eds., Der Betende Knabe: Original und Experiment (Frankfurt am Main: P. Lang, 1997); Ulrike Seeger, Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen: Entstehung, Gestalt, Funktion und Bedeutung (Wien: Böhlau, 2004), 321.
on this inventory, also in French, is titled “Catalogue des Tableaux trouvés dans
l’hoirie de S. A. Sme [sic] le grand Prince Eugene de Savoye. Ceux qui voudront
en acheter en gros, ou en detail [sic], pourrons s’adresser [sic] au Sr. Vinzelli
Banquier à Vienne en Autriche.”38 The original catalogue is unfortunately lost, but
a copy exists [Document 2]. As stated in the introduction, Retzer, who eventually
became the court secretary to the Habsburgs in 1787, mentioned the catalogue’s
title and translated its entire contents into German in a letter dated September 4,
1782.39 This letter, that is penned to Johann Georg Meusel (1741-1820), reveals
that Retzer had discovered the catalogue in an old book that he had purchased in
1780.40 Retzer also describes ”vermuthlich [sic] durch die lange Zeit, [und] mit sehr
blasser Dinte [sic] geschrieben: chez Briffaut Libraire à Vienne” on his copy of the
inventory.41 Étienne Briffaut (life dates unknown) was the French owner of a book
and map printing company that operated in Vienna between circa 1730 and 1758.42
This indicates that the book could not be older than about thirty or forty years when
Retzer made his discovery because the publisher was active in Vienna until

38 Translation: “Catalog of paintings found in the estate of the great S. A Serenissime
Prince Eugene of Savoy. Those who want to buy wholesale or retail can contact Sr. Vinzelli
Banker in Vienna, Austria.” See Retzer and Meusel, “4. Die Gemäldesammlung des
Prinzen Eugen von Savoyen in Wien,” 152–53; Auer and Black, “Ein neuentdecktes
Inventar der Gemäldeammlung Prinz Eugens,” 336.
39 Retzer and Meusel, “4. Die Gemäldesammlung des Prinzen Eugen von Savoyen in
Wien,” 152–53; Anton Schlossar, “Retzer, Joseph Friedrich Freiherr von,” Allgemeine
Deutsche Biographie 28 (1889), s. 275, accessed August 24, 2020, https://www.deutsche-
biographie.de/pnd116450223.html#adbcontent.
40 Retzer and Meusel, “4. Die Gemäldesammlung des Prinzen Eugen von Savoyen in
Wien,” 152–53; Peter R. Frank and Johannes Frimmel, Buchwesen in Wien, 1750-1850:
komentiertes Verzeichnis der Buchdrucker, Buchhändler und Verleger, Buchforschung,
Bd. 4 (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 2008), 25.
41 Translation: “likely written long ago, [and] with very light ink: at Briffaut’s library in
Savoyen in Wien,” 153.
42 For more on Briffaut, see Frank and Frimmel, Buchwesen in Wien, 1750-1850, 25.
1758. Meusel later published Retzer’s letter and the catalogue’s translation in the fifteenth edition of Miscelaneen artistischen Innhalts in 1783. In 1887, Vesme translated Retzer’s version into Italian and he includes details about the artwork after it had arrived in Turin.

Zanetti and Bertolli’s catalogue lists 173 paintings; 135 originate from the Upper Belvedere and nineteen each from the Lower Belvedere and city palace. In Retzer’s letter to Meusel, he explains that he felt responsible to correct the Francophonic spelling of artists’ names in the catalogue. Additionally, Retzer criticizes Zanetti or Bertolli, who were unknown to him, for spelling errors and what he considers blatant omissions. I agree. He notes that the authors left out three paintings that must have appeared in an earlier version of the catalogue. Namely, the paintings numbered thirty-two, thirty-three, and forty-five are not included. Yet the list begins with painting number one and it ends with painting 176. Zanetti purchased three paintings from Princess Maria Anna Victoria in 1736. It is not clear

---

48 For example, a copy of Titian’s Andromeda and Perseus is titled “Antromata [sic] et Pegasus [sic] de Titien [sic].” See Auer and Black, “Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemälde in der Gemälde des Prinzen Eugens,” 337.
if these three works are the missing paintings, or perhaps, the omission communicates instead that there was a sale of three individual works just before 1737, which is the year that Briffaut published the catalogue. Certainly, Zanetti and Bertoli’s decision to number each painting gave buyers the option to purchase individual works if they so desired.

In the modern scholarship on the catalogue which dates to before the discovery of Zanetti’s inventory in 1986, there was once speculation that either the catalogue’s sequence is completely random or possibly reflects how paintings were hung together originally at the Belvedere and city palace. Auer and Black’s discovery certainly clarifies these questions about the catalogue’s sequence, which is based loosely on the inventory’s organization and the artworks’ arrangement in both structures. However, there is a vast difference in the two documents’ length, with the inventory listing 298 works and the catalogue only 173 paintings. My interpretation of this discrepancy is that Zanetti and Bertolli advised Princess Maria Anna Victoria to advertise for sale only those works in Prince Eugene’s collection that they attributed to revered European masters. Listed together as a group in a catalogue, they possessed the greatest potential to attract

---

a buyer who might consider acquiring the entire lot if sold for a fair price. The princess expressed to Canale that she had this very desire and he relayed it to Charles Emmanuel III on July 17, 1737. Canale explains that he had received a letter from the princess dated July 6, 1737 and in it she states: “prétend de vendre tous les tableaux de feu Prince Eugène en même temps sans les séparer.” While the fate of Prince Eugene’s lesser paintings is unknown, the 166 paintings listed in the catalogue were sold to Charles Emmanuel III.

At the archive in Turin, there are two copies of the original but lost sale contract which Charles Emmanuel III and Princess Maria Anna Victoria signed on December 16, 1741. Unfortunately, a catalogue of the paintings does not accompany either of these copies of the original contract. However, both copies do state explicitly that “Briffau [sic]” meaning Étienne Briffaut, printed the inventory of works which Charles Emmanuel III purchased. In other words, the catalogue

---

51 Translation: “a desire to sell all the paintings of the late Prince Eugene at the same time without separating them.” See the letter published in Vesme, 178.
52 Auer and Black, “Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldeabmlung Prinz Eugens,” 333. And see footnote 10 of this chapter.
53 There are two copies of the sale contract at the State Archive in Turin. Diekamp provides the information that the first copy is stored in: Scritture riguardanti i principi di Carignano Soissons in Materie politiche per rapport all’interno [Inventario n. 110], Principi di Carignano Soissons, Mazzo 6, Fascicolo 14. The second copy is stored in: Controllo generale di finanze, lettere, copie di patenti e biglietti d. S. Maesta, 1741. Also see Cornelia Diekamp, “Die Sammlung eines Prinzen: zur Geschichte der Gemäldeabmlung des Prinzen Eugen nach 1736 mit einer Rekonstruktion des ‘Bilder-Saales’ im Oberen Belvedere,” Belvedere: Zeitschrift für bildende Kunst 11, no. 2 (2005): 11.
54 In Vesme, there is an Italian translation of the catalogue and it is annotated. See Vesme, “Sull’ Acquisto fatto da Carlo Emanuele III RE Di Sardegna della Quaderia del Principe Eugenio di Savoia Richerche Documentate,” 288–253.
that Retzer discovered and translated into German is the same list of artworks that the princess had sent to Turin. Even before this deal was initiated, Charles Emmanuel III purchased Prince Eugene’s ten battle paintings that Jan van Huchtenburgh (1647-1733) executed and which were hung at Schloss Hof.\textsuperscript{56} Martin van Meytens (1695-1770) packed them up and sent them to Turin in June 1737.\textsuperscript{57} It is certainly possible that the catalogue, which is said to have been compiled around this time in the summer of 1737, was sent along with the battle paintings to Turin to entice Charles Emmanuel III to purchase the entire lot.

In addition to the catalogue that Retzer discovered and translated, at least three additional copies of this document were circulated by the princess to advertise her inheritance to potential buyers in Europe. A letter dated August 6, 1740 reveals that Canale became aware of the fact that she also sent the painting catalogue to the brother of the Polish-Sachsen statesman Heinrich von Brühl (1700-1763) in Dresden, in order for Augustus III, the King of Poland (reigned 1734-1763) to consider making the purchase.\textsuperscript{58} In another letter dated February 4, 1741, Canale informs us that Princess Maria Anna Victoria had sent even another copy of the catalogue to Frederick II, the King of Prussia (reigned 1740-1786), via


\textsuperscript{58} See the letter published in Vesme, 204.
the Baron Gustav Adolf von Gotter (1692-1762) in Berlin.\textsuperscript{59} Besides the copy that Auer and Black discovered in England, no other painting inventory is known to survive.

Kleiner’s imagery upholds that Zanetti’s inventory is an authentic document. Apart from\ soprastoporte and ceiling paintings, it lists faithfully each work that was hung on the walls of the Lower and Upper Belvedere in the chambers that are numbered [I], [II], [III], [IV], [VI], and [VII].\textsuperscript{60} Buttressed by the sale catalogue from 1737, the inventory enables my accurate reconstruction of the prince’s placement of paintings throughout the Lower and Upper Belvedere in parts two and three of this chapter. This task has not yet been undertaken by any other study on the Lower or Upper Belvedere. According to my thorough investigation, Prince Eugene assembled 220 paintings in total for display at the Belvedere between circa 1710 and 1736. At the Upper Belvedere there were 184 paintings: 140 easel paintings, four ceiling paintings, thirty-nine\ soprastoporte, and one altarpiece in the palace chapel. At the Lower Belvedere, there were thirty-six paintings in total. Fourteen of these were\ soprastoporte and the other twenty-two are easel paintings. They underscore that the small structure fulfills a leisure function predominantly, while the prince’s paintings at the Upper Belvedere, with their wide-ranging subject-matters, of the highest-quality, and representative of the Italian, Netherlandish, and

\textsuperscript{59} See the letter published in Vesme, 210.

\textsuperscript{60} The full list of paintings not included in Zanetti’s inventory are listed in footnote 1 of this chapter. The only discrepancy is related to Anthony van Dyck’s painting\ Amaryllis and Mirtillo that the prince acquired after 1729. See the discussion on page 246-247 of this chapter.
German schools, communicated that Prince Eugene is an erudite connoisseur and worthy representative of the Holy Roman Emperors.

PART TWO

Prince Eugene’s Paintings at the Lower Belvedere

The Bilder Zimmer (Cabinet)

At the Lower Belvedere, which Prince Eugene decorated between 1714 and 1716, only one small room is dedicated for use solely as a picture gallery (see fig. 45 and the chamber labeled “f” on fig. 1). A quadreria, this chamber is labeled the Cabinet underneath Kleiner’s drawing but Bilder Zimmer on the ground plan of the Lower Belvedere (see fig. 1). I will use the name Bilder Zimmer to describe this space which belongs to the structure’s primary state rooms. It is connected to the Tafel Zimmer, which commences with an orangery that is located in the east (fig. 163). The Tafel Zimmer is also connected to the Marble Hall going west.\(^61\) The Tafel Zimmer functioned as a dining room and the structure’s “Hauptaum der

---

\(^61\) It should be noted that Kleiner spells this room Tafel Zimmer but labeled the space at the Upper Belvedere with the same function Taffel Zimmer (see fig. 92).
repräsentativen Geselligkeit,” according to one expert. The small and intimate Bilder Zimmer, however, which has but a single entrance and exit, enjoys a more privileged position because it is deeper within this apartment. It is not immediately visible or accessible to visitors who enter the Lower Belvedere via the reception hall. It could only be reached with express permission granted by Prince Eugene. That is because the Lower Belvedere follows the previous models of noble Italian apartments which, since the Renaissance period, have connecting rooms to provide a “convenient framework within which social life and ceremony could function in a regulated manner.” In other words, close friends and distinguished visitors could penetrate a room like the Bilder Zimmer, and thus, Prince Eugene used this intimate setting filled with nineteen landscape paintings to impress and engage select individuals privately.

The tradition to include intimate and contemplative spaces among a suite of chambers in European palaces began during the Renaissance in Italy. These small spaces existed solely for the purpose to display and appreciate artwork and precious objects. The trend spread from Italian palazzi to domestic architecture throughout Europe by the Baroque period. Generally used by the patron for private study only, early examples are called studioli, like the famous studiolo in the Ducal

---

64 An overview on this topic is provided by Jean Guillaume, Centre d'études supérieures de la Renaissance, and Centre national de la recherche scientifique (France), eds., Architecture et vie sociale: l'organisation intérieure des grandes demeures à la fin du Moyen Age et à la Renaissance: actes du colloque tenu à Tours du 6 au 10 juin 1988, De architectura 6 (Paris: Picard, 1994).
palace of Mantua which belonged to Isabella d’Este (1474-1539). By the early-eighteenth century, these spaces had evolved and “essentially [became] private museums.”\textsuperscript{65} One expert explains that “very special” and small rooms in Italian palaces, that are the equivalent of the French \textit{cabinet}, are “personal to a single individual...[and] played such an important role in fashionable social life in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries” in Europe.\textsuperscript{66} Another expert agrees and iterates that “the charm of small \textit{cabinets} ... derived from the care with which they were decorated.”\textsuperscript{67} Yet the only scholar who has previously investigated this space at the Lower Belvedere concluded that Prince Eugene’s choice to exhibit landscape paintings in this small chamber was motivated primarily by a need to signal to guests, with artwork of an inferior genre, that the Belvedere ranks below his city palace.\textsuperscript{68} I do not agree with this assessment of the prince’s verdant landscapes that were highly esteemed and placed in a privileged setting.

Just as the \textit{Tafel Zimmer} leads into the \textit{Bilder Zimmer}, one small room called the \textit{Gemahltes Cabinet} is connected to Prince Eugene’s bedchamber (see fig. 164 and the room labeled “i” on fig. 1). Drentwett covered the vault and portions of the walls with grotesque frescoes. It is also possible that this room features painted silk panels. Such intimate rooms possessed a “ceremonial” nature in French Baroque palaces, from which Lower Belvedere derivatives.\textsuperscript{69} In 1776, in

\textsuperscript{66} Thornton, \textit{The Italian Renaissance Interior, 1400-1600}, 296.
\textsuperscript{67} John Whitehead, \textit{The French Interior: In the Eighteenth Century} (London: L. King, 1992), 91.
\textsuperscript{68} Seeger, \textit{Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen}, 308.
\textsuperscript{69} Whitehead, \textit{The French Interior: In the Eighteenth Century}, 91.
Encyclopédie, ou dictionnaire raisonné des sciences, des arts et des métiers,

Jacques-François Blondel (1705-1774) iterates the following points in his formal definition and discussion of cabinets in contemporary architecture; they should be used for “les personnes de distinction qui demandent de la préférence” and importantly,

“la décoration des [cabinets] soit relative à leur usage, c'est-à-dire qu'on observe de la gravité dans l'ordonnance des cabinets d'affaires ou d'étude ; de la simplicité dans ceux que l'on décore de tableaux ; & de la légèreté, de l'élegance, & de la richesse, dans ceux destinés à la société, sans que pour cela on use de trop de licence.”

Consequently, the intimate Gemahltes Cabinet and the Bilder Zimmer alike are rooms in which Prince Eugene and his select guests could retire, just as Blondel described, to “l'on traite d'affaires particulières.”

Receiving permission to enter the Gemahltes Cabinet, or its pendant, the Bilder Zimmer, allowed the prince’s guests to gauge their standing more accurately. Painted decorations were selected by Prince Eugene for both rooms to signal that these settings are highly privileged.

A gentleman views one landscape painting closely in Kleiner’s engraved drawing of the Bilder Zimmer (see fig. 45). This representation helps us to understand how Prince Eugene intended for visitors to look closely at the artwork

---

70 Translation: “people of distinction who deserve preference” and “the decoration of these [cabinets] is relative to their use, in other words we will observe seriousness in the decoration of business or study cabinets; simplicity in those decorated with paintings; & lightness, elegance, and wealth, in those intended for use by the court, without deviation.” See Jacques-François Blondel, “Cabinet,” in Encyclopédie, ou dictionnaire raisonné des sciences, des arts et des métiers, ed. Denis Diderot and Jean le Rond d’Alembert, vol. 2, 1776, 488–89, https://artflsrv03.uchicago.edu/philologic4/encyclopedie1117/navigate/2/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/493/?byte=6569468&byte=6569474.

71 Translation: “deal with special cases.” See Blondel, 488.

72 Thornton, The Italian Renaissance Interior, 1400-1600, 300.
he displayed. In this case, the man examines a work that Jan Griffier I (1652-1718) painted circa 1710 (numbered [VI] 15. in the inventory and 152. in the catalogue).  
This painting is currently on view at the Sabauda Gallery, and it is titled The Capture of a Fort (fig. 165). The commotion and bustling activity in the detailed landscape draws the gentleman in and compels him to approach the painting and look very closely to make out the various details. The small size of the figures also encourages him to move close to the canvas. It measures only fifty by sixty-four centimeters. According to Zanetti’s inventory, all of the paintings in this small gallery measured between “hauteur 10 ½ pouces, largeur 1 pied, 1 pouce” and “hauteur 1 pied 7 ½ pouces, largeur 1 pied, 10 pouces.” As already mentioned in chapter one, but is worth restating, one Viennese “pied,” or foot, measures 316 millimeters. Therefore, the smallest work in this room measures about twenty-seven by thirty-four centimeters. The largest only about fifty-one by fifty-eight centimeters. Prince Eugene’s choice to hang numerous small landscapes in this gallery is deliberate; it obliged viewers to look closely at the artwork to become intimately acquainted with the subject matter. In this case, landscapes which became the most popular type of decoration in early modern European galleries

73 The museum label dates the work between 1710 and 1718, however, the decoration of the Lower Belvedere was already complete by then 1716. “Museum Wall Label: Jan Griffier I, Presa di un forte (Capture of a Fort, inv. 81),” n.d., Sabauda Gallery, Turin, accessed November 13, 2018.
74 “Museum Wall Label: Jan Griffier I, Presa di un forte (Capture of a Fort, inv. 81).”
75 Auer and Black, “Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldesammlung Prinz Eugens,” 342–43.
that, just as the *Bilder Zimmer*, are located near an actual garden.\textsuperscript{77} One scholar explains that the “airiness” in landscapes could foster a “link with nature” and beget *meraviglia*, or surprise and astonishment, especially at a garden palace or other recreational structures with a view.\textsuperscript{78} Indeed, *meraviglia* became the guiding principle in gallery design throughout the entire early modern period because of the Baroque “culture of curiosity” which encourages “beholder[s] to discover hidden meanings” in artwork.\textsuperscript{79}

The inventory from 1736 indicates that five works in the *Bilder Zimmer* are attributed to Herman Saftleven II (1609-1685), thirteen paintings to Griffier I, such as the aforementioned work at the Sabauda Gallery, and finally, one painting was executed in the manner of Griffier I (numbered [VI] 2.-20. in the inventory and 139-157 in the catalogue).\textsuperscript{80} Saftleven, of the famous Dutch family of artists, produced over 300 imaginary but realistic landscape paintings and also many topographically accurate representations of the Rhineland that made him one of the most celebrated Dutch artists of his lifetime.\textsuperscript{81} This artist also created topographic drawings between 1647-48, and in 1669 for the City Council of Utrecht, and even worked for the Prince of Orange, Frederick Hendrick (1584-1647). The contemporary Dutch poet Joost van den Vondel (1587-1679) wrote an

\textsuperscript{78} Strunck, 217.
\textsuperscript{79} Strunck, 217.
\textsuperscript{80} Auer and Black, “Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldesammlung Prinz Eugens,” 342–43.
\textsuperscript{81} Wolfgang Schulz, *Herman Saftleven, 1609-1685: Leben und Werke: mit einem kritischen Katalog der Gemälde und Zeichnungen*, Beiträge zur Kunstgeschichte, Bd. 18 (Berlin: de Gruyter, 1982).
elegant verse dedicated to Saftleven in 1660 that praises the artist, for bringing “
leven,” or life, to his images that have the power to transport the viewer and take
them on a fantastic journey to far off places.\textsuperscript{82} Griffier is a Dutch artist who was
mostly active in England and is also known for topographically accurate
landscapes.\textsuperscript{83} Griffier I frequently copied Saftleven’s imagery and his paintings
were often taken for originals by contemporaries.\textsuperscript{84} He especially depicted the
Rhineland and the seventeenth-century English countryside. The experience of
viewing this artwork in the \textit{Bilder Zimmer} sparked lively and stimulating dialogues
between guests and the collector. Especially the juxtaposition of works by
Netherlandish painters was deliberate and it encouraged viewers to look for
narrative links that the collector curated.\textsuperscript{85} This arrangement finds confirmation at
Schloss Hof, where Prince Eugene hung landscapes depicting ruins and the Italian
countryside in the “\textit{Tafel-Stubben}.”\textsuperscript{86} The term “\textit{stubben}” or “\textit{stube}” connotes that
this space too is rather small. “\textit{Tafel}” suggests it was used for dining, or perhaps,
it is simply connected to the dining hall like the \textit{Bilder Zimmer} at the Lower
Belvedere. Both spaces are \textit{quadrieree} and Prince Eugene filled them with closely
hung paintings to elicit conversation, curiosity, and comparison.

\textsuperscript{82} Joost van den Vondel, \textit{Joost van den Vondel: zijne dichtwerken en oorspronklijke
prozaschriften in verband met eenige levensbijzonderheden: 1658-1660/ Dl. 8}, ed. J A
Alberdingk Thijm and J H W Unger (Leiden: Sijthof, 1896), 241–42.
\textsuperscript{83} León Krempel and Mirjam Neumeister, eds., \textit{Holländische Gemälde im Städel, 1550-
1800}, Kataloge der Gemälde im Städelischen Kunstinstitut Frankfurt am Main 8–9, 11
(Petersberg: Michael Imhof Verlag, 2005), 115–23.
\textsuperscript{84} Wolfgang Schulz, “Saftleven Family [Zachtleven],” \textit{Grove Art Online}, 2003, https://doi-
\textsuperscript{85} Ulrike Dorothea Ganz, \textit{Neugier & Sammelbild: rezeptionsästhetische Studien zu
gemalten Sammlungen in der niederländischen Malerei; ca. 1550 - 1650} (Weimar: VDG,
\textsuperscript{86} Frantes, “Die vollständige Originalausstattung der Schlösser Hof and der March und
The Bildergalerie’s west wall showcased only four landscape paintings (see fig. 45). All are nearly equal in size and they were fitted in custom gilded frames. Many of Prince Eugene’s paintings at the Sabauda Gallery are still outfitted in their original frames from the Belvedere, as seen in the attached figures (see figs. 165, 183-187, 189-201, 203-208, 210-214, 226-227, 229, 231, and 233). These frames derive from a French-made prototype that, according to two experts, “may be described loosely as Louis XIV in style.”\textsuperscript{87} Prince Eugene become acquainted with this style during his childhood at Versailles, and moreover, he was advised by the French-trained interior designer du Plessy. However, Eugene employed Italian-trained craftsmen to assemble these frames \textit{in situ} at the Belvedere using a French prototype.\textsuperscript{88} The lavish frames served as active agents, unifying the Bildergalerie’s visual program. The swirling geometric designs and vegetal carvings relate to the elaborate stuccos on the chamber’s vault. The custom frames balance the presentation of landscapes in the Bildergalerie while helping viewers make visual connections effortlessly.

Circa 1729, the \textit{sopraporta} above the only doorcase in the Bildergalerie depicted a basket of flowers surrounded by foliage (see fig. 45). This representation differs significantly from the description of the painting in the inventory that titles this work “\textit{Une conversation des dames et messieurs avec des


\textsuperscript{88} Newbery and Cannon-Brookes, 52–55.
It is certainly possible that the original painting was exchanged after the drawing was made and before Zanetti drew up the inventory in 1736. This document suggests that, in addition to the four works that Kleiner has represented in his drawing, there were an additional fifteen canvases which Eugene positioned on the chamber's east wall. This wall, which Kleiner did not depict because it was to his back when he made his drawing, was hung with organized and closely arranged paintings, just as we will see in the Cabinet at the Upper Belvedere (see fig. 46). The precedent to display paintings in this manner was first firmly established in the seventeenth century in Roman palaces, especially in the display of "specialized genres," like landscapes, because the arrangement is ideal for "provoke[ing] comparison" and can initiate discussion. Another reason to display many paintings of the same genre and by the same well-liked painter, or painters, such as Saftleven and Griffier, is to boast, in the form of what one scholar calls a "rambling studiolo," that the collector knows how to invest his money well and has done so in a highly satisfactory manner.

---

89 Translation: "a conversation of ladies and gentlemen with little angels representing love." See Auer and Black, "Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldeammlung Prinz Eugens," 342.
90 One such change is discussed in relation to the prince’s acquisition of van Dyck’s Amaryllis and Mirtillo after 1729. See the brief discussion on pages 246-247 of this chapter.
The Bücher Cabinet

The Bücher Cabinet is not a gallery or a quadreria, but a library that featured two easel paintings by unknown masters (fig. 166). In his inventory, Zanetti described them as “Un tableau rond représentant le chaste Joseph, sur la cheminée” and “Jupiter transfiguré en cigne [sic] avec Leda” (numbered [VII] 1. and 3. in the inventory and excluded from the catalogue).93 A third painting by an unknown artist was also exhibited in this chamber, and, while it cannot be seen in Kleiner’s print, Zanetti furnishes the subject: “Diane avec des nymphes et Actéon” (numbered [VII] 3. in the inventory and excluded from the catalogue).94 The biblical and mythological subject matter of the room’s paintings underscore visually that the library is a space that provides an opportunity for intellectual enrichment. This sentiment is supported by Bussi’s allegorical stuccos on the vault. They form a snake ring and a laurel wreath as metaphors for wisdom. A gilded frame encased Leda and the Swan which was placed above a large bookcase that spanned the chamber’s south wall. Kleiner’s ground plan of the Lower Belvedere, along with the addition of the words “a coté” before the painting’s title in the inventory, suggest that Diane and Actaeon was hung across from the other mythological painting representing Jupiter.95 This subject matter helped to signal that Prince Eugene not

93 Translation: “a round painting representing a chaste Joseph and Jupiter transformed into a swan with Leda, above the fireplace.” This room is located at the north end of the west wing in the Lower Belvedere. Notably, the oval painting in Kleiner’s drawing appears to represent two female figures and not Joseph as the inventory suggests (see fig. 166). See Auer and Black, “Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldesammlung Prinz Eugens,” 343.

94 Translation: “Diana with nymphs and Acteon.” See Auer and Black, 343.

95 Translation: “nearby,” or “next to.”
only was acquainted with classical mythology, but also, owned three over-life size antique sculptures that were rare to see north of the Alps in the early eighteenth century. They had been discovered in Herculaneum and were given to the prince as a gift by Emmanuel Maurice de Lorraine, the Duke of Elbeuf and Prince of Lorraine (1677-1763). Prince Eugene exhibited his prized statues in the Marble Gallery, which is separated from the library by only one chamber. The choice to place a library near to a gallery of antique sculptures first gained popularity during the Renaissance in Italy. For example, Cardinal Alessandro Farnese (1520-1589) had a library constructed just off the gallery of antiques at Palazzo Farnese in Rome. It provided his antiquarian, Fulvio Orsini (1529-1600), with a quiet place where he could conduct intense study. In French Baroque structures, libraries are also common, and they generally include built-in bookcases like at the Lower Belvedere. Prince Eugene owned a vast collection of ancient literature and his library’s inventory shows that it contains poetry, history, philosophy and rhetoric, and also, Greco-Roman mythology. Prince Eugene’s great interest in the ancient world is also expressed by the eight paintings he commissioned from Marcantonio Chiarini that depict ruins and were painted circa 1716 (figs. 167-168). It is not clear whether these paintings represent imaginary views or actual locations in Italy. Giampietro Zanotti declared that these works were intended for the Lower

---

Belvedere originally, yet Kleiner’s drawings show them at the Upper Belvedere by 1729 (figs. 169-170).100

The Bücher Cabinet marks the climax of the enfilade at the Lower Belvedere. Kleiner’s engraved drawing reveals a long and dramatic progression of internal doorways that culminate with the library. The vista was surely intended to heighten the visitor’s experience (see fig. 166). The impressive succession of rooms sparks curiosity and creates a desire to receive permission to proceed forward, to access the penultimate space that can be seen up ahead. According to one expert, a “sense of progression from public to private spaces” represents an “intellectual rationalization of the ground-plan” that communicates to guests it is a very high honor to be granted permission to travel into a more secluded part of the palace.101 Notably, when the Baron Pöllnitz visited the Lower Belvedere in 1719, he made no mention of the library, nor the aforementioned Bilder Zimmer filled with landscape paintings.102 Perhaps this omission indicates that Pöllnitz did not receive permission to enter either space. They are exclusive chambers, and the prince marked their high rank visually through the inclusion of paintings and sopraporte.

100 Each canvas is 235 centimeters tall in the center and 223 centimeters tall on the sides. The width is 170 centimeters. The prince commissioned these paintings circa 1716. They are now at the Kunsthistorisches Museum in Vienna with the inventory numbers: Inv. Nr. 2946-2953. Zanotti mentioned these works in Giampietro Zanotti, Storia dell’Accademia Clementina di Bologna, aggregata all’istituto delle scienze e dell’arti, vol. 1 (Bologna, 1739), 279.
The *Sopraporte*

Du Plessy ennobled all the most important chambers at the Lower Belvedere with *sopraporte*. The first which greet visitors are carved from marble. Ten reliefs by an anonymous artist were placed above the doors and windows in the Marble Hall. They depict various scenes from the life of Apollo, as already noted in chapter one.\(^{103}\) Such elaborately carved marble doorcase and marble overdoors were first introduced in sixteenth-century Venice.\(^{104}\) By the seventeenth century, the trend developed in northern Europe, such as in the Salon de la Guerre at Versailles. Charles Le Brun (1619-1690) and Jules Hardouin-Mansart (1646-1708) implemented lavish marble doorcases coupled with carved marble overdoors to enrich this chamber and fuse its overall decorative program legibly. In French Baroque interiors, marble is saved only for those rooms that required the “most opulent decoration.”\(^{105}\) This approach certainly guided the prince and du Plessy in the decoration of the Lower Belvedere’s grand reception hall, and likewise, in the Marble Gallery. As discussed in more detail in part three of this chapter, marble soon became a staple in banquet and reception halls in contemporary central European palaces due to its capacity to enliven and promote spaces that have both a public and ceremonial function.

---

\(^{103}\) These are not by the hand of Bussi, according to Werner. See Jakob Werner, “Santino Bussi 1664-1736” (Diplomarbeit, Wien, Universität Wien, 1992), 73.


Outside of the Marble Hall at the Lower Belvedere, the *sopraporte* are painted and set within elaborately carved and gilded frames. They impact viewers by connecting with them visually in a conspicuous location at a chamber’s threshold. That contemporaries considered *sopraporte* to hold a message and belong to a room’s permanent interior decoration is demonstrated by the fact that Zanetti excluded thirteen overdoors from his inventory of the Lower Belvedere’s paintings, all except for the previously mentioned overdoor in the *Bilder Zimmer*. Yet at the Lower Belvedere, six chambers in total were outfitted with painted overdoors. In addition to one in the *Bilder Zimmer* (numbered [VI] 1. in the inventory and excluded from the catalogue), there was another in the *Gemahltes Cabinet* (see fig. 164). Both presented flora and fruit originally, to reiterate the theme that Carloni painted in his ceiling fresco in the Marble Hall (see fig. 32). Carloni rendered a female allegorical figure representing Flora, who leads Apollo’s chariot in the direction of Prince Eugene’s prized Kammergarten. Flora is identified by her fluttering robes and through various flower petals in her hands, which she scatters about. Prince Eugene and du Plessy opted for floral *sopraporte* throughout the Lower Belvedere to strengthen the main fresco’s iconography and to

---

106 Auer and Black, “Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldesammlung Prinz Eugens.”
emphasize the function of the palace visually in its most privileged chambers. For example, Kleiner drew two floral *sopraporte* in the *Tafel Zimmer* (see fig. 163). He drew two more in the Prince Eugene’s bed chamber (see fig. 73). One scholar has shown that *sopraporte* were used over all main doors equally, and, in terms of form, content, and style, the subject matter always remained consistent within a single chamber.\(^{108}\) Therefore, I have concluded that both rooms had four overdoors originally, one above each doorcase, and moreover, they all presented the very same theme: fruit and flora. To my knowledge, only three survive and Johann Kunstner painted them (figs. 171-173). This artist may be responsible for all the Lower Belvedere’s still-life *sopraporte* showing flowers. This type of botanically accurate rendering is, according to one expert, a reflection of the “*Universalgeist* [es],” or comprehensive spirit, at the dawn of the enlightenment which led to the scientific study of botany.\(^{109}\) During this time in Vienna, a local school of painters was forming who specialized in rending nature accurately and I will discuss them in more detail in the following section which is dedicated to the *sopraporte* and animal portraits at the Upper Belvedere. Prince Eugene’s interest in scientific renderings of plants is supported by the fact that he owned an extensive ten volume *Florilegium.* This is a collection of precise flower drawings by Nicolas Robert (1614-1685) and dating to circa 1670. Presently, there are no comparative studies that endeavor to associate the specimens seen in the prince’s


sopraporte with those rendered by Robert in the *Florilegium*, which could advance our understanding of the Belvedere’s overarching iconographical themes.

Two *sopraporte* depicting battles were chosen for the Lower Belvedere’s *Gemach* (see fig. 160). They allude to Prince Eugene’s renowned conquests over the Ottomans, just like Bussi’s stuccos of captured Turks and confiscated weapons that are seen throughout the entire structure. Jacques-Ignace Parrocel (1667-1772) is likely the artist, whose paintings of Prince Eugene at battle in Turin were recorded at the Lower Belvedere in the early eighteenth century but ended up in the imperial collection of the Habsburgs by the nineteenth century.\(^1\) The chamber’s walls were covered by imported cloth panels from Asia that were reserved typically for rooms associated with recreational or pleasure activates, as discussed in chapter two. The large masonry heater and Kleiner’s designation that this room is for “Conversation,” or conversation, verify that it was used for social gatherings. In the very next room, in the *Bücher Cabinet*, there were two *sopraporte*. One of them topped the library’s south doorcase and contained four birds in front of a mountainous landscape (fig. 174). This room’s second door was certainly topped by one *sopraporta* with the same theme, but it cannot be seen on Kleiner’s engraved drawing. The imagery of exotic fowl signaled that the library leads out onto a stepped terrace which grants direct access to a garden with sculpted hedges, a spouting fountain, and a three-story greenhouse filled with exotic plants (fig. 175). More specifically, the overdoors referred to a large aviary

---

\(^1\) Ilg, *Prinz Eugen von Savoyen als Kunstfreund*, 22.
nearby where Küchelbecker described brilliantly colored, exotic, and “allerhand Vögel herum laufen” (fig. 176).\textsuperscript{111}

Sopraporte are decorative paintings that have their origins in secular French and Italian architecture of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, where they first designated high-ranking chambers.\textsuperscript{112} By 1660, overdoors were firmly established in Parisian Hôtels and at Louis XIV’s Versailles.\textsuperscript{113} The Lower Belvedere is an early eighteenth-century example of how the ornamental use of paintings had spread to central Europe, thanks to Prince Eugene and his background growing up at the French court. In addition to the Belvedere and Prince Eugene’s city palace in Vienna, sopraporte dignified contemporary apartments in Schloss Charlottenburg in Berlin, and in Munich, at Schloss Nymphenburg and Schloss Schleißheim.\textsuperscript{114} While one expert concluded that the Lower Belvedere’s sopraporte acted as “schweigende Thronwächter,” I propose instead that they endorse the prince’s high level of sophistication and academic interests, while also distinguishing select rooms conspicuously.\textsuperscript{115}

\textsuperscript{111} Translation: “all types of birds running about.” See Johann Basilius Küchelbecker, Allerneueste Nachricht vom Römisch-Kayserlichen Hof Nebst einer ausführlichen Beschreibung der Kayserlichen Residentz-Stadt Wien und der umliegenden Oerter, Theils aus den Geschichten, theils aus eigener Erfahrung zusammen getragen und mit saubern Kupffern ans Licht gegeben (Hannover: Nicolaus Förster und Sohn, 1730), 789.

\textsuperscript{112} Klein, Die Supraporte.


\textsuperscript{114} Klein, Die Supraporte, 33.

\textsuperscript{115} Translation: "silent throne guardians." See Seeger, Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen, 86.
Importing Easel Paintings before 1717

To acquire landscape paintings for the Lower Belvedere, Prince Eugene engaged the famous art dealer Jan Pietersz Zomer (1641-1742) in Amsterdam circa 1710. Peter van den Berge (1659-1737) commemorated Prince Eugene's trip to Zomer's shop with an undated drawing (fig. 177). It shows Prince Eugene clearly in the center, kneeling in front of a canvas that he studies closely. The artist wrote the prince’s name below this figure to ensure his identity is apparent. The prince points to a detail in the work before him, which prompts a group of gentlemen behind the prince to respond inquisitively. Their gestures, active body language, and eye contact suggest that Prince Eugene brought advisors along on this shopping spree. The hand-written notes below the figures identify that Zomer is present to the far right, and to his left, it is the Baron Anselm Franz von Fleischmann (life dates unknown). These men are engaged in a lively conversation about the painting, which van den Berge presents to Prince Eugene himself. The artist has included himself at this event as an active participant to imply that the drawing is based on his memory of the event. It serves to commemorate the grand occasion.

In his own lifetime, Prince Eugene became well-known for investing extraordinarily handsome sums in artwork. Just before the prince made a trip to the Netherlands in early 1710, Joseph I gifted him with 300,000 Gulden. It is not

unthinkable that Prince Eugene made an expensive purchase at Zomer’s shop in 1710, which prompted van den Berge to commemorate it with his drawing. Unfortunately, it is not possible to know with certainty exactly what Eugene purchased from Zomer because Princess Maria Anna Victoria stands accused of losing many of the prince’s documents. Yet van den Berge’s drawing allows me to conclude that, in order to purchase worthy paintings for his palaces in Vienna, Prince Eugene himself played an active role in selecting the imagery and assessing the artwork’s quality.

Prince Eugene also visited Coenraet Droste’s (1642-1734) art shop in the Paviljoensgracht at The Hague. This event is recorded in Verversing van Geheugchenis door den Heer Coenraet Droste by Willem Blyvenburg (life dates unknown) and published in 1723. According to Droste, paintings “van alderhande” pleased Prince Eugene the most. During his visit, the prince asked specifically to purchase artwork by Philips Wouwerman (1616-1668) and offered Droste £700 for a single painting by this artist. Yet the dealer did not part with his beloved Wouwerman masterpiece, not even for this very handsome sum. In the same city, Prince Eugene also arranged to buy a painting that belonged to the

---

120 Droste, 1:255.
121 Prince Eugene eventually managed to purchase nine of Wouwerman’s works. They were placed on display in both galleries at the Upper Belvedere. They are listed as inventory numbers: [I] 3. and [III] 44, 45, 54, 58, 85, 97, 98. See Auer and Black, “Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldesammlung Prinz Eugens,” 336, 340–42.
Dutch general Arnold Joost van Keppel, the first Earl of Albemarle (1670-1718).122 The Baron Arnold von Heem (life dated unknown), the imperial envoy at The Hague, helped the prince with this purchase, as well as with the purchase of another painting that belonged to the Dutch general Arnold Joost van Keppel, the first Earl of Albemarle (1670-1718).123 Finally, contacts in London aided the prince in his quest to buy landscape paintings for the Lower Belvedere. As discussed in chapter two, the prince maintained regular contact with the imperial ambassador in London named Gallas. He aided Eugene with his purchase of two more works from an English collection.124 These acquisitions refer to the prince’s landscape paintings only, while Parrocel and local artists received direct commissions from the prince to paint the Lower Belvedere’s *sopraporte*.

123 Seeger, 457, 464.
PART THREE

Prince Eugene’s Paintings at the Upper Belvedere

Importing Easel and Ceiling Paintings after 1717

Prince Eugene’s well-documented social and political networks have allowed art historians to uncover how he imported famous Italian, German, Dutch, and Flemish paintings to Vienna for the Upper Belvedere, as well as coveted works on paper for his library.\textsuperscript{125} Prince Eugene designated the\emph{ Bilder Saal} at the Upper Belvedere to exhibiting twenty-two Italian history paintings, primarily by renowned Bolognese masters and dating between the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. Additionally, in the same chamber, the prince displayed nine works of northern European origin by highly celebrated creators, whom I will return to briefly in the following section dedicated to the display in this room.\textsuperscript{126} Prince Eugene also commissioned four ceiling paintings and an altarpiece for the Upper Belvedere.

\textsuperscript{125} For details on Prince Eugene’s Italian works on paper, see Chiara Gauna, “La plus belle qu’il y eut au monde”: la collezione di stampe del principe Eugenio,” in\emph{ Le raccolte del principe Eugenio condottiero e intellettuale. Collezionismo tra Vienna, Parigi e Torino nel primo Settecento} (Milano: Silvana, 2012), 89–105.

The most sought-after contemporary artists working in Naples painted these works at the prince’s behest. Giacomo del Pò rendered the *appartement de parade*’s three ceiling paintings: for the *Antichambre, Conferenz Zimmer, and Audienz Zimmer* (figs. 178-179). Francesco Solimena painted an altarpiece for the palace chapel, where it is still *in situ*, and moreover, he rendered the now-destroyed ceiling painting for the vault of the *Spiegel Zimmer* (figs. 180-181). Fostering diplomatic and social relationships with well-connected intermediaries abroad enabled Prince Eugene to gain access to coveted old-master paintings and commission contemporary artwork. These imported canvases greatly enriched the Upper Belvedere while boasting that the prince invested his money wisely.

Arbitrators acted on the prince’s behalf and with his explicit instructions to purchase Italian artwork for the Upper Belvedere. For example, Prince Eugene maintained contact with Pierre-Jean Mariette (1694-1774) between January and May 1719, at which point the construction of the Upper Belvedere was already well underway. Pierre-Jean, son of the renowned French engraver, print dealer, and connoisseur Jean Mariette (1660-1742), received letters from the prince in Venice where he enjoyed access to this city’s flourishing art market. In addition to paintings, the prince explicitly requested that Pierre-Jean search for “*Kuriositäten,*” or curiosities. Pierre-Jean sent Prince Eugene a catalogue of available paintings in Venice, while even informing the prince about the possibility to purchase two

---

127 In 1950, fire destroyed this ceiling painting as well as del Pò ’s in the *Audienz Zimmer.*
129 See Mariette cited in Braubach, 74.
desirable Bolognese works; one by Guido Reni (1575-1642) and the other by Domenico Zampieri called Domenichino (1581-1641).\textsuperscript{130} Pierre-Jean’s letter came with a warning. Such famous works would not be sold to foreigners readily, and if so, only at an unfavorable price.\textsuperscript{131} Unfortunately, it is not known which paintings Prince Eugene acquired with Mariette’s assistance. However, their interaction highlights that high prices never deterred the prince and Eugene considered his collection to be priceless. He owned four paintings that Zanetti and Bertoli attributed to Reni, an artist whose pictures are famous for fetching extraordinarily high sums throughout the entire Baroque period.\textsuperscript{132} Accordingly, Küchelbecker informs us that the prince paid 50,000 florins for Reni’s \textit{Adam and Eve} which was placed centrally on the east wall in the \textit{Bilder Saal} (see fig. 47 and 182).\textsuperscript{133} In addition to advising the prince about paintings on sale in Venice, Pierre-Jean helped Prince Eugene purchase a large body of works on paper by Raffaello Sanzio da Urbino (1683-1520), also known as Raphael.\textsuperscript{134} The prince’s dealings

\textsuperscript{131} See Mariette cited in Braubach, “Bauherr und Sammler,” 74.
\textsuperscript{133} Küchelbecker, \textit{Allerneueste Nachricht vom Römisch-Kaiserlichen Hof Nebst einer ausführlichen Beschreibung der Kaiserlichen Residentz-Stadt Wien und der umliegenden Oerter, Theils aus den Geschichten, theils aus eigener Erfahrung zusammen getragen und mit saubern Kupffern ans Licht gegeben}, 788.
in Venice indicate that, from north of the Alps, it was only possible to gain access to valuable Italian artwork with the help of a skilled agent.

Prince Eugene contacted yet another Italian artist and connoisseur who aided him in finding suitable paintings for the Upper Belvedere’s decoration. Namely, Giovanni Saglier (lived ca. 1640-1738) in Milan, who was a painter of floral imagery and who worked for Vitaliano Borromeo (1620-1690) at the Isola Bella on Lake Cuomo. Saglier received a letter from the prince in August 1719, in which he was thanked for his tip about the sale of a painting by Raphael and was available for purchase in Rome. This interaction underscores further that Prince Eugene required a network of contacts throughout Italy to gain access to Renaissance and Baroque paintings while he remained abroad. Accordingly, Prince Eugene’s extensive interactions with Saglier are detailed in twenty-six letters dating between 1716 and 1728 and stored at the archive in Vienna, and moreover, there are seventy-four additional letters in Milan which the pair exchanged between 1719 and 1733. This correspondence includes discussions

---

137 This correspondence is discussed in Tommaro, “Kunstagenten im Dienste Prinz Eugens von Savoyen,” 96; Sanvisenti, “Un carteggio al conte Giovanni Saglier in Milano,” 537–53. Also see “Saglier, Giovanni (1716-1728),” n.d., AT-OeStA/HHStA Große Korrespondenz 104b/c-57, Österreichisches Staatsarchiv, accessed May 17, 2021. Moreover, three letters that Eugene received from Saglier in Milan concerning paintings, sculptures, and prints are published in Sara Comoglio and Chiara Gauna, eds., “Principe Eugenio: Corrispondenza e Inventari,” in *Le raccolte del principe Eugenio condottiero e intellettuale. Collezionismo tra Vienna, Parigi e Torino nel primo Settecento* (Milano: Silvana, 2012), 280–90. This book’s section with letters that were discovered at
concerning prints, decorative objects, and paintings that Prince Eugene intended for the Upper Belvedere. For example, in regard to crystal chandeliers that Prince Eugene ordered via Saglier, he requested on February 16, 1726 that they be ready by April and certainly before he went to "abitare al mio giardino" that summer.\textsuperscript{138} The chandeliers were shipped via Innsbruck to Vienna, where they arrived in May, and the prince lamented about their inferior quality.\textsuperscript{139} The complaint demonstrates how the prince took an active interest in the quality of each fine and decorative art object that he acquired for his garden palace. Saglier also offered curiosities to Prince Eugene, like two small lapis lazuli containers that he drew in a letter dated March 11, 1722.\textsuperscript{140} They resemble Prince Eugene's own tobacco container that is made from the same semi-precious stone.\textsuperscript{141} On Eugene's behalf, he evaluated Jacopo Bassano's (1510-1592) and Luca Giordano's (1634-1705) works that the Duke of Mondragone put up for sale in 1720.\textsuperscript{142} At Frascati near Rome, the Mondragone family owned a villa which had a large gallery decked out with ninety-


\textsuperscript{139} Sanvisenti, 542 (28.a-26 giugno 1726).

\textsuperscript{140} Giovanni Saglier, “Letter: Giovanni Saglier to Prince Eugene,” March 11, 1722, AT-OeStA/HHStA Große Korrespondenz 104b/c-57, Österreichisches Staatsarchiv.

\textsuperscript{141} The containers that Saglier drew are pictured in Tommaro, “Kunstagenten im Dienste Prinz Eugens von Savoyen,” 97–99, Abb. 1, and Abb. 2.

\textsuperscript{142} Presumably, the duke is named Agapito IV Domenico Grillo (1672-1738).
nine landscapes and still lifes which were carefully hung in-between fifty-two windows.\(^{143}\) The arrangement surely provoked comparisons between the painted imagery and the actual countryside seen through the windows. It is not known if the prince acquired any of this villa’s artwork via Saglier, who indicates clearly that Prince Eugene requested two types of paintings for the Upper Belvedere; those which are worthy of the “Galeria” and those that could be used as “ornamento di stanza.”\(^{144}\) Later, in 1726 in relation to the outfitting of Schloss Hof, Saglier again mentions that he was searching for works which are worthy of Prince Eugene’s new “gabinetto,” presumably at Schloss Hof.\(^{145}\)

In Milan, the Prince made two additional contacts to secure paintings for the Upper Belvedere. Francesco Maria Caimo (life dates unknown) wrote to the prince on January 13, 1720 after he evaluated the Duke of Mondragone’s collection, just as Saglier had done. Caimo reported that he found works that fit “di suo gusto” in this collection.\(^{146}\) In response to Bassano’s two paintings, Caimo reported they are

---


\(^{146}\) Translation: “of your taste.” See Tommaro, “Kunstagenten im Dienste Prinz Eugens von Savoyen,” 98–99. This letter is published in Comoglio and Gauna, “Principe
“perfetti e ben conservati” while two more works attributed to “del Spagnoletto sono lo spavento della Pittura.”¹⁴⁷ The nickname Spagnoletto refers to Giuseppe de Ribera (1591-1652). In a letter dated February 24, 1720 Saglier disagrees with Caimo and states that the duke’s paintings: “non hanno che il nome essendo tutti malamente ritoccati e incapaci di potenze popolari.”¹⁴⁸ That Eugene sought out two opinions about the Duke of Mondragone’s paintings, and also, that he received descriptions related to their quality and condition, provides evidence that Prince Eugene only purchased paintings for the Upper Belvedere after giving them careful consideration. Indeed, when the chance arose again to secure Ribera’s work in Milan, on March 20, 1728, he asked Carlo de Magni (life dates unknown) to view the painting and Magni reported that he took along three skilled artists that helped him assess the artwork’s condition and estimate its value.¹⁴⁹

Between 1717 and 1727, Prince Eugene wrote to Giovanni Battista Vastarobba (life dates unknown) repeatedly to purchase and commission Bolognese paintings.¹⁵⁰ As mentioned in chapter one, the prince also had the Bolognese frescoist Fanti paint quadratura on the Bilder Saal’s vault at this time. The prince’s correspondence with Vastarobba reveals that he requested paintings

¹⁴⁷ Translation: “perfect and well-preserved” and “Spagnoletto’s are awesome.” See Sanvisenti, “Un carteggio al conte Giovanni Saglier in Milano,” 542 (25.a-8 maggio 1726); Comoglio and Gauna, “Principe Eugenio: Corrispondenza e Inventari,” 280 (1. Francesco Maria Caimo al principe Eugenio, Milano, 13 gennaio 1720).

¹⁴⁸ Translation: “they have nothing but the artist’s name, all being badly retouched and therefore they are incapable of being autograph works.” See Comoglio and Gauna, “Principe Eugenio: Corrispondenza e Inventari,” 280-281 (2. Giovanni Saglier al principe Eugenio, Milano, 24 febbraio 1720).


by Reni, Francesco Albani (1578-1660), and the Carracci specifically (either Ludovico 1555-1619, Agostino 1557-1602, or Annibale 1560-1609).151 In Prince Eugene’s lifetime, all of these artists were understood to be prominently represented in the Bilder Saal.152 In addition to old master works, Vastarobba helped the prince commission contemporary Bolognese works from the famed Donato Creti (1671-1749), Giuseppe Maria Crespi (1665-1747), and Marcantonio Franceschini (1648-1729), as well as the lesser-known Giacomo Antonio Boni (1688-1766).153 Boni painted the prince’s sopraporte for the Upper Belvedere’s Bibliothec, while the other three artists’ works were exhibited at Prince Eugene’s city palace. For example, Crespi’s three paintings were used as sopraporte in the prince’s state bedchamber at this structure.154 Another contact that Prince Eugene made in Bologna was with the Baron Martini (life dates unknown). The Baron helped Prince Eugene to place commissions with Carlo Cignani (1628-1719), who is indeed represented at the Upper Belvedere.155

Prince Eugene ordered five contemporary paintings for the Upper Belvedere’s appartement de parade from the most prominent artists working in

151 Ludovico (1555-1616), Agostino (1657-1602), and Annibale Carracci (1560-1609). Tommaro, 102.
Habsburg Naples during his lifetime. Count Wirich Phillip von Daun (1669-1741), who served as the first Viceroy between 1708 and 1709, and again between 1713 and 1719, facilitated Eugene’s contact with del Pò and Solimena.Contemporaries regarded del Pò highly for his large allegorical and mythological frescoes in the Neapolitan nobility’s palaces. Solimena is generally considered to be the most exclusive Neapolitan painter of his generation and he enjoyed attention from many international patrons, especially in central Europe. The choice to commission del Pò and Solimena simultaneously may reflect that Prince Eugene took an active interest in the polarities of early eighteenth-century Neapolitan painting which Italian scholars have dubbed “solimeneschi” and “antisolimeneschi” imagery. Yet Eugene’s choice to commission works from both del Pò and Solimena concurrently more likely supports that both artists were revered equally by contemporary patrons and they specialized in different tasks.

While del Pò gained fame for allegorical imagery in private patrons’ palaces in Naples, Solimena was regarded for his grand altarpieces and large frescoes in the city’s churches. Indeed, del Pò painted three allegories that glorify Prince

159 For a detailed explanation and discussion of this debate in the Italian literature, see Rabiner, “The Paintings of Giacomo Del Pò,” 141-55.
160 Rabiner, 150-55.
161 Rabiner, 151.
Eugene while Solimena executed the Belvedere’s altarpiece.\textsuperscript{162} Solimena also painted one mythological scene for the Upper Belvedere’s \textit{Spiegel Zimmer} and it counts as one of this artist’s very few secular decorations.\textsuperscript{163}

The lieutenant Gabriele Montani (life dates unknown), a military engineer in Naples, negotiated del Pò’s contract for three paintings for the Upper Belvedere. A contemporary but undated copy from circa 1717 or 1718 is now stored at the Staatsarchiv in Vienna.\textsuperscript{164} That del Pò considered this project and the patron to be of utmost importance is underscored by the fact that he had agreed to take on no other commissions during the three paintings’ execution.\textsuperscript{165} In a letter dated September 6, 1720, Montani reported that the artist even mounted Prince Eugene’s paintings on the ceiling of the viceroy’s palace in Naples to be sure that the perspective was correct and they would suit their intended location at the Upper Belvedere.\textsuperscript{166} As for Eugene’s contact with Solimena, Daun played the key role. Eugene’s letter to Solimena dated November 30, 1730, which Bernardo de Dominici (1683-1759) published in the third volume of his \textit{Vite de’ pittori, scultori ed architetti napoletani} in 1742, indicates specifically that Daun negotiated the commissions for the Upper Belvedere’s altarpiece and the ceiling painting titled

\begin{footnotes}
\textsuperscript{162} Prince Eugene also had Solimena paint the altarpiece for Schloss Hof.
\textsuperscript{164} “Contract for Three Ceiling Paintings,” 1718 1717, AT-OeStA/HHStA Große Korrespondenz 100c-19, Österreichisches Staatsarchiv.
\textsuperscript{165} Tommaro, “Kunstagenten im Dienste Prinz Eugens von Savoyen,” 93.
\textsuperscript{166} Tommaro, 94.
\end{footnotes}
Aurora and Cephalus that adorned the Spiegel Zimmer.\textsuperscript{167} The rich body of letters between Prince Eugene and agents in Venice, Bologna, Milan, and Naples testify to the difficulty in obtaining high-quality old master paintings and contemporary Italian commissions while based in Vienna.

As mentioned in part one but is worth restating, by 1736, the Upper Belvedere featured 184 paintings in total including 140 easel paintings, four ceiling paintings, one altarpiece, and thirty-nine sopraporte. Only thirty-five originated from the hand of an Italian artist while northern European artists created the vast majority.\textsuperscript{168} It is certainly significant that Prince Eugene dedicated a small room labeled the Cabinet, which is connected to his state bed chamber and the library at the Upper Belvedere, to the display of ninety-eight miniature landscapes and genre scenes by mainly Flemish and Dutch Golden Age artists (see fig. 46). During the entire planning, construction, and decoration of the Upper Belvedere that began circa 1717 and was completed circa 1723, Eugene served as the Governor-General of the Austrian Netherlands. Just as we have seen in chapter two in relation to the prince’s Asian luxuries, this high position allowed him to gain access to paintings in the Habsburg territory for the Belvedere’s outfitting. The Cabinet


\textsuperscript{168}The Italian works are numbered [I] 2., 5.-10., 12.-14., 17.-20., 24.-31., [II] 3., [III] 34., 52., 55., and 81., and [IV] 2./3. in the inventory. Not included are del Pò’s three ceiling paintings, one by Solimena, as well as Solimena’s altarpiece because they belong to the Upper Belvedere’s permanent interior decoration. One sopraporta by Boni in the Upper Belveder’s library was also omitted from the inventory. See Auer and Black, “Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldesammlung Prinz Eugens,” 336–43.
was organized in a similar way to the *Bilder Zimmer* at the Lower Belvedere, once more, to arouse interest and elicit a desire to search for visual parallels among the imagery. It is possible that the prince purchased many of these works in bulk, such as from the Duke of Mondragone’s collection. One scholar agrees and further proposes that the prince acquired a pre-existing collection in the Netherlands due to the artworks’ corresponding frames.\(^{169}\) Yet one in-depth study concerning the frames has shown that Italian craftsman created Prince Eugene’s frames *in situ* at the Belvedere.\(^{170}\) Therefore, it is possible that Eugene’s famous shopping spree at Zomer’s gallery in the Netherlands, which van den Berge commemorated with his drawing, actually refers to the outfitting of the Upper Belvedere’s *Cabinet*, instead of the Lower Belvedere’s *Bilder Zimmer*. Currently, no primary documents clarify this issue and the prince even acquired a few of the *Cabinet’s* paintings individually. For example, on June 25, 1720, Prince Eugene wrote to an art dealer named Vincentius (life dates unknown) in the Netherlands to request specifically paintings by the “*berühmten Malers Wouvermans,*” who is represented in both the *Bilder Saal* and *Cabinet* at the Upper Belvedere.\(^{171}\)

\(^{169}\) In her study, Seeger also suggests unconvincingly that the *Cabinet* was redesigned between 1730 and about 1736, because Küchelbecker described the order of the rooms slightly differently than how Kleiner depicted them in his drawings from 1729. I attribute the discrepancy to human error because it is not likely that Prince Eugene acquired a large collection in the Netherlands after 1730, at which point his governorship and influence in the territory had already ended for six years. See Seeger, “Nuove ricerche sugli acquisti fatti da Carlo Emanuele III re di Sardegna nelle collezione d’arte appartenute al principe Eugenio di Savoia,” 324; Seeger, *Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen*, 387.


In the *appartement de parade*: The Cabinet

Ninety-eight small paintings adorned the walls of the intimate room that connects Prince Eugene’s bed chamber to his small library (see fig. 46).\(^{172}\) Kleiner labeled this space the *Cabinet* on his ground plan (see fig. 6). Certainly, it is located in a highly privileged location that faces south on Upper Belvedere’s *piano nobile* in the *appartement de parade*. To outfit the *Cabinet* with a multitude of small paintings, the prince paid as little as twenty to thirty florins for a single image, but up to 50,000 florins for just one work.\(^{173}\) The total cost for all ninety-eight works reached 200,000 florins.\(^{174}\) Küchelbecker was offered this information on his tour of the garden palace circa 1730. Entering the *Cabinet* required visitors to receive permission to pass through at least three successive chambers in the *appartement de parade*. It functioned as a *quadreria* dedicated solely to the display of easel paintings, just like the *Bilder Zimmer* at the Lower Belvedere. One scholar explains that in contemporary European palaces, nearly half of all galleries were “pure *quadrerie,*” such as these two spaces curated by the prince, where “the quality and

---

\(^{172}\) This number does not include two *sopraporte*. See Auer and Black, “Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldesammlung Prinz Eugens,” 338–42. Most of these paintings are at the Sabauda Gallery, apart from the paintings numbered 3., 16., 28., 29., 46., 51., and 52. in the inventory.


\(^{174}\) Küchelbecker, 785.
sheer number” of paintings and the “rigid and orderly” presentation deliberately “overwhelmed the beholder” to inspire awe.  

Kleiner’s engraved drawing discloses that forty-nine paintings decked out the northwest corner of the Cabinet. Thirty were placed on the west wall after the doorway, nineteen more were hung on the left half of the north wall. Likewise, in the northeast corner, forty-nine additional paintings were hung. Here too, nineteen were placed on the right half of the north wall and thirty paintings covered the east wall up to the doorway. Although the same number of paintings were placed in each of these four sections in matching and gilded frames, the arrangements do not mirror each other exactly. This type of assemblage, with primarily Netherlandish art, endeavors to produce curiosity and the desire in visitors to search for hidden associations and “narrative interrelations” among the strategically arranged paintings. The extravagant Cheminée à la Royale topped by a mirror divides this room at center, while making the visitors part of the opulent display because it includes their reflection and blurs “the boundaries between art and reality.” One expert explains that mirrors give visitors the opportunity to experience their “own image as an animated, moving painting…[and] such strategies were meant to astonish the visitor to produce meraviglia, but they also

---

177 Strunck, “Concettismo and the Aesthetics of Display: The Interior Decoration of Roman Galleries and Quadrerie,” 228.
aimed at uncovering a secret deeper order.” Consequently, optical devices were often included in contemporary galleries with Flemish paintings because of the northern European aesthetic tradition, which is inherently preoccupied with capturing visual authenticity. These paintings, and optical devices alike, exposed “the new aspects of the visual reality” which early modern scientific discoverers had generated. Indeed, and as already noted in chapter one, Küchelbecker saw “verschiedene schöne und kostbare Tubi, ein grosses Brennglāß, und andere verglichenen Optische und Mathematische curiose Sachen” in this very room, while, just on the other side of Prince Eugene’s bed chamber, Kleiner drew two telescopes in the Anleg Zimmer (see fig 86).

Prince Eugene’s painting inventory listing exactly ninety-eight works underscores that Kleiner’s engraved drawing of this space is highly accurate. The inventory even prefaces painting number fifty with the following information: “Sur l’autre côté du dit cabinet sont placé les tableaux suivants.” Because Kleiner’s drawing shows only one round painting in the entire space, on the east wall, which the inventory numbers seventy-nine, “un paysage rond,” or round landscape, we can conclude that the list begins with the paintings located in the

---

178 Strunck, 222, 228.
179 Strunck, 219.
180 Translation: “various beautiful and exquisite tubes, one magnifying glass, and other comparable optically and mathematically curious objects.” See Küchelbecker, Allerneueste Nachricht vom Römisch-Kayserlichen Hof Nebst einer ausführlichen Beschreibung der Kayserlichen Residentz-Stadt Wien und der umliegenden Oerter, Theils aus den Geschichten, theils aus eigener Erfahrung zusammen getragen und mit saubern Kupffern ans Licht gegeben, 786.
181 There are also two sopraporte. See Auer and Black, “Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldesammlung Prinz Eugens,” 338–42.
182 Translation: “On the other side of this cabinet are the following paintings.” See Auer and Black, 340.
northwest corner of the *Cabinet*, labeled one to forty-nine, and the second group of paintings, labeled fifty to ninety-eight, represent those works that are seen in the room’s northeast corner. All measure as small as about “*hauteur 4 pouces, largeur 9 et demi pouce,*” or approximately 10.5 by twenty-five centimeters, and only as large as “*hauteur 2 pieds 5 pouces, largeur 3 pieds ½ pouce,*” or 76.5 by ninety-six centimeters. They include primarily genre scenes, still lifes, and landscapes, but there were seven works with mythological content, and finally, seven more devotional images of biblical and holy figures. The artwork was arranged symmetrically and in harmony based on the size and the subject matter. Apart from the paintings numbered [III] 3., 16., 28., 29., 46., 51., and 52. in the inventory, all were sold to Charles Emmanuel III in 1741 [see Documents 1-2]. To uncover exactly how the inventory’s author organized the list in conjunction with Kleiner’s engraved drawing of the *Cabinet*, extensive provenance research is required to identify each work securely.

Out of the ninety-eight works in this room, only eight are attributed to an artist who had not received training in the Netherlands during the Dutch Golden Age. For example, there are only four Italian works: one painting attributed to

---

183 It should be noted that there are other paintings in the room with description like “*ronde*” or “*ovale,*” yet this work is the only one that includes a single measurement that suggests it is the painting’s diameter. Namely, painting 79. measures “*6 ½ pouces*” that is equal to approximately 17.1 centimeters. See Auer and Black, 341.


185 Vesme has initiated a discussion related to these paintings’ identification, attribution, and subject matter. See Vesme, “Sull’ Acquisto fatto da Carlo Emanuele III RE Di Sardegna della Quadronia del Principe Eugenio di Savoia Richerche Documentate,” 228–53.
Crespi (numbered [III] 52. in the inventory and excluded from the catalogue), one to Bassano (numbered [III] 55. in the inventory and 95. in the catalogue), and two to either Domenico (1516-1567) or Felice Riccio (1542-1605), called il Brusasorci (numbered [III] 34. and 81. in the inventory and 75. and 121. in the catalogue). The German Renaissance artist Holbein is also represented in the chamber, but Zanetti and Bertoli do not specify whether Hans Holbein the Elder (1460-1524) or Holbein the Younger (1497-1543) was the artist (numbered [III] 6. and 9. in the inventory and 47. and 50. in the catalogue). The Franco-Italian artist Jacques Courtois, called il Bourguignon (1621–1675), and the French artist Nicolas Fouquet (1615-1680) created one work each in this room (numbered [III] 35. and 70. in the inventory and 76. and 110. in the catalogue). The Flemish artist who is represented the most in the Cabinet, by twelve works, is David Teniers the Younger (1610-1690) (numbered [III] 2., 11., 40., 47., 48., 49., 53., 75., 90., 91., 92., and 94. in the inventory and 43., 52., 81., 87., 88., 89., 70., 115., 130., 131., 132., and 134. in the catalogue). This artist is known for his prolific output of genre scenes. Prince Eugene enjoyed Teniers’ imagery of peasants who carry out everyday activities such as playing cards, making music, or simply smoking (figs. 183-186). Twelve paintings are also attributed to the Northern Renaissance master Pieter Breughel, yet Zanetti and Bertoli do not specify if they mean Breughel the

---

186 The inventory states that painting 52. is created by “de Spaniol de Bologne” which suggests that Crespi is the creator, and this painting may have been the pastoral that Zanotti acquired in 1736 because it was not included on the sale catalogue. Yet Wagner suggests that this artist is by Jean de Bologne (died 1664). See Rudolf Wagner, “Prinz Eugen von Savoyen als Mäzen” (Diplomarbeit, Wien, Universität Wien, 2009), 164. Also see my discussion of Zanetti’s acquisition on page 169-170 of this chapter.

187 Jacques Courtois is sometimes confused with his younger brother, Guillaume Cortese (1628 – 1679). This work is an autograph Courtois.
Elder (1525/30-1569) or Breughel the Younger (1564-1638) (numbered [III] 3., 4., 5., 7., 8., 13., 16., 56., 61., 71., 72., and 95. in the inventory and, while [III] 3. and 16. are not included in the catalogue, the other paintings are listed as 44., 46., 48., 49., 54., 96., 101., 111., 112., 135. in the catalogue). Five of these are landscapes and one is a seascape. Two represent the Dutch festival *Kermess*, one painting depicts Aeneas, and finally, one work features three chariots. Five more paintings in this room are attributed to Breughel the Younger’s son, Jan Breughel the Elder (1558-1625). He was a Flemish painter who collaborated frequently with Hans Rottenhammer (1564-1625). Together, they created three works in this room: *Venus and Adonis* (numbered [III] 17. in the inventory and 58. in the catalogue), *Virgin Mary with Jesus and St. John* (numbered [III] 19. in the inventory and 60. in the catalogue), and a painting described as “*un sacrifice d’amour*” (numbered [III] 50. in the inventory and 90. in the catalogue). Two more works in the chamber that depict Venus and three goddesses are attributed to both Jan Breughel and the Flemish artist Hendrick van Balen (1573/75-1632) (numbered [III] 57. and 60. in the inventory and 97. and 100. in the catalogue). Together with the aforementioned non-Netherlandish works, all of these paintings total thirty-seven.

The Dutch Golden Age artists who are prominently shown in Prince Eugene’s *Cabinet* include Wouwerman, to whom eight paintings are attributed.

---

188 Rottenhammer is a German artist who trained in Germany and Venice and later worked in Rome and Augsburg. He encountered Breughel and Paul Brill while working in Rome and painted figures in their landscapes frequently. In “Vecchie e nuove precisazioni sulla quadreria del principe Eugenio di Savoia,” it is mentioned that Jan Breughel also painted the landscape attributed to an anonymous Dutch artist and numbered 93. in the inventory. See Spantigati, “Vecchie e nuove precisazioni sulla quadreria del principe Eugenio di Savoia,” Plate 13; Auer and Black, “Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldesammlung Prinz Eugens,” 342.
(numbered [III] 36., 44., 45., 54., 58., 85., 97., and 98. in the inventory and, while
[III] 45. is not listed in the catalogue, the other words are numbered as 77., 85.,
94., 98., 125., 137., and 138.), seven are by the hand of Gerrit Dou (1613-1675)
108., 109., 123., and 127. in the catalogue), and six are said to be by Frans van
Mieris the Elder (1635-1671) (numbered [III] 1., 10., 14., 15., 39., and 77. in the
inventory and 42., 51., 55., 56., 80., and 117.) (figs. 187-191). In addition to a
hunting scene and two companion paintings of marching soldiers, five of
Wouwerman's works present horses specifically. Dou is known as a protagonist of
the Leiden Fijnschilders, and his seven works in this chamber are all genre scenes
(figs. 192-194). So too are the six works attributed to van Mieris. They include
representations of everyday activities such as a sick woman visiting the doctor and
a Dutchman sitting at a table with a glass of wine. There was even a mythological
scene featuring Hermaphroditus. Jan Dirksz Both (1610-1652), a protagonist of
Dutch Italianate landscape paintings, is represented by four works in this room
195). Two works by Paul Bril (1564-1626), another Italianate landscape painter,
were also hung in this chamber (numbered 65. and 78. in the inventory and 105.
and 118. in the catalogue). All additional landscapes are attributed to Griffier and
Saftleven, who are also well-represented in the Bilder Zimmer at the Lower
Belvedere (numbered 64., 67., and 80. in the inventory and 104., 107., and 120. in

\[189\] Auer and Black suggest that painting 1. is the work of Gerrit Dou and not by Mieris.
They also suggest painting 39. is the work of Carel de Moor and not Mieris. See Auer
and Black, "Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldesammlung Prinz Eugens," 338–42.
the catalogue) (figs 196-207). These works total thirty and make up another third of the paintings in the Cabinet.

Besides four figural paintings attributed to Herman van der Mijn (1684-1741) (numbered 23., 26., 73., and 74. in the inventory and 64., 67., 113., and 114. in the catalogue), and four additional works attributed to anonymous artists of Netherlandish origin (numbered 30., 33., 84., and 93. in the inventory and 71., 74., 124., and 133. in the catalogue), the Cabinet held another twenty-three paintings by nineteen different Dutch and Flemish artists (fig. 208). Rembrandt van Rijn (1606-1669) stands out in particular. He painted Prince Eugene’s Visitation with Mary and Elizabeth (numbered 82. in the inventory and 122. in the catalogue). This oil on cedar panel measures 56.5 by 47.9 centimeters and it now belongs to the Detroit Institute of Fine Arts (fig. 209). Other artists who are credited with creating one painting each are Jacob Toorenvleit (1640-1719) (numbered 12. in the inventory and 53. in the catalogue), Caspar Netscher (1639-1684) (numbered 31. in the inventory and 72. in the catalogue), Adriaen Brouwer (1606-1638) (numbered 38. in the inventory and 79. in the catalogue), Carel de Moor (1655–1738) (numbered 41. in the inventory and 82. in the catalogue), Gerard de Lairesse (1641-1711) (numbered 43. in the inventory and 84. in the catalogue), Reinier Nooms, called “Seemann” (ca. 1623–1664) (numbered 51. in the inventory and

---

190 Auer and Black suggest that [III] 30. in the inventory is by Godfried Schalcken. Also, Vesme attributes the seascape numbered [III] 33. in the inventory to V. Veto (life dated unknown) and created in 1703. See Auer and Black, 339–42. Also see Vesme, “Sull’ Acquisto fatto da Carlo Emanuele III RE Di Sardegna della Quadreria del Principe Eugenio di Savoia Richerche Documentate,” 241.
excluded from the catalogue), and either Jan Davidsz. de Heem (1606-1684) or his son Cornelius Heem (1631-1695) (numbered 62. in the inventory and 102. in the catalogue) (figs. 210-211). Their floral works also adorned the Audienz Zimmer (see figs. 227, 229, and 233). Lastly, there was one floral piece attributed to Hercules Seghers (ca. 1589 – ca. 1638) (numbered 66. in the inventory and 106. in the catalogue). Four more in this group are genre scenes. They are attributed to Pieter Cornelius van Slingelant (1640-1691) (numbered 76. in the inventory and 116. in the catalogue), Adriaen van Ostade (1610-1685) (numbered 88. in the inventory and 128. in the catalogue), Pieter de Bloot (1601-1658) (numbered 89. in the inventory and 129. in the catalogue), and Christoffel Jacobsz van der Laemen (1607- ca. 1651) (numbered 96. in the inventory and 136. in the catalogue). In addition, Sajello and Carolo Routhard are cited in the inventory as the creators of one painting each (numbered 42. and 46. in the inventory and, while 46. is not included in the catalogue, the other is listed as 83.). Unfortunately, I could not find any information about Sajello, who Auer and Black call “von Sayetto.”

One art historian suggests that Carolo Routhard is Carl Borromäus Andreas Ruthart (ca. 1630- ca. 1703).

The remaining painters that are represented in Prince Eugene’s Cabinet, Godfried Schalcken (1643–1706) (numbered 27. and 86. in the inventory and 68. and 126. in the catalogue), Pieter Snyers (1681-1752) (numbered 28. and 29. in

---

192 In his masters thesis, Wagner suggests that Seemann means the artist Enoch Seemann the Elder (ca. 1661-1744) or Enoch Seeman the Younger (1694/45-1744). See Wagner, “Prinz Eugen von Savoyen als Mäzen,” 169.
the inventory and excluded from the catalogue), Adriaen van der Werff (1659–1722) (numbered 32. and 63. in the inventory and 73. and 103. in the catalogue), and Roelant Savery (1576-1639) (numbered 59. and 79. in the inventory and 99. and 119. in the catalogue), created two works each (figs. 212-214). The painting inventory also includes the information about the fabric on which the paintings were hung: “tapissé de damas bleu sont placé les tableaux suivants en quadres [sic] dorés.” This overwhelming sight impressed Küchelbecker when he visited the Upper Belvedere, and he concurs that all of the Cabinet’s paintings are encased in “breite vergoldete und mit Blumwerck [sic] gezierte Rahmen.” For example, van Mieris’s painting The Hurdy-Gurdy Player, which represents the painting numbered fifteen in the inventory, still dons its original gilded frame. The frame is nearly as thick as the painting itself, which measures only sixteen by eleven centimeters (see fig. 189). A picture taken at the Sabauda Gallery in 1982 and published in Spantigati’s “Vecchie e nuove precisazioni sulla quadreria del principe Eugenio di Savoia” provides the opportunity to see ten of Prince Eugene’s works

195 Translation: “on blue damask are the following paintings in gold frames.” See Auer and Black, “Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldesammlung Prinz Eugens,” 338.
196 Translation: “wide and gilded frames that are decorated with vegetal/floral motifs.” See Küchelbecker, Allerneueste Nachricht vom Römisch-Kayserlichen Hof Nebst einer ausführlichen Beschreibung der Kayserlichen Residentz-Stadt Wien und der umliegenden Oerter, Theils aus den Geschichten, theils aus eigener Erfahrung zusammen getragen und mit saubern Kupffern ans Licht gegeben, 786. For information on the frames, see Newbery and Cannon-Brookes, “The Framing of Prince Eugene’s Collection.” Also see various depictions of the frames in Spantigati, “Vecchie e nuove precisazioni sulla quadreria del principe Eugenio di Savoia.”
from the *Cabinet* hung side-by-side and in their original frames (fig. 215).\textsuperscript{198} The main purpose of the thick and elaborately carved and gilded frames was to unify the *Cabinet’s* diverse group of paintings aesthetically and encourage viewers to literally “cross boundaries” and make visual comparison.\textsuperscript{199}

Küchelbecker’s previously discussed awareness about the exact value of the *Cabinet’s* artwork, and also, of other decorative objects throughout the Belvedere, discloses that this information was offered to him readily while he toured the palace. He even comments that these values are “eher zu glauben.”\textsuperscript{200}

Kleiner’s drawings reveal consistently that dialogues occurred between guests and the footmen who led them through each of the palace’s rooms. For example, in the *Cabinet*, a footman opens the west door to usher in a female visitor (see fig. 46). His left arm is raised high, and the guest leans her head towards the footman to listen attentively to his announcement, perhaps about the artworks’ value as Küchelbecker was informed. Certainly, the small paintings enticed visitors to approach and look closely, to satisfy their curiosity about the subject matter. In Kleiner’s engraved drawing, one gentleman in the room stands right in front of a painting on the east wall (see fig. 46). He leans in and grabs the *boiserie* to pull himself even closer to the painting for analysis. His right shoe touches the wall to emphasize his proximity. His cast shadow underscores it even further. A variety of

---
\textsuperscript{198} Spantigati, “Vecchie e nuove precisazioni sulla quadreria del principe Eugenio di Savoia,” Plate 3.
\textsuperscript{199} Strunck, “Concettismo and the Aesthetics of Display: The Interior Decoration of Roman Galleries and Quadrerie,” 225.
small paintings in the Cabinet, delightfully packaged in gilded frames, created an overwhelming sensory experience. The paintings’ small size, as opposed to the specific subject matter, first attracted Prince Eugene to display them in this room. Then, he paired the paintings based on the subject matter to encourage visitors to detect an underlying narrative which unites the individual works. According to one expert, this strategy caused the “beholder to engage with …[paintings] more deeply” because it provoked thought and sent viewers on a visual quest to “unravel … thematic bond[s].”

Since at least the seventeenth century in Roman galleries, the arrangement of art was generally “marked by symmetry and the desire to compare art objects side by side.” This is the certainly the case at the Lower Belvedere in the Bilder Zimmer, and likewise, in the Cabinet at the Upper Belvedere. Both rooms have a semi-public nature due to their locations and prominent placement within a high-ranking apartment. Certainly, the Upper Belvedere’s Cabinet functioned as a space in which private conversations took place. Together, ninety-eight paintings were not only entertaining and engaging, but they underscored visually that Prince Eugene enjoyed far-reaching influence in the Austrian Netherlands. Most of the Cabinet’s paintings originate from this foreign Hapsburg territory. As we will soon see in the north-facing rooms of the appartement de parade, where Prince Eugene

---

commissioned Neapolitan painters to express support for the Austrians’ occupation of that territory, his choice in the Cabinet, to fill it overwhelmingly with primarily esteemed Dutch and Flemish cultural objects, adeptly underscored in a visually pleasing manner that the prince possessed great influence in a distant territory under his command.

In the Appartement de Parade: The Bibliothec

The Upper Belvedere’s small library is connected to the Cabinet, as well as to the Audienz Zimmer (see figs. 6 and 90). From the Bibliothec, one can also access a small balcony which overlooks the palace chapel and offers a magnificent view of Carloni’s fresco and Solimena’s altarpiece (see figs. 82 and 180). One painting representing Diana, the goddess of hunting, was located above the fireplace in the Bibliothec (numbered [IV] [1.] in the inventory and excluded from the catalogue). This work, which measures 172 by 106 centimeters, is now stored at the Kunsthistorisches Museum with the inventory number 9324. The museum attributes it to an unknown Bolognese artist and dates the painting to the second half of the seventeenth century. As for the sopraporte, Giacomo Antonio Boni executed all three and this commission was passed along to him from Prince

---

Eugene via Vastaroba in 1722, as already noted (numbered [IV] [2./3.] in the
directory and excluded from the catalogue and one overdoor is not recorded).204
All three paintings were also shipped from Bologna to Vienna around July of the
same year.205 They are in situ at the Belvedere (figs. 216-218). In Storia dell'
‘Accademia Clementina di Bologna, Zanotti mentions that an image of Virgil was
painted in Bologna for Prince Eugene.206 He is referring to one of Boni’s
sopraporte, which Zanetti and Bertolli confirm are “à la manière d’un peintre
pollonios [sic].”207 The term “pollonios” is one of the many typos in the inventory,
here meaning the artist is of Bolognese origin.208 Indeed, Boni trained with
Franceschini and Cignani in Bologna. These paintings are often called
personifications of philosophy, rhetoric, and poetry in the literature on the
Belvedere, but they portray the ancient scholars embodying each discipline: Plato,
Cicero, and Virgil.209

206 Tommaro, 107–8. And see Giampietro Zanotti, Storia dell’Accademia Clementina di
Bologna, aggregata all’instituto delle scienze e dell’arti, vol. 2, 1739, 234.
207 Translation: “in the manner of a Bolognese painter.” See Auer and Black, “Ein
neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldesammlung Prinz Eugens,” 342.
208 Tommaro agrees, see Tommaro, “Kunstagenten im Dienste Prinz Eugens von
Savoyen,” 108.
209 Although all these three works are on view at the Belvedere today, they belong to the
collection of the Kunsthistorisches Museum in Vienna. The first work is inventoried under
number 5759 and titled Cicerone seduto con una delle sue Catilinarie. It measures 96 x
123 centimeters. See Swoboda, “Al seguito di condottieri e marescialli: la pittura
bolognese nelle collezioni viennesi del Settecento,” 132-133 (n. 13). The painting of
Plato shows the scholar with De Republica in his hands. It measures 92 x 118
centimeters and has the inventory number 2849. See Kunsthistorisches Museum Wien
and Martina Haja, eds., Die Gemäldegalerie des Kunsthistorischen Museums in Wien:
Verzeichnis der Gemälde, 1. Aufl, Führer / Kunsthistorisches Museum 40 (Wien:
Brandstätter, 1991), 48 (Plate 159). Also see Swoboda, “Al seguito di condottieri e
marescialli: la pittura bolognese nelle collezioni viennesi del Settecento,” 132-133 (n.
13). The painting of Virgil measures 91 x 119 centimeters and has inventory number
Three large cabinets covered the library’s walls, and as such, this room is neither a *quadraeria* nor a gallery. Yet paintings with Greco-Roman subjects were used here, just like in the library at the Lower Belvedere, to imply that the *Bibliotheca* ranks highly, and also, it is a space that can be used for gaining intellectual enrichment. That is because, in addition to books, the cabinets likely stored Prince Eugene’s curiosities, as is the custom. Unfortunately, the exact contents of Prince Eugene’s large cabinets are unknown. Such cabinets transformed visitors into active learners because they usually have many drawers inside, to incite curiosity and a desire to open each one in order to discover and handle that which is stored inside. Similarly, small paintings arranged intimately in the *Bilder Zimmer* provoked visitors. They encourage one to approach and look closely, to discover hidden meanings. These types of display strategies are detailed by an expert who notes that since at least the seventeenth-century, stemming from Roman palaces, obstacles like curtains or cabinets were often included in galleries because they are interactive and “had to be overcome by curious beholders.”

For example, Queen Christina of Sweden kept Roman coins in wooden cabinets in her gallery, which visitors were encouraged to open and explore. Likewise, in Rome, curiosity cabinets were placed in the galleries of Palazzo Colonna and Villa Ludovisi. This

---

expert aptly dubs such cabinets a “gallery within the gallery.”\textsuperscript{211} Certainly, the Bibliothece, with three large cabinets, is located next to a quadreria deliberately. It also offered visitors the opportunity to intimately examine Prince Eugene’s sophisticated cultural objects and discover the connections among them.

The Bibliothece and Cabinet are connected to major state rooms. This follows the designs of Nicodéme Tessin the Elder (1615-1681), who created a blueprint for Jean-Antoine de Mesmes’ (1640-1709) palace in Roissy-en-France with a similar layout circa 1697.\textsuperscript{212} Mesmes desired galleries not to function as purely a “Selbstdarstellungsapparat,” nor as an “einsamen Rückzugsraum,” according to the expert.\textsuperscript{213} Instead, relatively small and intimate galleries near state rooms became meeting places because they were normally filled with fabulous art objects that fostered communication by combining aesthetics with intellectual gratification.\textsuperscript{214} The Bibliothec is connected to the Audienz Zimmer deliberately, and to the Cabinet, which leads into the prince’s state bedchamber. These are the most official rooms at the entire Belvedere; purposefully united to enrich Prince Eugene’s practices in cultural diplomacy.

\textsuperscript{211} Strunck, “Concettismo and the Aesthetics of Display: The Interior Decoration of Roman Gallerie and Quadrerie,” 220.
\textsuperscript{212} “Souhaite une petite gallerie [sic] qui est plus d’usage qu’une grande… trouve qu’il seroit [sic] agréable qu’on y abordast [sic] par l’appartement du Mister [sic], et par quel qu’autre appartement Étranger pour la rendre d’un plus grande usage.” Translation: “wants a small gallery because it is of more use than a large one. Mr. de Mesme finds it pleasant to approach a gallery from within the state rooms, or from some other public apartment, because it will be used more often.” See the primary source cited in Strunck, “Die Galerie in der Literture: Historische Quellen zur Definition, Architektonischen Gestalt, Idealen Ausstattung und Funktion von Galerien,” 20.
\textsuperscript{213} Translation: “apparatus of self-aggrandizement” and “lonely retreating room.” See Strunck, 20.
\textsuperscript{214} Strunck, 20.
In the *Appartement de Parade*: Neapolitan Ceiling Paintings in the *Antichambre, Conferenz Zimmer, Audienz Zimmer, and Spiegel Zimmer*

Giacomo del Pò, who became a member of the Roman Accademia di San Luca in 1674 before embarking on a prolific career in Naples in 1683, created three ceiling paintings for Prince Eugene between 1718 and 1720.\(^{215}\) One scholar's research has shown that all three works "were commissioned with their eventual location in mind," which is the *appartement de parade* at the Upper Belvedere.\(^{216}\) A letter dated October 4, 1720 discloses that by this date, all three ceiling paintings had arrived in Vienna.\(^{217}\) Two are still in their original gilded frames. The first, titled *Apotheosis of Prince Eugene*, adorns the *Antichambre*’s vault (see figs. 88 and 178). The next room, the *Conferenz Zimmer*, contains the ceiling painting titled *Allegory of the Reign of Prince Eugene* (see figs. 89 and 179). In 1950, fire destroyed del Pò’s third ceiling painting depicting the *Triumphal Reception of Prince Eugene into Olympus* that adorned the *Audienz Zimmer* (see figs. 11 and 219).

\(^{215}\) In his doctoral thesis, Rabiner counters the Italian art historians M. Picone and O. Ferrari who date del Pò’s paintings for Prince Eugene to 1713 through 1715. Rabiner posits that del Pò created bozzetti for Prince Eugene in 1723 and he executed the three ceiling paintings for the Upper Belvedere in 1725. Yet Tommaro’s recent discovery of letters between Montani and Prince Eugene, as well as the actual contract related to this commission at the archive in Vienna, demonstrate without doubt, that both the bozzetti and three paintings date between 1718 and 1720. See Rabiner, “The Paintings of Giacomo Del Pò,” 119–20, 139 (n. 107); Tommaro, “Kunstagenten im Dienste Prinz Eugens von Savoyen,” 92–95.


Three *bozzetti*, or preparations for the destroyed canvas in the _Audienz Zimmer_, still exist.\(^{218}\) One was owned by the late Italian art historian Cesare d’Onofrio of Naples. It is most likely a studio replica of Giacomo’s lost original.\(^{219}\) Unfortunately, I have not found any publications in which this *bozzetto* is pictured. The two other *bozzetti*, which are by del Pò’s own hand, belong to the Colloredo-Mannsfeld collection at Opocno Castle and to the Residenzgalerie in Salzburg (figs. 219-220). Finally, for the same commission, del Pò created a fourth *bozzetto* which is also stored at the Colloredo-Mannsfeld collection. Unlike the three aforementioned *bozzetti*, this one was made in preparation for the ceiling painting in the _Antichambre_ (fig. 221). One expert declared that these *bozzetti* and the Upper Belvedere’s ceiling paintings represent the “finest expression of Giacomo’s late style.”\(^{220}\) Yet it is not only this artist’s refined style that attracted Prince Eugene to commission him, it is also the artwork’s origin from Naples, where del Pò enjoyed a reputation as this city’s finest artist for rendering allegorical imagery that glorified the nobility eloquently, as will be seen.

Del Pò’s three works at the Upper Belvedere should be interpreted in succession.\(^{221}\) In the _Antichambre_, Prince Eugene is presented as a war hero in the center of the canvas and upon clouds. The gods surround him to celebrate an impending golden age of art that only peace can beget, and which Prince Eugene


\(^{219}\) Rabiner, 123. Also see plate LXXV. in Rabiner, 362. And see plate fig. 65 in Achille della Ragione, _Giacomo del Pò: opera completa_ (Napoli: Napoli arte, 2011), 31.


achieved through his valiant conquests over the Ottomans. Then, in the *Conferenz Zimmer*, Minerva presents the prince to Apollo and Mercury who awaken the personifications of the Arts and of Science to inform them about the prince’s arrival. Finally, the story culminates in the *Audienz Zimmer* where Eugene engaged in international diplomacy. It is the most official room of the entire garden palace. This ceiling painting presents Prince Eugene being accepted into Olympus and confirms his good deeds and deserved eternal fame. Gods and allegorical figures are “especially appropriate for the ‘heavenly’ sphere of a room,” and thus, Prince Eugene’s choice follows the frescoed vaults with mythological imagery in Roman palaces dating to the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries.

The prince’s commission documents inform us that he always desired ceiling paintings for these three chambers, not frescoes. Canvas are easily transported and ceiling paintings were imported from Naples to Vienna as a visual expression that Prince Eugene enjoyed direct access to the highest levels of the political and cultural elite in that city, which was under Habsburg rule between 1707 and 1734. The Austrian Viceroys played the key role in the profound cultural and artistic transfers between Naples and Vienna that took place at this time. Accordingly,

---

226 “Contract for Three Ceiling Paintings.”
227 Sebastian Schütze, “Theatrum Artis Pictoriae: i vicere austriaci a Napoli e le loro committenze artistiche,” in *Cerimoniale del viceregno austriaco di Napoli* (Napoli: Arte’m, 2014), 37–68.
it was the Viceroy Daun who facilitated Prince Eugene’s contact to del Pò, and also to Solimena, who I will also discuss below. Therefore, the Upper Belvedere’s ceiling paintings in the *appartement de parade* attest to the important cultural and artistic exchanges between Vienna and Naples that took place at the dawn of the eighteenth century.

Del Pò’s three paintings’ subject matter also fits well within the cultural milieu of the contemporary Austrian nobility’s patronage.\(^{228}\) Indeed, during the first decades of the eighteenth-century, many Viennese patrons commissioned ceiling paintings or frescoes which glorified the family name through “*mythologischer Verkleidung*” and through the representation of the patron’s own reception into the heavens by the antique Gods.\(^{229}\) Matsche explains:

> “*Dabei ist bemerkenswert, dass nicht der militärische Sieg und der kriegerische Held den eigentlichen Gegenstand der Huldigungen bilden, sondern dass in der nun angebrochenen Zeit des siegreich erkämpften Friedens die Helden sich dem anderen Bereich ihres bipolaren Ideals „armis et litteris,” den Künsten und Wissenschaft widmen.*”\(^{230}\)

In other words, contemporaries understood del Pò’s paintings to express that Prince Eugene, after fighting wars and achieving peace, has shifted his focus and resources to something superior than war: the arts and sciences.

---

\(^{228}\) For more on the Austrian nobility’s patronage of Neapolitan painters, see Schütze.
\(^{230}\) Translation: “It is noteworthy, that it is not the military triumph and the war hero that make up the subject of these tributes, rather, their subject is the new time of peace that specifically victory achieved and which allows the hero to now strive for another part of his bi-polar ideal, of “*armis et litteris,*” and dedicate himself to art and to science.” See Matsche, 315–16.
Prince Eugene also commissioned the Neapolitan Solimena, who is arguably the most celebrated artist of his generation in Naples, a fourth ceiling painting for the Upper Belvedere (see fig. 181). An oblong and octagonal canvas, *Aurora and Cephalus*, once decorated the *Spiegel Zimmer*’s vault. This painting thematizes the rising sun which bathes the earth in light and makes it fertile.\(^{231}\) The subject matter is certainly appropriate for this palace with its lush gardens that are reflected in the mirrors of the octagonal *Spiegel Zimmer*. Located in the Upper Belvedere’s northeast tower, and thus, offering a clear view of the menagerie and gardens, this chamber’s windows face primarily east and appropriately towards the rising sun (see figs. 6 and 222).\(^{232}\) This relates to the iconographical message that Carloni first put forth at the Lower Belvedere in the Marble Hall through his presentation of Flora, who leads Apollo’s chariot towards Eugene’s *Kammergarten* near the Lower Belvedere. Although commissioned in 1720, this painting did not arrive in Vienna until 1731.\(^{233}\) In 1950, the same fire that destroyed del Pò’s ceiling painting in the *Audienz Zimmer* destroyed Solimena’s *Aurora and Cephalus* (fig. 223).

Ludwig Kainzbauer’s *The Gold Cabinet in the Upper Belvedere* of 1885 documents the appearance of this chamber before its destruction (fig. 224). The

---


\(^{232}\) There are four windows. The first window in the octagonal room, nearest to the loggia, faces southeast. The next window faces east, followed by the northeast window, and in the north, there is a door that leads out onto a small balcony. The last window in this room faces northwest.

\(^{233}\) For an overview of all of the primary sources and letters related to this commission, and also, the secondary sources related to the painting, see the bibliography in Prohaska, “54. Aurora entführt Kephalus (Francesco Solimena),” 246–47.
golden tone of the *boiserie*, gilded columns, double-wing doors, and portions of the vault, relates to the warm rays of the rising sun. A photograph from before 1950 demonstrates how Solimena’s painting was framed by frescoed putti who play with garlands (see fig. 181).\(^{234}\) It is not known who frescoed these putti, presumably it was Carloni. Bands of painted flowers were also seen throughout this room which was outfitted with mirrors on all sides. Reflecting the actual gardens outside, the mirrors also include the visitor’s likeness in this phantastic and overwhelming display. Ferdinand Plitzner (1678-1724) and Servatius Brickard’s (1676-1742) elaborate floral intarsia decked out this room’s floors, to further accentuate the iconographical message about the sun’s fertility. Prince Eugene contacted these German artists through Friedrich Karl von Schönborn, who, following Prince Eugene, also commissioned a similar room at his garden palace in Vienna which was filled with large mirrors and gilded panels, followed by a second one at his family’s palace in Pommersfelden.\(^{235}\) Schönborn and Prince Eugene even commissioned Solimena simultaneously to create decorations for these delightful chambers, and as a result, one expert explains that the artist attempted to incite a rivalry between these patrons, to heighten his own prestige and the amount of his payments.\(^{236}\) Solimena even wrote to Schönborn and told him he would have to

\(^{234}\) The artist is unknown.
\(^{235}\) There is also a mirror and porcelain cabinet in Prince Eugene’s city palace. See Seeger, *Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen*, 385–87. Also see fig. 103.
wait for his painting because a patron “superiori in questo Regno,” meaning Prince Eugene, would be served first.237

Solimena’s ceiling paintings are now destroyed but one bozzetto exits. It too belongs to the Colloredo-Mannsfeld Collection (see fig. 223). He rendered Aurora in a red cloth who follows an angel, the personification of the morning star.238 Aurora whisks Cephalus off into Olympus where Apollo, on his chariot, is crossing the sky. Apollo is accompanied by Zephyr, and they announce the earth’s fertility and renewal.239 Solimena’s bozzetto is close to the destroyed painting, which is seen partially in Kleiner’s engraved drawing, just like in Kainzbauer’s painting, and the photograph taken before 1950 (see figs. 181, 222, and 224). Because the theme is closely related to the Belvedere’s iconography as a garden palace, and moreover, considering that the Spiegel Zimmer’s location is in the northeast tower where the rising sun can be seen daily, it seems that Prince Eugene proposed this theme to Solimena himself. This is supported by the fact that floral intarsia originally enlivened the room, just like the bands of flower blossoms that were frescoed throughout. Given the unusual shape of Solimena’s canvas, and the di sotto in su perspective of the bozzetto, Prince Eugene also provided the dimensions and explained to the artist that the painting’s intended placement is the room’s vault. In a letter from Eugene to Solimena dated September 27, 1721, the

239 Aurenhammer and Aurenhammer, 67.
prince expresses a hope that his *Aurora and Cephalus* for the "*galleria [sic]*" would be completed shortly.²⁴⁰ He calls the *Spiegel Zimmer* a gallery, yet in addition to containing artwork, galleries were typically understood to have a longitudinal plan.²⁴¹ The prince’s designation denotes that he pushed the limits of what a gallery could achieve by combining gold with mirrors, intarsia, and a magnificent ceiling painting that Naples’ most celebrated living artist designed. In this same letter from 1721, the prince confirms that he received from Solimena a now lost preparatory drawing for the "*quadro per la mia cappella*."²⁴² In this case, Prince Eugene is referring to the *Resurrection of Christ*, still *in situ* in the Upper Belvedere’s chapel (see fig. 180). Prince Eugene soon commissioned from the same artist an altarpiece for his chapel at Schloss Hof.²⁴³

Solimena’s ceiling painting significantly enhanced the small but enchanting *Spiegel Zimmer*. This room can only be entered via the *Audienz Zimmer*. Like the *Cabinet* and *Bilder Zimmer* at the Lower Belvedere, or, the *Cabinet* and *Bibliothec* at the Upper Belvedere, the cozy and utterly impressive room was one of the most exclusive at the Belvedere. It even marks the apex of the *enfilade* on the north side of the *piano nobile’s appartement de parade*. The room mesmerized high-level

²⁴² Prohaska, “53. Auferstehung Christi (Francesco Solimena),” 242. A copy of this lost drawing that is attributed to Solimena measures thirty-one by eighteen centimeters. It is not by this artist, but a studio copy according to Prohaska. It is pictured in Österreichische Galerie and Elfriede Baum, eds., *Katalog des Österreichischen Barockmuseums im Unteren Belvedere in Wien*, vol. 1, Grosse Meister, Epochen und Themen der österreichischen Kunst: Barock (Wien: Herold, 1980), Nr. 481; Prohaska, “53. Auferstehung Christi (Francesco Solimena),” 244.
dignitaries and visitors, including Küchelbecker. In his contemporary descriptions, he declared three times that the Spiegel Zimmer is “kostbar,” or exquisite.\textsuperscript{244} After the accomplishment of the Spiegel Zimmer, some of the most elite patrons north of the Alps replicated it. They are Schönborn, as already mentioned, but also, in Salzburg, Franz Anton Fürst Harrach at the Mirabell Castle and completed circa 1727.

\textbf{In the \textit{Appartement de Parade}: The \textit{Audienz Zimmer}}

In the \textit{Audienz Zimmer}, where the prince conducted official business, the few paintings were international (see fig. 11). In addition to del Pò’s ceiling painting from Naples, \textit{Perseus and Andromeda} (numbered [II] 3. in the inventory and 36. in the catalogue) on the south wall was thought to be an autograph Titian (see figs. 219 and 225).\textsuperscript{245} This large painting would have greatly impressed contemporaries, because few autograph Titians were available for purchase by the early eighteenth century, at the time the prince acquired it. Moreover, it is significant that the artist hails from Venice, whose Doges were closely politically aligned with the Habsburg Emperors during Prince Eugene’s entire tenure as the President of the Imperial

\textsuperscript{244} Küchelbecker, \textit{Allerneueste Nachricht vom Römisch-Kayserlichen Hof Nebst einer ausführlichen Beschreibung der Kayserlichen Residentz-Stadt Wien und der umliegenden Oerter, Theils aus den Geschichten, theils aus eigener Erfahrung zusammen getragen und mit saubern Kupfbern ans Licht gegeben}, 786–87.

\textsuperscript{245} See footnote 27 of this chapter for more information.
War Council. There were also six Netherlandish works hung in this room. Abraham Mignon’s (1640-1679) *Tree Trunk with Flowers and Herbs* (numbered [II] 2. in the inventory and 35. in the catalogue) was placed to the left of *Perseus and Andromeda* (fig. 226). Cornelius de Heem’s small flower piece (numbered [II] 5. in the inventory and 41 in the catalogue) was arranged just below these works (fig. 227). Initially, Zanetti and Bertoli attributed the floral painting to de Heem (numbered [II] 4. in the inventory and excluded from the catalogue or possibly numbered 40.) which was hung to the right of *Perseus and Andromeda*, but they do not specify if Jan Davidsz. or if his son Cornelius is the creator. In 1737, they may have changed the attribution to Mignon (numbered 40. in the catalogue). Consequently, one scholar has proposed that this canvas is now at the Louvre (fig. 228).\(^{246}\) While the Mignon at the Louvre has the same measurements as those recorded by Zanetti and Bertoli, namely circa 100 by eight-four centimeters, I propose that the initial attribution was correct. In other words, this painting is not by Mignon but by Jan Davidsz. de Heem. Titled *Still life with Fruits and Flowers*, this work originates from Prince Eugene’s collection securely and it is now at the Sabauda Gallery (fig. 229). This is supported by one scholar’s research concerning Zanetti and Bertoli’s deceptive practices in their formation of the sale catalogue, because Mignon’s work had more potential to attract buyers than the lesser-known de Heem.\(^{247}\) It cannot be said with absolute certainty that this painting flanked

\(^{246}\) Namely the painting by Mignon with inventory number 1557 and measures ninety-nine by eighty-four centimeters. See Cornelia Diekamp, “Cinque nature morte di Jan Davidsz. de Heem e la sua cerchia ambientate nel Belvedere del Principe Eugenio, 1,” *Labyrinthos* 18.1999, no. 35/36 (1999): 133–34.

\(^{247}\) Tommaro, “An International Charade. Antonio Maria Zanetti the Elder and Eugene of Savoy’s Paintings.”
Perseus and Andromeda because its dimensions do not correspond exactly to those recorded by Zanetti and Bertoli. Indeed, it measures only ninety by seventy-three centimeters. One explanation for the discrepancy is that the circa ten-centimeter-thick gilded frame was erroneously included by Zanetti and Bartoli during their measurement.

The arrangement of the west wall presents problems because it was not included in Kleiner’s representation of the Audienz Zimmer. However, we may conclude that it had only one floral painting next to Courtois’s battle scene (numbered [II] 1. in the inventory 34. in the catalogue). This battle represents the Hungarian conquests over the Turks, and thus, it is an appropriate reference to Prince Eugene’s own accomplishments on the battlefield (fig. 230). My conclusion concerning the west wall’s arrangement is based on the fact that Zanetti and Bertoli’s inventory clearly indicates that only six floral works were shown in this space, which are not sopraporte, and two share nearly the same exact measurements. This pair flanked the Cheminée à la Royale (see fig. 11). Mignon and Hendrick Schoock (1630-1707) painted these two works (numbered [II] 7. in the inventory and 39. in the catalogue, and also, [II] 8. in the inventory and 37. in the catalogue) which Zanetti and Bertoli erroneously attributed to de Heem and they are both now at the Sabauda Gallery (figs. 231-232). Because Kleiner shows three more floral paintings adorning the south wall, we may conclude that the

---

248 Namely, “6… Une piece des fleurs de Heem, hauteur 2 pieds 10 pouces, largeur 2 pieds 4 pouces” and “8… Une piece des fruits de Heem, hauteur 2 pieds 9 pouces, largeur 2 pieds 2 pouces.” That is the equivalent of 89.5 by seventy-four centimeters, and eighty-seven by 68.5 centimeters. See Auer and Black, “Ein neuentdecktes Inventar der Gemäldesammlung Prinz Eugens,” 337–38.
chamber’s sixth floral work was on the west wall. This painting may be de Heem’s *Fruit and Flowers with a Crucifix, Bread, and Cup* (numbered [II] 6. in the inventory and 38. in the catalogue) (fig. 233). One art historian mistakenly proposed that the west wall featured two works, one by Jan Davisz. de Heem and the other by Cornelis de Heem, that could have flanked Courtois’ battle painting, and just below them, she proposes that Mignon’s fruit and flowers was hung. The basis for this incorrect reconstruction is a complex iconographical interpretation, which I also reject.

The *Audienz Zimmer’s* six paintings of flowers bond visually with the room’s four *sopraporte* that were painted by the local Austrian artist named Tamm. They represent exotic animals and foreign plants which the prince owned and could be viewed at the Belvedere (figs. 234-235). Two of these overdoors still exist and they will be discussed in more detail in the following section dedicated to *sopraporte*. Yet they are worth mentioning already because, just like the six easel paintings on the walls which portray accurately European fruits and flowers, Tamm’s still lifes functioned to connect the palace interiors more intimately to the specimens outside. The imagery invited guests to look for connections and find interesting parallels. The prince chose green damask to cover the *Audienz Zimmer’s* walls to underscore this intention. The color deviates from the Viennese nobility’s preferred color red, used in the Habsburg’s audience chambers traditionally, and even in the

Audienz Zimmer at Prince Eugene’s city palace. At the Upper Belvedere, red was chosen for the Conferenz Zimmer instead. The decision to hang six floral paintings near Tamm’s sopraporte, and upon a green damask walls, emphasized the verdant nature of the Belvedere. Opening the double-winged doors in Audienz Zimmer’s northeast corner caused golden rays reflecting off the mirrors in the Spiegel Zimmer to penetrate this space, in imitation of the sun. This marvelous ensemble underscores del Pò’s message on the vault: Prince Eugene is equally a skilled peacemaker as a glorious patron of fine art and science.

In the Apartment de Société: The Bilder Saal

In his travel account from 1729, the Baron Pöllnitz shared that, at the Belvedere, “les Assembless [sic] chez le Prince sont toujours fort nombreuses, sa naissance, ses emplois & son autorité lui attirent une grosse cour.” Prince Eugene’s social circles are well known and he even hosted guests frequently to play cards, especially piquet. While the prince conducted official acts in the appartement de parade, which is to the east of the central Marble Hall on the piano

---

250 Seeger, Stadtpalais und Belvedere des Prinzen Eugen, 68, 144.
251 Translation: “the prince’s assemblies are always very numerous, including to celebrate his birthday and his accomplishments, these events attract a large number of people to his court.” See Karl Ludwig Freiherr von Pöllnitz, Mémoires: contenant les observations qu’il a faites dans ses voyages, et le caractère des personnes qui composent les principales cours de l’Europe, vol. 1 (Londres: Hoguel, 1735), 229.
nobile, pleasure activities took place in the rooms to the west of the Marble Hall (see fig. 6). This part of the palace functioned as the *appartement de société* and includes the north-facing *Taffel Zimmer, Caffé Zimmer, and Spiel Zimmer* (see figs. 92-94). They ultimately lead into the *Bilder Saal*, a longitudinal gallery dedicated to displaying paintings (see fig. 47). It extends across the entire length of the west façade (see fig. 6). From the *Bilder Saal*, one can access two small, octagonal cabinets called the *Gemahlenes Cabinet*, with painted silk panels from China and a fresco by Fanti on the vault, and the *Marmoriertes Cabinet*, adorned with a variety of marble (see figs. 36 and 236). The *appartement de société* also has three south-facing chambers that can be accessed from the *Bilder Saal*. They are the *Schlaffgemach, Vorgemach*, and *Schenck Zimmer* (see figs. 95-97). The *Bilder Saal* offers access to the two penultimate chambers at the end of the *enfilade* in the *appartement de société*, namely to the *Gemahlenes Cabinet* and *Marmoriertes Cabinet*. The *Bilder Saal* also connects both the north-facing and south-facing rooms of this apartment. It served as a stage upon which Prince Eugene presented his most valuable and cherished paintings. One expert explains that, in this type of gallery “an owner was able to display his refined artistic taste, his magnificence, his wealth, and therefore his power.” The paintings were hung in a manner that elicits comparison while boasting that Prince Eugene’s invests his money wisely in art objects which beget intellectual enrichment.

---

Through imported cultural objects of the utmost sophistication, galleries
became efficient vehicles of self-aggrandizement in the palaces of early modern
European nobles.\textsuperscript{255} One expert details: “Display….is always made for an
audience; in a sense, it is not complete until viewers respond to it.”\textsuperscript{256} Accordingly,
the \textit{Bilder Saal} became a coveted stop on the Grand Tour of numerous individuals
like Küchelbecker. The collection even gained fame in Prince Eugene’s own
lifetime. The paintings of the walls of the \textit{Bilder Saal} originated overwhelmingly
from Italy. There were twenty-two Italian works in total (numbered [I] 2., 5.-10., 12.-
14., 17.-20., 24.-31. in the inventory and in catalogue), of which fourteen Zanetti
and Bertoli attributed to the hands of Bolognese artists and those specifically linked
to the Bolognese Carracci School (numbered [I] 6.-10., 13.-14., 18.-20., 24.-25.,
27., and 30. in the inventory and catalogue). There are nine additional paintings in
the \textit{Bilder Saal} of German, English, and Netherlandish origin (numbered [I] 1., 3.-
4., 11., 15.-16., and 21.-23. in the inventory and catalogue). In addition to four
\textit{sopraporte} by Phillip Ferdinand de Hamilton, which I will discuss in the following
section dedicated to overdoors, the \textit{Bilder Saal’s} four walls were hung with thirty-one paintings in total. Giampietro Zanotti declared that Prince Eugene loved
Bolognese art the most, and that this fact was well-known.\textsuperscript{257} Therefore, we may
conclude that a desire to engender discussions concerning the high merits of
Bolognese art motivated Prince Eugene’s decision to hang numerous paintings by

\textsuperscript{255} Strunck, 217.
\textsuperscript{256} Carole Paul, “The Grand Tour and the Economy of Display,” in \textit{Display of Art in the
\textsuperscript{257} Zanotti, \textit{Storia dell’Accademia Clementina di Bologna, aggregata all’istituto delle
scienze e dell’arti}, 1:275.
masters from this city near to high-quality works by revered artists across centuries and schools.

Kleiner’s engraved drawing discloses that five works were hung on the slender section of the north wall, in-between two windows overlooking the palace gardens and Vienna’s skyline. Zanetti and Bertolli attributed these works to Albani (numbered [I] 27. in the inventory and catalogue), a follower of Antonio da Correggio (1489-1534) (numbered [I] 28. in the inventory and catalogue), an unknown Italian artist (numbered [I] 29. in the inventory and catalogue), the Carracci (numbered [I] 30. in the inventory and catalogue), and il Brusasorci (numbered [I] 31. in the inventory and catalogue). One expert who has analyzed this room discovered that Pietro della Vecchia, a follower of Correggio, as well as an unknown Italian artist, the Carracci, and il Brusasorci painted them with certainty (fig. 237).258 Similarly, across the room, five paintings were hung between two windows on the south wall that overlook the main entrance to the Belvedere. The inventory’s authors contend that Reni painted one (numbered [I] 10. in the inventory and catalogue), while Hendrick Goltzius (1558-1617) and Giulio Cesare Procaccini (1574–1625) are said to have painted two each (numbered [I] 11.-12. and 13.-14. in the inventory and catalogue). One of Goltzius’ pieces copies Raphael (numbered 12. in the inventory and catalogue). Therefore, I included it in the tally of the Bilder Saal’s Italian paintings. These five works are now attributed

---

credibly to Reni, a Dutch painter, a copyist of Raphael, and the last two works are by Bartolomeo Schedoni (ca. 1578-1615) (figs. 238-241). This imagery thematizes the human body and its expressive potential, which graduates of the Carracci School mastered rendering due to the Accademia Clementina’s emphasis on studying anatomy and on participating in live drawing sessions with nude models.

On the east wall, in addition to two sopraporte representing rams, there were nine large history paintings (see fig. 47). The inventory assigns the largest to Reni, whose Adam and Eve (numbered [I] 7. in the inventory and catalogue) was placed at the center because these two figures guide the main theme about the human figure which dominates the Italian artwork throughout the Bilder Saal (see fig. 182). Zanetti and Bertolli attribute the artwork on the left of Reni’s painting to Anthony van Dyck (1599-1641) (numbered [I] 1. in the inventory and catalogue), Titian (numbered [I] 2. in the inventory and catalogue), Wouwerman (numbered [I] 3. in the inventory and catalogue), and Francesco Salviati (1510-1563) (numbered [I] 5. in the inventory and catalogue) (figs. 242-245). This differs from Kleiner’s engraved drawing that shows not van Dyck’s work on the east wall, but a painting


\[\text{For a detailed discussion of these works including the provenance and literature references, see Diekamp, “Die Sammlung eines Prinzen: zur Geschichte der Gemälde des Prinzen Eugen nach 1736 mit einer Rekonstruktion des ‘Bilder-Saales’ im Oberen Belvedere,” 27–32, 103–7; Diekamp, “La galleria del principe Eugenio di Savoia nel Belvedere Superiore a Vienna: storia e ricostruzione,” 777–81.}\]
by Alessandro Varotari, who is also called il Padovanino (1588-1649) (numbered [I] 26. in the inventory and catalogue) (fig. 246). The discrepancy signals that Prince Eugene acquired van Dyck’s painting after Kleiner made his drawing circa 1729, but before 1736, at which point Zanetti and Bertolli prepared their list. To the right of Reni’s Adam and Eve, Zanetti and Bertolli attribute one painting to an unknown master (numbered [I] 4. in the inventory and catalogue), two to Reni (numbered [I] 6. and 8. in the inventory and catalogue), and one more is said to be by Albani (numbered [I] 9. in the inventory and catalogue). In fact, these works are by an unknown Dutch artist, Giangiacomo Sementi (1583-1636) copying Reni, and the last two are by Albani’s own hand (figs. 247-249). This group also elicited comparisons centered on the various representations of the nude, and moreover, discussion about the formal qualities of the artwork.

There were twelve more paintings on the west wall which could have been paired in four groups of three and placed between the two windows and two doors. In both the inventory and catalogue, Zanetti’s and Bertolli’s attributions of these works are not accurate. One art historian has shown that they are by Jan Breughel (numbered [I] 15. and 16. in the inventory and catalogue), Iacopo Negretti (1548/50-1628) called Palma il Giovane (numbered [I] 17. in the inventory and catalogue)

---


263 A similar reconstruction is proposed by Diekamp, “Die Sammlung eines Prinzen: zur Geschichte der Gemäldesammlung des Prinzen Eugen nach 1736 mit einer Rekonstruktion des ‘Bilder-Saales’ im Oberen Belvedere,” 40 (Abb. 24); Diekamp, “La galleria del principe Eugenio di Savoia nel Belvedere Superiore a Vienna: storia e ricostruzione,” 755 (Fig. 5.).
Cignani (numbered [I] 18. in the inventory and catalogue), an imitator of Cignani (numbered [I] 19. in the inventory and catalogue), possibly Correggio (numbered [I] 20. in the inventory and catalogue), Wouwerman (numbered [I] 21. in the inventory and catalogue), a copy after van Dyck (numbered [I] 22. in the inventory and catalogue), Potter (numbered [I] 23. in the inventory and catalogue), Cignani (numbered [I] 24. in the inventory and catalogue), Albani (numbered [I] 25. in the inventory and catalogue), and finally, il Padovanino (numbered [I] 26. in the inventory and catalogue). These paintings represent the best of the Flemish, English, Bolognese, and Venetian schools and date between the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries. The grouping may be dubbed a “mixed-school installation” which one expert explains has imagery with diverging styles because it facilitated comparisons between the artists and periods. Another expert explains that “the precepts of academic art theory first formulated by Italian critics in the Renaissance” encouraged viewers to compare the artwork by thinking about its “constituent parts” which have the power to “instruct and delight.” These parts are defined as the painting’s “design or drawing, coloring, composition, decorum, expression, grace, imitation, invention, proportion and beauty.” To facilitate the process, a gallery display, like in the Bilder Saal, was usually arranged

266 Paul, 302.
267 Paul, 302.
symmetrically and in groupings.\textsuperscript{268} Indeed, the west wall was the most appropriate place for hanging four groups of three paintings that were easily separated by the windows and doors, and thus, according to intended parallels which Prince Eugene curated. While uncommon in Italy, the \textit{Bilder Saal’s} mixed-school display scheme became especially popular in France by the mid-eighteenth century.\textsuperscript{269}

Contemporaries would have understood the \textit{Bilder Saal}, filled with renowned works by both Italian and northern-European masters, to be a proud expression of Prince Eugene’s cultural primacy. The paintings were very expensive and Küchelbecker explained that they cost between 12,000 to 50,000 florins each.\textsuperscript{270} The installation underscores that the prince preferred the art of Bologna, and he intended to import the city’s high culture to Vienna visually in his renowned \textit{Bilder Saal}. This is underscored by the inclusion of Fanti’s \textit{quadratura} on the vault, as stated in chapter one. Additionally, the collection conveys that the prince knows how to invest his money well. The success of the display in Vienna is confirmed by the fact that, soon after the \textit{Bilder Saal’s} completion, Charles VI followed suit and hired du Plessy to redecorate his own gallery in the Stallburg near the Hofburg palace.\textsuperscript{271}

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{268} Paul, 302.
\item \textsuperscript{269} Paul, 299.
\item \textsuperscript{270} Küchelbecker, \textit{Allerneueste Nachricht vom Römisch-Kayserlichen Hof Nebst einer ausführlichen Beschreibung der Kayserlichen Residentz-Stadt Wien und der umliegenden Oerter, Theils aus den Geschichten, theils aus eigener Erfahrung zusammen getragen und mit saubern Kupfern ans Licht gegeben}, 788.
\end{itemize}
In the Marble Hall and *Sopraporte* throughout the *Piano Nobile*: Animal and Plant Portraits

In addition to importing foreign paintings, Prince Eugene hired skilled local artists to create verdant *sopraporte* and animal portraits that represent actual specimens which populated the gardens and menagerie at the Belvedere. My analysis of the Upper Belvedere’s thirty-nine *sopraporte* indicates that the following rooms, as labeled on Kleiner’s ground plan, featured four overdoors each; the *Bilder Saal* and the *Audienz Zimmer*. Three *sopraporte* were seen in the following rooms in the *appartement de parade*: the *Antichambre*, *Conferenz Zimmer*, *Schlaff Zimmer*, and *Bibliothec*. Two *sopraporte* adorned the small room labeled *Cabinet* which was filled with ninety-eight miniature paintings. The *Spiegel Zimmer* featured one overdoor. In the *appartement de société*, the *Tafel Zimmer*, *Caffé Zimmer*, *Spiel Zimmer*, *Schlaff Gemach*, and *Vorgemach* all featured three overdoors each. Finally, the *Gemahlenes Cabinet* featured one overdoor. Except for Boni’s three *sopraporte* in the *Bibliothec*, two more overdoors in the *Cabinet* that were attributed to a Dutch artist, and the overdoor in the *Spiegel Zimmer* depicting *Leda and the Swan*, the Upper Belvedere’s thirty-three other *sopraporte* were created by local artists. The prince’s need for overdoors helped to form a local school of painters who specialized in botanically accurate renderings. They include Johann Kunstner, Phillip Ferdinand de Hamilton, Ignaz Heinitz von Heinzenthal, and Franz Werner Tamm, whom I first mentioned in the introduction. At every high-ranking chamber’s threshold on the *piano nobile*, painted *sopraporte* reinforce the message Heinitz
von Heinzenthal introduces in two magnificent portraits of the prince’s foreign specimens above the twin Cheminée a la Royale in the Marble Hall. To be specific, that Prince Eugene had the necessary connections to collect rare plants and animals from across the globe. Eugene provided the opportunity to read about these rarities in his library with its five sections dedicated to natural history, while at the Belvedere, one could personally examine a plethora of live exotic specimens thanks to this erudite prince.272

The Habsburg court regarded Heinitz von Heinzenthal highly for his naturalistic representations and animal portraits. Although in 1714 this artist applied unsuccessfully to the Akademie der Bildende Künste to take over Peter Strudel’s (1660-1714) position as “Anatomie- und Zeichenlehrer,” Heinitz von Heinzenthal enjoyed an annual salary of 700 Gulden as court painter and as “Galerie Adjunkt” from circa 1713 onward.273 Then in 1723, Heinitz von Heinzenthal received a prestigious commission from Prince Eugene for the two animal paintings in the Marble Hall (figs. 250-251). While on official business with Charles VI in Prague, on August 26, 1723, the prince penned a letter to a valet named Benedetti (life dates unknown) in Vienna.274 The prince’s letter contains

---

272 They are Tractatus Generales Historiae Naturalis with fifty-five titles, Aquarum, Fluviorum, Fontium, Metallorum, Mineralium, Fossilium, Gemmar; Lapidum, Conchiliorum, Descriptiones with eighty-one titles, Tractat de Agricultura et Re Rustica with thirty titles, Plantarum Arborum Fruticum, et Florum Icones et Descriptiones with two hundred and fifty-six titles, and finally, Animalium Historia General et Singul with eighty titles. See Boyet, “Catalogus librorum bibliothecae principis Eugenii de Sabaudia. Vol. 1,” 223–302.


explicit instructions for Benedetti to relay to Heinitz von Heinzenthal. It states that the artist should study and render accurately unique specimens that live at the Belvedere. His two paintings are installed in marble and their half-round shape indicates with certainty that Prince Eugene always intended for them to be shown in this reception hall. The prince even states about them, they “seront mis au des pus les Cheminées dans la Salle.” Today, both works are still in situ at the Upper Belvedere. One expert explains, “the inclusion of exotic animals alongside artworks in princely palaces reflected the preeminent role of natural history in this era of scientific curiosity and advancement of knowledge.” These highly naturalistic paintings by Heinitz von Heinzenthal informed guests immediately in the reception hall that Prince Eugene was an enlightened patron who promoted art and science passionately.

An ostrich pair is featured in Heinitz von Heinzenthal’s painting in the Marble Hall’s southeast corner. Originating from south and central Africa, the male stands in the foreground and a female companion is just behind him. Prince Eugene owned at least eight ostriches that are documented living at the Belvedere between 1723, when Heinitz von Heinzenthal began to work on this painting, and 1738,

---

275 Translation: “will be placed above the fireplaces in the hall.” See Savoy.
276 While on view at the Belvedere since 1963, these works are owned by the Kunsthistorisches Museum in Vienna. The painting titled Straußenpaar vor Bananenstaude und blühender Aloe has the inventory number 5634 and the painting titled Hyäne mit Helmkasuar vor Kakteen (Opuntien) has the inventory number 5635. Both works are oil on canvas and they measure 317 x 159 centimeters. See Österreichische Galerie and Baum, Katalog des Österreichischen Barockmuseums im Unteren Belvedere in Wien, 1:246–50; Agnes Husslein-Arco, Marie-Louise von Plessen, and Schloss Belvedere (Vienna, Austria), eds., Prince Eugene: General-Philosopher and Art Lover (Vienna, Munich: Belvedere Museum, Hirmer, 2010), 240.
when the last ostrich is documented at the palace. These are likely the very first ostriches to have ever lived on Austrian soil. Two small exotic ducks are also seen in this painting’s bottom righthand corner. The tan duck is a ruddy shelduck that originates from the Near and Far East, and just behind it, a drake Canvasback from North America is rendered. Prince Eugene owned at least two ruddy shelducks between 1723 and 1732. This duck species also appears in Kleiner’s colored drawing of the menagerie (fig. 252). Kleiner’s original drawings, eleven in total, now belong to the Albertina Collection (figs. 252-262). These images were not only circulated in monochrome as part of the series of prints depicting the Belvedere, but also, Prince Eugene kept his own color copies in his library. It is significant that these drawings are the only of Kleiner’s to include color. They document accurately the prince’s living specimens at the Belvedere and allowed them to be studied easily when conducting research in the library.

280 Fitzinger, Versuch einer Geschichte der Menagerien des österreichisch-kaiserlichen Hofes mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Menagerie zu Schönnbrunn nebst einer Aufzählung der in denselben gehaltenen Thiere von der ältesten bis auf die neueste Zeit, 39.
Heinitz von Heinzenthal’s painting sets four exotic birds among ruins and among two exotic plants. Like in Kleiner’s drawings which show antique or antique-like sculptures in the background, these ruins are meant to evoke the actual statuary at the Belvedere which is attributed to the Venetian trained sculptor Giovanni Stanetti (1663-1726), who became a court sculptor to Charles VI in 1712, and moreover, to his pupil, Ingenuin Lechleitner (1676-1731). One of the pictured plants is an agave, that is native to the arid regions of the Americas and was already known in Europe since the mid sixteenth century. Notably, the painting depicts the prince’s agave in full bloom. The plant’s flower likely caused a sensation because, when they are grown in a greenhouse, as Eugene’s specimen, agaves flower only once every fifty years, and thereafter, they will die. Indeed, in late October 1719, a flowering agave was announced in the *Wienerisches Diarium*, which was blooming since September 28 of that year. It could be witnessed in the gardens of Louisa Wilhelmina von Lilengau (died 1738) in the Duchy of Brieg. The newspaper reports that the flower’s stalk had reached about five meters in height and sprouted twenty-five stems that carried over 1500 flowers, thus attracting visitors from far and wide to Silesia. Surely, the prince’s flowering agave caused a spectacle in Vienna and Heinitz von Heinzenthal documents this rare occasion.

---

284 Fiedler and Giese, 176.
The cloudy blue sky in this painting’s background is filled by a flowering banana tree. Banana plants are native to the tropical regions of India and to Southeast Asia from where the Portuguese brought these plants to Europe already by the sixteenth century. Yet flowering examples were virtually unknown in central Europe because banana plants prefer to flower and produce fruit only when they are grown near to the coast. The flowering banana tree that Heinitz von Heinzenthal painted at the Belvedere in 1723 actually produced fruit in 1727. Before then, the only banana tree known to have produced flowers in central Europe could be seen in the gardens of Karl III. Wilhelm von Baden-Doorlatch (1679-1738) in Karlsruhe in 1712. This specimen flowered just before a third example in central Europe, in the Caspar Bosisch Gardens in Leipzig in 1733. The choice to render these rare flowering plants among exotic animals advertised, in the Belvedere’s reception hall, that all these rare specimens from across the globe thrived in Prince Eugene’s gardens and his menagerie.

Heinitz von Heinzenthal’s second painting in the Marble Hall’s southwest corner also features an African animal prominently (see fig. 251). A hyena accompanies a flightless cassowary who is native to the tropical forests of the North Pacific islands. The Austrian zoologist Leopold Joseph Franz Johann

[288] Johann Gottlieb Worms, Ost-Indian- und Persianische Reisen oder Zehnjährige auf Groß-Java, Bengala und ... in Persien geleistete Kriegs-Dienste ... verfasset ... und erleutert ... durch Crispinum Weisen (Hübner, 1737), 95, 97; Fiedler and Giese, “Die Menagerie und der Botanische Garten des Prinzen Eugen im Belvedere,” 172.
[289] According to Fitzinger, Prince Eugene’s cassowary came from the Sunda Islands. See Fitzinger, Versuch einer Geschichte der Menagerien des österreichisch-kaiserlichen Hofes mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Menagerie zu Schönbrunn nebst einer
Fitzinger, who in 1853 was the first scholar to document the specimens living in Belvedere’s menagerie, notes that Prince Eugene owned at least one hyena that behaved “sehr zahm und liess den Wärter zu sich in den Käfig.” Küchelbecker, who refers to Prince Eugene’s hyena as an “indianischer Wolf,” as does Kleiner, mentions that the keeper had even engaged this animal in rough play. The prince also owned one cassowary that lived at the Belvedere until at least 1732. Like the hyena, the cassowary is featured in Kleiner’s colored drawings of the menagerie (see fig. 253). As Eugene’s ostriches, this flightless bird is likely the very first specimen that lived in imperial Vienna. In Heinitz von Heinzenthal’s painting, the hyena preys on a chital, a spotted deer native to the Indian subcontinent. Prince Eugene owned at least four chital that are documented living at the palace between 1723 and 1732. The painting’s background features ruins

---

Aufzählung der in denselben gehaltenen Thiere von der ältesten bis auf die neueste Zeit, 38.

290 Translation: “Very tame and it allowed the keeper into its cage.” After purchasing the menagerie, Charles VI had the hyena transported to the Neuegebäude in 1738. See Fitzinger, 30.


292 Fitzinger, Versuch einer Geschichte der Menagerien des österreichisch-kaiserlichen Hofes mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Menagerie zu Schönnbrunn nebst einer Aufzählung der in denselben gehaltenen Thiere von der ältesten bis auf die neueste Zeit, 38.


and rare plants, including one large prickly pear that originates from the deserts of North America. Kleiner’s drawings of the menagerie suggest that Prince Eugene owned at least three of these cacti. While Heinitz von Heinzenthal rendered the prickly pear in bloom, Kleiner shows them without flowers (see figs. 255-256). Küchelbecker describes that the prince’s columnar cactus, measuring approximately three and a half meters, rivaled one at Charles VI’s garden palace called Favorita.\textsuperscript{295} Such exotic plants interested the people of Vienna greatly. For instance, when the king’s cactus bloomed it was reported in the \textit{Wienerisches Diarium} on July 15, 1719.\textsuperscript{296} Just three weeks later, on August 5, 1719, the \textit{Wienerisches Diarium} reported that the columnar cactus at the Belvedere was also in bloom.\textsuperscript{297}

There are two tall palm trees in the painting’s background which are native to tropical and subtropical climates only. They are likely the two palms that Prince Eugene received in 1719, which were transported from Italy to north of the Alps and floated down the Danube river to Vienna where they arrived on April 3 of that year.\textsuperscript{298} Accompanied by a plethora of orange trees, the two palms are described as being so tall that “dergleichen an Größe niemalen in Teutschland [sic] gesehen


\textsuperscript{297} “Nr. 1671 Wien vom 5. bis 8. August 1719,” \textit{Wienerisches Diarium}, 1719.

\textsuperscript{298} “Nr. 1635 Wien vom 1. bis 4. April 1719,” \textit{Wienerisches Diarium}, 1719.
It is no surprise, therefore, that Heinitz von Heinzenthal featured these famous palms so prominently (see fig. 250). The centrally placed palm includes a tall flower spike, thus suggesting it is a Corypha palm. Prince Eugene owned this species, and two others called feather and fan palms which are recorded thriving at the Belvedere during the prince’s lifetime (see figs. 253, 255, 256, 257, and 258). Evidently satisfied with these paintings, the prince ordered six additional works from Heinitz von Heinzenthal that he displayed at Schloss Hof.

The two large paintings in the Marble Hall set the tone for nearly all the sopraporte on the piano nobile at the Upper Belvedere. As already stated, but is worth reiterating, there were thirty-nine sopraporte in total and Kleiner’s drawings suggest that thirty-five of these portrayed animals or plants. Hamilton painted ten of the prince’s overdoors, which, like Heinitz von Heinzenthal’s works, depict actual specimens which lived in the menagerie and which Kleiner also documented with his color drawings. Seven of these works are still known to exist (see figs. 263-267 and 269-270). Six additional and extant sopraporte from the Upper Belvedere are attributed to Tamm (see figs. 234-235 and 271-274). The rest are considered lost. The theme of these paintings is the extremely rare opportunity to observe such curious animals and plants at the Belvedere. Indeed, during the first three decades

---

299 Translation: “the same in size has never been seen before in Germany.“ Nr. 1635 Wien vom 1. bis 4. April 1719.”
301 See Frantes, “Die vollständige Originalausstattung der Schlösser Hof and der March und Niederweiden von 1736: Das Nachlaßinventar des Prinzen Eugen von Savoyen.”; Thomas, “Heinitz (Haynitz; Heiniz; Heintz; Heiniz; Heynitz) von Heinzenthal, Ignaz (Ignaz D.).”
of the eighteenth century in all of Europe, Prince Eugene’s menagerie—home to at least forty-three varieties of international mammals and sixty-seven varieties of exotic birds—was only rivaled by Versailles.302 The prince even owned many more bird species than those that Kleiner drew. So much so that, in 1853, Fitzinger also excluded some of the species from his own otherwise comprehensive list of birds and animals at the Belvedere.303 While Kleiner did not picture all the species that the prince owned, Fitzinger’s list proves the prince had at least ten varieties of apes, four kinds of rodents, seventeen different ruminants, and twelve predators. This includes a docile male lion that Prince Eugene even allowed to roam freely on his estate. It once surprised guests as they dined with Prince Eugene in the Taffel Zimmer at the Upper Belvedere.304 Küchelbecker was so impressed by Prince Eugene’s garden and menagerie that he declared:


304 Fitzinger, Versuch einer Geschichte der Menagerien des österreichisch-kaiserlichen Hofes mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Menagerie zu Schönnbrunn nebst einer Aufzählung der in denselben gehaltenen Thiere von der ältesten bis auf die neueste Zeit, 29–33.
305 Translation: “At this palace, no effort nor money was spared to transform the estate into one of the most preeminent. The design causes all to feel approbation and awe. My descriptions of the rare animals and unforgettably beautiful garden render the claim
One scholar explains that, in the early modern era, menageries, or “purpose-built zoological spaces,” were “universally recognized as key requirements in the manifestation of princely magnificence amid the ruling elite.” Thus, Prince Eugene commissioned well-known local artists, who were specialists in animal and plant painting, to create portrait-like images because they document the astonishing span of his distinctive collection. It certainly required the prince to have reliable connections in the Austrian Netherlands, particularly through the Ostend East India Company. As noted already in chapter two, they procured exotic animals and birds for Prince Eugene. Other animals were given to him as diplomatic gifts. For example, Muhamad Effendi (life dates unknown) of Tripoli gave Prince Eugene a “gesprechelte Wildkatze,” and in 1732, Jussuf Khodsha (life dates unknown) of Tunis gifted the prince a tiger.

While Prince Eugene exhibited thirty-nine sopraporte throughout the piano nobile, Kleiner omitted eight of them in his imagery due to his actual physical position in a room—such as standing under a doorway to draw—but we must assume that each doorcase was treated equally. For example, in the Bilder Saal, credible, yet I can barely capture the beauty.” See Küchelbecker, Allerneueste Nachricht vom Römisch-Kayserlichen Hof Nebst einer ausführlichen Beschreibung der Kayserlichen Residentz-Stadt Wien und der umliegenden Oerter, Thells aus den Geschichten, theils aus eigener Erfahrung zusammen getragen und mit saubern Kupffern ans Licht gegeben, 789.


307 See the brief discussion on page 118 of chapter two, and footnote 49 in the same chapter.


309 See the brief discussion on page 196 of this chapter.
although Kleiner only drew two *sopraporte* on the room’s east wall, the painting inventory confirms that there were four *sopraporte* by Hamilton “*sur les portes.*”\(^{310}\)

This means *sopraporte* consistently topped each doorcase in every one of the highest-ranking chambers on this floor. Beginning in the *appartement de société*, in the *Taffel Zimmer*, there are three *sopraporte* by Hamilton. Part of the collections of Kunsthistorisches Museum in Vienna, all of these works are again *in situ* at the Belvedere. Above the *Taffel Zimmer*’s east door, Hamilton painted a European lynx and an Egyptian vulture in a landscape setting (fig. 263).\(^{311}\) The Egyptian vulture, native to Eurasia, Africa, and the Near East, challenges the lynx who has pounced on his chicken dinner. Prince Eugene owned numerous lynx that are documented in the Belvedere’s menagerie between 1722, the year that Hamilton signed and dated this painting, and 1738, at which point Charles VI ordered the remaining lynx to be transferred to the Neuegebäude, which briefly became home to the imperial menagerie (see figs. 254 and 261).\(^{312}\) Two Egyptian vultures are also documented in the Belvedere’s menagerie between 1722 and 1738.\(^{313}\)

Hamilton’s next overdoor painting in the *Taffel Zimmer*, above the west door, features a leucitic fallow deer and two domestic goats in a landscape (fig.


\(^{311}\) This lynx is erroneously called a leopard in the description provided by the Kunsthistorisches Museum. It is stored under the inventory number 382. See Husslein-Arco, Plessen, and Schloss Belvedere (Vienna, Austria), *Prince Eugene*, 177.


\(^{313}\) Fitzinger, 33–34.
264).\textsuperscript{314} The buck is native to Europe. Its immature horns and cream-colored coat disclose that this is a juvenile who will turn pure white as he ages. Prince Eugene owned more than four fallow deer which lived at the Belvedere between 1722, when Hamilton signed and dated this painting, and 1738.\textsuperscript{315} The domestic goats accompany the fallow deer since the prince owned countless goats that lived in the menagerie alongside exotic animals (see fig. 254-255).\textsuperscript{316} Hamilton also painted one extremely rare semi-albino Eurasian blackbird.\textsuperscript{317} This painting is the only record of the bird’s existence in Prince Eugene’s aviary. The prince had a known preference for his golden eagle, which he fed by hand daily during his summer sojourns at the Belvedere.\textsuperscript{318}

Albinism is also a theme in Hamilton’s third overdoor in the \textit{Taffel Zimmer} (fig. 265). This work, again signed and dated 1722, features one half-albino helmeted guineafowl on the left, and, on the right, a fully albino helmeted guineafowl. Behind this pair, Hamilton included two helmeted guineafowls without albinism. All four bird are native to Africa. Prince Eugene owned many guineafowls from at least 1722, when the painting was created. Long after his death, in 1752,

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{314} This fallow deer is erroneously named a goat by the Kunsthistorisches Museum in Vienna, where the painting is stored under the inventory number 4140. See Österreichische Galerie and Baum, \textit{Katalog des Österreichischen Barockmuseums im Unteren Belvedere in Wien}, 1:229–30; Husslein-Arco, Plessen, and Schloss Belvedere (Vienna, Austria), \textit{Prince Eugene}, 177.
\item \textsuperscript{315} Fitzinger, \textit{Versuch einer Geschichte der Menagerien des österreichisch-kaiserlichen Hofes mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Menagerie zu Schönbrunn nebst einer Aufzählung der in denselben gehaltenen Thiere von der ältesten bis auf die neueste Zeit}, 31.
\item \textsuperscript{316} Fitzinger, 32.
\item \textsuperscript{317} Österreichische Galerie and Baum, \textit{Katalog des Österreichischen Barockmuseums im Unteren Belvedere in Wien}, 1:229.
\item \textsuperscript{318} Alfred Ritter von Arneth, \textit{Prinz Eugen von Savoyen: 1719-1736} (Druck und Verlag der topogr.-literar-artist Anstalt, 1858), 77.
\end{itemize}
Maria Theresia had the bird’s offspring transferred to Schönbrunn. Hamilton’s landscape setting also features a South American coati that glares at the guineafowl hungrily. Indeed, Prince Eugene owned a South American, red-furred coati like the ones shown in Hamilton and Kleiner’s depictions (see fig. 254).

Prince Eugene’s aviary is the subject of three *sopraporte* by Hamilton in the *Schlaff Gemach*. Painted in 1723 and featuring four vultures in a landscape, one of these works can be seen above the chamber’s west door on Kleiner’s engraved drawing (see figs. 96 and 266). From left to right, we notice a Eurasian cinereous vulture, a juvenile Eurasian griffon vulture, one king vulture native to Central and South America, and finally, on the far right, Hamilton painted an adult Eurasian griffon vulture. These birds of prey count as some of the earliest specimens that Prince Eugene collected for his aviary (see fig. 262).

Across the hall, formerly above the east door, Hamilton’s painting of waterfowl was hung (fig. 267). This work, signed and dated 1724, includes a white dalmatian pelican in the background, a great white pelican which bears a pink hue in the middle, and on the far right, a pair of Eurasian spoonbills. Fitzinger records that all these birds, with the exception of a great white pelican, lived at the

---

320 Fitzinger, 30.
321 This painting belongs to the Kunsthistorisches Museum where it is stored under inventory number 4208.
322 Fitzinger, *Versuch einer Geschichte der Menagerien des österreichisch-kaiserlichen Hofes mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Menagerie zu Schönbrunn nebst einer Aufzählung der in denselben gehaltenen Thiere von der ältesten bis auf die neueste Zeit*, 34.
Belvedere in the prince’s lifetime (see fig. 252).\(^{323}\) Given that Fitzinger did not list all the birds that the prince owned, we may conclude that this painting is proof that the great white pelican lived at the Belvedere in 1724. One heron stands just behind the two spoonbills followed by a drake pintail, and possibly a goose, according to the bird’s unique markings.\(^{324}\) Two Asian swan geese stand between the pelicans on the left side of this painting, along with a Muscovy duck from Central and South America, and finally, a ruddy shelduck native to India. Likewise, Fitzinger records that all of these waterfowl had lived in the aviary until at least 1738 (see fig. 258).\(^{325}\) Finally, Hamilton’s third painting in this room, which is now lost, is seen in Kleiner’s engraved drawing. It includes so-called scansorial birds primarily (fig. 268). The prince owned at least thirteen different varieties of parrots, parakeets, and cockatoos that came from Asia, Africa, and the Americas (see fig. 259).\(^{326}\) Just as Hamilton’s three paintings in the Taffel Zimmer that represent various taxonomies in the menagerie, these three paintings of exotic birds in the Schlaff Gemach advertise their birds’ presence in the aviary.

The Bilder Saal is the third and final chamber for which Hamilton created four animal paintings based on unique specimens in the menagerie. These works are no longer in situ, and only two can be seen in Kleiner’s engraved drawings of the room (see fig. 47). Above the doors that lead east, into the Spiel Zimmer and

---

\(^{323}\) Fitzinger, 39–40.
\(^{324}\) Due to the bird’s black neck, white markings on the cheeks and the white breast, it may be a Canada Goose that originates from North America.
\(^{325}\) Fitzinger, Versuch einer Geschichte der Menagerien des österreichisch-kaiserlichen Hofes mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Menagerie zu Schönbrunn nebst einer Aufzählung der in denselben gehaltenen Thiere von der ältesten bis auf die neueste Zeit, 39–40.
\(^{326}\) Fitzinger, 34–35.
into the *Schlaff Gemach*, Hamilton created two paintings with rams and sheep. One of these oil on canvas works depicting a pair of European mouflons measures 110 by 125 centimeters. Today, it is stored at the Kunsthistorisches Museum.\(^{327}\) The prince owned numerous moufflons that are documented living at the Belvedere from at least 1725 onwards. In fact, their offspring were taken to the menagerie at Schönbrunn in 1752.\(^{328}\) The second painting depicting sheep is also oil on canvas and measures 110 by 124.5 centimeters. Before World War II, this painting was removed from storage at the Kunsthistorisches Museum and placed on view at Schloss Eckartsau where it was destroyed in 1945.\(^{329}\)

The subject matter of Hamilton’s two additional *sopraporte* in the *Bilder Saal* is not known with certainly. Yet there are two works by Hamilton at the Kunsthistorisches Museum that complement the other overdoors from this chamber, in terms of their subject matter. The first, Hamilton signed and dated in 1724. It depicts a pair of extremely rare piebald deer and their fawn who suffer from a form of albinism (fig. 269).\(^{330}\) These three animals are accompanied by a common European goat and an African porcupine. Indeed, all these animals are documented living in the menagerie from at least 1725 onward. In 1752, Maria Theresia had Prince Eugene’s porcupine transferred to the menagerie at

---

\(^{327}\) The inventory number is 1880. I am not aware of the painting’s date.

\(^{328}\) Fitzinger, *Versuch einer Geschichte der Menagerien des österreichisch-kaiserlichen Hofes mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Menagerie zu Schönbrunn nebst einer Aufzählung der in denselben gehaltenen Thiere von der ältesten bis auf die neueste Zeit*, 33.

\(^{329}\) Husslein-Arco, Plessen, and Schloss Belvedere (Vienna, Austria), *Prince Eugene*, 270.

\(^{330}\) The inventory number is 2620.
Schönbrunn. Hamilton signed and dated the other painting in 1723. It depicts a chital, which we have already seen in Heinitz von Heinzenthal’s painting in the reception hall, and, moreover, a European ibex, an Alpine hare, and on the far righthand side of this painting, a polycerate goat shows off its four horns (fig. 270). Each of these animals is documented in the Belvedere's menagerie from as early as 1725 onwards. Given their consistent measurements and the fact that they feature the patron’s rare ruminants in the menagerie, it seems reasonable to assume that Prince Eugene commissioned these two works for the Bilder Saal.

The three rooms in the Upper Belvedere’s appartement de société that exhibited Hamilton’s sopraporte of rare animals from the menagerie rank higher than the Caffé Zimmer, the Spiel Zimmer, and the Vorgemach. Yet Prince Eugene also outfitted these three rooms with overdoors, now lost. Kleiner’s drawings indicate they all contain flowers and fruit, instead of rare animals. Tamm’s three sopraporte originally from the Belvedere’s Audienz Zimmer provide evidence about the style of the lost overdoors (see figs. 234-235 and 271). One of Tamm’s oil on canvas paintings measures 151 by 142 centimeters and was painted circa 1720 (see fig. 235). It portrays a large bouquet and a Eurasian crane, which the
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Prince owned and is known to have lived at the Belvedere until 1738. The painting’s unique shape, elevated slightly by four centimeters at the top and center, corresponds to a second painting by Tamm that can also be seen in Kleiner’s engraved drawing of the Audienz Zimmer. It features a small gazelle, an animal of which the prince owned several, until at least 1732 (see fig. 234). This canvas also measures exactly 151 by 142 centimeters with a four-centimeter elevation at the top and center. Its unique shape indicates it was likely requested by Prince Eugene himself, or perhaps, his interior designer suggested it. A third sopraporta featuring a pelican and plants with fanning leaves was also hung in this room (fig. 271). Tamm’s fourth sopraporta for this chamber is lost.

In addition to the Audienz Zimmer’s overdoors by Tamm, the Kunsthistorisches Museum owns three additional paintings by the same artist that originate from the Conferenz Zimmer. All three date to circa 1720 and they are now oval, yet in Kleiner’s engraved drawing of the room, in which only two sopraporte can be seen, these canvases are rectangular (see fig. 89). An expert has claimed the oval format is original, yet this contradicts Kleiner whose work has yet to be proven false. I propose, therefore, that, following Maria Theresia’s acquisition of the Belvedere, the sopraporte in the Conferenz Zimmer were removed, cut, and repurposed for a new space (figs. 272-274). Close examination
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reveals that the Kunsthistorisches Museum’s oval paintings have the same details as those drawn by Kleiner. For example, in the work titled *Flowers and Fruit*, the watermelon in the bottom left-hand corner next to a large bouquet matches closely the overdoor that Kleiner drew (figs. 275-276). Then, the *sopraporta* above the door leading into the *Audienz Zimmer* in Kleiner’s drawing resembles another of Tamm’s oval paintings with the same theme (see figs. 273 and 275). Both include, in the background and left-hand corner, a face which likely represents the broken head from a statue. Next to it, there is a large bouquet with fruit strewn about in the foreground. Finally, the third painting owned by the Kunsthistorisches Museum bears a resemblance to the first two as well. Also titled *Flowers and Fruit*, it has a watermelon in the bottom right-hand corner just alongside a large bouquet (see fig. 272 and 276), just like Kleiner represented. The remaining *sopraporte* on the *piano nobile* are now lost but they also portrayed animals, birds, fruits, and flower bouquets primarily. Following Heinitz von Heinzenthal’s two magnificent paintings in the reception hall, the overdoors throughout the Upper Belvedere’s *piano nobile* reinforced the same message consistently. To be specific, that this site is the home of early-eighteenth century Europe’s most magnificent menagerie and the prince himself provided the rare chance to study these specimens in Vienna at the Belvedere.
Conclusion

In 1737, one year after Prince Eugene died, Charles VI purchased the menagerie from Princess Maria Anna Victoria after she first sold individual specimens. Charles VI had all the remaining dangerous species moved to the Neuegebäude just outside of Vienna circa 1738. The docile animals he allowed to remain on site at the Belvedere until 1752, at which point Maria Theresia transferred them to the newly erected menagerie at Schönbrunn castle. The only exception was one vulture born in 1706, which stayed at the Belvedere in the prince’s aviary until its death in 1823. The *sopraporte* and animal paintings at the Upper Belvedere depict precisely the most unique specimens which thrived in the prince’s gardens, aviary, and menagerie. These commissions emphasize that Prince Eugene had access to a vast global network and was captivated by the intersections of art and science, to which the Belvedere is dedicated. Likewise, the prince’s ceiling paintings and altarpiece by the most renowned living artists from Naples, and which he displayed in the most official state rooms of the *appartement de parade* and palace chapel, attest to his success as a diplomat and capable statesman. Prince Eugene filled his painting gallery called the *Bilder Zimmer* at the Lower Belvedere, and likewise, two galleries at the Upper Belvedere named the *Cabinet* and *Bilder Saal*, with carefully curated images of wide-ranging subject-

matter, representative of Italian, Netherlandish, and German art, of the highest quality and by the most respected artists across these schools and centuries. This clearly expresses that Prince Eugene was the most ambitious collector and patron in imperial Vienna during the late Baroque period. The imagery played a key role in communicating that the prince enjoyed direct connections to the highest levels of the political and cultural elite in Europe, and moreover, he invested his money wisely in art objects that generate intellectual advancement. My discussion and analysis of the prince’s sizable collection contributes to our understanding of how paintings, through various modes of display, instruct spectators about the function of various chambers at the Belvedere, about the collector’s complex interests, and finally, about the nature of the palace gardens.
Conclusion

The Lower and Upper Belvedere’s interiors are a manifestation of global artistic patronage and they attest to Prince Eugene’s own intellect, immense wealth, elite status, and prominent political position. He hired the best international artists and sought out elite cultural objects that crossed cultural boundaries and political borders to reach imperial Vienna, and in doing so, achieved the successful fashioning of the Belvedere. This monument helped foreign nations better understand the culture of imperial Austria, and thus, the Belvedere had the capacity to facilitate tolerance and assist in establishing a mutual understanding between dignitaries and the Holy Roman Emperors whom Prince Eugene represented. The Belvedere became an appropriate arena for Prince Eugene’s important interactions that pacified centuries of strife on the eastern Habsburg front. Daring conquests enabled Prince Eugene to shift his energies from the battlefield to the patronage of architecture, art, and science, and in doing so, he achieved an all-encompassing aesthetic and sensory experience at the Belvedere.

Enhancing the scholarship on the reciprocity between art, politics, and globalization in early modern central Europe, my dissertation is the first study to investigate specifically the significance of artistic mobility and cultural transfer to Prince Eugene’s Belvedere. The first chapter focuses on Prince Eugene’s patronage of preeminent Bolognese quadraturists whom he “imported” from Italy to Vienna. Marcantonio Chiarini and Gaetano Fanti’s illusionistic ceiling and wall paintings expand a room’s physical boundaries. My comprehensive study reveals
that the perception of *quadratura* as a science, or an illusionistic spectacle that engages viewers by challenging their perception of objective reality, was of utmost importance to Prince Eugene. This chapter reclaims the meaningful contribution of *quadraturists* in the realm of fresco painting in Baroque Austria. The Upper Belvedere’s *chinoiserie* interiors are the focus of chapter two. Situated at the intersection of eighteenth-century globalization and cultural diplomacy, vibrant Asian silk, satin, and damask, as well as colorful Indian chintz, adorned the walls and furnishings in the *piano nobile*‘s highest-ranking chambers. This chapter demonstrates that by gaining direct access to rare and luxurious goods from Asia via the Ostend East India Company, Prince Eugene helped shape a new aesthetic culture of luxury in Vienna through his extensive application of *chinoiserie* at the Upper Belvedere. The final chapter investigates and reconstructs Prince Eugene’s painting collection at the garden palace. Dating between the late Renaissance and contemporary Baroque period, the prince’s paintings were primarily landscapes, genre scenes, and still-lifes by respected Netherlandish artists. He also commissioned renowned Neapolitan painters and owned large Italian history paintings, especially by Bolognese masters. Integral to the Belvedere’s outstanding reputation and Prince Eugene’s prestige, the large collection was exhibited in carefully curated spaces near to botanically accurate studies of plants and animal portraits. Prince Eugene commissioned local court artists to render rare living specimens that he owned. The range of paintings expresses the prince’s desire to embody visually that he has access to the upper-most echelons of the
European political and cultural elite, and moreover, he invests his money wisely in art objects which beget intellectual enrichment and foster dialogue.

Prince Eugene used his affluence to set into motion a multiplicity of artists and art objects which he brought to Vienna and unified through display at the Belvedere. By understanding how this imagery and the objects communicated directly with the viewer at the prince’s magnificent garden palace, and also, recognizing that it elevated the surroundings, my dissertation uncovers that the Belvedere’s material environment had the capacity to impact human behavior and the cultural diplomacy of Habsburg Austria. By analyzing the Belvedere’s unique interiors and by engaging in discourses on early modern material culture, this study lays bare that the evolution of late baroque art in central Europe is connected intimately to the phenomenon of artistic mobility.
Appendix

[Document 1]

Section of the Inventory of Prince Eugene’s Painting Collection. 
Authors: Antonio Maria Zanetti and Antonio Daniele Bertoli
Dated: circa June - August 1736.
Location: British National Archives, London. State Papers Austria 121.

This section of the inventory includes only those paintings that were displayed at the Lower and Upper Belvedere. The works under the heading [I] were hung in the Bildern Saal at the Upper Belvedere (see figs. 6 and 47). The works under [II] were displayed in the Audienz Zimmer at the Upper Belvedere (see figs. 6 and 11). The works under [III] adorned the Cabinet at the Upper Belvedere (see figs. 6 and 46). The works under [IV] were hung in the Bibliothec at the Upper Belvedere (see figs. 6 and 90). The works under [V] were exhibited in an unknown location, possibly at the Upper Belvedere. Finally, the works under [VI] were located in the Bildner Zimmer at the Lower Belvedere (see figs. 1 and 45).

[I] Inventaire des tableaux qui sont placé dans la gallerie [sic] au jardin:
1. Le triomphe d’amour de Vandick [sic], hauteur 3 pieds 9 pouces, largeur 4 pieds 6 pouces
2. Une Venus et Adone [sic] de Titien [sic], hauteur 5 pieds 8 pouces, largeur 6 pieds 6 pouces
3. Une bataille de Vaurnmans [sic], hauteur 2 pieds 7 pouces, largeur 3 pieds 2 pouces
4. Une autre bataille d’un autre maître et compagnon de la susdite, même hauteur et largeur
5. Une femme tenant dans une main un miroir et dans l’autre un compas, qui représente la géométrie [sic], de Salviati, hauteur 5 pieds 6 pouces, largeur 4 pieds
6. Une Lucretia de Guido Reno [sic], hauteur 5 pieds 6 pouces, largeur 3 pieds
7. Adam et Eve [sic] de Guido Reno [sic], hauteur 5 pieds 6 pouces, largeur 6 pieds 2 pouces
8. Un autre Adam et Eve [sic] de Guido, hauteur 3 pieds, largeur 4 pieds 9 pouces
9. Un Salmazi [sic] et HERMAFRODITE [sic] d’Albano [sic], hauteur 6 pieds, largeur 7 pieds 6 pouces
10. Un David tenant dans la main la tête de Goliath de Guido Reno [sic], hauteur 7 pieds, largeur 4 pieds 6 pouces
11. Une nativité de notre Seigneur sur cuivre de Goltius [sic], hauteur 1 pied 3 pouces, largeur 11 pouces
12. L’adoration des trois rois sur cuivre de l’escole [sic] de Raphael, hauteur 1 pied 2 pouces, largeur 1 pied, de Goltius [sic]
13. Deux têtes d’enfants sur bois de Porcazino [sic], hauteur 3 pieds, largeur 11 pouces
14. Le compagnon du susdit sur bois avec deux têtes d’enfants de Porcazino [sic] de la même hauteur et largeur
15. Une marine sur bois de Brugel [sic], hauteur 1 pied 5 pouces, largeur 2 pieds 1 pouces [sic]
16. Une autre marine du même maître de la même hauteur et largeur
17. Un tableau représentant le temps [sic] qui veut découvrir la vérité [sic] de Palon [sic], hauteur 2 pieds 9 pouces, largeur 3 pieds 9 pouces
18. Deux enfants [sic] de la manière de Cignani, hauteur 2 pieds 8 pouces, largeur 3 pieds 3 pouces
19. Deux enfants [sic] de la manière de Cignani, hauteur 2 pieds 2 pouces, largeur 2 pieds 6 pouces
20. La nativité de notre Seigneur sur bois, de la première manière de Cignani ou Correggio, hauteur 1 pied 9 pouces, largeur 1 pied 1 pouce
21. Une bataille de Vaurmans [sic], hauteur 1 pied 9 pouces, largeur 2 pieds 2 pouces
22. Un chasseur habillé [sic] en blanc riée [sic] avec un garçon qui lui montre un paroqué [sic] assis sur une [sic] arbre, hauteur 3 pieds 6 pouces, largeur 2 pieds 7 pouces
23. Une pièce hollandaise [sic] avec quatre vaches sur bois de Paul Poutter [sic] 1649, hauteur 1 pied 7 pouces, largeur 2 pieds 2 pouces
24. Un garçon [sic] assis avec un chien de la manière de Quarzinto [sic] d’Argento [sic], hauteur 3 pieds 2 pouces, largeur 2 pieds 5 pouces
26. Une Venus dormante [sic] de Paduanino à la manière de Titien [sic], hauteur 2 pieds 5 pouces, largeur 3 pieds 6 pouces
27. Trois femmes nues d’Albano [sic], hauteur 4 pieds 9 pouces, largeur 3 pieds 11 pouces
28. Un Jupiter dans une nuée [sic] avec Venus à la manière de Corregio [sic], hauteur 1 pied 11 pouces, largeur 1 pied 5 pouces
29. Un compagnon du susdit une femme nue, hauteur 1 pied 11 pouces, largeur 1 pied
30. Un nostre Seigneur que l’on mets [sic] dans le sepulcre [sic], sur cuivre de Carrachio [sic], hauteur 1 pied 2 pouces, largeur 1 pied 5 pouces
31. La resusitation [sic] de Lazare de Brouga Sorzi [sic] sur une pièce de touche, hauteur 1 pied 5 pouces, largeur 1 pied 2 pouces
32.-35. Plus quatre tableaux sur les portes de Hamilton

Tous les susdits tableaux sont dans leurs quadres [sic] dorés.

[II] Dans la chambre de parade tapissée de damas verd [sic] proche du cabinet des miroirs sont placé les tableaux suivants dans leurs quadres [sic] dorés:
1. Une bataille de Bourguignon, hauteur 3 pieds 4 pouces, largeur 6 pieds 8 pouces
2. Une pièce de Mignon avec des fleurs et des herbes, hauteur 2 pieds 1 pouce, largeur 2 pieds 6 pouces
3. Une Antromata [sic] et Pegasus [sic] de Titien [sic], hauteur 5 pieds 11 pouces, largeur 6 pieds 6 pouces
4. Une pièce des fleurs de Heem, hauteur 3 pieds 2 pouces, largeur 2 pieds 8 pouces
5. Une pièce avec des fleurs de Heem, hauteur 1 pied 10 pouces, largeur 1 pied 6 pouces
6. Une pièce des fleurs de Heem, hauteur 2 pieds 10 pouces, largeur 2 pieds 4 pouces
7. Une pièce des fleurs e fruits de Heem, hauteur 3 pieds 5 pouces, largeur 2 pieds 4 pouces
8. Une pièce des fruits de Heem, hauteur 2 pieds 9 pouces, largeur 2 pieds 2 pouces

[III] Dans le cabinet tapissé de damas bleu sont placé les tableaux suivants en quadres [sic] dorés :
1. Une femme malade avec son medecin [sic] et d’autres figures de Miris [sic], hauteur 2 pieds 8 pouces, largeur 2 pieds 2 ½ pouces dans une caisse noir
2. Une vente de poissons et de grains de Teniers, hauteur 8 pouces, largeur 1 pied ½ pouce
3. Un paysage de Brugel [sic], hauteur 7 pouces, largeur 9 pouces, sur cuivre
4. Un paysage de Brugel [sic], hauteur 7 pouces, largeur 10 pouces, sur cuivre
5. Une kermess [sic] de Brugl [sic], hauteur 8 ½ pouces, largeur 1 pied ½ pouce
6. Une tête sur bois de Holbain [sic], hauteur de 8 ½ pouces, largeur 6 pouces
7. Un paysage de Brugel [sic] sur bois, hauteur 11 pouces, largeur 1 pied 4 pouces
8. Un paysage sur cuivre de Brugel [sic], hauteur 10 ½ pouces, largeur 1 pied 4 pouces
9. Le portrait d’Erasmus Rotterdamus d’Holbain [sic] sur bois, hauteur 8 ½ pouces, largeur 8 pouces
10. Un Hollandois [sic] avec un verre de vin sur la table de Miris [sic], hauteur 8 ½ pouces, largeur 7 pouces.
11. Un enchantement de Teniers, hauteur 1 pied 10 ½ pouces, largeur 2 pieds 7 ½ pouce [sic]
12. Un vieux juif de Dorenflid [sic], hauteur 8 ½ pouce [sic], largeur 6 ½ pouce [sic], sur bois
13. Un paysage du maître de Brugel [sic], hauteur 8 pouces, largeur 1 pied 1 pouce, sur bois
14. Une femme avec deux enfans [sic] de Miris [sic], hauteur 6 pouces, largeur 4 ½ pouces, sur bois
15. Une figure d’homme de Miris [sic], hauteur 6 pouces, largeur 4 ½ pouce [sic], sur bois
16. Un paysage du maître de Brugel [sic], hauteur 8 pouces, largeur 1 pied ½ pouce
17. Un paysage avec Venus et Adone [sic] de Rothenhammer [sic] et Brugel [sic], hauteur 9 ½ pouce [sic], largeur 1 pied et demi pouce, sur cuivre
18. Un paysage [sic] de Bauth [sic] avec des petites figures, hauteur 7 ½ pouce [sic], largeur 11 pouces, sur bois
19. Une Notre Dame avec le petit Jesus [sic] et Saint-Jean de Rothenhammer [sic] et Brugel [sic], hauteur 9 et demi pouce, largeur 1 pied et demi pouce, sur cuivre
20. Un paysage et de l’eau de Bauth [sic], hauteur 1 pied et demi pouce, largeur 1 pied et six pouces
21. Un paysage avec des petites figures de Bauth [sic], hauteur 7 ½ pouce [sic], largeur 11 pouces, sur bois
22. Un paysage de Bauth [sic], hauteur 1 pied ½ pouce [sic], largeur 1 pied 6 pouces
23. Une femme de Vandersmy [sic] 1619, hauteur 1 pied 5 ½ pouce [sic], largeur 1 pied 2 pouces
24. Une femme regardante par la fenêtre sur bois de Girard [sic] Dau [sic], hauteur 1 pied 2 ½ pouces, largeur 11 pouce [sic]
25. Le compagnon du susdit: une femme tenant une bourse [sic] dans la main de Girard [sic] Dau [sic], hauteur 1 pied 2 ½ pouce [sic], largeur 11 pouce [sic], sur bois
26. Trois femmes avec un prestre [sic] de Vandersmy [sic], hauteur 1 pied 5 ½ pouces, largeur 1 pied 2 pouces
27. Une nuit [sic] avec une femme de Schalck [sic], hauteur 1 pied 4 pouces, largeur 1 pied 1 pouce
28. /29. Deux compagnons avec du gibier de Pierre Snyer [sic], hauteur 1 pied 1 pouce largeur 9 ½ pouce [sic], sur bois
30. Une femme avec deux enfans [sic] et cinq autres petite [sic] figures d’un Hollandois [sic], hauteur un pied 2 pouces, largeur 1 pied sept et demi pouce
31. Un remouleur [sic] des couteaux de Dynebourg [sic], hauteur 1 pied 4 pouces, largeur 1 pied 1 pouce
32. Une Venus avec un pasteur de Vanderwerff [sic], hauteur 1 pied 3 pouces, largeur 1 pied ½ pouce
33. Une marine avec une vaisseau d’un maistre [sic] inconnu, largeur 1 pied 2 ½ pouce [sic], hauteur 1 pied 4 pouces
34. Une Sainte Magdelaine [sic] avec des anges de Brouga Sorzi [sic], hauteur 1 pied 3 pouces, largeur 1 pied
35. Une bataille de Bourguignon, hauteur 9 pouces, largeur 1 pied, sur bois
36. Une chasse de Vaurmans [sic], hauteur 8 pouces, largeur 9 ½ pouce [sic]
37. Une bouque avec 4 chèvres de Gerard Dou [sic], hauteur 7 ½ pouces, largeur 9 ½ pouce [sic]
38. 4 [sic] paysans qui jouent aux cartes de Brauer, hauteur 9 ½ pouce [sic], largeur 1 pied ½ pouce
39. Une femme qui donne à têter [sic] à son enfant avec un garçon manier de Miris [sic], hauteur 1 pied 9 pouces, largeur 1 pied 3 pouces
40. Trois paysans qui fument du tabac de Teniers, hauteur 4 pouces, largeur 9 et demi pouce
41. Priam et Tesippe [sic] d’un Hollandois [sic], hauteur 2 pieds 1 pouce, largeur 1 pied 10 pouces
42. Saint Jean qui prêche de Sajello [sic], hauteur 7 ½ pouce [sic], largeur 11 pouces, sur bois
43. Adam et Eve [sic] qui pleurent la mort d’Abel de Laresse [sic], hauteur 1 pied 5 ½ pouce [sic], largeur 1 pied 1 pouce
44./45. Deux compagnons du cabaret où l’on rafrechit [sic] des chevaux de Vaurmans [sic], hauteur 1 pied 1 ½ pouce [sic], largeur 1 pied 3 ½ pouce [sic]
46. Une chasse de Carolo Routhard [sic], hauteur 2 pieds 5 pouces, largeur 3 pieds ½ pouce
47./48. Deux compagnons représentans [sic] des paysans jouants [sic] aux cartes de Teniers, hauteur 1 pied 4 ½ pouce [sic], largeur 2 pieds ½ pouce
49. Deux paysans qui fument du tabac de Teniers, hauteur 1 pied 7 pouces, largeur 2 pieds 8 pouces
50. Sur l’autre côté du dit cabinet sont placé les tableaux suivants: Un sacrifice d’amour de Rothenhammer [sic] et Brugel [sic] sur cuivre, hauteur un pied trois et demi pouces [sic], largeur un pied huit pouces
51. Une marine avec une chaloup [sic] pleine d’hommes de Seemann, hauteur 1 pied, largeur 1 pied 1 pouce
52. Un paysan dormant avec deux paysans regardans [sic] de Spaniol [sic] de Bologne, hauteur 1 pied 2 pouces, largeur 10 pouces
53. Une danse des plusieurs personnes, rond de Teniers, hauteur 1 pied ½ pouce, largeur autant
54. Une escurie [sic] avec des chevaux de Vaurmanns [sic], hauteur 1 pied 1 ½ pouce [sic], largeur 1 pied 6 pouces
55. L’entrée de l’arche Noë [sic] de Bassano, hauteur 7 pouces, largeur 9 pouces
56. Aeneas entrant avec une Sybille dans l’enfer de Brugel [sic], hauteur 9 ½ pouce [sic], largeur 1 pied 1 et demi pouce
57. Trois deésses [sic] dormantes de Brugel [sic] et Vambotten [sic], hauteur 10 pouces, largeur 1 pied 2 ½ pouce [sic]
58. Des hommes auprès un marechal [sic] qui fere [sic] un cheval de Vaurmans [sic], hauteur 6 pouces, largeur 8 pouces
59. Un paysage où un homme coupe du bois de Saffarin [sic], hauteur 6 ½ pouce [sic], largeur 10 pouces, sur cuivre
60. Une Venus venante de la chasse avec du gibier et poisons de Brugel [sic] et Vanbotten [sic], hauteur 1 pied 7 pouces, largeur 2 pieds 7 pouces
61. Une marine avec des vaisseaux de Brugel [sic], hauteur 7 pouces, largeur 9 ½ pouce [sic]
62. Des fruits avec un limon de Heem, hauteur 1 pied ½ pouce, largeur 1 pied 4 ½ pouces
63. Venus et Adane [sic] à la manière de Vanderwerff [sic], hauteur 1 pied 1 pouce, largeur onze pouces
64. Un paysage à la manière de Greiffier, hauteur 1 pied 10 pouces, largeur 2 pieds 6 pouces
65. Un paysage avec une grotte de Paul Brille [sic], hauteur 7 pouces, largeur 8 pouces
66. Une table avec des fruits à terre et autres ornemens [sic] de Fouer [sic], hauteur 9 pouces, largeur 1 pied 1 pouce
67. Un paysage de Safftleben [sic] maître de Griffier [sic], hauteur 10 pouces, largeur 1 pied 2 pouces
68. Une femme tenant une [sic] raisin dans la main, demi ovale de Gerard [sic] Dau [sic], hauteur 11 pouces, largeur 8 pouces
69. Un garçon avec une fille et un chien de Gerard [sic] Dau [sic], hauteur 9 pouces, largeur 7 pouces
70. Un paysage [sic] qui represént [sic] Saint Hubert à la chasse de Tockier [sic], hauteur 10 pouces, largeur 1 pied 2 pouces
71. Trois chariots avec leurs chevaux de Brugel [sic], hauteur 9 pouces, largeur 1 pied ½ pouce
72. Une kirmesse [sic] où l’on danse et vend des poissons de Brugel [sic], hauteur 10 pouces, largeur 1 pied 2 ½ pouce [sic]
73./74. Compagnon une femme regardante un ange et l’autre aussi une femme de Vandermyn [sic], hauteur 1 pied 6 pouces, largeur 1 pied 2 ½ pouce [sic]
75. Deux paysans devant une cheminée de Teniers, hauteur 1 pied 1 pouce, largeur 10 pouces
76. Un homme ou musicien avec un verre du vin sur la table de Vandemerren [sic], hauteur 1 pied, largeur 10 pouces
77. Une nymphe et Hermafronit [sic] de Miris [sic], hauteur 1 pied 2 pouces, largeur 1 pied 4 pouces
78. Une ruine avec des petites figures d’hommes de Paul Brille [sic], hauteur 9 pouces, largeur 1 pied ½ pouce
79. Un paysage round de Saffarin [sic] d’un pied 6 ½ pouce [sic]
80. Une ruine ou paysage avec des barques de la manière de Greffier [sic], hauteur 10 pouces, largeur 11 pouces
81. Une sorcellege [sic] avec une femme nue de Brouga Sorzi [sic], hauteur 1 pied ½ pouce, largeur 1 pied 4 pouces
82. La salutation de Notre Dame et Elisabeth [sic] de Rembrandt [sic], hauteur 1 pied 9 pouces, largeur 1 pied 6 pouces
83. Un peintre avec son pinceau à la main de Gerard [sic] Dau [sic], hauteur 5 ½ pouce [sic], largeur 4 pouces
84. Une paysanne d’un Hollandois [sic], hauteur 6 ½ pouce [sic], largeur 5 pouces
85. Un menage [sic] des chevaux de Vaurmans [sic], hauteur 2 pied [sic] 2 pouces, largeur 2 pieds 6 ½ pouces
86. Un garçon qui étudie devant une lumière, demi ovalle [sic] de Schalck [sic], hauteur 6 pouces, largeur 4 ½ pouce [sic]
87. Un homme avec une grande barbe de Gerard [sic] Dau [sic], hauteur 6 pouces, largeur 5 pouces
88. Un homme tenant un verre de vin et une pipe de tabac dans les mains de Ostada [sic], hauteur 1 pied 5 ½ pouce [sic], largeur 1 pied 3 pouces
89. Une conversation des paysans qui font la fête des roys [sic] de Peter de Glaute [sic], hauteur 1 pied 1 pouce, largeur 1 pied 5 pouces
90. Un berger avec des vaches et moutons de Teniers, hauteur 1 pied 5 ½ pouce [sic] largeur 1 pied 10 ½ pouce [sic]
91. Une femme et un garçon avec des instrumens [sic] musicaux de David Teniers, hauteur 1 pied ½ pouce, larg [sic] 1 pied 4 pouces
92. Une compagnie des paysans qui fument du tabac de Teniers, hauteur 1 pied 3 pouces, largeur 3 pouces
93. Un vieux paysan avec une grande barbe tenant son chapeau d'un maitre hollandois [sic] inconnu, hauteur 1 pied 8 ½ pouce [sic], largeur 1 pied 4 ½ pouce [sic]
94. Une conversation des paysans dont un [sic] joue au [sic] guitare avec d'autres instruments musicaux de Teniers, large [sic] 1 pied 11 pouce [sic], haut [sic] 1 pied 3 ½ pouce
95. Un paysage avec des vaches et petites figures ou personnages de Brugel [sic], hauteur 1 pied 7 pouces, largeur 2 pieds 2 pouces
96. Une conversation de quatre hommes et deux femmes, dont une joue à la luthe [sic], hauteur 1 pied 3 et demi pouces [sic], largeur deux pieds, de Van der Laennen [sic]
[99./100.] Comme aussi deux batailles sur les portes d’un maitre hollandois [sic]

[IV]
Dans la bibliothèque sur la cheminée:
[1.] Une Diane de Parmasanin [sic]
[2./3.] Et deux tableaux sur les portes représentants chacun un homme avec un livre devant soy [sic] à la manière d’un peintre pollonois [sic]

[V]
Dans le second étache [sic]:
[1./2.] Sont postés dans une chambre deux grands portraits de Son Altesse Sérénissime, un représentant [sic] Son Altesse à cheval de Van der Schuppe [sic] et l’autre de Ruttiers [sic]

[VI] Spécification des tableaux qui se trouvent dans le battiment [sic] du jardin en bas et dans le cabinet:
1. Une conversation des dames et messieurs avec des petites anges représentans [sic] l’amour, sur la porte
2. Un paysage de Safftleben [sic], hauteur 11 pouces, largeur 1 pied 2 pouces
3. Un paysage qui représent [sic] la récolte de Griffier [sic], hauteur 1 pied 7 pouces, largeur 1 pied 10 pouces
4. Un paysage représentant le printemps [sic] de Greffier [sic], hauteur 1 pied 7 pouces, largeur 1 pied 10 pouces
5. Un paysage avec un cabaret et des petites figures de Safftleben [sic], hauteur 11 ½ pouce [sic], largeur 1 pied 2 ½ pouce [sic]
6. Un paysage compagnon du susdit et du même maitre et de la même hauteur et largeur
7. Un marché de fruits et choux de Greffier [sic], hauteur 1 pied 7 pouces, largeur 1 pied 10 pouces
8. Un paysage avec une rivière et des petites barques de Safftleben [sic], hauteur 11 pouces, largeur 1 pied 1 pouces [sic]
9. Un paysage pareille [sic] à l’autre du même Greffier [sic], hauteur 1 pied 6 pouces, largeur 1 pied 11 pouces
10. Un paysage qui représent [sic] l’hyver [sic] de Greffier [sic], hauteur 1 pied 7 pouces, largeur 1 pied 10 pouces
11. Un paysage avec un château [sic] sur la montagne de Safftleben [sic], hauteur 10 ½ pouces, largeur 1 pied 1 pouce
12. Un paysage avec un village du Greffier [sic], hauteur 1 pied 6 pouces, largeur 1 pied 8 pouces
13. Veue [sic] d’une ville avec un jacht [sic] ou vaisseau et des petites figures de Greffier [sic], hauteur 1 pied 6 pouces, largeur 2 pieds
14. Un paysage avec des petites figures de Greffier [sic], hauteur 1 pied 1 pouce [sic], largeur 1 pied 6 pouces
15. Un paysage avec une forteresse sur un hauteur de Greffier [sic], hauteur 1 pied 6 pouces, largeur 2 pieds
16. Un paysage avec des petites figures de Greffier [sic], hauteur 1 pied 1 pouce, largeur 1 pied 6 ½ pouce [sic]
17. Un paysage avec un jardin et des petites figures à la manière du Greffier [sic], hauteur 1 pied 6 ½ pouces, largeur 2 pied [sic]
18. Un paysage avec des paysans qui dansent et des petites barques du Greffier [sic], hauteur 1 pied 6 pouces, largeur 1 pied 11 pouces
19. Un marché dehors d’une ville du Greffier [sic], haut [sic] 1 pied 1 ½ pouce [sic], large [sic] 1 pied 11 pouces
20. Un paysage avec un cabaret de Greffier [sic], hauteur 1 pied 5 pouces, largeur 1 pied 8 pouces

[VII]
Dans la bibliothèque
1. Un tableau rond représentant la chaste Joseph, sur la cheminée
2. A côté Diane avec des nymphes [sic] et Actéon
3. Jupiter transfiguré en cigne [sic] avec Leda
Section of the Sale Catalogue advertising Prince Eugene's Painting Collection.

Authors: Antonio Maria Zanetti and Antonio Daniele Bertoli
Publisher: Étienne Briffaut, Vienna.
Dated: circa August 1737.

Below and in the left-hand column are the numbers of the corresponding paintings in inventory from 1736, which were hung at either the Lower or Upper Belvedere.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Inv.#)</th>
<th>Gemäldesammlung [sic] des Prinzen Eugene No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[I] 1</td>
<td>1   Armors Triumph von Anton van Dyck. Hoch 3 S. 9 Z. Breit 4 S. 6 Z.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[I] 2</td>
<td>2   Venus und Adonis von Titian. Hoch 5 S. 8 Z. Breit 6 S. 6 Z.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[I] 3</td>
<td>3   Eine Schlacht von Wouwermans. Hoch 2 S. 7 Z. Breit 3 S. 2 Z.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[I] 5</td>
<td>5   Eine weibliche Figur, die zu einer Hand einen Spiegel, und in der anderen einen Zirkel hält, und die Geometrie vorstellt von Salviati. Hoch 5 S. 6 Z. Breit 4 S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[I] 6</td>
<td>6   Lucrecia von Guido Reni. Hoch 5 S. 6 Z. Breit 3 S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[I] 7</td>
<td>7   Adam und Eva von Guido Reni. Hoch 5 S. 6 Z. Breit 6 S. 2 Z.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[I] 8</td>
<td>8   Adam und Eva von Franc. Albani. Hoch 3 S. Breit 4 S. 9 Z.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[I] 10</td>
<td>10  David mit Goliaths Haupt in der Hand von Guido Reni. Hoch 7 S. Breit 4 S. 6 Z.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[I] 14</td>
<td>14  Ein Nebenbild des vorigen Gemäldes [sic], gleichfalls zweei [sic] Kinderköpfe, von nämlichen Meister, und gleicher Größe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[I] 15</td>
<td>15 et 16  Zwei Seestücke, auf Holz, von Breughel. Hoch 1 S. 5 Z. Breit 2 S. 1 Z.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[I] 17</td>
<td>17  Ein allegorisches Gemälde [sic], welches die Zeit vorstellt, die die Wahrheit entdecken will, von Palma. Hoch 2 S. 9 Z. Breit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
[I] 18
Zwei Kinder von Cignani. Hoch 2 S. 8 Z. Breit 3 S. 3 Z.

[I] 19
Zwei Kinder in der Manier des vorigen Meisters. Hoch 2 S. 2 Z. Breit 2 S. 6 Z.

[I] 20
Christi Geburt in Correggio`s [sic] erster Manier. Hoch 1 S. 9 Z. Breit 1 S. 1 Z.

[I] 21
Eine Schlacht von Wouwermans. Hoch 1 S. 9 Z. Breit 2 S. 2 Z.

[I] 22

[I] 23
Ein holländisches Landschaftenstück [sic] mit vier Kühen, von Paul Potter, auf Holz. Hoch 1 S. 7 Z. Breit 2 S.

[I] 24

[I] 25
Maria Verkündigung von Fr. Albani, auf Kupfer. Hoch 1 S. 3 Z. Breit 11 Z.

[I] 26

[I] 27

[I] 28
Jupiter mit der Venus auf einer Wolke, in Correggio`s Manier. Hoch 1 S. 11 Z. Breit 1 S. 5 Z.

[I] 29
Ein nackendes [sic] Weib von einem unbekannten Mahler [sic]. Hoch 1 S. 10 Z. Breit 1 S.

[I] 30
Christus, wie er ins Grab gelegt wird, auf Kupfer, von Carracci. Hoch 1 S. 2 Z. Breit 1 S. 5 Z.

[I] 31
Die Auferstehung Lazari [sic] von Brusasorci, auf Probierstein. Hoch 1 S. 5 Z. Breit 1 S. 2 Z.

[I] 32
Eine Schlacht von Bourguignon. Hoch 3 S. 4 Z. Breit 6 S. 8 Z.

[I] 33

[I] 34

[I] 35
Ein Blumenstück von Mignon. Hoch 2 S. 10 Z. Breit 2 S. 2 Z.

[I] 36
Ein Früchtens [sic] und Blumenstück von de Heem. Hoch 2 S. 10 Z. Breit 2 S. 4 Z.

[I] 37
Ein gleiches von nämlichen Meister. Hoch 3 S. 5 und 1/2 Z. Breit 2 S. 4 Z.

[I] 38
Ein Früchtstück von Mignon. Hoch 3 S. 3 Zoll. Breit 2 S. 8 und 1/2 Z.

[I] 39
Ein Blumenstück von de Heem. Hoch 1 S. 10 Z. Breit 1 S. 6 und 1/2 Z.

[I] 40
Ein Markt, auf welchem Fische und Getreid verkauft wird, von D. Teniers. Hoch 8 Z. Breit 1 S. und 1/2 Z.


Eine Bauernkirmes von Breughel. Hoch 7 und 1/2 Z. Breit 1 S. und 1/2 Z.

Ein Kopf, auf Holz, von Holbein. Hoch 8 und 1/2 Z. Breit 6 Z.

Eine Landschaft von Breughel, auf Holz. Hoch 11 Z. Breit 1 S. 4 Z.

Eine Landschaft, auf Kupfer, von Breughel. Hoch 10 und 1/2 Z. Breit 1 S. 4 Z.


Ein Holländer, vor dem ein Glas voll Wein auf einem Tisch steht, von Mieris. Hoch 8 und 1/2 Z. Breit 2 S. 7 und 1/2 Z.

Ein Zauberstück von Teniers. Hoch 1 S. 10 und 1/2 Z. Breit 2 S. 7 und 1/2 Z.

Ein alter Ind von Toomvliet. Hoch 8 und 1/2 Z. Breit 6 und 1/2 Z. auf Holz.

Eine Landschaft von Breughel’s Meister, auf Kupfer. Hoch 10 Z. Breit 1 S. 3 Z.


Die heilige Jungfrau und die Aposteln von einem alten Meister. Hoch 1 S. 1 Z. Breit 10 Z.


Die heilige Jungfrau mit dem kleinen Jesus und dem heiligen Johann von Rottenhammer und Breughel. Hoch 9 und 1/2 Z. Breit 1 S. und 1/2 Z.

Eine Landschaft mit Wasser von Both. Hoch 1 S. und 1/2 Z. Breit 1 S. 6 Z. auf Leinwand.


Eine Landschaft von Both. Hoch 1 S. 1 und 1/2 Z. Breit 1 S. 6 Z.

Ein Weib von van der Myn. Hoch 1 S. 5 und 1/2 Z. Breit 1 S. 2 Z.

Eine Frau, die zum Fenster hinaussteht, auf Holz, von Gerard Dov. Hoch 1 S. 2 1/2 Z. Breit 11 Z.
Ein Nebenbild, welches ein Weib, das einen Beutel in der Hand hält, vorstellet [sic]. Gleiche Höhe und Breite, vom nämlichen Meister.

Drei Weiber mit einem Geistlichen, von van der Myn [sic]. Hoch 1 S. 5 1/2 Z. Breit 1 S. 2 Z.

Magdalena mit einem anderen Weibe von Schalcken. Hoch 1 S. 4 Z. Breit 1 S. 1 Z.

Ein Seestück von van der Velde, auf Holz. Hoch 1 S. 1 Z. Breit 1 und 1/2 Z.

Ein Bauemtanz von Teniers, ovaIfformig.

Ein Weib mit 2 Kindern und 5 anderen kleinen Figuren von Schalcken. Hoch 1 S. 2 Z. Breit 1 S. 7 und 1/2 Z.

Ein Messerschleifer von Casp. Netscher. Hoch 1 S. 4 Z. Breit 1 S. 1 Z.

Venus mit dem Adonis von Abr. Van der Werf [sic]. Hoch 1 S. 3 Z. Breit 1 S. und 1/2 Z.


Eine Schlacht von Bourguignon. Hoch 9 Z. Breit 1 S. auf Holz.

Eine Jagd von Wouwermans. Hoch 9 1/2 Z. Breit 1 S. 7 und 1/2 Z.

Ein Bock mit 4 Ziegen von Gerard [sic] Dov [sic]. Hoch 9 und 1/2 Z. Breit 1 S. und 3 Z.

Vier Bauern, die Karten spielen, von Brauer. Hoch 9 und 1/2 Z. Breit 1 S. und 1/2 Z.

Ein Weib, das ein Kind säugt, und einen Knaben neben sich hat, von Moor. Hoch 1 S. 9 Z. Breit 1 S. und 3 Z.

Drei Bauern, die Toback [sic] rauchen, von Teniers. Hoch 6 Z. Breit 9 und 1/2 Z.

Piramus [sic] und Thisbe von Moor. Hoch 2 S. 1 Z. Breit 1 S. 10 Z.

Der heilige Johann, wie er predigt, von Sayetto [sic]. Hoch 7 und 1/2 Z. Breit 11 Z. auf Holz.

Adam und Eva, die den Tod Abels beweinen, von Abr. van der Werf [sic]. Hoch 5 und 1/2 Z. Breit 1 S. 1 Z.

Ein Wirtshaus, worinn [sic] zweei [sic] Fuhrleute zechen, und ihre Pferde füttern, von Wouwermans. Hoch 1 S. 1 und 1/2 Z. Breit 1 S. 3 und 1/2 Z.

Ein spanischer Markt von Bolognese. Hoch 2 S. 5 Z. Breit 3 S. und 1/2 Z.


Bauern, die Tobak [sic] rauchen, von Teniers. Hoch 1 S. 7 Z. Breit 2 S. 8 Z.
Ein Opfer der Liebe von Rottenhammer und Breughel. Hoch 1 S. 3 Z. und 1/2. Breit 1 S. 8 Z.

Ein kleines Gemälde von Hooremans [sic]. Hoch 5 S. 6 Z. Breit 8 Z.

Der Durchzug durch das rothe [sic] Meer von Peter Flammand [sic], auf Kupfer. Hoch 1 S. 1 Z. Breit 1 S. 6 und 1/2 Z.


Ein Pferdstall [sic] von Wouwermans. Hoch 1 S. 1 Z. und 1/2. Breit 1 S. 6 Z.

Ein kleines Gemälde von Hooremanns [sic]. Hoch 5 S. 6 Z. Breit 8 Z.

Aeneas, mit der Sibylle in der Hölle, in Breughels Manier. Hoch 9 und 1/2 Z. Breit 1 S. 1 und 1/2 Z.

Drei schlafende Göttinnen [sic], in Breughels und van Baalen [sic] Manier. Hoch 10 Z. Breit 1 S. 2 und 1/2 Z.

Ein Schmidt, der ein Pferd beschlägt, nebst [sic] anderen Figuren von Wouwermans. Hoch 6 Z. Breit 8 Z.


Venus, die von der Jagd mit Wildprät [sic] und Fischen zurückkommt, von Breughel und van Baalen [sic]. Hoch 1 S. 7 Z. Breit 2 S. 7 Z.

Ein Seestück mit Schiffen von Breughel. Hoch 7 Z. Breit 9 und 1/2 Z.

Obst und eine Limonie [sic] von de Heem. Hoch 1 S. 1/2 Z. Breit 4 und 1/2 Z.

Venus und Adonis von van der Werf [sic]. Hoch 1 S. 1 Z. Breit 11 Z.

Eine Landschaft von Griffier [sic]. Hoch 1 S. 10 Z. Breit 2 S. 6 Z.

Eine Landschaft mit einer Grotte von Paul Brill. Hoch 7 Z. Breit 8 Z.

Ein Früchtstück [sic] von Seghers. Hoch 9 Z. Breit 1 S. 1 Z.


Eine Landschaft, worinn [sic] der Herr Hubert auf der Jagd vorgestellt ist, von Fouquet. Hoch 10 Z. Breit 1 S. 2 Z.

Eine Landschaft mit drei mit Pferden bespannten Lastwägen von Breughel. Hoch 9 Z. Breit 1 S. 1/2 Z.

Eine Kirmeß [sic], auf welcher die Bauern tanzen, und Fische verkauft werden, von Breughel. Hoch 10 Z. Breit 1 S. 2 und 1/2 Z.
[III] 73, 74  113 et 114  Zwei Stücke gleicher Größe, die zwo [sic] Frauen vorstellen, davon eine einen Engel anseht, von van der Myn [sic]. Hoch 1 S. 6 Z. Breit 1 S. 2 und 1/2 Z.


[III] 76  116  Ein Tonkünstler mit einem halb angefüllten Glas mit Wein auf einem Tische [sic], von Peter von Stiegeland [sic]. Hoch 1 S. Breit 10 Z.


[III] 78  118  Ein Ruinenstück mit kleinen Figuren von Paul Brill. Hoch 4 Z. Breit 1 S. und 1/2 Z.

[III] 79  119  Eine in einer Rundung gemahlte [sic] Landschaft von Saveri [sic]. Hoch 1 S. 6 und 1/2 Z.

[III] 80  120  Ein Ruinenstück mit Wasser und kleinen Schiffen [sic] von Griffier [sic]. Hoch 10 Z. Breit 1 S. 1 Z.


[III] 82  122  Mariä Heimsuchung von Rembrandt. Hoch 1 S. 9 Z. Breit 1 S. 6 Z.


[III] 89  129  Eine Bauerngesellschaft, die das Fest der Könige begeben, von Peter van Bloat. Hoch 1 S. 1 Z. Breit 1 S. 5 Z.

[III] 90  130  Ein Hirt mit Kühen und Ziegen von Teniers. Hoch 1 S. 5 und 1/2 Z. Breit 1 S. 10 und 1/2 Z.


Eine Bauerngesellschaft, davon einer auf der Cither [sic] spielt, mit anderen musikalischen Instrumenten, von Teniers. Hoch 1 S. 3 und 1/2 Z. Breit 1 S. 11 Z.

Eine Landschaft mit Kühen, und kleinen Figuren, von Breughel. Hoch 1 S. 7 Z. Breit 2 S. 2 Z.


Eine Bauerngesellschaft, davon einer auf der Cither [sic] spielt, mit anderen musikalischen Instrumenten, von Teniers. Hoch 1 S. 3 und 1/2 Z. Breit 1 S. 11 Z.

Eine Landschaft mit Kühen, und kleinen Figuren, von Breughel. Hoch 1 S. 7 Z. Breit 2 S. 2 Z.


Eine Bauerngesellschaft, davon einer auf der Cither [sic] spielt, mit anderen musikalischen Instrumenten, von Teniers. Hoch 1 S. 3 und 1/2 Z. Breit 1 S. 11 Z.

Eine Landschaft mit Kühen, und kleinen Figuren, von Breughel. Hoch 1 S. 7 Z. Breit 2 S. 2 Z.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seite</th>
<th>Nummer</th>
<th>Beschreibung</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VI 18</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>Eine Landschaft mit kleinen tanzenden Figuren, und kleinen Schifgen [sic], von Griffier. Hoch 1 S. 6 Z. Breit 1 S. 11 Z.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI 19</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>Ein Markt von einer Stadt von Griffier. Hoch 1 S. 6 Z. Breit 1 S. 11 Z.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI 20</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>Eine Landschaft mit einem Wirthshause [sic] von Griffier. Hoch 1 S. 1 und 1/2 Z. Breit 1 S. 8 Z.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
fig. 1
Salomon Kleiner, ground plan of the Lower Belvedere, drawing ca. 1729 [See “Neuviene Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l’Incomparable Heros de Nôtre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsignore Le Prince Eugène François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1738).]
fig. 2
Johann Lukas von Hildebrandt, main facade of the Lower Belvedere, 1712-1717 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 3
Johann Lukas von Hildebrandt, garden facade of the Lower Belvedere, 1712-1717 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 4
Salomon Kleiner, aerial view of the Belvedere and surroundings, drawing ca. 1729 [See “Premiere Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l’Incomparable Heros de Notre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsieur Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1731).]

fig. 5
Salomon Kleiner, aerial view of the Belvedere, drawing ca. 1729 [See “Premiere Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l’Incomparable Heros de Notre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsieur Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1731).]
fig. 6
Salomon Kleiner, ground plan of the Upper Belvedere, drawing ca. 1729
[See “Premiere Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l’Incomporable Heros de Nôtre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Sereníssime Monsignore Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1731).]
fig. 7
Johann Lukas von Hildebrandt, main facade of the Upper Belvedere, 1717-1723 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 8
Johann Lukas von Hildebrandt, garden facade of the Upper Belvedere, 1717-1723 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 9
View from the north-facing windows in the Marble Hall at the Upper Belvedere, Vienna [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2020]

fig. 10
Bernardo Bellotto, *Vienna Viewed from the Belvedere Palace*, oil on canvas, 135 x 213 cm, 1758-1761 (Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna)
fig. 11
fig. 12
Joseph Emanuel Fischer von Erlach, engraved by Johann Adam Delsenbach, Arrival of the Emissary of the Grand Vizier of the Ottoman Empire at Prince Eugene’s Himmelportgasse Palace, engraving, 30.3 x 42.7 cm, 1711, accession number 1870,1008.1245 (The British Museum, London)

fig. 13
Salomon Kleiner, engraved by Johann August Corvinus, Prince Eugene’s enlarged Himmelpfortgasse palace, engraving, 30.5 x 38.7 cm, 1725 (Wien Museum, Vienna)
fig. 14
Iván Schneider, reconstruction of Johann Lukas von Hildebrandt’s main facade of Ráckeve Castle in Hungary, circa 1715 [See Ervin Ybl, “Das Schloss des Prinzen von Savoyen in Ráckeve,” Wiener Jahrbuch für Kunstgeschichte 4–18 (1926): 113.]

fig. 15
fig.16
fig. 17
Johann Lukas von Hildebrandt, garden façade of Schloss Hof in Marchfeld, Austria, 1725-1729 [https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Schloss_hof001.jpg]

fig. 18
fig. 19
View of the west wall in the Marble Hall at the Lower Belvedere [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2020]

fig. 20
Marcantonio Chiarini and Carlo Innocenzo Carloni, quadratura and Apollo and the Muses, frescoes on the vault of the Marble Hall, Lower Belvedere, Vienna, 1714-1716 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2020]
fig. 21
Martino Altomonte, *Apollo and Clytia*, fresco on the vault of Prince Eugene’s state bed chamber, Lower Belvedere, Vienna, 1716 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 22
Martino Altomonte, *Luna and Endymion*, fresco on the vault of Prince Eugene’s state bed chamber, Lower Belvedere, Vienna, 1716 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 23
Carlo Innocenzo Carloni, detail of the fresco depicting Apollo and the Muses, vault of the Marble Hall, Lower Belvedere, Vienna, 1714-1716 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2020]

fig. 24

fig. 25
fig. 26
Marcantonio Chiarini, detail of quadratura including female personification (possibly Justice), fresco on the vault of the Marble Hall, Lower Belvedere, Vienna, 1714-1716 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2020]

fig. 27

fig. 28
fig. 29
Carlo Innocenzo Carloni, detail of the fresco depicting *Apollo and the Muses*, vault of the Marble Hall, Lower Belvedere, Vienna, 1714-1716 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2020]

fig. 30
Martino Altomonte, *Caloppierender Schimmel*, black, white, yellow, and red chalk on black paper, 28.3 x 43.2 cm, ca. 1715, accession number 1166 (ALBERTINA-WIEN, Vienna)
fig. 31
Marcantonio Chiarini, preparatory drawing for the vault of the Lower Belvedere’s Marble Hall, brown ink and brown wash and graphite under-drawing, 45 x 60 cm, ca. 1712, accession number AZ1403 (ALBERTINA-WIEN, Vienna)
fig. 32
Marcantonio Chiarini and Carlo Innocenzo Carloni, *quadratura* and *Apollo and the Muses*, frescoes on the vault of the Marble Hall, Lower Belvedere, Vienna, 1714-1716 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2020]

fig. 33
Marcantonio Chiarini, *quadratura*, vault of Prince Eugene’s state bed chamber, Lower Belvedere, Vienna, 1716 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 34
Gaetano Fanti, *quadratura*, south wall of the Marble Hall, Upper Belvedere, Vienna, ca. 1720 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 35

fig. 36
Plate AP 2

fig. 40 (recto)
Plate AP 2

fig. 41 (verso)
Plate AP 3
fig. 42 (above: recto)
fig. 43 (left: verso)
Chinese armorial plate bearing the coat of arms of Prince Eugene of Savoy in underglaze blue, porcelain, 40 cm diameter overall and a base that is 22 cm in diameter, 5.5 cm high, made in Jingdezhen ca. 1710-1720, Museo Civico d’Arte, Turin, accession number 1665, 677/C [See Isabella Massabò Ricci et al., eds., Blu, rosso & oro: segni e colori dell’araldica in carte, codici e oggetti d’arte (Milano: Electa, 1998), 282.]
fig. 45
Salomon Kleiner, Cabinet or Bilder Zimmer at the Lower Belvedere, drawing ca. 1729 [See “Neuviene Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l’Incomporable Heros de Nôtre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsignore Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1738).]

fig. 46
Salomon Kleiner, Cabinet in the appartement de parade at the Upper Belvedere, drawing ca. 1729 [See “Seconde Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l’Incomporable Heros de Nôtre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsignore Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1733).]
fig. 47

fig. 48
fig. 49
fig. 50

fig. 51
fig. 52
fig. 53

fig. 54
fig. 55
Salomon Kleiner, Marble Hall at the Upper Belvedere, drawing ca. 1729
fig. 56
Salomon Kleiner, Sala Terrena at the Upper Belvedere, drawing ca. 1729
[See Salomon Kleiner, “Troisieme Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l'Incomparable Heros de Nôtre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsignore Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1734).]

fig. 57
Salomon Kleiner, Sala Terrena, drawing ca. 1729
[See Salomon Kleiner, “Troisieme Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l'Incomparable Heros de Nôtre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsignore Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1734).]
Salomon Kleiner, Marble Hall at the Lower Belvedere, drawing ca. 1729 [See “Neuviene Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l’Incomparable Heros de Nôtre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsignore Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1738).]

View of the north wall in the Marble Hall at the Lower Belvedere [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2020]
fig. 60
View of the south wall in the Marble Hall at the Lower Belvedere [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2020]

fig. 61
View of the east wall in the Marble Hall at the Lower Belvedere [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2020]
fig. 62
Marcantonio Chiarini, detail of the left frescoed panel on the north wall in the Marble Hall, Lower Belvedere, Vienna, 1714-1716 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2020]
fig. 63
Marcantonio Chiarini, detail of *putto* catching bird, left frescoed panel on the south wall in the Marble Hall, Lower Belvedere, Vienna, 1714-1716 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2020]
fig. 64
attributed to Marcantonio Chiarini, fireplace on the west wall of the Marble Hall, Lower Belvedere, Vienna, 1714-1716 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2020]
fig. 65
attributed to Marcantonio Chiarini, fireplace on the east wall of the Marble Hall, Lower Belvedere, Vienna, 1714-1716 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2020]
fig. 66
Marcantonio Chiarini, detail of *putto* splashing water, left frescoed panel on the west wall in the Marble Hall, Lower Belvedere, Vienna, 1714-1716 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2020]
fig. 67
Marcantonio Chiarini, detail of disguised perspectival kink behind the frescoed female personification of *Liberalitas*, vault of the Marble Hall, Lower Belvedere, Vienna, 1714-1716 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 68
Salomon Kleiner, detail of the section plan depicting the Lower Belvedere, drawing ca. 1729 [See “Neuviene Partie,” in *Residences Memorables de l’Incomparable Héros de Notre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsieur Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont* (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1738).]
fig. 69
Carlo Innocenzo Carloni, detail of the frescoed banner and inscription, vault of the Marble Hall, Lower Belvedere, Vienna, 1716 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 70
Marcantonio Chiarini, detail of the artist’s scale on the preparatory drawing for the vault of the Lower Belvedere’s Marble Hall, Vienna, ca. 1712, accession number AZ1403 (ALBERTINA-WIEN, Vienna)

fig. 71
Marcantonio Chiarini, detail of the preparatory drawing for the vault of the Lower Belvedere’s Marble Hall including the measurement “P 40 tutta,” ca. 1712, accession number AZ1403 (ALBERTINA-WIEN, Vienna)
fig. 72
Unknown artist, the blessed hat and sword awarded to Prince Eugene [See Des Grossen Feld-Herrns Eugenii Hertzogs von Savoyen und Käyserlichen General-Lieutenants Helden-Thaten, vol. 6 (Nürnberg: Riegel, 1739), 984–85.]

fig. 73
Salomon Kleiner, state bed chamber, Lower Belvedere, drawing ca. 1729 [See “Neuviene Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l’Incomparable Heros de Nôtre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsignore Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1738).]
fig. 74
Salomon Kleiner, section plan of the Upper Belvedere, drawing ca. 1729 [See “Cinquieme Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l’Incomparable Heros de Nôtre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsignore Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1735).]

fig. 75
Gaetano Fanti and Carlo Carloni, quadratura and Eternal Fame of the House of Savoy, ca. 1721, Marble Hall, Upper Belvedere, Vienna [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 76
Gaetano Fanti, *quadratura*, northwest corner of the Marble Hall, Upper Belvedere, Vienna, ca. 1720 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 77
Gaetano Fanti, detail of frescoed armor and weapons, east wall of the Marble Hall, Upper Belvedere, Vienna, ca. 1721-23 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2020]

(left) fig. 78
Gaetano Fanti, frescoed medallion featuring the legend of the Roman general Gaius Mucius Scaevola, north wall of the Marble Hall, Upper Belvedere, Vienna, ca. 1721-23 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

(right) fig. 79
Gaetano Fanti, frescoed medallion featuring the legend of the Roman soldier Marcus Curtius, south wall of the Marble Hall, Upper Belvedere, Vienna, ca. 1721-23 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 80
Carlo Carloni, detail of frescoed captured enemy, south wall of Marble Hall, Upper Belvedere, Vienna, ca. 1721-23 [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2020]

fig. 81

fig. 82
fig. 83 (above)

fig. 84 (right)
Daniel Quare and André Charles Boulle, longcase clock in tortoiseshell with marquetry, 264 cm, ca. 1700 [See “A Viennese Turtleshell Boulle Month-Going Longcase Clock with Associated Movement by Daniel Quare, Vienna/London, circa 1700,” Sotheby’s, n.d., accessed May 12, 2020.]

fig. 85
Johann Basilius Küchelbecker, Prince Eugene’s G & T model of the solar system, engraving, 1730 [See Johann Basilius Küchelbecker, Allerneueste Nachricht vom Römisch-Kayserlichen Hof Nebst einer ausführlichen Beschreibung der Kayserlichen Residentz-Stadt Wien und der umliegenden Oerter (Hannover: Nicolaus Förster und Sohn, 1730), 736–37]
fig. 86
Salomon Kleiner, Anleg Zimmer in the appartement de parade at the Upper Belvedere, drawing ca. 1729 [See “Seconde Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l’Incomparable Heros de Notre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsigneur Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1733).]
fig. 87
Salomon Kleiner, *Spiegel Zimmer* in the *appartement de parade* at the Upper Belvedere, drawing ca. 1729 [See “Seconde Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l’Incomparable Heros de Notre Siècle, ou Édifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsignore Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1733).]
Salomon Kleiner, *Antichamber* in the *appartement de parade* at the Upper Belvedere, drawing ca. 1729 [See “Seconde Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l’Incomparable Heros de Nôtre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsignore Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1733).]
fig. 89
Salomon Kleiner, *Conferenz Zimmer* in the *appartement de parade* at the Upper Belvedere, drawing ca. 1729 [See “Seconde Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l’Incomparable Héros de Nôtre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsignore Le Prince Eugène François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1733).]
fig. 90
Salomon Kleiner, Bibliothec in the appartement de parade at the Upper Belvedere, drawing ca. 1729 [See “Seconde Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l’Incomparable Heros de Nôtre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsignore Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1733).]
fig. 91
Salomon Kleiner, *Schlaff Zimmer* in the *appartement de parade* at the Upper Belvedere, drawing ca. 1729 [See “Seconde Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l’Incomparable Héros de Nôtre Siècle, ou Édifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsieur Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1733).]
fig. 92
fig. 93
fig. 94
fig. 95
fig. 97
fig. 98
Anonymous Chinese artist, Kraak porcelain plate, China, Ming Dynasty (1368-1644), 31.5 cm diameter, 5.5 cm high, 1600-1650, accession number KHM 303 (MAK Collection, Vienna)

fig. 99
Anonymous Chinese artist, Kraak porcelain plate, China, Wanli Period (1573-1619), 30.2 cm diameter, 5.3 cm high, about 1600, accession number KHM 304 (MAK Collection, Vienna)

fig. 100
fig. 101
Salomon Kleiner, porcelain and mirror cabinet at Palais Schönborn, drawing circa 1715, copper engraving printed by Johann Balthasar Gutwein in Würzburg between 1726-1731, 38 x 49 cm [See Salomon Kleiner, Gräflich Schönbornsche Schlösser, Häuser, Gärten und Kirchen (Würzburg: Johann Balthasar Gutwein, 1726-1731.)]

fig. 102
Daniel Marot, design for a chimney wall with lacquered panels and porcelain from "Nouvelles Chiminees faittes en plusieurs en droits de la Hollande et autres provinces du dessin de d. Marot" in Oeuvres du Sr. D. Marot, copper engraving printed by Pierre Husson, 36.6 x 24.8, 1703 or 1712, accession number 30.4(1-144) (Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York)
fig. 103

fig. 104
Gold cabinet formerly at Price Eugene’s city palace, now at the Lower Belvedere [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
**fig. 105**
Chinese porcelain plates, ca. 1720, diameter 24 cm, height 3.5 cm, Palazzo Reale, Turin [See Lucia Caterina, “Dall’Oriente a Torino,” in *Porcellane e argenti del Palazzo Reale di Torino* (Milano: Fabbri Ed., 1986), 372.]

**fig. 106**
Chinese armorial plates with the coat of arms of the Proli and Labistraete families of Antwerp, ca. 1720-1730, private collection [See Jan Parmentier, *Oostende & Co: Het Verhaal van de Zuid-Nederlandse Oost-Indiërvaart 1715-1735* (Gent: Ludion, 2002), 15.]

**fig. 107**
Chinese Armorial plate belonging to the Maria Elisabeth of Austria and featuring her monogram and the coat of arms of Charles VI, 22 cm diameter, 4.1 cm high, ca. 1725-30, accession number KE 6600 (MAK Collection, Vienna)

**fig. 108**
Chinese Armorial plate belonging to the Maria Elisabeth of Austria and featuring her monogram and the coat of arms of Charles VI, 22 cm diameter, 4.1 cm high, ca. 1725-30, accession number KE 6306 (MAK Collection, Vienna)
**fig. 109**

**fig. 110**
Main entrance to the Upper Belvedere featuring the coat of arms of Prince Eugene of Savoy, Vienna [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

**fig. 111**
Top and center of the main façade of the Upper Belvedere featuring the coat of arms of Prince Eugene of Savoy, Vienna, [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 112
Chinese armorial plate sold on the English market featuring a small coat of arms in the center of the dish's well, ca. 1725-30, diameter 38,7 cm [See Clare Le Corbeiller, China Trade Porcelain: Patterns of Exchange: Additions to the Helena Woolworth McCann Collection in the Metropolitan Museum of Art (New York: Metropolitan Museum of Art; [distributed by New York Graphic Society, 1974), 50.]

fig. 113
Chinese armorial plate sold on the American market featuring a small coat of arms of the Society of Cincinnati in the center of the well, ca. 1784-1785, diameter 24,1 cm [See Clare Le Corbeiller, China Trade Porcelain: Patterns of Exchange: Additions to the Helena Woolworth McCann Collection in the Metropolitan Museum of Art (New York: Metropolitan Museum of Art; [distributed by New York Graphic Society, 1974), 42.]
fig. 114
Salomon Kleiner, detail of the Schaubuffet in the Vorzimmer of the appartement de sociétè at the Upper Belvedere showing the prince’s coat of arms flanked by rampant lions, drawing ca. 1729 [See Salomon Kleiner, “Troisieme Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l'Incomparable Heros de Nôtre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsigneur Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1734).]

fig. 115
Abraham Werner, nef, gilt silver, 27 x 6 x 26 cm, accession number PA 1015, 1600-1625 (Schloss Ambras, Innsbruck)

fig. 116
Hans Schlottheim, ship automaton, gilt silver, copper alloy, cold enamel, oil paint, 67 x 66 x 67 cm, accession number 874, ca. 1585 (Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna)
fig. 117
Chinese armorial plate from the so-called Krönungsservice featuring the imperial Polish and Lithuanian arms and those of the Sachsen Electors of Germany, Meissen Porcelain Manufacturer, 40.8 cm diameter, 25.1 cm base, 6.6 cm high, 1733-1734, accession number PE1283 (Staatliche Kunstsammlung Dresden)

fig. 118
Friedrich I’s Schaubuffet that was on display in the Rittersaal of the Berliner Schloss, gilded silver, ca. 1695-1698 [See Bettina Vaupel, “Wie bei Hof getafelt wurde: Zuckerschloss und Scherzpastete (Dezember 2015),” Monumente: Magazin für Denkmalkultur in Deutschland, accessed May 10, 2020, https://www.monumente-online.de/de/ausgaben/2015/6/Kulturgeschichte-Festliches-Tafeln.php#.XtoUyS9h3yl.]
fig. 119
Johann Cyriak Hackhofer’s engraved drawing titled *Die Kaiserle Taffel in der Ritterstüben* which took place in 1712, The engraving was done by Johann Andreas Pfeffel (1674-1748) and Christian Engelbrecht (1672-1735) in Augsburg. [See Johann Baptist von Mairn, *Beschreibung was auf Ableiben weyland Ihrer Keyserl Majestät Josephi, biss nach vorgegangener Erb-Huldigung* (Wien: Bey Johann Jacob Kürner, 1712), 64–65.]

fig. 120
Figure 119 detail.
fig. 121
Raymond Leplat, Öffentliches Hochzeitsmahl im Theatersaal der Favorita, drawing 1719, engraving by Gerard Scotin in 1728, accession number A153208 (Staatliche Kunstsammlung, Dresden)
fig. 122
Limbourg brothers, *Tres Riches Heures du duc de Berry*, Folio 1, verso: January, illumination, tempera on vellum, 22.5 cm x 13.6 cm, 1412-1416, accession number Ms.65, f.1v (Condé Museum, Chantilly)

fig. 123
Paolo Veronese, *The Wedding at Cana*, oil on canvas, 660 cm x 990 cm, 1562-1563 (Louvre Museum, Paris)
fig. 124

fig. 125
fig. 126 (left) & fig. 127 (right)
Daniel Marot, on the left are designs for garden parterres with scroll motifs and boxwood arbor, while on the right are flat garden beds with grass, in "Nouveaux Livre de Parterres" in Oeuvres du Sr. D. Marot, accession number 1988-4-20, etching and engraving on white laid paper, printed by Pierre Husson, 1703 or 1712 (Smithsonian, Washington D.C)

fig. 128 (center)

fig. 129
unknown artist, detail of the first parterre behind the Upper Belvedere, drawing circa 1720 [See Maria Auböck, Willibald Ludwig, and Ingrid Gregor, eds., Das Belvedere: der Garten des Prinzen Eugen in Wien (Wien: Holzhausen, 2003), 72–73.]
fig. 130
detail of fig. 93
fig. 131
Salomon Kleiner, *Offenes Cabinet* at the Upper Belvedere featuring grotesque frescoes by Jonas Drentwett, drawing ca. 1729
fig. 132
Detail of fig. 93

fig. 133
Detail of fig. 94
fig. 134
Figure 94 detail.

fig. 135
Valance featuring dragons and a squirrel, from the inside of Prince Eugene’s canopy bed at Schloss Hof, cotton chintz with silk applique embroidery and linen lining, Coromandel Coast, India, 40 x 207 cm, ca. 1720-30, acquisition number T8425-12 (MAK Collection, Vienna)

fig. 136
Valance featuring elephant and figures, from the inside of Prince Eugene’s canopy bed at Schloss Hof, cotton chintz with silk applique embroidery and linen lining, Coromandel Coast, India, 48.5cm x 217 cm, ca. 1720-30, acquisition number T8425-17 (MAK Collection, Vienna)
fig. 137
State bed from Schloss Hof, cotton chintz with silk applique embroidery, Coromandel Coast, India, circa 1730, accession number T 9073 (MAK Collection, Vienna)
fig. 138 (left)
Chintz wall hanging from Schloss Hof, cotton chintz with silk applique embroidery and linen lining, Coromandel Coast, India, 373 x 365 cm, ca. 1720-30, acquisition number T8425-2 (MAK Collection, Vienna)

fig. 139 (right)
Figure 94 detail.

fig. 140
unknown maker, detail of the dollhouse of Petronella Dunois with room featuring chintz, circa 1676, accession number BK-14656 (Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam)
fig. 141 (left)
Figure 91 detail.

fig. 142 (right)
Figure 96 detail.

fig. 143
Headboard from Prince Eugene’s canopy bed at Schloss Hof, cotton chintz with silk applique embroidery and linen lining, Coromandel Coast, India, 115cm x 210 cm, ca. 1720-30, acquisition number T8425-9 (MAK Collection, Vienna)
fig. 144
Bernardo Bellotto, Schloss Hof, garden side, oil on canvas, 136 x 216 cm, 1758-1761, accession number GG_1674 (Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna)

fig. 145
Textile fragment, Chinese damask made in the first half of the 18th century [See Lieselotte Hanzl-Wachter, ed., Schloss Hof (St. Pölten: Residenz Verlag, 2005), 119.]

fig. 146
Bed curtain from Schloss Hof, Chinese damask made in the first half of the 18th century [See Lieselotte Hanzl-Wachter, ed., Schloss Hof (St. Pölten: Residenz Verlag, 2005), 119.]
fig. 147

fig. 148
fig. 149 (above)
Painted Chinese silk taffeta fragment featuring an elephant [See Lieselotte Hanzl-Wachter, ed., Schloss Hof (St. Pölten: Residenz Verlag, 2005), 115.]

fig. 150 (left)
Bedcurtain from Schloss Hof, Chinese damask, made in the first half of the eighteenth century [See Lieselotte Hanzl-Wachter, ed., Schloss Hof (St. Pölten: Residenz Verlag, 2005), 119.]
fig. 151
Josef Wlha, photograph of a bed chamber at Schloss Hof, captured 1885 and published in 1901 in "Zwei Mappen mit 125 Fotografien von Innenansichten des kaiserlichen Schlosses Schönbrunn, Schloss Hof und Schloss Eckartsau," accession number KI 7415 (MAK Collection, Vienna)

fig. 152
Josef Wlha, photograph of a bed chamber at Schloss Hof, captured 1885 and published in 1901 in "Zwei Mappen mit 125 Fotografien von Innenansichten des kaiserlichen Schlosses Schönbrunn, Schloss Hof und Schloss Eckartsau," accession number KI 7415 (MAK Collection, Vienna)
fig. 153
Josef Wlha, photograph of a bed chamber at Schloss Hof, captured 1885 and published in 1901 in "Zwei Mappen mit 125 Fotografien von Innenansichten des kaiserlichen Schlosses Schönbrunn, Schloss Hof und Schloss Eckartsau," accession number KI 7415 (MAK Collection, Vienna)

fig. 154
Josef Wlha, photograph of a bed chamber at Schloss Hof, captured 1885 and published in 1901 in "Zwei Mappen mit 125 Fotografien von Innenansichten des kaiserlichen Schlosses Schönbrunn, Schloss Hof und Schloss Eckartsau," accession number KI 7415 (MAK Collection, Vienna)
fig. 155
Fireplace screen with Chinese damask, first half of the 18th century, 135 cm tall, 95 cm long, 35 cm wide [See Lieselotte Hanzl-Wachter, ed., Schloss Hof (St. Pölten: Residenz Verlag, 2005), 159.]
fig. 156
Bedcurtain from Schloss Hof, Chinese embroidered silk, made in the first quarter of the eighteenth century [See Lieselotte Hanzl-Wachter, ed., Schloss Hof (St. Pölten: Residenz Verlag, 2005), 113.]
fig. 157
Figure 36 detail.

fig. 158
Figure 96 detail.

fig. 159
Fireplace screen with Chinese silk taffeta, 1720-1730, 131 cm tall, 106 cm long, 37 cm wide [See Lieselotte Hanzl-Wachter, ed., Schloss Hof (St. Pölten: Residenz Verlag, 2005), 159.]
fig. 160
Salomon Kleiner, Gemach at the Lower Belvedere, drawing ca. 1729 [See “Dixième Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l’Incomparable Heros de Nôtre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsignore Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1738).]

fig. 161
Figure 160 detail.
fig. 162

Bedcurtain from Schloss Hof, Chinese embroidered silk, made in the first quarter of the eighteenth century [See Lieselotte Hanzl-Wachter, ed., Schloss Hof (St. Pölten: Residenz Verlag, 2005).]
fig. 163

fig. 164
fig. 165
Jan Griffier I, *The Capture of a Fort*, oil on canvas, 50 x 64 cm, ca. 1710 (Sabaua Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 166
fig. 167
Marcantonio Chiarini, *Landscape with Ruins*, oil on canvas, 235 x 170 cm, circa 1716 (Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna)

fig. 168
Marcantonio Chiarini, *Landscape with Ruins*, oil on canvas, 235 x 170 cm, circa 1716 (Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna)
fig. 169

fig. 170
fig. 171
Johann Kunstner, *Still Life with Flowers and Squash* (formerly above the west door in Prince Eugene’s bedchamber at the Lower Belvedere), oil on canvas, 118 x 154 cm, circa 1710 (Belvedere Museum, Vienna)

fig. 172
Johann Kunstner, *Still Life with Flowers and Fruit*, oil on canvas, 118 x 154 cm, circa 1710 (Belvedere Museum, Vienna) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 173
Johann Kunstner, *Still Life with Flowers and Fruit* (formerly above the east door in Prince Eugene’s bedchamber at the Lower Belvedere), oil canvas, 118 x 154 cm, circa 1710 (Belvedere Museum, Vienna)

fig. 174
Salomon Kleiner, detail of the sopraporte in the *Bücher Cabinet* at the Lower Belvedere, drawing ca. 1729 [See “Dixième Partie,” in *Residences Memorables de l’Incomparable Héros de Notre Siècle, ou Édifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsignore Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont* (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1738).]
fig. 175

fig. 176
fig. 177
Pieter van der Berge, Prince Eugene examining paintings in Jan Pietersz Zomer’s shop, brown ink and a grey wash on paper, 23.6 cm x 40.8 cm, circa 1701 (Rijkmuseum, Amsterdam)

fig. 178
Giacomo del Po, Apotheosis of Prince Eugene, oil on canvas, 1718-1720, in situ in the Antichambre at the Upper Belvedere [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 179
Giacomo del Po, Allegory of the Reign of Prince Eugene, oil on canvas, 1718-1720, in situ in the Conferenz Zimmer at the Upper Belvedere [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 180
Francesco Solimena, *Resurrection of Christ*, oil on canvas, circa 1725, in the chapel at the Upper Belvedere (Belvedere Museum, Vienna)

fig. 181
fig. 182
Guido Reni, *Adam and Eve*, oil on canvas, 277 x 196 cm, 1620 (Musée des Beaux-Arts, Dijon, Dijon)

---

fig. 183
David Teniers the Younger, *Interior of a Tavern with Musician*, oil on canvas, 37 x 61 cm (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 184
David Teniers the Younger, *Interior of a Tavern*, oil on canvas, 43 x 65 cm (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 185
David Teniers the Younger, *The Card Players*, oil on canvas, 44.5 x 64.5 cm (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 186
David Teniers the Younger, Portrait of Anna and Greughel, oil on canvas, 32 x 43 cm (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 187
Philips Wouwerman, *Battle*, oil on canvas, 82.5 x 105 cm (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 188
Philips Wouwerman, *A Cavalry Skirmish*, oil on panel, 55 x 68 cm, (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
Franz van Mieris the Elder, *The Hurdy-Gurdy Player*, oil on canvas, 16 x 11 cm, ca. 1670 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

Franz van Mieris the Elder, *The Good Mother*, oil on panel, 25 x 19 cm, ca. 1670 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

Franz van Mieris the Elder, *Portrait of a Young Man*, oil on panel, 28 x 13 cm, 1659 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

Gerrit Dou or Domenicus van Tol, *Children Blowing Bubbles*, oil on panel, 25 x 19 cm, ca. 1675-1700 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 193
Gerrit Dou, *A Young Woman at a Window with a Bunch of Grapes*, oil on panel, 38 x 29 cm, 1662 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 194
Gerrit Dou, *Portrait of an Astronomer*, oil on panel, 16.5 x 13 cm, ca. 1650 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 195
Jan Both, *Wooded Landscape with Travellers*, oil on panel, 30 x 42 cm, ca. 1640 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 196
Jan Griffier I, *London from Horesterry Pier*, oil on wood, 45 x 31 cm, ca. 1710 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 197
Jan Griffier I, *Hunting Scene near London*, oil on copper, 51 x 64.5 cm, 1710-1718 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 198
Jan Griffier I, *Landscape with a Village River, Travelers and Springtime Activities*, oil on copper, 51 x 58.5 cm, ca. 1700-1710 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 199
Jan Griffier I, *Village with a Market and Procession*, oil on copper, 50 x 64 cm, 1710-1718 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 200
Jan Griffier I, *Winter Landscape with Skaters, Church, and Village along a Riverbank*, oil on copper, 51.5 x 59 cm, 1707-1710 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 201
Jan Griffier I, Landscape with a Village, River, Boats, and Peasants Camping, oil on copper, 48 x 61.5 cm, 1700-1710 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 202
Jan Griffier I, Mountain Landscape with a Village, River, and Peasants Feasting, oil on copper, ca. 50 x 60 cm, ca. 1700-1710 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 203
Jan Griffier I, A Landscape with a River, A Village, and a Country Feast, oil on canvas, 30.5 x 39 cm, ca. 1710 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 204
Jan Griffier I, Mountain Landscape with a Village, River, and Boats, oil on panel, 30 x 39 cm, ca 1672-1695 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 205
Jan Griffier I, *Rhine Landscape with Boats, Mountains, and a Village*, oil on panel, 28.5 x 37 cm, 1669 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 206
Jan Griffier I, *View of the Rhine*, oil on panel, 27.5 x 34.5 cm, 1669 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 207
Hermann Saftleven, *View of the Rhine*, oil on canvas, 61 x 82 cm, 1662 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 208
Herman van der Mijn, *Artemisia Drinking the Ashes of her Husband Mausolus*, oil on panel, 48 x 40 cm, 1710-1725 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 209
Rembrandt van Rijn, *Visitation with Mary and Elizabeth*, oil on panel, 56.5 x 47.9 cm, 1640 (Institute of Fine Arts, Detroit)

fig. 210
Caspar Netscher, *The Knife Grinder*, oil on panel, 43 x 34 cm, 1662 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 211
Carel de Moor, *Pyramus and Thisbe*, oil on canvas, 66.5 x 59.2 cm, 1710 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 212
Gottfried Schalken, *Portrait of a Painter*, oil on panel, 14 x 10 cm, 1665-1670 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 213
Gottfried Schalken, *The Goddess Latona Turning the Lycian Shepherds into Frogs*, oil on panel, 37 x 53 cm, ca 1690 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 214
Adriaen van der Werff, *The Death of Abel*, oil on panel, 47.5 x 34.5 cm, 1699 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 215
Photograph, circa 1982 (See Carlenrica Spantigati, “Vecchie e nuove precisazioni sulla quadtreriea del principe Eugenio di Savoia,” in *Conoscere la Galleria Sabauda; Documenti sulla sotria delle sue collezioni* (Torino: Soprintendenza per i beni artistici e storici del Piemonte, 1982), 35.)
fig. 216
Giacomo Antonio Boni, *Plato*, oil on canvas, 90.7 x 118.5 cm, 1722
(Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 217
Giacomo Antonio Boni, *Cicero*, oil on canvas, 96 x 123.5 cm, 1722
(Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 218
Giacomo Antonio Boni, *Virgil*, oil on canvas, 92 x 118 cm, 1722 (Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 219
Giacomo del Pò, bozzetto depicting the *Triumphal Reception of Prince Eugene into Olympus* and for the *Audienz Zimmer* at the Upper Belvedere, ca. 1718 (See Achille della Ragione, *Giacomo Del Pò: Opera Completa* (Napoli: Napoli arte, 2011), 83.)
fig. 220
Giacomo del Pò, bozzetto depicting a detail from the *Triumphal Reception of Prince Eugene into Olympus* and for the Audienz Zimmer at the Upper Belvedere, ca. 1718 (See Achille della Ragione, *Giacomo Del Pò: Opera Completa* (Napoli: Napoli arte, 2011), 83.)

fig. 221
Giacomo del Pò, bozzetto depicting a detail from the *Triumphal Reception of Prince Eugene into Olympus* and for the Audienz Zimmer at the Upper Belvedere, ca. 1718 (See Achille della Ragione, *Giacomo Del Pò: Opera Completa* (Napoli: Napoli arte, 2011), 83.)
fig. 222

fig. 223
fig. 224
Ludwig Kainzbauer, Das Goldkabinett im Oberen Belvedere, oil on canvas, 100 x 70 cm, 1885 (Belvedere Museum, Wien)

fig. 225
Studio copy of Titian’s Perseus and Andromeda, oil on canvas, 193 x 198 cm, after 1560 (Hermitage, St. Petersburg)
fig. 226
Abraham Mignon, *Tree Trunk with Flowers and Herbs*, oil on canvas, 66 x 80 cm, ca. 1675 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 227
Cornelius de Heem, *Still Life with Flowers*, oil on canvas, 57.5 x 49 cm, ca. 1675 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 228
Abraham Mignon, *Still Life*, oil on canvas, 99 x 84 cm, ca. 1675 (Louvre, Paris)

fig. 229
Jan Davidsz. de Heem, *Still Life with Fruit and Flowers*, oil on canvas, 89.5 x 73 cm (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 230
Jacques Courtois, *Battle of Hungarians and the Turks*, oil on canvas, 105 x 210.5 cm, ca. 1650 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin)

fig. 231
Abraham Mignon, *Still life with Flowers*, oil on canvas, 88 x 67 cm, ca. 1675 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 232
Hendrick Schoock, *Still life with Flowers*, oil on canvas, 101 x 73 cm, ca. 1675 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]
fig. 233
Jan Davidsz. de Heem, *Fruit and Flowers with a Crucifix, Bread, and Cup*, oil on canvas, 110 x 75 cm, ca. 1675 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 234
Franz Werner Tamm, *Still Life with Flowers and Miniature Gazelle*, oil on canvas, 151 x 142 cm, ca. 1720 (Belvedere Museum, Vienna)

fig. 235
Franz Werner Tamm, *Still Life with Flowers and Eurasian Crane*, oil on canvas, 151 x 142 cm, ca. 1720 (Belvedere Museum, Vienna)

Pietro Muttoni called Pietro della Vecchia, *The Three Graces*, oil on canvas, 158 x 124 cm, ca. 1650 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin) [photo Christina Lamb Chakalova, 2018]

fig. 239
Attributed to Hendrik Goltzius, *Birth of Christ*, oil on copper, 41 x 29 cm, ca. 1600 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin)

fig. 240
Bartolomeo Scheoni, *Two Children*, oil on wood, 33 x 28 cm, ca 1606-09 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin)

fig. 241
Bartolomeo Scheoni, *Two Children*, oil on wood, 33 x 28 cm, ca 1606-09 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin)
fig. 242
Anthony van Dyck, Amaryllis and Mirtillo, oil on canvas, 121 x 145 cm, 1631-32 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin)

fig. 243
Salomon Kleiner, detail showing Titian’s Venus and Adonis, ca. 1560 [See “Troisieme Partie,” in Residences Memorables de l’Incomparable Heros de Nôtre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsignore Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1738).]

fig. 244
Philips Wouwerman, Battle at a Bridge, oil on canvas, 82.5 x 105 cm, ca. 1665 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin)

fig. 245
Francesco Salviati, Geometry, oil on canvas, 176 x 125 cm, ca. 1550 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin)
fig. 246
Alessandro Varotari, *Sleeping Venus*, oil on canvas, 110 x 150 cm, ca. 1630 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin)

fig. 247
Gian Giacomo Sementi after Reni, *Cleopatra*, oil on canvas, 170 x 112.5 cm, ca. 1627 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin)

fig. 248
Francesco Albani, *Hermaphrodus and Salmacis*, oil on canvas, 183 x 228 cm, 1645-50 (Sabauda Gallery, Turin)

fig. 249
Francesco Albani, *Adam and Eve*, oil on canvas, 97 x 153.5 cm, 1635 (Musées royaux des Beaux-Arts de Belgique, Brüssel)
**fig. 250**
Ignaz Heinitz von Heinzenthal, *Still Life with Ostriches, an Agave, and Banana Tree*, oil on canvas, 317 x 159 cm, 1723 (Belvedere Museum, Vienna)

**fig. 251**
Ignaz Heinitz von Heinzenthal, *Still Life with Hyena, Chital, Cassowary, Cactus, and Palm Trees*, oil on canvas, 317 x 159 cm, 1723 (Belvedere Museum, Vienna)
fig. 252
Salomon Kleiner, *Water Birds*, grey feather pen, watercolors, and white chalk highlights, 28.3 x 39 cm, 1723 (ALBERTINA WIEN, Vienna)

fig. 253
Salomon Kleiner, *Flightless Birds*, grey feather pen, watercolors, and white chalk highlights, 27.5 x 39.5 cm, 1723 (ALBERTINA WIEN, Vienna)
fig. 254
Salomon Kleiner, *Predators and Ruminants*, grey feather pen, watercolors, and white chalk highlights, 28.4 x 39 cm, 1723 (ALBERTINA WIEN, Vienna)

fig. 255
Salomon Kleiner, *Fallow Deer*, grey feather pen, watercolors, and white chalk highlights, 27.2 x 39 cm, 1723 (ALBERTINA WIEN, Vienna)
fig. 256
Salomon Kleiner, *Lion, Oxen, Antelope, and Ape*, grey feather pen, watercolors, and white chalk highlights, 27.8 x 40 cm, 1723 (ALBERTINA WIEN, Vienna)

fig. 257
Salomon Kleiner, *Sheep*, grey feather pen, watercolors, and white chalk highlights, 28 x 40 cm, 1723 (ALBERTINA WIEN, Vienna)
fig. 258
Salomon Kleiner, *Chickens, Waterfowl, and Apes*, grey feather pen, watercolors, and white chalk highlights, 27.5 x 39 cm, 1723 (ALBERTINA WIEN, Vienna)

fig. 259
Salomon Kleiner, *Parrots, Apes, and Fallow Deer*, grey feather pen, watercolors, and white chalk highlights, 27.4 x 39.5 cm, 1723 (ALBERTINA WIEN, Vienna)
fig. 260
Salomon Kleiner, *Water Birds*, grey feather pen, watercolors, and white chalk highlights, 28.3 x 39 cm, 1723 (ALBERTINA WIEN, Vienna)

fig. 261
Salomon Kleiner, *Large Predators and Apes*, grey feather pen, watercolors, and white chalk highlights, 29.2 x 39 cm, 1723 (ALBERTINA WIEN, Vienna)
fig. 262
Salomon Kleiner, *Birds of Prey*, grey feather pen, watercolors, and white chalk highlights, 27.5 x 39.5 cm, 1723 (ALBERTINA WIEN, Vienna)

fig. 263
Phillip Ferdinand de Hamilton, *Lynx and Vulture*, oil on canvas, 87.7 x 118.5 cm, 1722 (Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna)
**fig. 264**
Phillip Ferdinand de Hamilton, *Fallow Deer*, oil on canvas, 87 x 118 cm, 1722 (Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna)

**fig. 265**
Phillip Ferdinand de Hamilton, *Albino Guineafowl and Coati*, oil on canvas, 88 x 119 cm, 1722 (Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna)
fig. 266
Phillip Ferdinand de Hamilton, *Vultures*, oil on canvas, 110 x 127 cm, 1723 (Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna)

fig. 267
Phillip Ferdinand de Hamilton, *Pelicans and other Waterfowl*, oil on canvas, 110 x 124 cm, 1724 (Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna)
fig. 268

fig. 269
Phillip Ferdinand de Hamilton, *Piebald Deer*, oil on canvas, 110 x 126 cm, 1724 (Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna)
fig. 270
Phillip Ferdinand de Hamilton, *Chital, Ibex, Goats, and Hare*, oil on canvas, 108 x 126 cm, 1723

fig. 271
Franz Werner Tamm, *Still Life with Plants and Pelican*, ca. 151 x 142 cm, ca. 1720 (Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna)
fig. 272
Franz Werner Tamm, *Still Life with Plants and Fruit*, oil on canvas, 109 x 145 cm, ca. 1720 (Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna)

fig. 273
Franz Werner Tamm, *Still Life with Plants and Fruit*, oil on canvas, 112 x 155 cm, ca. 1720 (Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna)
fig. 274

fig. 275
fig. 276
Franz Werner Tamm, *Still Life with Fruit*, ca. 1720 [See “Seconde Partie,” in *Residences Memorables de l’Incomporable Heros de Nôtre Siècle, ou Edifices et Jardins de Son Altesse Serenissime Monsignore Le Prince Eugene François de Savoye et de Piedmont* (Augsburg: Jeremias Wolff, 1738).]
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